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Hermes Trismegistus speaks:
O Aegypte, Aegypte, religionum tuarum solae supererunt fabulae, eaeque ineredibiles posteris tuis; solaque supererunt verba lapidibus incisa, tua pia facta narrantibus. ["O Egypt, Egypt, of thy religious rites nought will survive but idle tales which thy children's children will not believe; nought will survive but words graven upon stones that tell of thy piety."]

The Latin Asclepius III. 25, in W. Scott, Hermetica, i. 1924, p. 342.

*     *         *             *                 *                     *                         * 

"Never has there arisen a more complicated problem than that of Manctho."
-Boeckir, Manetho und die Hundssternperiode, 1845, p. 10.

## INTRODUCTION

Among the Egyptians who wrote in Greek, Manetho the priest holds a unique place bccause of his comparatively early date (the third century b.c.) and the interest of his subject-matter-the history and religion of Ancient Egypt. His works in their original form would possess the highest importance and value for us now, if only we could recover them; but until the fortunate discovery of a papyrus, ${ }^{1}$ which will transmit the authentic Manetho, we can know his writings only from fragmentary and often distorted quotations preserved chiefly by Josephus and by the Christian chronographers, Africanus and Eusebius. with isolated passages in Plutarch, Theophilus, Aelian, Porphyrius, Diogenes Laertius, Theodoretus, Lydus, Malalas, the Scholia to Plato, and the Etymologicum Magnum.

Like Bêrôssos, who is of slightly earlier date, Manetho testifies to the growth of an international
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spirit in the Alexandrine age: each of these "barbarians" wrote in Greek an account of his native country; and it stirs the imagination to think of their endeavour to bridge the gulf and instruct all Greek-speaking people (that is to say the whole civilized world of their time) in the history of Egypt and Chaldaea. But these two writers stand alone : ${ }^{1}$ the Greeks indeed wrote from time to time of the wonders of Egypt (works no longer extant), but it was long before an Egyptian successor of Manetho appeared-Ptolemy of Mendês, ${ }^{2}$ probably under Augustus.

The writings of Manetho, however, continued to
${ }^{1}$ Cf. W. W. Tarn on Ptolemy II. in the Journal of Egyptian Archaeology, 1928, xiv. p. 254: (Activity at Alexandria had no effect at all on Egyptians) " Ptolemy Sôter had thought for a moment that Egyptians might partieipate in the intellectual activities of Alexandria: . . . but, though Manetho dedicated his work to Ptolemy II., in this reign all interest in native Egypt was dropped, and a little later Alexandria appears as merely an object of hatred to many Egyptians. (Its destruction is prophesied in the Potter's Oracle.) " (See p. 123 n. 1.)

The eomplete isolation of Manetho and Bêrôssos is the chief argument of Ernest Havet against the authenticity of these writers (Mémoire sur les éerits qui portent les noms de Bérose et de Manéthon, Paris, 1873). He regards the double tradition as curious and extraordinarythere is no other name to set besido these two Oriental priests; and he suspeets the symmetry of the tradition -each wrote three books for a king. Cf. Croiset, Histoire de la Littérature Grecque, v. p. 99 ; Abridged History of Greek Literature, English translation, p. 429 (Manetho's works were probably written by a Hellenized Oriental at the end of the second eentury b.c.) ; and F. A. Wright, Later Greek Literature, p. 60.
${ }^{2}$ See p. x.
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be read with interest; and his Egyptian History was used for special purposes, e.g. by the Jews when they engaged in polemic against Egyptians in order to prove their extreme antiquity. (See further pp. xvi ff.) Manetho's religious writings are known to us mainly through references in Plutareh's treatise On Isis and Osiris.

The Life of Manetho: Traditions and Conjectures.
Our knowledge of Manetho is for the most part meagre and uncertain; but three statements of great probability may be made. They concern his native place, his priesthood at Hêliopolis, and his activity in the introduction of the cult of Serapis.

The name Manetho ( $M a \nu \epsilon \theta \dot{\omega} s$, often written $M \alpha \nu \epsilon \in \theta \nu$ ) has been explained as meaning "Truth of Thôth ", and a certain priest under Dynasty XIX. is described as " First Priest of the Truth of Thôth ". ${ }^{1}$ Aceording to Dr. Cerný ${ }^{2}$ "Manetho" is from the Coptic uanervo "groom" (HaNe"herdsman", and 2TO" horse"); but the word does not seem to occur elsewhere as a proper name. In regard to the date of Manetho, Syncellus in one passage ${ }^{3}$ gives us the information that he lived later than Bêrôssos: elsewhere ${ }^{4}$ he puts Manetho as "almost contemporary with Bêrôssos, or a little later ". Bêrôssos, who
${ }^{1} 11$. Spiegelberg, Orient. Literaturz. xxxi. 1928, col. 145 ff., xxxii. 1929, col. 321 f . Older explanations of the name Manetho were "Gift of Thôth," "Beloved of Thoth," and " Beloved of Neith '.
${ }^{2}$ In the centenary volume of the Vatican Museum: I owe this reference to the kindness of Dr. Alan H. Gardiner.
${ }^{3}$ Manetho, Fr. 3. ${ }^{4}$ Syncellus, p. 26.

## MANE'THO

was priest of Marduk at Babylon, lived under, and wrote for, Antiochus I. whose reign lasted from 285 to $261 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{c}$; and Birôssos dedicated his $X_{a} \lambda \delta a \ddot{\kappa} \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ to this king after he beeame sole monarch in 281 B.C. 'The works of Manetho and Bêrossos may be interpreted as an expression of the rivalry of the two Kinrs, Ptolemy and Antiochus, each seeking to proclaim the wreat antiquity of his land.

Under the name of Manetho, Suidas seems to distinguish two writers: (1) Manetho of Mendes in Egypt, a chief priest who wrote on the making of kyphi (i.e. Fr. 87): (2) Manetho of Diospolis or Sebennytus. (Works): A Treatise on Physical Doctrines (i.e. Fr. 82, 83). Apotelesmatica (or Astrological Influences), in hexameter verses, and other astrological works. (See p. xiv, note 3.) Nowhere else is Manetho connected with Mendês; but as Mendês was distant only ahout 17 miles from Sebennytus across the Damietta arm of the Nile, the attribution is not impossible. Müller suspects confusion with Ptolemy of Mendês, an Egyptian priest (probably in the time of Augustus), who, like Manetho, wrote a work on Egyptian Chronology in three books. In the second note of Suidas Diospolis may be identified, not with Diospolis Magna (the famous Thebes) nor with Diospolis Parva, but with Diospolis Inferior, in the Delta (now Tell el-Balamûn), the capital of the Diospolite or l7th nome ${ }^{1}$ to the north of the Sebennyte nome and contiguous with
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it. Diospolis Inferior lay near Damietta. some 30 miles from Sebennytus. (See Strabo. 17. 1, 19, and Baedeker, Egypt and the Sîdân, 8th ed. (1929), p. 185.) We may therefore accept the usual description of Manetho (Fr. 3, 77, 80: Syncellus, 72, 16), and hold that he was a native of Sebennytus (now Samannûd) ${ }^{1}$ in the Delta, on the west bank of the Damietta branch of the Nile. Manetho was a priest, and doubtless held office at one time in the temple at Sebennytus; but in the letter (App. I.) which he is said to have written to Ptolemy II. Philadelphus, he describes himself as " high-priest and scribe of the sacred shrines of Egypt, born at Sebennytus and dwelling at Hêliopolis". Although the letter, as we have it, is not genuine in all its details, this description may have been borrowed from a good source; and while his precise rank as a priest remains in doubt, it is reasonable to believe that Manetho rose to be high-priest in the temple at Hêliopolis. ${ }^{2}$ This eminent position agrees with the important part he played in the introduction of the cult of Serapis. As a Heliopolitan priest, Manctho (to quote from Laqueur, Pauly-Wissowa-Kroll, R.-E. xiv. 1, 1061) "was, without doubt, acquainted with
${ }^{1}$ See Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, p. 185. Sebennytus was the seat of Dynasty XXX., and therefore a place of great importance shortly before the time of Manetho. In Aneient Egyptian, Sebennytus is Tjeb-nutter, "city of the sacred calf"' it is tempting to connect with Sebennytus the worship of the Golden Calf in O.T. Exorlus axxii., 1 Kings xii. 28 ff. (P. E. Newberry).
${ }^{2}$ See Strabo, 17. 1, 29 for the " large houses in whieh the priests had lived ". According to Herodotus (ii. 3, 1), "the Heliopolitans are said to be the most learned of the Egyptians".
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the sacred tree in the great Hall of Hêliopolis,--the tree on which the goddess Seshat, the Lady of Letters. the Mistress of the Library, wrote down with her own hand the names and deeds of the rulers. ${ }^{1}$ He did nothing more than communicate to the Greek world what the goddess had noted down. ${ }^{2}$ But hr did so with a full sense of the superiority which relied on the sacred records of the Egyptians in opposition to Herodotus whom he was contradicting" (Fr. 43, § $73:$ Fr. 88). His native town, Sebennytus, was visited as a place of learning by Solon when Ethêmôn was a priest in residence there (see Proclus in Plat. Tim. i. 101, 22, Diehl); and the Greek culture of the place must have been a formative influence upon Manetho at an early age.

In the introduction of the statue of Serapis to Alexandria as described by Plutarch (Manetho, Fr. 80), Manetho the Egyptian was associated with the Greek Timotheus as a priestly adviser of King Ptolemy Sôter. It is natural to suppose that the cult of Serapis itself, which was a conflation of
${ }^{1}$ See Erman-Ranke, Agypten, 1923, pp. 396 f.; or Erman, Die Religion der Agypter, 1934, pp. 56 f.; or the original drawing in Lepsius, Denkmäler, iii. 169. This illustration shows the goddess, along with Thôth and Atûm, making inseriptions upon the leaves (or fruit) of the venerable tree.
${ }^{2}$ It may be added that the Egyptians are surpassed by no nation in their strong and ever-present desire to leave upon stone or papyrus permanent records of their history, their motive being to glorify the ruling king. $C f$. Herodotus, ii. 77. 1 (of the Egyptians who live in the cultivated country), " the most diligent of all men in preserving the memory of the past, and far better skilled in chronicles than any others whom I have questioned ".
xii
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Egyptian and Greck ideas intended to be acceptable to both nationalities, had already been organized ${ }^{1}$ with the help of the two priests, and the magnificent temple in Rhakôtis, the Egyptian quarter in the west of Alexandria, had doubtless been built. The date is not certain : according to Jerome (Fotheringham, p. 211, Helm. p. 129) "Sarapis entered Alexandria" in 286 b.c., while the Armenian Version of the Chronicle of Eusebius says that in 278 b.c. "Sarapis came to Alexandria, and became resident there" (Karst, 200). Perhaps the two statements refer to different stages in the development of the cult : if the former describes the entry of the statue by Bryaxis, the latter may possibly refer to the final establishment of the whole theology. As a proof that the work of Manetho in building up the cult of Serapis must not be belittled, it may suffice to refer to the inscription of the name Maré $\theta \omega \nu$ on the base of a marble bust found in the ruins of the Temple of Serapis at Carthage (Corpus Inscr. Lat. viii. 1007). The name is so uncommon that the probability is that the bust which originally stood on this base represented the Egyptian Manetho. and was erected in his honour because of his effective contribution to the organization of the cult of
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Serapis. ${ }^{1}$ Hence it is not impossible also that the following reference in a papyrus of 241 в.c. may be to Manetho of Sebennytus. It occurs in a document containing correspondence about a Temple Seal (P. Hibeh, i. 72, vv. 6, 7, $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \in \tau \nu M a \nu \in \theta \bar{\omega} \iota)$. The person named was evidently a well-known man in priestly circles: he was probably our Manetho, the writer on Egyptian history and religion, if he lived to a considerable age. ${ }^{2}$

## Manetho's Works.

Eight works ${ }^{3}$ have been attributed to Manetho: (1) Aiүviтьaká, or The History of Egypt, (2) The Book of Sothis, (3) The Sacred Book, (4) An Epitome of Physical Doctrines, (5) On Festivals, (6) On Ancient Ritual and Religion, (7) On the Making of Kyphi [a kind of incense], (8) Criticisms of Herodotus. Of these, (2) The Book of Sôthis (App. IV. and
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Lafaye, Histoire du Culte des Divinités d'Alexandrie (1884), p. $16 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{l}:$ " At all events, there is no doubt that the adepts of the Alexandrine cult had great veneration for Manetho, and considered him in some measure as their patriarch ".
${ }^{2}$ Bouché-Leclercq (Histoire des Lagides, iv. p. 269 n. 4) holds a different opinion : " the reference is not necessarily to the celebrated Manetho, whose very existence is problematical '".
${ }^{3}$ A work wrongly attributed in antiquity (e.g. by Suidas, sce $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{x}$ ) to Manetho of Sebennytus is 'Aтотєлєо $\mu a \tau \iota \kappa$ á, in 6 books, an astrological poem in hexameters on the influence of the stars. See W. Kroll (R.-E. s.v. Manethon (2)), who with Küchly recognizes in the 6 books 4 sections of different dates from about A.D. 120 to the fourth century after Christ. Books I. and V. open with dedications to King Ptolemy: cf. Pseudo-Manetho, Appendix I. xiv
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pp. xxvii. ff.) is certainly not by Manetho ; and there is no reason to believe that (8) Criticisms of Herodotus formed a separate work, although we know from Josephus. C. Apion. i. 73 (Fr. 42). that Manetho did convict Herodotus of error. Six titles remain, but it has long been thought that some of these are " ghost " titles. Fruin (Manetho, p. Ixxvii) supposed that Manetho wrote only two works-one on Egyptian history, the other on Egyptian mythology and antiquities. Susemihl (Alex. Lit.-Gesch. i. 609, n. 43I) and W. Otto (Priester und Tempel in Hellenistischen Agypten, ii. 215, n. 4) modified this extreme view: they recognized three distinct works of Manetho (The History of Egypt, The Sacred Book, and An Epitome of Plysical Doctrines), and assumed that the titles On Festirals, On Ancient Ritual and Religion, and On the Making of Kyphi referred to passages in The Sacred Book. In the paucity of our data, no definite judg ment seems possible as to whether Manetho wrote six works or only three; but in support of the former theory we may refer to Eusebius (Man. Fr. 76).

## The History of Egypt.

The Egyptian History ${ }^{1}$ of Manetho is preserved in extracts of two kinds. (1) Excerpts from the original work are preserved by Josephus. along with other passages which can only be pseudo-
${ }^{1}$ Or Notes about Egypt. There are two variants of the Greek title: Aiүvataaкá (Josephus in Fr. 42), and Aiүvatıaкג̀ ітониŋ́ната (Aegyptiaca monumenta, Eus. in Fr. 1), with
 monumenta, Eus., p. 359).

## MANETHO

Manethonian. The Jews of the three centuries following the time of Manetho were naturally kecnly interested in his History because of the connexion of their ancestors with Egypt-Abraham, Joseph, and Moses the leader of the Exodus; and they sought to base their theories of the origin and antiquity of the Jews securely upon the authentic traditions of Egypt. In Manetho indeed they found an unwelcome statement of the descent of the Jews from lepers; but they were able to identify their ancestors with the Hyksôs, and the Exodus with the expulsion of these invaders. The efforts of Jewish apologists account for much re-handling, enlargement, and corruption of Manetho's text, and the result may be seen in the treatise of Josephus, Contra Apionem, i.
(2) An Epitome of Manetho's history had been made at an early date,-not by Manetho himself, there is reason to believe, -in the form of Lists of Dynasties with short notes on outstanding kings or important events. The remains of this Epitome are preserved by Christian chronographers, especially by Africanus and Eusebius. Their aim was to compare the chronologies of the Oriental nations with the Bible, and for this purpose the Epitome gave an ideal conspectus of the whole History, omitting, as it does, narratives such as the account of the Hyksôs preserved by Josephus. Of the two chronographers, the founder of Christian chronography, Sextus Julius Africanus, whose Chronicle ${ }^{1}$ came down to
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A.D. 217 or A.D. 221, transmits the Epitome in a more accurate form ; while Eusebius, whose work extends to A.D. 326, is responsible for unwarranted alterations of the original text of Manetho. About A.d. 800 George the Monk, who is known as Syncellus from his religious office (as " attendant " of Tarasius, Patriarch of Constantinople), made use of Manetho's work in various forms in his 'Eкגоүウ' X ${ }^{\prime}$ ovovpaфias, a history of the world from Adam to Diocletian. Syncellus sought to prove that the incarnation took place in Anno Mundi 5500 ; and in his survey of the thirty-one Egyptian dynasties which reigned from the Flood to Darius, he relied on the authoritative work of Manetho as transmitted by Africanus and Eusebius, and as handed down in a corrupt form in the Old Chronicle (App. III.) and the Book of Sóthis (App. IV.) which had been used by the chronographer Panodôrus (c. A.D. 400).

Even from the above brief statement of the transmission of Manetho's text, it will be seen that many problems are involved, and that it is extremely difficult to reach certainty in regard to what is authentic Manetho and what is spurious or corrupt. The problems are discussed in detail by Richard Laqueur in his valuable and exhaustive article in Pauly-Wissowa-Kroll, R.-E. s.v. Mancthon; and it may be sufficient here to quote his summary of the results of his researches in regard to Manetho (1) in Joscphus, and (2) in the Christian Chronographers.
(1) Manetho in Josephus, Contra Apionem, i. (see Fr. $42,50,54$.
" (a) Extracts from the genuine Manetho appear in $\S \S 75-82,81-90,94-102 a, 232-249,251$. Of these
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passages, §§ 75-82, 94-102a, 237-249 are quoted verbatim, the others are given in Indirect Speech.
" (b) A rationalistic critique of the genuine Manetho was written by a Mellenist, and was used by Josephus for his work. The remains of this critique appear in $\S \S 254-261,267-269,271-274,276$ 277. Perhaps $\S 102 b-103$ is connected with these.
"(c) The authoritative work of Manetho was further exploited by Jews and Egyptians in their mutual polemic, in the course of which additions to Manetho's works were made : these additions were partly favourable to the Jews ( $\$ \S 83,91$ ), partly hostile to the Jews ( $\$ 250$ ). These passages, like those mentioned in (b), were collected before the time of Josephus into a single treatise, so that one could no longer elearly recognize what had belonged to Manetho and what was based upon additions.
" (d) Josephus originally knew only the genuine Manetho (cf. (a)), and used him throughout as a witness against the aggressors of Judaism. In this it was of importance for Josephus to show that the Hyksôs had come to Egypt from abroad, that their expulsion took place long before the beginning of Greek history, and that they, in their expedition to aid the Lepers, remained untainted by them.
" (e) After Josephus had completed this elaboration, he came later to know the material mentioned in (b) and (c): so far as it was favourable to the Jews or helpful in interpretation, it led only to short expansions of the older presentation ; so far, however, as it was hostile to the Jews, Josephas found himself induced to make a radical change in his attitude towards Manetho. He attacked Manetho xviii
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sharply for his alleged statement (§ 250 ), and at the same time used the polemic mentioned in (b) in order to overthrow Manetho's authority in general.
" $(f)$ From the facts adduced it follows that Manetho's work was already before the time of Josephus the object of numerous literary analyses." ${ }^{1}$ $C f$. the following summary.
(2) Manetho in the Christian Chronographers.
" (a) Not long after the appearance of Manetho's work, an Epitome was made, giving excerpts from the Dynasty-Lists and increasing these from 30 to 31. The possibility that other additions were made is not excluded.
" (b) The Epitome was remodelled by a Hellenistic Jew in such a way that the Jewish chronology became compatible with that of Manetho.
" (c) A descendant of version (a) is extant in Julius Africanus: a descendant of version (b), in Euschius."

The Chronicle of Africanus in five books is lost except for what is preserved in the extracts made by Euscbius, and the many fragments contained in the works of Syncellus and Cedrenus, and in the Paschale Chronicon. For Eusebius we have several lines of transmission. The Greek text of Eusebius has come down to us in part, as quoted by Syncellus; but the whole work is known through (1) the Armenian Version, which was composed in v./A.D. ${ }^{2}$
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from a revision of the first Greck text, ${ }^{1}$ and is, of course, quite independent of Syncellus; and (2) the Latin Version made by Jerome towards the end of the fourth century.

## Possible Sources of the Aizvitıaкá.

An Egyptian high priest, learned in Greek literature, had an unrivalled opportunity, in carly Ptolemaic times, of writing an cxcellent and accurate history of Egypt. He had open aecess to records of all kinds-papyri ${ }^{2}$ in the temple archives (annals, sacred books containing liturgies and poems), hicroglyphic tablcts, wall sculptures, and innumerable inscriptions. ${ }^{3}$ These records no one but an Egyptian priest could consult and read; and only a scholar who had assimilated the works of Greck historians could make a judicious and scientific use of the abundant material. It is hardly to be expected,

1065 and 1306. Karst quotes readings from this and two other Armenian MSS., but the variations are comparatively unimportant.
${ }^{1}$ See A. Puoch, Hist. de la Litt. grecque chrétienne, iii. p. 177.
${ }^{2}$ Herodotus (ii. 100: $c f$. 142) mentions a papyrus roll ( $\beta$ v́ $\beta$ خos) containing a list of 331 kings. Diodorus (i. 44, 4) tells of "records (avajpaфai') handed down in the sacred books" ( '̇v rais iepais $\beta i \beta \lambda o \iota s$ ), giving each king's stature, character, and deeds, as well as the length of his reign.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{Cf}$. the Annals of the Reign of Tuthmôsis III. (Breasted, Ancient Records, ii. §§ 391-540) : this important historical document of 223 lines is inscribed on the walls of a corridor in the Temple of Amon at Karnak, and "demonstrates the injustice of the criticism that the Egyptians were incapable of giving a clear and succinct account of a military campaign ".
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however, that Manetho's History should possess more worth than that of his sources; and the material at his disposal included a certain proportion of unhistorical traditions and popular legends. ${ }^{1}$

There is no possibility of identifying the particular records from which Manetho compiled his History : the following are the kinds of monuments which he may have consulted and from which we derive a means of controlling his statements.
(I) The Royal List of Abydos, on the wall of a corridor of the Temple of Sethôs I. at Abydos, gives in chronological order a series of seventy-six kings from Mênês to Sethôs I. Dynasties XIII. to XVII. are lacking. A mutilated duplicate of this list was found in the Temple of Ramessês II. at Abydos (now in the British Museum: see Guide, p. 245): it arranges the kings in three rows, while the more complete list has them in two rows.
(2) The Royal List of Karnak (now in the Louvre) has a list of kings, originally sixty-one, from Mênês down to Tuthmôsis III., Dynasty XVIII., with many names belonging to the Second Intermediate Period (Dynasties XIII.-XVII.).

The Royal Lists of Abydos and Karnak give the tradition of Upper Egypt.
(3) The Royal List of Sakkâra (found in a tomb at Sakkâra, and now in the Cairo Museum) preserves the cartouches of forty-seven (originally fifty-eight) kings previous to, and including, Ramessês II. It begins with Miebis, the sixth king of Dynasty I.; and like

[^5]the Royal List of Abydos, it omits Dynasties XIII.XVII. Like (4) the Turin Papyrus, the Royal List of Sakkîra gives the tradition of Lower Egypt.
(4) More important than any of the preceding is the Turin Papyrus, written in hieratic on the verso of the papyrus, with accounts of the time of Ramessês II. on the recto (which gives the approximate date, $c .1200$ b.c.). In its original state the papyrus must have been an artistically beautiful exemplar, as the script is an exceptionally fine one. It contains the names of kings in order, over 300 when complete, with the length of each reign in years, months, and days; and as the definitive edition of the papyrus has not yet been issued, further study is expected to yield additional results. ${ }^{1}$ The papyrus begins, like Manetho, with the dynasties of gods, followed by mortal kings also in dynasties. The change of dynasty is noted, and the sum of the reigns is given : also, as in Manetho, several dynasties are added together, e.g. "Sum of the Kings from Mênês to [Unas] " at the end of Dynasty V. The arrangement in the papyrus is very similar to that in the Epitome of Manctho.
(5) The Palermo Stone ${ }^{2}$ takes us back to a much greater antiquity: it dates from the Fifth Dynasty, c. 2600 b.c., and therefore contains Old Egyptian annals of the kings. The Stone or Stele was origin-
${ }^{1}$ See Sir J. G. Wilkinson, Fragments of the Hieratic Papyrus at Turin, London, 185l : E. Meyer, Aeg. Chron. pp. $105 \mathrm{ff} .$, and Die Ältere Chronologie Babyloniens, Assyriens, und Agyptens, revised by Stier (1931), pp. 55 ff .
${ }^{2}$ Plate II. See H. Schäfer, Abhandl. Akad. Berl. 1902 : Breasted, Ancient Records, i. §§ 76-167: Sethe, Urkunden des Alten Ruichs, pp. 235-249; and cf. Petrio, The Making of Egypt, 1939, pp. 98 f.
xxii
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ally a large slab ${ }^{1}$ of black diorite, about 7 feet long and over 2 feet high; but only a fragment of the middle of the slab is preserved in the Museum of Palermo, while smaller picees of this, or of a similar monument, have been identified in the Cairo Museum and in University College, London. Although the text is unfortunately fragmentary, this early document is clearly seen to be more closely related to the genuine Manetho than are the Kings' Lists of later date (1, 2, 3, 4 above). ${ }^{2}$ In a space marked off on each side by a year-sign and therefore denoting one year, notable events are given in an upper section of the space and records of the Nile-levels in a lower. A change of reign is denoted by a vertical line prolonging the year-sign above, on each side of which a certain number of months and days is recorded-on one side those belonging to the deceased king. and on the other to his successor. In the earliest Dynasties the years were not numbered, but were named after some important event or events, e.g. " the ycar of the smiting of the 'Inu,", "the year of the sixth time of numbering". Religious and military events were particularly common, just as they are in Manctho. A year-name of King Suefru (Dynasty [V.) states that he conquered the Nehesi, and captured 7000 prisoners and 200,000 head of cattle : cf. Manetho, Fr. 7, on the foreign expedition of Mênês. So, too, under
${ }^{1}$ More plausibly, according to Petrio (The Mahing of Egypt, 1939, p. 38), the text of the annals was divided among six slabs each 16 inches wide, both sides being equally visible.
${ }^{2}$ Borchardt, in Die Anmalen (1917), quoted in Ancient Egypt, 1920, p. 12t, says, "Manetho had really good sources, and his eopyists have not altogether spoiled him '".

## MANETHO

Shepseskaf, the last king of Dynasty IV., the building of a pyramid is recorded, and under Dynasties I., IV., and VI. Manetho makes mention of pyramid-building. It is especially noteworthy that the first line of the Palermo Stone gives a list of kings before Mênês: cf. the Turin Papyrus, as quoted on Fr. 1. (For the Cairo fragments see Sethe, op. cit.)

In regard to Manetho's relation to his Greek predecessors in the field of Egyptian history, we know that he criticized Herodotus, not, as far as we can tell, in a separate work, but merely in passages of his History. In none of the extant fragments does Manetho mention by name Hecataeus of Abdera, but it is interesting to speculate upon Manetho's relation to this Greek historian. The floruit of Hecataeus fell in the time of Alexander and Ptolemy son of Lagus (Gutschmid gives 320 b.c. as an approximate estimate); and it is very doubtful whether he lived to see the reign of Philadelphus, who came to the throne in 285 b.c. (Jacoby in R.-E. vii. 2, 2750). His Aegyptiaca was " a philosophical romance," describing " an ethnographical Utopia ": it was no history of Egypt, but a work with a philosophical tendency. Manetho and Hecataeus are quoted together, e.g. by Plutarch, Isis and Osiris, chap. 9, perhaps from an intermediary writer who used the works of both Manetho and Hecataeus. If we assume that Hecataeus wrote his "romance" before Manetho composed his History, perhaps one of the purposes of Manetho was to correct the errors of his predecessor. No xxiv
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criticism of Hecataeus, however, has been attributed to Manetho; and it is natural that similarities are found in their accounts ( $c f . \mathrm{p} .131$, n. 2). Be that as it may, Heeataeus enjoyed grcater popularity among the Greeks than Manetho: they preferred his "romance" to Manetho's more reliable annals. Yet Manetho's Aegyptiaca has no claim to be regarded as a critical history: its value lies in the dynastic skeletons which serve as a framework for the evidence of the monuments. and it has provided in its essentials the accepted scheme of Egyptian chronology. ${ }^{1}$ But there were many errors in Manetho's work from the very beginning: all are not due to the perversions of scribes and revisers. Many of the lengths of reigns have been found impossible: in some cases the names and the sequence of kings as given by Manctho have proved untenable in the light of monumental evidence. If one may depend upon the extracts preserved in Josephus, Manetho's work was not an authentic history of Egypt, exaet in its details, as the Chaldaïca of Bêrôssos was, at least for later times. Manetho introduced into an already corrupted series of dynastic lists a number of popular traditions written

[^6]
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in the characteristic Egyptian style. No genuine historical sense had been developed among the Egyptians, although Manetho's work does illustrate the influcnce of Greek culture upon an Egyptian priest. He wrote to correct the errors of Greek historians, especially of Herodotus (see Fr. 88); but from the paucity of information about certain periods, it scems clear that in ancicut times, as for us at the present day, there were obscure eras in Egyptian history. ${ }^{1}$ Before the Saïte Dynasty (XXVI.) there were three outstanding periods-in Dynasties IV.-VI., XI.-XII., and XVIII.-XX., or roughly the Old Kingdom, the Middle Kingdom, and the New Kingdom (sometimes called the Empire); and these are the periods upon which the light falls in all histories.

The significance of Manetho's writings is that for the first time an Egyptian was seeking to instruct foreigners in the history and religion of his native land.

## Other Works attributed to Manetho.

To judge by the frequency of quotation, the religious treatises of Manetho were much more popular in Greek circles than the History of Egypt was; yet the fragments surviving from these works (Fr. 76-88) are so meagre that no distinct impression of their nature cau be gained. The Sacred Book (Fr. 76-81)

[^7]
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was doubtless a valuable exposition of the details of Egyptian religion, as well as of the mythological elements of Egyptian theology. It testifies to the importance of the part played by Manetho in support of Ptolemy Sôter's vigorous policy of religious syncretism. It scems probable that the Sacred Book was Manetho's main contribution in aid of this policy: it may have been the result of a definite commission by the king, in order to spread a knowledge of Egyptian religion among the Grceks. That an Egyptian priest should seek to instruct the Greek-speaking world of his time in the history of Egypt and in the religious beliefs of the Egyptians, including festivals, ancient rites and piety in general, and the preparation of kyphi, is not at all surprising; but it seems strange that Manetho should feel called upon, in the third century b.c., to compose an Epitome of Physical Doctrines (Fr. 82, 83) with the apparent object of familiarizing the Greeks with Egyptian science. One may conjecture that his special purpose was to give instruction to students of his own.

The Book of Sôthis (Appendix IV.).
The Book of Sôthis ${ }^{1}$ or The Sôthic Cycle is transmitted through Syncellus alone. In the opinion of Syncellus, this Sôthis-Book was dedicated by Manetho
${ }^{1}$ Sôthis is the Greek form of Sopdet, the Egyptian name for the Dog-star, Sirius, the heliacal rising of which was noted at an early date: on the great importance of the Sôthic period in Egyptian chronology, see Breasted, Ancient Records, i. $\& \delta_{5} 40$ ff., and H. R. Hall. Encyclopaedia Britannica ${ }^{11}$, s.v. Chronology. Cf. infra, Appendix IlI., p. 226, and Appondix IV., p. 234.
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to Ptolemy Philadelphus (see App. I.). The king wished to learn the future of the universe, and Manetho accordingly sent to him "sacred books" based upon inscriptions which had been written down by Thôth, the first Hermês, in hieratic seript, had been interpreted after the Flood by Agathodaemon, son of the second Hermês and father of Tat, and had been deposited in the sanctuaries of the temples of Egypt. The letter which purports to have accompanied the "sacred books" is undoubtedly a forgery; but the Sôthis-Book is significant for the textual transmission of Manetho. According to the LXX the Flood took place in Anno Mundi 2242 (see Frags. 2, 6 : App. III., p. 232). This date must close the prehistoric period in Egypt and in Chaldea : the 11,985 years of the Egyptian gods are therefore regarded as months and reduced to 969 years. Similarly, the 858 years of the demigods are treated as quarter-years or periods of three months, thus becoming $214 \frac{1}{2}$ years: total, $969+214 \frac{1}{2}=1183 \frac{1}{2}$ years (Fr. 2). In Chaldean prehistory, by fixing the saros at 3600 days, 120 saroi become 1183 years $6_{6}^{5}$ months. Accordingly, the beginning of Egyptian and Babylonian history is placed at $2242-1184$, or 1058 Anno Mundi : in that year (or in 1000, Fr. 2) falls the coming of the Egregori, who finally by their sins brought on the Flood. The Book of Sôthis begins with the reign of Mestraïm, Anno Mundi 2776 (App. IV., p. 234 : App. III., p. 232), i.e. 534 years after the Flood, and continues to the year 4986, which gives 2210 years of Egyptian rule-almost the same number as Manetho has in either Book I. or Book II. of his History of Egypt.
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Ann. Serv. Antiq. = Annales du Service des Antiquités de l'Egypte, Le Caire, 1900-
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## NOTE

The editor wishes to acknowledge with gratitude the valuable help ungrudgingly given to him in all Egyptological matters by Professor Percy E. Newberry (Liverpool and Cairo) and by Professor Battiscombe Gunn (Oxford); but neither of these Egyptologists must be held responsible for the final form in which their contributions appear, except where their names or initials are appended. Thanks are also due to Professor D. S. Margoliouth (Oxford), who very kindly revised the Latin translation of the Armenian Version of Eusebius, Chronica, by comparing it with the original Armenian as given in Aucher's edition: the footnotes show how much the text here printed has benefited from his revision.

In a work which brings before the mind's eye a long series of Kings of Egypt, the editor would have liked to refer interested readers to some book containing a collection of portraits of these kings; but it seems that, in spite of the convenience and interest which such a book would possess, no complete series of royal portraits has yet been published. ${ }^{1}$ For a certain number of portrait-sketches ( 25 in all), skilfully created from existing mummies and ancient representations, see Winifred Brunton, Kings and Queens of Ancient Egypt (1924), and Great Ones of Ancient Egypt (1929).

[^8]THE aEGYptiaca OF MANETHO: MANETHO'S HISTORY OF EGYPT

## А I ГҮПT T AK A

## TOMOL ПPSTOL

Fr. 1. Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), P. 93 (Mai).

Ex Aegyptiacis Manethonis monumentis, qui in tres libros historiam suam tribuit,-de diis et de heroibus, de manibus et de mortalibus regibus qui Aegypto praefuerunt usque ad regem Persarum Darium.

1. Primus homo (deus) Aegyptiis Vulcanus ${ }^{1}$ est, qui etiam ignis repertor apud eos celebratur. Ex eo Sol; [postea Sôsis ${ }^{2}$;] deinde Saturnus; tum
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Joannes Lydus, De Mensibus, iv. 86 (Wünsch). On Maius, after speaking of Hephaestus, Lydus adds:



 From this passage we see that Lydus gives the sequence "Hêphaestus, Hêlios (the Sun), Cronos, Osiris, Typhôn," omitting Sôsis as Eusebius does. After this passage in Lydus comes Fr. 84 'Iotéov $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$. . .
${ }^{2}$ From Joannes Antiochenus(Malalas), Chron., 24 (Migne, Patrologia, Vol. 97).

* Bracketed by Hopfner, Fontes Historiae Religionis, Bonn, 1922-3, p. 65.


## THE AEGYPTIACA OF MANETHO: MANETHO'S HISTORY OF EGYPT

## BOOK I.

Fr. 1 (from the Armenian Version of Eusebius, Chronica). Dynasties of Gods, Demigods, and Spirits of the Dead.

From the Egyptian History of Manetho, who composed his account in three books. These deal with the Gods, the Demigods, the Spirits of the Dead, and the mortal kings who ruled Egypt down to Darius, king of the Persians.

1. The first man (or god) in Egypt is Hephaestus, ${ }^{1}$ who is also renowned among the Egyptians as the discoverer of fire. His son, Helios (the Sun), was succeeded by Sôsis: then follow, in turn, Cronos,
${ }^{1}$ The Pre-dynastic Period begins with a group of gods, "consisting of the Great Ennead of Heliopolis in the form in which it was worshipped at Memphis" (T. E. Peet, Cambridge Ancient History, i. p. 250). After summarizing §§ 1-3 Peet adds: "From the historical point of viow there is littlo to be made of this". See Meyer, Geschichte des Altertums ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 102 f. for the Egyptian traditions of the Pre-dynastic Period. In the Turin Papyrus the Gods are given in the same order: (Ptah), Rê, (Shu), Geb, Osiris, Sêth (200 years), Horus (300 years), Thoth (3126 years), Ma‘at, Har, . . . Total . . . . See Meyer, Aeg. Chron. p. 116, and cf. Fr. 3.

Osiris; exin Osiridis frater Typhon; ad extremum Orus, Osiridis et Isidis filius. Hi primi inter Aegyptios rerum potiti sunt. Deinceps continuata successione delapsa est regia auctoritas usque ad Bydin (Bitem) per annorum tredecim milia ac nongentos. Lunarem tamen annum intelligo, videlicet xxx diebus constantem: quem enim nunc mensem dicimus, Aegyptii olim anni nomine indigitabant.
2. Post deos regnarunt heroes annis MCCLV : rursusque alii reges dominati sunt annis MDCCCXVII : tum alii triginta reges Memphitae annis MDCCXC: deinde alii Thinitae decem reges annis CCCL.
3. Secuta est manium heroumque dominatio annis MMMMMDCCCXIII.
4. Summa temporis in mille et myriadem ${ }^{1}$ consurgit annorum, qui tamen lunares, nempe menstrui,

## ${ }^{1}$ Müller : mille myriadas Mai.

[^9]Osiris, Typhon, brother of Osiris, and lastly Orus, son of Osiris and Isis. These were the first to hold sway in Egypt. Thereafter, the kingship passed from one to another in unbroken succession down to Bydis (Bites) ${ }^{1}$ through 13,900 years. The year I take, however, to be a lunar one, consisting, that is, of 30 days: what we now call a month the Egyptians used formerly to style a year. ${ }^{2}$
2. After the Gods, Demigods reigned for 1255 years, ${ }^{3}$ and again another line of kings held sway for 1817 years: then came thirty more kings of Memphis, ${ }^{4}$ reigning for 1790 years; and then again ten kings of This, reigning for 350 years.
3. There followed the rule of Spirits of the Dead and Demigods, ${ }^{5}$ for 5813 years.
4. The total [of the last five groups] amounts to 11,000 years, ${ }^{6}$ these however being lunar periods, or

[^10]sunt. Sed revera dominatio, quam narrant Aegyptii, deorum, heroum, et manium tenuisse putatur lunarium annorum omnino viginti quattuor milia et nongentos, ${ }^{1}$ ex quibus fiunt solares anni MMCCVI.
5. Atque haee si cum Hebraeorum chronologia conferre volueris, in eandem plane sententiam conspirare videbis. Namque Aegyptus ab Hebraeis Mestraïmus appellatur: Mestraimus autem 〈haud ${ }^{2}$ 〉 multo post diluvium tempore exstitit. Quippe ex Chamo, Noachi filio, post diluvium ortus est Aegyptus sive Mestraïmus, qui primus ad Aegypti incolatum profectus est, qua tempestate gentes hac illac spargi coeperunt. Erat autem summa temporis ab Adamo ad diluvium secundum Hebraeos annorum MMCCXLII.
6. Ceterum ${ }^{3}$ quum Aegyptii praerogativa antiquitatis quadam seriem ante diluvium tenere se iactent Deorum, Heroum, et Manium annorum plns viginti milia regnantium, plane aequum est ut hi anni in

[^11]months. But, in truth, the whole rule of which the Egyptians tell - the rule of Gods, Demigods, and Spirits of the Dead-is reckoned to have comprised in all 24,900 lunar years, which make $2206^{1}$ solar years.
5. Now, if you care to compare these figures with Hebrew chronology, you will find that they are in perfect harmony. Egypt is called Mestraïm ${ }^{2}$ by the Hebrews; and Mestraïm lived <not> long after the Flood. For after the Flood, Cham (or Ham), son of Noah, begat Aegyptus or Mestraïm, who was the first to set out to establish himself in Egypt, at the time when the tribes began to disperse this way and that. Now the whole time from Adam to the Flood was, according to the Hebrews, 2242 years.
6. But, since the Egyptians claim by a sort of prerogative of antiquity that they have, before the Flood, a line of Gods, Demigods, and Spirits of the Dead, who reigned for more than 20,000 years, it clearly follows that these years should be reckoned
${ }^{1}$ Boeckh, Manetho und die Hundssternperiode, p. 85, corrects this to 2046.
${ }^{2}$ Mestraïm : the Mizraïm of O.T. Genesis x. 6 : Arabic Misrun, Cuneiform Musri, Misri (Egypt). Mizraïm is a dual name-form, perhaps to be explained in reference to the two great native divisions of Egypt, Upper and Lower.
months the years recorded by the Hebrews, they reckon 20,000 lunar years and even more than that number, so that it comes to practically as many months as the years of Hebrew chronology, i.e. reckoning our times* from the creation of man to Mezraïm.")

* Karst emends this to "Biblical times".
menses tot convertantur quot ab Hebraeis memorantur anni: nempe ut qui menses continentur in memoratis apud Hebraeos annis, ii totidem intelligantur Aegyptiorum lunares anni, pro ea temporum summa, quae a primo condito homine ad Mestraimum usque colligitur. Sane Mestraïmus generis Aegyptiaci auctor fuit, ab eoque prima Aegyptiorum dynastia manare credenda est.

7. Quodsi temporum copia adhuc exuberet, reputandum est plures fortasse Aegyptiorum reges una eademque aetate exstitisse; namque et Thinitas regnavisse aiunt et Memphitas et Saitas et Aethiopes eodemque tempore alios. ${ }^{1}$ Videntur praeterea alii quoque alibi imperium tenuisse: atque hae dynastiae suo quaeque in nomo ${ }^{2}$ semet continuisse: ita ut haud singuli reges successivam potestatem acceperint, sed alius alio loco eadem aetate regnaverit. Atque hinc contigit, ut tantus numerus annorum confieret. Nos vero, his omissis, persequamur singillatim Aegyptiorum chronologiam.

> (Continued in Fr. 7(b).)
> ${ }^{1}$ Petermann renders: ac interim (iuxta eosdem) alios quoque, " and others too, besides these".
> ${ }^{2}$ The Armenian version here confuses vó $\mu o s$ "law " and vouós " nome": the Latin translation corrects this blunder.

[^12]as the same number of months as the years recorded by the Hebrews: that is, that all the months contained in the Hebrew record of years, should be reckoned as so many lunar years of the Egyptian calculation, in accordance with the total length of time reckoned from the creation of man in the beginning down to Mestraïm. Mestraïm was indeed the founder of the Egyptian race; and from him the first Egyptian dynasty must be held to spring.
7. But if the number of years is still in excess, it must be supposed that perhaps several Egyptian kings ruled at one and the same time; for they say that the rulers were kings of This, of Memphis, of Sais, of Ethiopia, and of other places at the same time. It seems, moreover, that different kings held sway in different regions, and that each dynasty was confined to its own nome: thus it was not a succession of kings occupying the throne one after the other, but several kings reigning at the same time in different regions. ${ }^{1}$ Hence arose the great total number of years. But let us leave this question and take up in detail the chronology of Egyptian history.

## (Continued in Fr. 7(b).)

p. 39) says: "The Manethonian Dynasties are not lists of rulers over all Egypt, but lists partly of more or less independent princes, partly of princely lines from whieh later sprang rulers over all Egypt. (Cff. the Scottish Stuarts, or the Electors of Hanover.) Some were mere Mayors of the Palaee or princelets maintaining a precarious independence, or even more subordinato Governors of nomes, from whom, however, descended subsequent monarchs. (C'f. the Heptarchy in Eugland.)"

## Fr. 2. Syncellus, p. 73.
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[^13]Fr. 2

## Fr. 2 (from Syncellus).

Thereafter ${ }^{1}$ Manetho tells also of five Egyptian tribes which formed thirty dynasties, comprising those whom they call Gods, Demigods, Spirits of the Dead, and mortal men. Of these Eusebius, " son" of Pamphilus, gives the following account in his Chronica: "Concerning Gods, Demigods, Spirits of the Dead, and mortal kings, the Egyptians have a long series of foolish myths. The most ancient Egyptian kings, indeed, alleged that their years were lunar years consisting of thirty days, whereas the Demigods who succeeded them gave the name hôroi to years which were three months long." So Eusebius wrote with good reason, criticizing the Egyptians for their foolish talk ; and in my opinion Panodôrus ${ }^{2}$ is wrong in finding fault with Eusebius here, on the ground that Eusebius failed to explain the meaning of the historians, while Panodôrus thinks he himself succeeds by a somewhat novel method, as follows :
" From the creation of Adam, indeed, down to Enoch, i.e. to the general cosmic year 1282, the number of days was known in neither month nor year ; but the Egregori (or ' Watchers '), ${ }^{3}$ who had
${ }_{2}^{1}$ This passage follows after Appendix I., p. 210.
${ }^{2}$ Panodôrus (fl. c. 395.408 A.d.) and his contemporary Annianus were Egyptian monks who wrote on Chronology with the purpose of harmonizing Chaldean and Egyptian systems with that of the Jews. Panodorus used (and perhaps composed) the Book of Sôthis (App. IV.).
${ }^{3}$ 'Eypr' $\gamma o p o$, " Watchers, Angels "'-in Enoch, 179, of the angels who fell in love with the daughters of men. The Greek word 'Eyp ${ }^{\prime} \gamma o \rho o t$ is a mispronunciation of the Aramaic word used in Enoch, 179.
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${ }^{1}$ MSS. ${ }^{2} \tau \tau \eta$ alone: $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \mathrm{m}$.
 Scaliger.
${ }^{3}, a \rho \pi \gamma^{\prime}$ m. : , a $\rho v \gamma^{\prime}$ MSS.
descended to earth in the general cosmic year 1000 , held converse with men, and taught them that the orbits of the two luminaries, being marked by the twelve signs of the Zodiac, are composed of 360 parts. Observing the moon's orbit which is nearer the earth, smaller, and more conspicuous, as it has a period of thirty days, men decided that it should be reckoned as a year, since the orbit of the sun also was filled by the same twelve signs of the Zodiac with an equal number of parts, 360 . So it came to pass that the reigns of the Gods who ruled among them for six generations in six dynasties were reekoned in years each consisting of a lunar cycle of thirty days. The total in lunar years is 11,985 , or 969 solar years. By adding these to the $1058^{1}$ solar years of the period before their reign, they reach the sum total of 2027 years." Similarly, in the two dynasties of nine Demigods,-these being regarded as real, although they never existed,-Panodôrus strives to make up $214 \frac{1}{2}$ years out of 858 hóroi (periods of three months) or tropoi, so that with the 969 years they make, he says, $1183 \frac{1}{2}$, and these, when added to the 1058 years from the time of Adam to the reign of the Gods, complete a total of 2242 years down to the Flood.

Thus Panodor rus exerts himself to show that the Egyptian writings against God and against our divinely inspired Scriptures are really in agreement with them. In this he criticizes Eusebius, not understanding that these arguments of his, which are incapable of proof or of reasoning, have been proved

[^14]Fr. 2, 3





Fr. 3. Syncellus, p. 32.
















## При́тך $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ Aiүvлтi$i \omega \nu$.

$a^{\prime} \quad \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu^{\prime \prime} H \phi a \iota \sigma \tau о s$ єँ $\tau \eta \psi \kappa \zeta^{\prime} \cup \delta^{\prime} .{ }^{3}$
$\beta^{\prime} \quad$ "H入ıos 'H申aíवтov, єัт $\tau \eta \pi^{\prime} s^{\prime}$.

${ }^{1}$ MS. A $\zeta$ '.
${ }^{2}$ MS. A has $\pi \rho \omega ́ t \eta ~ \delta u v a \sigma t \epsilon i ́ a ~ a f t e r ~ " H \phi a l o t o s . ~$
${ }^{3}$ Müller : MSS. $\psi \kappa \delta^{\prime} \cup \delta^{\prime}\left(724 \frac{3}{z}\right)$.
against himself and against truth, since indeed neither Babylon nor Chaldea was ruled by kings before the Flood, nor was Egypt before Mestrem, and in my opinion it was not even inhabited before that time. . . .

## Fr. 3 (from Syncellus).

On the Antiquity of Egypt.
Manetho of Sebennytus, chief priest of the accursed temples of Egypt, who lived later than Bêrôssos in the time of Ptolemy Philadelphus, writes to this Ptolemy, with the same utterance of lies as Betrôssos, concerning six dynasties or six gods who never existed: these, he says, reigned for 11,985 years. The first of them, the god Hêphaestus, was king for 9000 years. Now some of our historians, reckoning these 9000 years as so many lunar months, and dividing the number of days in these 9000 Iunar months by the 365 days in a year, find a total of $727 \frac{3}{4}$ years. They imagine that they have attained a striking result, but one must rather say that it is a ludicrous falsehood which they have tried to pit against Truth.

## The First Dynasty of Egypt.

1. Hêphaestus reigned for $727 \frac{3}{4}$ years.
2. Hêlios (the Sun), son of Hêphaestus, for $80 \frac{1}{6}$ years.
3. Agathodaemôn, for $56 \frac{7}{12}$ years.
```
\(\delta^{\prime} K\) Kóvos, \(ฺ\) є̈т \(\mu^{\prime} \cup\).
    \(\epsilon^{\prime} \quad\) "Oбıрьs каi \({ }^{\top} I \sigma \iota s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon^{\prime}\).
\(\varsigma^{\prime} T u ́ \phi \omega \nu, \epsilon ̈ \tau \eta \kappa \theta^{\prime}\).
```



```
\(\eta^{\prime} \quad\) " \(A \rho \eta s\) 市 \(\mu \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa \gamma\) '.
```







```
\(\iota^{\prime} \quad \sum \hat{\omega} \sigma o s \dot{\eta} \mu i \theta \epsilon o s\), ё \(\tau \eta \lambda \beta^{\prime}\).
```



Fr. 4. Excerpta Latina Barbari (Schöne, p. 215).
Egyptiorum regnum invenimus vetustissimum omnium regnorum: cuius initium sub Manethono ${ }^{1}$ dicitur memoramus scribere. Primum ${ }^{2}$ deorum qui ab ipsis scribuntur faciam regna sic:

Ifestum [i.e. Hephacstum] dicunt quidam deum regnare in Aegypto annos sexcentos LXXX: post hunc Solem Iphesti annos LXXVII: post istum
${ }^{1}$ и́тò Mavé $\theta \omega \nu$ os Scaliger.
${ }^{2}$ Frick (Chronica Minora, i., 1893, p. 286) restores the original Greek as follows: $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o v$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho$ av่roîs


${ }^{1}$ Total, 969 years.
${ }^{2}$ Total, 214 years. Total for Gods and Demigods, 1183 years. See Fr. 2.
4. Cronos, for $40 \frac{1}{2}$ years.
5. Osiris and Isis, for 35 years.
6. Typhôn, for 29 years. ${ }^{1}$

## Demigods :

7. Ôrus, for 25 years.
8. Arês, for 23 years.
9. Anubis, for 17 years.
10. Hêraclês, for 15 years.
11. Apollô, for 25 years.
12. Ammôn, for 30 years.
13. Tithoês,* for 27 years.
14. Sôsus, for 32 years.
15. Zeus, for 20 years. ${ }^{2}$

Fr. $4^{3}$ (from Excerpta Latina Barbari).
In the kingdom of Egypt we have the oldest of all kingdoms, and we are minded to record its beginning, as it is given by Manetho. First, I shall put down as follows the reigns of the Gods, as recorded by the Egyptians. Some say that the god Hêphaestus reigned in Egypt for 680 years : after him, Sol [Hêlios, the Sun], son of Hêphaestus, for 77
${ }^{3}$ This extraet made by an anonymous and ignorant seribe depends ehiefly upon Africanus. See Weill, La fin $d u$ moyen empire égyptien, pp. 640,642 f., 655 f . Gelzer and Bauer have inferred that the Greek aceount translated by Barbarus was either the work of the Egyptian monk Annianus (see Fr. 2, p. $11 \mathrm{n} .{ }^{2}$ ) or at least a source derived from him (Laqueur, R.-E. xiv. 1, 1081).

* For the divinity Tithoês in two inscriptions of Coptos, see O. Guéraud in Ann. Serv. Antiq., 35 (1935), pp. 5 i.

Sosinosirim ${ }^{1}$ annos CCCXX: post hunc Oron ptoliarchum annos XXVIII: post hunc Tyfona annos XLV. ${ }^{2}$ Colliguntur deorum regna anni mille DL.

Deinceps Mitheorum ${ }^{3}$ regna sic:
Prota ${ }^{4}$ Anube S[amusim, qui etiam Aegyptiorum scripturas conposuit] annos LXXXIII.
[Post hunc Apiona grammaticus qui secundum Inachum interpraetatur annos LXVII quem sub Argios initio regnaverunt.]
${ }^{1}$ Corrected by the first hand from Sisinosirim: Sosin, Osirim Scaliger. Barbarus probably intended : post istum Sosin, post hunc Osirim. Cf. Cedren., i. p. 36, 2: каi $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$

${ }^{2}$ After XLV the digit I or II seems to have been erased.



 $\xi \zeta^{\prime}$.


${ }^{4} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$. Along with the reign of the demigod Anubis, Barbarus has preserved a note by Africanus referring to Amôsis: see Fr. 52. This note was, for some reason, transferred from its original place between Potestas XVI. and XVII. See Unger, Manetho, pp. 163 f . This mangled sentence, as interpreted by Unger, Gelzer, and Frick, attests the value of the tradition preserved by Barbarus.
${ }^{1}$ The actual total of the items given is 1150 years.
${ }^{2}$ The translation follows the restored Greek original : see note 3 on the text.
years: next, Sosinosiris [Sôsis and Osiris], for 320 years: then Orus the Ruler, for 28 years; and after him, Typhon, for 45 years. Total for the reigns of the Gods, 1550 years. ${ }^{1}$

Next come the reigns of the Demigods, as follows: first, Anubes ${ }^{2}$ for 83 years; then after him, Amusis, some say, was king. About him, Apiôn the grammarian, ${ }^{3}$ who composed a history of Egypt, explained that he lived in the time of Inachus ${ }^{4}$ who was king at the fourding of Argos . . . for 67 years. ${ }^{5}$

[^15]I. Post hee ${ }^{1}$ Eeyniorum ${ }^{2}$ reges interpraetavit, Imitheus ${ }^{2}$ vocans et ipsos ${ }^{3}$. . . annos duo milia C , fortissimos vocans.
II. Mineus et pronepotes ipsius VII regnaverunt annos CCLIII. ${ }^{4}$
III. Bochus et aliorum octo annos CCCII.
IV. Necherocheus et aliorum VII annos CCXIV.
V. Similiter aliorum XVII annos CCLXXVII.
VI. Similiter aliorum XXI annos CCLVIII.
VII. Othoi et aliorum VII annos CCIII.
VIII. Similiter et aliorum XIV annos CXL.
IX. Similiter et aliorum XX annos CCCCIX.
X. Similiter et aliorum VII annos CCIV.
$\mathrm{Hec}^{5}$ finis de primo tomo Manethoni habens tempora annorum duo milia C .
XI. Potestas Diopolitanorum annos LX.
XII. Potestas Bubastanorum annos CLIII.
${ }^{1}$ For haec.
${ }^{2}$ These words are perversions of $N \epsilon \kappa v \dot{v} \omega \nu$ and ' $H \mu \theta \theta$ '́ovs respectively: see p. 18 n. 3.
${ }^{3}$ In the lacuna here, there would be an account of the mortal kings to whom the number $2100(2300)$ belongs.
${ }^{4} C f$. Fr. 6, Dynasty I. ${ }^{5}$ For haec.
${ }^{1}$ The totals given by Barbarus are generally those of Africanus. Barbarus omits Manetho's Dynasty VII.; and Potestas X. is explained by Gelzer (Sextus Julius Africanus, p. 199) as being Manetho's X. + XI. + Ammenemes ( 16 years) $=244$ years. Total, 2300.
${ }^{2}$ The actual total of the items given is 2260 years.
${ }^{3}$ Potestas XI. is Manetho's Dynasty XII. Barbarus therefore gives Dynasties XII.-XVIII. : the totals (corrected by Meyer, Aeg. Chron. 99, n. 2) are-XII. 160, XIII. 453, XIV. 184, XV. 284, XVI. 518, XVII. 151,
I. Thereafter he [Manetho] gave an account of the kings who were Spirits of the Dead, calling them also Demigods, . . . who reigned for 2100 years: he called them " very brave" (Herocs).
II. Mineus and seven of his descendants reigned for 253 years. ${ }^{1}$
III. Bochus and eight other kings reigned for 302 years.
IV. Necherocheus and seven other kings for 214 years.
V. Similarly seventeen other kings for 277 years.
VI. Similarly twenty-one other kings for 258 years.
VII. Othoi and seven other kings for 203 years.
VIII. Similarly fourteen other kings for 140 years.
IX. Similarly twenty other kings for 409 years. X. Similarly seven other kings for 204 years.

Here ends the First Book of Manetho, which contains a period of 2100 years. ${ }^{2}$
XI. ${ }^{3}$ A dynasty of kings of Diospolis, for 60 years. XII. A dynasty of kings of Bubastus, for 153 years.
XVIII. 262 (+ XIX. 209). Sum total for Book II. 2221 years: cf. Fr. 55 Africanus, 56 Eus. (Arm.), 2121 years.
The names of Potestates XII.-XVII., or Dynasties XIII.-XVIII., come from some other source than Manetho : the Tanites of Potestas XIII. or Dynasty XIV. appear to correspond with the Hyksôs, just as in the Book of Sothis (App. IV.); while others may be local dynasties of the Hyksôs age. The kings of Hermupolis (Potestas XVII.) apparently denote the kings of the Eighteenth Dynasty, whose names indicate the cult of the Moon-deities 'Ioh and Thôth of Hermupolis (Meyer, Gesch. ${ }^{5}$ I. ii. p. 326).

Fr. 4, 5
XIII. Potestas Tanitorum annos CLXXXIV.
XIV. Potestas Sebennitorum annos CCXXIV.
XV. Potestas Memfitorum annos CCCXVIII.
XVI. Potestas Iliopolitorum annos CCXXI. XVII. Potestas Ermupolitorum annos CCLX.

Usque ad septimam decimam potestatem secundum scribitur tomum, ${ }^{1}$ ut docet numerum habentem annos mille quingentos XX. Haec sunt potestates Aegyptiorum.

Fr. 5. Malalas, Chronographia, p. 25 (Migne, Patrologia Graeca, Vol. 97).

 $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ av̉rov̂ $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{s} s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \nu v \mu i a s \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$. Tò $\nu$







${ }^{1}$ MS. totum. Frick restores the original Greek as


${ }^{1}$ The actual total of the items given is 1420 years.
${ }^{2} 4407$ codd.
XIII. A dynasty of kings of Tanis, for 184 years.
XIV. A dynasty of kings of Sebennytus, for 224 years.
XV. A dynasty of kings of Memphis, for 318 years.
XVI. A dynasty of kings of Hêliopolis, for 221 years.
XVII. A dynasty of kings of Hermupolis, for 260 years.
The Second Book continues the record down to the Seventeenth Dynasty, and comprises 1520 years. ${ }^{1}$ These are the Egyptian dynasties.

## Fr. 5 (from the Chronicle of Malalas).

[After recording the reigns of Hêphaestus (1680 days), Hêlios (4477 ${ }^{2}$ days), Sôsis, Osiris, Hôrus, and Thulis, Malalas adds:]

These ancient reigns of early Egyptian kings are recorded by Manetho, and in his writings it is stated that the names of the five planets are given in other forms: Cronos [Saturn] they used to call the shining star; Zeus [Jupiter], the radiant star [Phaethôn]; Arês [Mars], the fiery star ; Aphroditê [Venus], the fairest; Hermês [Mercury], the glittering star. These names were later explained by the wise Sôtatês [? Sôtadês or Palaephatus ${ }^{3}$ ].

The first king of Egypt belonged to the tribe of Cham [Ham], Noah's son; he was Pharaôh, who was also called Narachô.
${ }^{3}$ Palaephatus of Egypt, or Athens, wrote on Egyptian theology and mythology, c. 200 b.c.,-more than seven centuries earlier than Malalas himself (c. A.D. 491-578).

ка入oú $\mu \epsilon v o s . T a ̀ ~ o u ̂ v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o v ~ \pi \alpha \lambda a ı a ̀ ~ \beta a \sigma i ̀ \lambda \epsilon \iota a ~$
 $\pi \rho о є і ́ \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.

Fr. 6. Syncellus, p. 99.
 $\delta v v a \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \nu^{1}$ oi $\chi \rho o ́ v o \iota ~ \epsilon ̈ \omega s ~ N \epsilon к \tau \alpha \nu \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} \quad \chi \rho \epsilon \iota \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$ є̀v $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \chi р о \nu เ к a ̀ s ~$

 $\pi \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega s$ ката́ $\tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} s$ aù $\frac{\omega}{\nu} \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \gamma о$ оías каi

















[^16]Now, the ancient reigns in Egypt before King Narachô were set forth by the wise Manetho, as has already been mentioned.

## Fr. 6 (from Syncellus).

Since a knowledge of the periods of the Egyptian dynasties from Mestraïm ${ }^{1}$ down to Nectanabo ${ }^{2}$ is on many occasions needful to those who occupy themselves with chronological investigations, and since the dynasties taken from Manctho's History are set forth by ecclesiastical historians with discrepancies in respect both to the names of the kings and the length of their reigns, and also as to who was king when Joscph was governor of Egypt, and in whose reign thereafter Moses,-he who saw God,led the Hebrews in their exodus from Egypt, I have judged it necessary to select two of the most famons recensions and to set them side by side-I mean the accounts of Africanus and of the later Eusebius, the so-called "son" of Pamphilus,--so that with proper application one may apprehend the opinion which approaches nearest to Scriptural truth. It must, above all, be strictly understood that Africanus increases by 20 years the period from Adam to the Flood, and instead of 2242 years he makes it out to be 2262 years, which appears to be incorrect. On the other hand, Eusehius keeps to the sound reckoning of 2242 years in agreement with Scripture. In regard to the period from the Flood down to Abraham and Moses, both have gone astray by 130
${ }^{1}$ See p. 7 n. 2.
${ }^{2}$ Nectanabo or Nectanebus, the last king of Dynasty XXX.




 $\pi \alpha \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau a$, каì $\epsilon \nu$ тоîs $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ Kaïvầ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́-$





## Kata Aфpikanon.

Пєрі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad[\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \tau \dot{o} \nu \quad \kappa а \tau а \kappa \lambda \nu \sigma \mu \dot{o} \nu]^{1}$



${ }^{1}$ Bracketed by Müller. ${ }^{2}$ Suvaateia Boeckh.
${ }^{1}$ Arphaxad, son of Shem: O.T. Genesis x. 22. "Arphaxad" is probably a Mesopotamian name (W. F. Albright, The Archaeology of Palestine and the Bible, 1932-3, p. 139).
${ }^{2}$ N.T. Luke iii. 36.
${ }^{2}$ Eusebius reckoned 2242 years from Adam to the Flood, and 942 years from the Flood to Abraham.

- Dynasties I. and II., the Thinites: c. 3200-c. 2780 в.c.

Note.-The dates which have been adopted throughout this book are those of Eduard Meyer, except where another authority is specified. Meyer's revised dates (as in Die Altere Chronologie . . ., 1931) may conveniently be found in G. Steindorff's chapter on Ancient History in Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, pp. ci. ff. In the Cambridge Ancient History, vol. i., H. R. Hall gives for the dynasties a series of dates 26
years belonging to the second Cainan, son of Arphaxad, ${ }^{1}$ even one generation, the thirteenth, from Adam, as it is recorded by the divine evangelist Luke. ${ }^{2}$ But Africanus, in the 20 years which he added between Adam and the Flood, anticipated this; and in the period of Cainnan and his successors, only 110 years remain. Hence, down to the first year of Abraham he reckoned 3202 years; but Eusebius, completely omitting those 130 years, gave 3184 years ${ }^{3}$ as far as Abraham's first year.

## Dynasty I.

## According to Africanus.

Here is the account which Africanus gives of the dynasties of Egypt [after the Flood].

1. In succession to the spirits of the Dead, the Demigods,-the first royal house ${ }^{4}$ numbers eight kings, the first of whom Mênês ${ }^{5}$ of
which differ from those of Breasted and the German School: he assigns earlier dates to the first twelve dynasties, e.g. Dynasty I. c. 3500 b.c. A. Scharff, on the other hand, dates the beginning of Dynasty I. c. 3000 b.c. (Journ. of Eg. Arch. xiv., 1928, pp. 275 f.).

Dynasty I. For the identifications of Manetho's kings with monumental and other evidence, see Meyer, Geschichte des Altertums ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 140: he identifies (1) Mênês, (2) Atoti I., II., III., (5) Usaphaïs, (6) Miebis.
(3) Kenkenês and (5) Usaphails are two names of the same king: see Newberry and Wainwright, "King Udymu (Den) and the Palermo Stone" in Ancient Egypt, 1914, p. 148 ff.
${ }^{5}$ On Mênês (c. 3200 в.c.) see P. E. Newberry in Winifred Brunton's Great Ones of Ancient Egypt, 1929 : Min in Herodotus, ii. 4.



 тонкаі́, ia $\tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \dot{a} \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$.
$\gamma^{\prime} K \epsilon \nu к \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ viós, "vt $\tau \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$.

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad K \omega \chi \omega \dot{\mu} \nu \nu$ グ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \pi v \rho \alpha \mu i \delta \alpha s$.
$\epsilon^{\prime} O \dot{v} \sigma a \phi \alpha i ̂ \delta o s$ viós, $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}$.
$5^{\prime}$ Mi
$\zeta^{\prime} \Sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon \mu \psi \eta s$ viós, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta$ ı $\eta^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}$ oui $\phi \theta 0 \rho \dot{\alpha}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta$ катє́ $\sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu A^{\prime \prime} \gamma v \pi \tau о \nu$.
$\left.\eta^{\prime} B \iota \eta \nu \in \chi \eta ̀\right\rangle$ viós, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa s^{\prime}$.
' $O \mu \circ \hat{v},{ }^{\epsilon} \tau \tau \eta \sigma \nu \gamma^{\prime}$.


${ }^{1}$ This (Ane. Egyptian Theny), near Girga, about 310 miles S. of Cairo (Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, p. 231), the capital of the nome of This, and the seat of the First and Second Dynasties. The cemetery of the First Dynasty kings was near Abydos: see Petrie, Royal Tombs, j. and ii., and Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, p. 260.
${ }^{2}$ For a representation of a king fighting with a hippopotamus, see a seal-impression in Petrie, Royal Tombs, II. vii. 6 ; and for a hippopotamus-hunt, see a year-name of Udymu, Schäfer, Palermo Stone, p. 20, No. 8.

With the whole story, $c f$. the miraculous deliverance of Mênas by a crocodile in Diodorus Siculus, i. 89.
${ }^{3}$ Building of palace at Memphis-by Min or Menes, Herodotus, ii. 99, Josephus, Ant. viii. 6, 2, 155 ; by his son Athôthis, says Manetho ; by Uchoreus, Did. i. 50.

This ${ }^{1}$ reigned for 62 years. He was carried off by a hippopotamus ${ }^{2}$ and perished.
2. Athôthis, his son, for 57 years. He built the palace at Memphis; ${ }^{3}$ and his anatomical works ${ }^{4}$ are extant, for he was a physician.
3. Kenkenês, his son, for 31 years.
4. Uenephês, his son, for 23 years. In his reign a great famine seized Egypt. He erected the pyramids near Kôchômê. ${ }^{5}$
5. Usaphaidos, ${ }^{6}$ his son, for 20 years.
6. Miebidos, ${ }^{6}$ his son, for 26 years.
7. Semempsês, his son, for 18 years. In his reign a very great calamity befell Egypt.
8. Biênechês, his son, for 26 years.

Total, 253 years. ${ }^{7}$
Eusebius also sets out the details of the First Dynasty in much the same way as Africanus.

[^17]Fr. 7 (a). Syncellus, p. 102. Kata Eysebion.
$\Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad[\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \text { то̀ } \nu \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda v \sigma \mu \dot{o} \nu]^{1}$ Aiरvaticu $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\dot{\omega}$ Evंáє $\beta \iota o s$.

Мєтà ขє́кvas каi тоùs $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \ell \theta$ є́ous $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu \delta \nu \nu a-$




 $\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \quad a ̆ \lambda \lambda \omega \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon}\right]^{3}{ }^{3} \quad \zeta^{\prime}$, ồ ${ }^{\prime} H \rho o ́ \delta o \tau o s ~ M \hat{\eta} \nu a$ ผ่




 ovvє́ $\gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon$.
$\gamma^{\prime} K \epsilon \nu \kappa \epsilon \in \nu \eta s$ ó тov́тov viós, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \lambda \theta^{\prime}$.
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \omega \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$, ôs каi $\tau$ às $\pi v \rho a \mu i ́ \delta a s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $K \omega \chi \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu \eta \geqslant \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon$.
$\epsilon^{\prime}$ Ov̉𧰨aфа́ïs, ${ }^{5}$ є̈ $\tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}$.

${ }^{1}$ Bracketed by Müller.

- Bracketed by Gelzer.
${ }^{6}$ Ou̇aaфańs A.
${ }^{2}$ Vulgo àvarpaquárév.
- iotov A, immov B.
- Nıєßańs A.

Fr. 7 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius. ${ }^{1}$
Here is the account which Eusebius gives of the Egyptian dynasties [after the Flood].

In succession to the Spirits of the Dead and the Demigods, the Egyptians reckon the First Dynasty to consist of eight kings. Among these was Mênês, whose rule in Egypt was illustrious. I shall record the rulers of each race from the time of Mênês; their succession is as follows :

1. Mênês of This, with his [17, or in another copy] 7 descendants,-the king called Mên by Herodotus,-reigned for 60 years. He made a foreign expedition and won renown, but was carried off by a hippopotamus.
2. Athôthis, his son, ruled for 27 years. He built the palace at Memphis; he practised medicine and wrote anatomical books.
3. Kenkenês, his son, for 39 years.
4. Uenephês, for 42 years. In his reign famine seized the land. He built the pyramids near Kôchôme.
5. Usaphails, for 20 years.
6. Niebais, for 26 years.
${ }^{1}$ The version (transmitted to us by Syncellus) which Eusebius gives of the Epitome of Manetho shows considerable differences from Africanus, both in the names of kings and in the length of their reigns. Peet (Egypt and the Old Testament, pp. 25 f.) says: "The astonishing variations between their figures are an eloquent testimony to what may happen to numbers in a few centuries through textual corruption." Petrie (History of Egypt, i. p. viii) compares the corruptions in such late Greek chronicles as those of the Ptolemies (c.v./A.D.).
$\zeta^{\prime} \Sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \mu \psi \eta s$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta$ 七 $\eta^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon \in \phi^{\prime}$ oû $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \sigma \eta \mu a$ $\epsilon ' \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \in \tau о$ каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta$ ф $\theta$ ора́.
$\eta^{\prime} O \dot{v} \beta \iota \epsilon \in \nu \theta \eta s,{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \kappa \varsigma^{\prime}$.
$O_{i}^{i} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \epsilon_{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu \stackrel{\star}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \sigma \nu \beta^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), pp. 94 sqq.
Post manes atque heroas primam dynastiam numerant VIII regum, quorum primus fuit Menes, ${ }^{1}$ gloria regni administrandi praepollens: a quo exorsi singulas regnantium familias diligenter scribemus, quarum successiva series ita contexitur :

Menes Thinites eiusque posteri septem (quem Herodotus Mina nuncupavit). Hic annis XXX regnavit. Idem et extra regionis suae fines cum exercitu progressus est, et gloria rerum gestarum inclaruit. Ab hippopotamo genio ${ }^{2}$ raptus est.
Athothis, huius filius, regno potitus est annis XXVII. Is regia sibi palatia Memphi construxit, et medicam item artem coluit, quin et libros de ratione secandorum corporum scripsit.
Cencenes eius filius, annis XXXIX.
Vavenephis, annis XLII, cuius aetate fames regionem corripuit. Is pyramidas prope Cho oppidum ${ }^{3}$ excitavit.
${ }^{1}$ Corr. edd. : MSS. Memes.
${ }^{2}$ Müller conjectures the Greek original to have been:
 ally translated, is: "by a horse-shaped river-monster" (Karst, Margoliouth).
7. Semempsês, for 18 years. In his reign there were many portents and a very great calamity. 8. Ubienthês, for 26 years.

The total of all reigns, 252 years. ${ }^{1}$
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

In succession to the Spirits of the Dead and the Demigods, the Egyptians reckon the First Dynasty to consist of eight kings. The first of these was Mênês, who won high renown in the government of his kingdom. Beginning with him, I shall carefully record the royal families one by one : their succession in detail is as follows :

Mênês of This (whom Herodotus named Min) and his seven descendants. He reigned for 30 years, and advanced with his army beyond the frontiers of his realm, winning renown by his exploits. He was carried off by a hippopotamus god (?). ${ }^{2}$
Athothis, his son, held the throne for 27 years. He built for himself a royal palace at Memphis, and also practised the art of medicine, writing books on the method of anatomy.
Cencenes, his son, for 39 years.
Vavenephis, for 42 years. In his time famine seized the land. He reared pyramids near the town of Cho.
${ }^{1}$ The actual total of the items given is $2 \overline{5} 8$ years.
${ }^{2}$ See note 2 on the text.

$$
{ }^{3} \text { Apparently }=X \bar{\omega} \kappa \omega \mu \tau \nu, \text { tor } h \omega \chi \bar{\omega} \mu \mu \tau \nu .
$$

Usaphais, annis XX.
Niebais, annis XXVI.
Mempses, annis XVIII. Sub boc multa prodigia itemque maxima lues acciderunt.
Vibenthis, ${ }^{1}$ annis XXVI.
Summa dominationis annorum CCLII.

Fr. 8. Syncellus, p. 101. Kata AфPIKANON.
$\Delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \quad \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ \Theta \imath v \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$





${ }^{1}$ One MS. (G) has Vibethis.
${ }^{1}$ Karst gives 270 years as the total transmitted in the Armenian version. The total of the items as given above is 228 years.
${ }^{2}$ Dynasty II.-to c. 2780 b.c. For identifications with the Monuments, etc., see Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 146: he identifies (1) Boêthos, (2) Kaiechôs or Kechốus, (3) Binôthris, (4) Tlas, (5) Sethenês, (7) Nephercherês, (8) Sesôchris. For (1) to (5), see G. A. Reisner, The Development of the Egyptian Tomb, 1936, p. 123.
${ }^{3}$ Bubastus or Bubastis (Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, p. 181), near Zagazig in the Delta: Anc. Egyptian Per-Baste, the Pi-beseth of

Usaphails, for 20 years.
Nichais, for 26 years.
Mempses, for 18 years. In his reign many portents and a great pestilence occurred.
Vibenthis, for 26 years
Total for the dynasty, 252 years. ${ }^{1}$

## Dynasty II.

Fr. 8 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Second Dynasty ${ }^{2}$ consists of nine kings of This. The first was Boêthos, for 38 years. In his reign a chasm opened at Bubastus, ${ }^{3}$ and many perished.
2. Kaiechôs, for 39 years. In his reign the bulls, ${ }^{4}$ Apis at Memphis and Mnevis at Heliopolis, and the Mendesian goat were worshipped as gods.

Ezekiel xxx. 17. See also Herodotus, ii. 60, 137 f. The kings of Dynasty XXII. resided at Bubastis.

Earthquakes have always been rare in Egypt (Euseb., Chron. Graec. p. 42, 1. 25 ; Pliny, H.N. ii. 82); but Bubastis is situated in an unstable region: sce H. G. Lyons in Cairo Scientific Journal, i. (1907), p. 182. It stands on an earthquake line, which runs to Crete. A deep boring made at Bubastis failed to reach rock.

4 The worship of Apis is earlicr even than Dynasty II. : see Palermo Stone, Schāfer, p. 21, No. 12 (in reign of Udymu). For Apis, sco Herodotus, ii. 153, and Diod. Sic. i. 84, 85 (whero all three animals are mentioned). The goat was a cult animal in very early times: $c f$. Herodotus, ii. 46.
 रvvaîкas $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\delta^{\prime} T \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \iota \zeta^{\prime}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime} \Sigma_{\epsilon} \theta \in \in \nu \eta s, \stackrel{\text { Є̈ }}{ } \tau \eta \mu a^{\prime}$.

$\zeta^{\prime} N \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \rho \eta s, \quad{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon^{\prime} \cdot{ }_{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime}$ ovं $\mu v \theta \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ тòv Nєì入ov $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \tau \iota ~ к є к р а \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu ~ \grave{\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s ~}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu-$ $\delta є \kappa \alpha$ คे $\eta_{\nu}$ аи.
$\eta^{\prime} \sum \epsilon \sigma \omega \chi \rho \iota s, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \eta^{\prime}$, ôs $\dot{u} \psi \neq s \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \pi \eta \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon^{\prime}$, $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}{ }^{1} \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\theta^{\prime} X \in \nu \epsilon \rho \eta^{\prime} s,{ }^{\epsilon \prime} \tau \eta \lambda^{\prime}$.
' $O \mu \circ \hat{v}$, є̈т $\tau \eta \tau \beta$ '.
‘O $\quad$ оо̂ $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \eta s$ каi $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \delta v v a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a s$ [ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тòv
 'Aфрєкагой.

Fr. 9. Syncellus, p. 103. Kata Eysebion.
$\Delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ є̀vvє́a.



 є́vo $\mu i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$.

[^18]3. Binôthris, for 47 years. In his reign it was decided that women ${ }^{1}$ might hold the kingly office.
4. Tlas, for 17 years.
5. Sethenês, for 41 years.
6. Chairês, for 17 years.
7. Nephercherês, for 25 years. In his reign, the story goes, the Nile flowed blended with honey for 11 days.
8. Sesôchris, for 48 years: his stature was 5 cubits, 3 palms. ${ }^{2}$
9. Chenerês, for 30 years.

Total, 302 years.
Total for the First and Second Dynasties [after the Flood], 555 years, according to the second edition of Africanus.

Fr. 9 (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.
The Second Dynasty consisted of nine kings. First came Bôchos, in whose reign a chasm opened at Bubastus, and many perished.

He was succeeded by Kaichôos (or Chôos), in whose time Apis and Mnevis and also the Mendesian goat were worshipped as gods.

[^19] ßaбı入єías $\gamma$ '́ $\rho a s$ є̀ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$. каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ тov́тovs
 є́ $\gamma \in ́ v \in \tau о$.


$\eta^{\prime} M \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ôv $\Sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \omega \chi \rho \iota s$ <, $\left.{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau\right\rangle \mu \eta^{\prime}$, ôs $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ v ̈ \psi o s ~ \stackrel{Y}{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu \pi \eta \chi \bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon^{\prime}, \pi a \lambda a \iota \sigma \tau \omega ิ \nu$ $\gamma^{\prime} \tau o ̀ ̀ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os.
 Oí каi $\epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota \quad \sigma \zeta \zeta^{\prime}$.



Fr. 10. Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 96.

Secunda dynastia regum IX.
Primus Bochus: sub eo specus ingens Bubasti subsedit multosque mortales hausit.

Post eum Cechous, quo tempore ${ }^{1}$ Apis et Mnevis atque Mendesius hircus dii esse putabantur.

Deinde Biophis, sub quo lege statutum est, ut feminae quoque regiam dignitatem obtinerent.

Tum alii tres, quorum aetate nullum insigne facinus patratum est.

Sub septimo mythici aiunt flumen Nilum melle simul et aqua fluxisse undecim diebus.

[^20]
## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 9, 10

3. Biophis, in whose reign it was decided that women also might hold the kingly office. In the reigns of the three succeeding kings, no notable event occurred.
4. In the seventh reign, as the story goes, the Nile flowed blended with honey for 11 days.
5. Next. Sesôchris was king for 48 years: the greatness of his stature is said to have been 5 cubits 3 palms.
6. In the ninth reign there happened no event worthy of mention. These kings ruled for 297 years.
Total for the First and Second Dynasties, 549 years, according to the recension of Eusebius.

Fr. 10. Armenian Version of Eusebius.
The Second Dynasty consisted of nine kings.
First came Bôchus, in whose reign a huge hole opened at Bubastus, and swallowed up many persons.

He was succeeded by Cechous, in whose time Apis and Mnevis and the Mendesian goat were worshipped as gods.

Next came Biophis, in whose reign it was decreed by law that women also might hold the royal office.

In the reigns of the three succeeding kings, no notable event occurred.

Under the seventh king fabulists tell how the river Nile flowed with honey as well as water for 11 days.

Postea Sesochris annis XLVIII, quem aiunt quinque cubitos altum, tres vero palmos latum fuisse.

Sub nono tandem nihil memoria dignum actum est.

## Hi regnaverunt annis CCXCVII.

Fr. 11. Syncellus, p. 104. AФPIKANOY.
Tрíт $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i \alpha \quad M \epsilon \mu \phi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$






${ }^{1} N \epsilon \chi o \rho o ́ \phi \eta s$ A. ${ }^{2}$ Conj. Sethe.

[^21]Next, Sesochris ruled for 48 years: he is said to have been 5 eubits high and 3 palms broad. ${ }^{1}$

Finally, under the ninth king no memorable event oceurred.

These kings reigned for 297 years.

## Dynasty III.

Fr. 11 (from Syncellus). The Account of AfriCANUS.

The Third Dynasty ${ }^{2}$ comprised nine kings of Memphis.

1. Neeherôphês, for 28 years. In his reign the Libyans revolted against Egypt, and when the moon waxed beyond reekoning, they surrendered in terror.
2. Tosorthros, ${ }^{3}$ for 29 years. 〈In his reign lived Imuthês, $\left.{ }^{4}\right\rangle$ who because of his medieal skill has the reputation of Asclepios among the

Pyramid at Sakkâra, which was the work o. the great arehitect Imhotep (Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, p. 156 f.).
${ }^{4}$ If the emendation in the text be not aecepted, the statement would surely be too inaceurate to be attributed to Manethe. The Egyptian Asclepios was Imouth or linhotep of Memphis, physician and arehitect to King Zoser, afterwards deified : on Philae (now for the most part subinerged) I'tolemy II. Philadelphus built a little temple to Imhotep. See Sethe, Untersuchungen, ii. 4 (1902) : J. B. Hurry, Imhotep (Oxford, 1926).

One of the Oxyrhynehus Papyri, edited by Grenfell and Hunt, P'. Oxy. XI. 1381, of ii./A.d., has for its subject the eulogy of Imuthês-Asclepius: the fragment preserved is part of the prelude. See G. Manteuffel, De Opusculis Craceis Aegypti e papyris, ostracis, lapidibusque collectis, 1930, No. 3.

 $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$.

$\delta^{\prime} M \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \chi \rho \iota \varsigma$, ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta$ ८ $\zeta^{\prime}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime} \sum \omega \ddot{u} \phi \iota s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta 15^{\prime}$.
$5^{\prime}$ Toó́ $\rho \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s, \stackrel{\pi}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \iota \theta^{\prime}$.
$\zeta^{\prime}{ }^{*} A \chi \eta s,{ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \beta^{\prime}$.
$\eta^{\prime} \sum_{\eta}^{\prime} \phi$ ovoıs, 〈є̈є $\left.\tau\right\rangle \lambda^{\prime}$.
$\theta^{\prime} K \epsilon \rho \phi \epsilon \in \rho \eta s, \stackrel{\pi}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \kappa \varsigma^{\prime}$.

${ }^{\prime} O \mu о \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu \delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \omega ิ \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ ' A \phi \rho \iota \kappa \alpha \nu o ̀ \nu$ ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \eta \psi \xi \theta^{\prime}$.

Fr. 12 (a). Syncellus, p. 106. Kata Eysebion.
Tрíт $\delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i \alpha \quad M \epsilon \mu \phi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \omega$ о́кт ${ }^{\prime}$,
$\alpha^{\prime} N \epsilon \chi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \chi \iota \varsigma$, '̇ $\phi^{\prime}$ ồ $\Lambda i \not \beta v \epsilon s$ à $\pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ Ai $\gamma v \pi-$ $\tau i \omega \nu$, каi $\tau \eta ̂ s$ $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu \eta s$ $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ a v ̀ \xi \eta-~$ $\theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$ סià $\delta$ éos є́autoùs $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \delta o \sigma \alpha \nu$.


 à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \quad \gamma \rho a \phi{ }_{\eta} s^{\cdot} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta_{\eta} \theta \eta$.


'Oนо仑̂ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ E u ̉ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta ı o \nu$ ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \psi \mu \zeta^{\prime}$.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Túpıs } \mathbf{A} .
$$

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 11, 12

Egyptians, and who was the inventor of the art of building with hewn stone. He also devoted attention to writing.
3. Tyreis (or Tyris), for 7 years.
4. Mesôchris, for 17 years.
5. Sôyphis, for 16 years.
6. Tosertasis, for 19 years.
7. Achês, for 42 years.
8. Sêphuris, for 30 years.
9. Kerpherês, for 26 years.

Total, 214 years.
Total for the first three dynasties, according to Africanus, 769 years.

Fr. 12 (a). (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Third Dynasty consisted of eight kings of Memphis:

1. Necherôchis, in whose reign the Libyans revolted against Egypt, and when the moon waxed beyond reekoning, they surrendered in terror.
2. He was succeeded by Sesorthos . . .: he was styled Asclepios in Egypt because of his medical skill. He was also the inventor of the art of building with hewn stone, and devoted attention to writing as well.
The remaining six kings achieved nothing worthy of mention. These cight kings reigned for 198 years.

Total for the first three dynasties, according to Eusebius, 747 years.

Fr. 12, 14
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 96.

Tertia dynastia Memphitarum regum VIII.
Necherochis, sub quo Libyes ab Aegyptiis defecerunt : mox intempestive ${ }^{1}$ crescente luna territi ad obsequium reversi sunt.

Deinde Sosorthus . . ., qui ob medicam artem Aesculapius ab Aegyptiis vocitatus est. Is etiam sectis lapidibus aedificiorum struendorum auctor fuit : libris practerea scribendis curam impendit.

Sex reliqui nihil commemorandum gesserunt. Reguatum est annis CXCVII.

Fr. 14. Syncellus, p. 105. Kata Aфpikanon.
Tєта́ $\rho \tau \eta$ סvvaбтєía $M \epsilon \mu \phi \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma-$

${ }^{1}$ intempestive, Margoliouth; importune, Aucher; immaniter, Mai.
${ }^{1}$ Dynasty IV., c. 2720-c. 2560 b.c. For identifications with monumental and other evidence, see Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 181 : he identifies (1) Sôris (Snofru), (2) Suphis I. (Cheops, Khufu), then after Dedefrê' (not mentioned by Manetho), (3) Suphis II. (Chephren), (4) Men. cherês (Mycerinus), and finally (an uneertain identification). (7) Sebercherês (Shepseskaf). For (3) Chephren and
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Third Dynasty consisted of eight kings of Memphis :

Necherochis, in whose reign the Libyans revolted against Egypt: later when the moon waxed unseasonably, they were terrified and returned to their allegiance.

Next came Sosorthus . . .: he was styled Aesculapius by the Egyptians because of his medical skill. He was also the inventor of building with hewn stone; and in addition he devoted care to the writing of books.

The six remaining kings did nothing worthy of mention. The reigns of the whole dynasty amount to 197 years.

## Dynasty IV.

Fr. 14 ( from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Fourth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ comprised eight kings of Memphis, belonging to a different line :
(4) Mycerinus, Diodorus i. 64 gives the good variants (3) Chabryês and (4) Mencherinus. On the Chronology of Dynasty IV. see Reisner, Mycerinus (cf. infra, note 2), pp .243 ff . Reisner reads the name Dedefrê in the form Radedef, and identifies it with Ratoisês.

The Greek tales of the oppression of Egypt by Cheops and Chephren, etc., are believed to be the inventions of dragomans. Cf. Herodotus, ii. 124 (contempt for the gods), 129 (Myeerinus), with How and Wells's notes. Afrieanus has, moreover, acquired as a treasure the "sacred book" of Cheojs.
$\alpha^{\prime} \sum \hat{\omega} \rho \iota s$, Є̈́T $^{\prime} \eta \kappa \theta^{\prime}$.
$\beta^{\prime} \Sigma o \hat{v} \phi \iota s, \stackrel{\text { ́ }}{ } \tau \eta \xi \gamma^{\prime} \cdot$ ôs $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu \quad \eta \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon$

 $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \tau о ~ к а i ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~ i \epsilon \rho \grave{a ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon ~}$


$\gamma^{\prime} \sum o \hat{v} \phi \iota s, \not{ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \xi s^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime} M \epsilon \nu \chi \epsilon \in \rho \eta s,{ }^{\epsilon \prime} \tau \eta \xi \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime}$ 'Paтоíव $\eta s$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon^{\prime}$.
$\varsigma^{\prime} B i \chi \in \rho \iota s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa \beta^{\prime}$.
$\zeta^{\prime} \sum_{\epsilon} \beta \in \rho \chi \epsilon \in \rho \eta s,{ }^{\prime} \in \eta \zeta^{\prime}$.
$\eta^{\prime} \Theta \alpha \mu \phi \theta i_{s}$, ${ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.
' $O \mu \circ \hat{v},{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma o \zeta^{\prime} .{ }^{2}$


${ }^{1}$ Hdt. ii. $124 . \quad{ }^{2} \sigma 0 \delta^{\prime}$ A.
${ }^{1}$ On the Pyramids of Giza, see Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, pp. 133 ff. ; Noel F. Wheeler, "Pyramids and their Purpose," Antiquity, 1935, pp. 5-21, 161-189, 292-304; and for the fourth king of Dynasty IV. see G. A. Reisner, Mycerinus: The Temples of the Third Pyramid at Giza, 1931. Notwithstanding their colossal dimensions and marvellous construction, the Pyramids have not escaped detraction: Frontinus (De Aquis, i. 16) contrasts "the

1. Sôris, for 29 years.
2. Suphis [I.], for 63 years. He reared the Great Pyramid, ${ }^{1}$ which Herodotus says was built by Cheops. Suphis conceived a contempt for the gods: he also composed the Sacred Book, which I acquired in my visit to Egypt ${ }^{2}$ because of its high renown.
3. Suphis [II.], for 66 years.
4. Mencherês, for 63 years.
5. Ratoisês, for 25 years.
6. Bicheris, for 22 years.
7. Sebercherês, for 7 years.
8. Thamphthis, for 9 years.

Total, 277 years. ${ }^{3}$
Total for the first four dynasties [after the Flood]. 1046 years according to Africanus.
idle pyramids" with " the indispensable structures" of the several aqueducts at Rome; and Pliny (H.N. 36, 8, §75) finds in the pyramids " an idle and foolish ostentation of royal wealth '. But the pyramids have, at any rate, preserved the names of their builders, especially Cheops, to all future ages, although, as Sir Thomas Browne characteristically wrote (Urn-Burial, Chap. 5): "To . . . be but pyramidally extant is a fallacy of duration ". . . "Who can but pity the founder of the Pyramids?" The modern Egyptologist says: "The Great Pyramid is the earliest and most impressive witness . . . to the final emergence of organized society from prehistoric chaos and local conflict" (J. H. Breasted, History of Egypt, p. 119).
${ }_{2}$ Africanus went from Palestine to Alexandria, attracted by the renown of the philosopher Heraclas, Bishop of Alexandria: see Eusebius, Hist. Eccl. vi. 31, 2.
${ }^{3}$ The MS. A gives as total 274 : the items add to 284.

Fr. 15. Syncellus, p. 106. Kata Eysebion.
 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i a s$ є́тє́ $\rho a s$ ßaoı $\lambda \epsilon i a s$.
 є́ $\gamma \epsilon i \rho a s, \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ 'H



 $\lambda \in v \sigma a \nu \quad$ '゙ $\tau \epsilon \sigma \iota v \nu \mu \eta^{\prime}$.



Fr. 16. Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 97.

Quarta dynastia Memphitarum regum XVII ex alia regia familia, quorum tertius, Suphis, maximae pyramidis auctor, quam quidem Herodotus a Cheope structam ait: qui in deos ipsos superbiebat; tum facti poenitens sacrum librum ${ }^{1}$ conscribebat, quem Aegyptii instar magni thesauri habere se putant. De reliquis regibus nihil memorabile litteris mandatum est. Regnatum est annis CCCCXLVIII.
${ }^{1}$ libros Sacrarii (Aucher), "the sanctuary books," "books for the shrine."

Fr. 15 (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.
The Fourth Dynasty comprised seventeen kings of Memphis belonging to a different royal line.

Of these the third was Suphis, the builder of the Great Pyramid, which Herodotus says was built by Cheops. Suphis conceived a contempt for the gods, but repenting of this, he composed the Sacred Book, which the Egyptians hold in high esteem.

Of the remaining kings no achievement worthy of mention has been recorded.

This dynasty reigned for 448 years.
Total for the first four dynasties [after the Flood], 1195 years according to Eusebius.

Fr. 16. Armenian Version of Eusebius.
The Fourth Dynasty consisted of seventeen kings of Memphis belonging to a different royal line. The third of these kings, Suphis, was the builder of the Great Pyramid, which Herodotus declares to have been built by Cheops. Suphis behaved arrogantly towards the gods themselves: then, in penitence, he composed the Saered Book in which the Egyptians believe they possess a great treasure. Of the remaining kings nothing worthy of mention is recorded in history. The reigns of the whole dynasty amount to 448 years.

Fr．18， 19

Fr．18．Syncellus，p．107．Kata Adpikanon．
Пє́ $\mu \pi \tau \eta \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \eta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \xi \quad E \lambda \epsilon-$


```
a' O\dot{v}\sigma\in\rho\chi\epsiloń\rho\etas, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\tau}\eta к\eta'.
\beta' }\mp@subsup{|}{}{\prime}\epsilon\phi\rho\eta\mp@subsup{\eta}{}{\prime}s, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\tau}\tau\eta\iota\mp@subsup{\gamma}{}{\prime}
\gamma
\delta' \sum\iota\sigmai\rho\etas, 行\tau\eta \zeta'.
\epsilon' X\epsiloń\rho\etas, "̈т\eta к'.
5' 'Pa0ov́\rho\etas, 行\eta }\mu\mp@subsup{\delta}{}{\prime}
\zeta'M\epsilon\nu\chi\epsiloń\rho\etas, є̈\tau\eta\eta 回.
\eta}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}Ta\nu\chi\epsiloń\rho\eta\mp@subsup{s}{,}{1}\mp@subsup{}{}{1}\mp@subsup{}{\epsilon}{\epsilon}\tau\eta\mu\mp@subsup{\delta}{}{\prime}
0' "O\nu\nuos,\mp@subsup{}{}{2}}\mp@subsup{}{\epsilon}{\prime}\tau\eta \lambda \lambda\gamma'
```


 ,$a \sigma \zeta \delta^{\prime}$.

Fr． 19 （a）．Syncellus，p．109．Kata Eydebion．
Пє́ $\mu \pi \tau \eta \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \rho \iota a ́ \kappa о \nu \tau a$
 oûtos únò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठорvфóp $\omega \nu$ ả $\nu \eta \rho \in ́ \theta \eta$ ．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Tarxє́pभs corr. Lepsius. } \quad 2 \text { "Opvos A. }
$$

${ }^{1}$ Dynasty V．c． 2560 －c． 2420 b．c．For identifications with monumental and other evidence，see Meyer，Geschichte ${ }^{5}$ ， I．ii．p．203：his list runs（1）Userkaf，（2）Sahuré＇，（3） Nefererkerê ${ }^{\prime}$ Kakai，（4）Nefrefrê ${ }^{6}$ or Shepseskeré，（5） Kha＇neferrê＇，（6）Neweserrêt Ini，（7）Menkeuhor（Akeuhor）， （8）Dedkerê Asosi，（9）Unas．

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 18, 19

## Dynasty V.

Fr. 18 (from Syncellus). According to Africinus.
The Fifth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ was composed of eight kings of Elephantine :

1. Usercherês, for 28 years.
2. Sephrês, for 13 years.
3. Nephercherês, for 20 years.
4. Sisirês, for 7 years.
5. Cherês, for 20 years.
6. Rathurês, for 44 years.
7. Mencherês, for 9 years.
8. Tancherês (? Tatcherês), for 44 years.
9. Onnus, for 33 years.

Total, 248 years. ${ }^{2}$
Along with the aforementioned 1046 years of the first four dynasties, this amounts to 1294 years.

## Fr. 19 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Fifth Dynasty consisted of thirty-one kings of Elephantine. Of these the first was Othoês, ${ }^{3}$ who was murdered by his bodyguard.
${ }^{2}$ The items total 218 years; but if the reign of Othoês, the first king of Dynasty VI. is added, the total will then be 248 years.
${ }^{3}$ In the ehronology of Eusebius, Dynasty V. is suppressed: the kings whom he mentions belong to Dynasty VI.

Fr. 19, 20

 $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s, a \rho \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\left\langle{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta\right\rangle, a \sigma \zeta \epsilon^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 97.

Quinta dynastia regum XXXI Elephantinorum, quorum primus Othius, qui a satellitibus suis occisus est. Quartus Phiops, qui regiam dignitatem a sexto aetatis anno ad centesimum usque tenuit.

Fr. 20. Syncellus, p. 108. Kata Adpikanon. ${ }^{\circ} E_{\kappa \tau \eta} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \bar{\epsilon} \xi M \epsilon \mu \phi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. $a^{\prime}$ 'O日óns, ${ }^{1}$ "̈ $\tau \eta \lambda^{\prime}$, ôs vitò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סорифо́р $\omega \nu$ à $\nu \eta \rho \in ́ \theta \eta$.
$\beta^{\prime} \Phi_{\iota o ́ s},{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \nu \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} M \epsilon \theta$ ovaov̂ $\phi \stackrel{\text { s. }}{ }{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \zeta^{\prime}$.
1'O日ஸ́ns A.
${ }^{1}$ Karst translates the Armenian as referring to the sixtieth year-" began to rule at the age of $60^{\circ}$; but Aucher's Armenian text has the equivalent of sexennis, "six years old" (Margoliouth).

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 19, 20

The fourth king, Phiôps, succeeding when six years old, reigned until his hundredth year. Thus, along with the aforementioned 1195 years of the first four dynasties, this amounts to 1295 years.

## (b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Fifth Dynasty consisted of thirty-one kings of Elephantine. Of these the first was Othius, who was killed by his attendants. The fourth king was Phiôps, who held the royal office from his sixth ${ }^{1}$ right down to his hundredth year.

## Dynasty VI.

Fr. 20 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Sixth Dynasty ${ }^{2}$ consisted of six kings of Memphis:

1. Othoês, for 30 years: he was murdered by his bodyguard.
2. Phius, for 53 years.
3. Methusuphis, for 7 years.
${ }^{2}$ Dynasties VI.-VIII., the last Memphites, c. 2420c. 2240 b.c. Dynasty VI. Meyer (Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 236) identifies as follows: (l) Othoês (Teti or Atoti), then after Userkerê', (2) Phius (Pepi I.), (3) Methusuphis (Merenrêt I.), (4) Phiôps (Pepi II.), (5) Menthesuphis (Merenrê' II.), (6) Nitôcris. Sethe (Sesostris, p. 3) draws attention to the intentional differentiation of the same family-name-Plius for Pepi I., Phiôps for Pepi II.: so also (3) Methusuphis and (5) Menthesuphis, and of. infra on Psametik in Dynasty XXVI. Are these variations due to Manetho or to his source ?
 $\gamma \epsilon ́ v \in \tau o \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \rho_{\dot{\prime}}^{\prime}$.

$\varsigma^{\prime}$ Níтнкрıs, $\gamma є \imath \nu \iota \kappa \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \mu о \rho ф о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~$
 $\hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \rho i \tau \eta \nu \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \alpha \mu i \not \partial \alpha, \epsilon \in \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ є́ $\tau \eta$ ८ $\beta^{\prime}$.



Fr. 21 (a). Syncellus, p. 109. Rata Eysebion. ${ }^{\circ} E_{\kappa} \tau \eta \delta \nu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$.




${ }^{1}$ The remarkable descriptions of social disorganization and anarchy, addressed to an aged king in the Leiden Papyrus of lpuwer and known as The Admonitions of an Egyptian Sage, are, according to Erman, to be associated with the end of this reign : see A. Erman, "Die Mahnworte dines ägyptischen Propheten" in Sitz. der preuss. Akad. der W'issenschaften, xiii., 1919, p. 813.
${ }^{2}$ Nitôeris is doubtless the Neit-okre(t) of the Turin Papyrus: the name means "Neith is Excellent" (cf. App. II. Eratosthenes, No. 22, 'AӨךvâ viкךфópos), and was a favourite name under the Saïte Dynasty (Dyn. XXVI.), which was devoted to the worship of Neith. See Herodotus, ii. 100, 134, Diod. Sic. I. 64. 14 (if Rhodôpis is to be identified with Nitôcris), Strabo 17, 1. 33 (a Cinderella-like story), Pliny, N.H. 36. 12. 78, and G. A. Wainwright, Sky-Religion, pp. 41 ff.

A queen's reign ending the Dynasty is followed by a period of confusion, just as after Dyn. XII. when Queen 54

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 20, 21

4. Phiôps, who began to reign at the age of six, and continued until his hundredth year. ${ }^{1}$
5. Menthesuphis, for $l$ year.
6. Nitôcris, ${ }^{2}$ the noblest and loveliest of the women of her time, of fair complexion, the builder of the third pyramid, reigned for 12 years.
Total, 203 years. ${ }^{3}$ Along with the aforementioned 1294 years of the first five dynasties, this amounts to 1497 years.

## Fr. 21 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

## The Sixth Dynasty.

There was a queen Nitôcris, the noblest and loveliest of the women of her time; she had a fair complexion, and is said to have built the third pyramid.

Seemiophris (Sebeknofrurê') closes the line: cf. perhaps, in Dyn. IV., Thamphthis, of whom nothing is known.

In 1932 Professor Selim Hassan discovered at Giza the tomb of Queen Khentkawes, a tomb of monumental dimensions, the so-called fourth or "false" pyramid. Khentkawes was the daughter of Mycerinus; and, disregarding the ehronological difficulty, H. Junker, in Mitteilungen des Deutschen Instituts für Ägyptische Altertumskunde in Kairo, iii. 2 (1932), pp. 144-149, put forward the theory that the name Nitôcris is derived from Khentkawes, and that Manetho refers here to the so-ealled fourth pyramid, which merits the description (Fr. 2!(b)),"with the aspect of a mountain ". See further B. van de Walle in L'Antiquité Classique, 3 (1934), pp. 303-312.
${ }^{3}$ The correct total is 197 years: the reign of l'hiôps is reckoned at 100 , instead of 94 years (the T'urin l'apyrus gives $90+x$ years).

Fr. 21, 23, 24 MANETHO

Г'vovтaı av̀v тoîs $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s, \alpha \sigma \zeta \epsilon^{\prime} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ 'Афрıкаขov̂ aù $\alpha \alpha \hat{s} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \nu$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 97.

Sexta dynastia. Femina quaedam Nitocris regnavit, omnium aetatis suae virorum fortissima et mulierum formosissima, flava rubris genis. Ab hac tertia pyramis excitata dicitur, speciem collis prae se ferens.

Ab his quoque regnatum est annis CCIII.

Fr. 23. Syncellus, p. 108. Kata Adpikanon.
'Eßסó $\mu \eta$ ঠvvaбтєía $M \epsilon \mu \phi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ o', oî $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s o^{\prime}$.

Fr. 24 (a). Syncellus, p. 109. Kata Eyiebion.



$$
{ }^{1} \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \notin \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda_{\epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu} \mathrm{m} .
$$

These rulers (or this ruler) reigned for three years: in another copy, 203 years. Along with the aforementioned 1295 years of the first five dynasties, this amounts to 1498 years.
(Syncellus adds) : It must be noted how much less accurate Eusebius is than Africanus in the number of kings he gives. in the omission of names, and in dates, although he practically repeats the account of Africanus in the same words.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Sixth Dynasty. There was a queen Nitôcris, braver than all the men of her time, the most beautiful of all the women, fair-skinned with red cheeks. By her, it is said, the third pyramid was reared, with the aspect of a mountain.

The united reigns of all the kings amount to 203 years.

## Dynasty VII.

Fr. 23 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Seventh Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of seventy kings of Memphis, who reigned for 70 days.

Fr. 24 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Seventh Dynasty consisted of five kings of Memphis, who reigned for 75 days.

[^22]Fr. 24, 25, 26 MANETHO
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 97.

Septima dynastia Memphitarum regum V, qui annis LXXV dominati sunt.

Fr. 25. Syncellus, p. 108. Kata Adpikanon.
'Oरסó $\eta$ бvvaбтєía $M \epsilon \mu \phi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$
 тоîs $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta, a \chi \lambda \theta^{\prime} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ òкт $\dot{\omega}$ бvvaб$\tau \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$.

Fr. 26 (a). Syncellus, p. 110. Kata Eyzebion.


 $\delta u \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 97.

Octava dynastia Memphitarum regum V, ${ }^{1}$ quorum dominatio annos centum occupavit.
${ }^{1}$ V Aucher: aliter Mai.
${ }^{1}$ Dynasty VIII., according to Barbarus (Fr. 4) fourteen kings for 140 years: according to Meyer, probably eighteen kings who reigned for 146 years.
[Footnote continued on opposite page.

AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 24, 25, 26
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Seventh Dynasty consisted of five kings of Memphis, who held sway for 75 years.

## Dynasty VIII.

Fr. 25 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Eighth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of twenty-seven kings of Memphis, who reigned for 146 years. Along with the aforementioned reigns, this amounts to 1639 years for the first eight dynasties.

## Fr. 26 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Eighth Dynasty consisted of five kings of Memphis, who reigned for 100 years. Along with the aforementioned reigns, this amounts to 1598 years for the first eight dynasties.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Eighth Dynasty consisted of five ${ }^{2}$ kings of Memphis, whose rule lasted for 100 years.
"The Turin Papyrus closes the first great period of Egyptian history at the end of what appears to be Manetho's VIIIth Dynasty (the last Memphites) ' : it reckons 955 years from Dynasty I. to Dynasties VII. and VIII. (H. R. Hall in C.A.H. i. pp. 298, 170). See A. Scharff in J. Eg. Arch. xiv., 1928, p. 275. ${ }^{2}$ So Aucher, Petermann, and Karst.

Fr. 27. Syncellus, p. 110. Kata Aфpikanon.
'Eváтך $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ ' Н \rho а к \lambda є о \pi о \lambda \iota т \hat{\nu}$



 $\delta \iota \notin \theta \alpha ́ \rho \eta$.

Fr. 28 (a). Syncellus, p. 111. Kata Eyzebion.


 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ̂ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu O s, \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta ~ A i \gamma v i \pi \tau \tau ~ к а к \grave{\alpha}$
 крокобєídov $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta$ á $\eta$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 97.

Nona dynastia Heracleopolitarum regum IV, annis C. Horum primus Ochthôis sacvissimus regum fuit

1"Ax ${ }^{2}$ os A vulgo.

${ }^{1}$ Dynasties IX. and X. c. 2240-c. 2100 b.c.-two series of nineteen kings, both from Hêracleopolis (Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, p. 218), near the modern village of Ahnâsia (Ancient Egyptian Hat-nen-nesut), 77 miles S. of Cairo, c. 9 miles S. of the entrance to the Fayûm.

The Turin Papyrus gives eighteen kings for Dynasties IX. and X. as opposed to Manetho's thirty-eight.
[Footnote continued on opposite page.

## Dynasty IX.

Fr. 27 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Ninth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of nineteen kings of Hêracleopolis, who reigned for 409 years. The first of these, King Achthoês, ${ }^{2}$ behaving more cruelly than his predecessors, wrought woes for the people of all Egypt, but afterwards he was smitten with madness, and was killed by a crocodile. ${ }^{3}$

## Fr. 28 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Ninth Dynasty consisted of four kings of Hêracleopolis, who reigned for 100 years. The first of these, King Achthôês, behaving more cruelly than his predecessors, wrought woes for the people of all Egypt, but afterwards he was smitten with madness, and was killed by a crocodile.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Ninth Dynasty consisted of four kings of Heracleopolis, reigning for 100 years. The first of these, King Ochthôis, ${ }^{4}$ was more cruel than all his

Manetho's account of Dynasty IX. is best preserved by Africanus. Barbarus has almost the same figures-twenty kings for 409 years.
${ }^{2}$ Achthoês: in the Turin Papyrus Akhtôi (Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 247-three kings of this name). Meyer conjectures that the "cruelty" of Achthoês may be violent or forcible oppression of the feudal nobility.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. p. 28 n. 3.
'Okhthovis (Petermann's translation), -ov- representing the long o.

## Fr. 28, 29, 30, 31 MANETHO

qui sibi praecesserant, universamque Aegyptum diris calamitatibus affecit. Idem denique vesania correptus est et a crocodilo peremptus.

Fr. 29. Syncellus, p. 110. Kata AdPikanon.
 $\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \iota \theta^{\prime}$, oí $\epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu}{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \rho \pi \epsilon^{\prime}$.

Fr. 30 (a). Syncellus, p. 112. Kata Eysebion.
$\Delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ ठvvaбтєía ‘Нраклєото入ьтิิข $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ $\iota \theta^{\prime}$, oî $\epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma i ̀ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu$ єौ $\tau \eta \rho \pi \epsilon^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 97.

Decima dynastia Heraclcopolitarum regum XIX, annis CLXXXV.

Fr. 31. Syncellus, p. 110. Kata Aфpikanon.
${ }^{`} E \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta \quad \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a \quad \Delta \iota о \sigma \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \beta \alpha-$
 ' $A \mu \mu \epsilon$ чє́ $\mu \eta s$, $\epsilon \tau \tau ~ 15$ '.

Мє́ $\rho \iota$ тои̂ठє тò̀ $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$ тó $\mu о \nu$ катаүท́охє $M a v \in \theta \hat{\omega}$.


[^23][Foolnote continued on opposite page.

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 28. 29.30.31

 predecessors, and visited the whole of Egypt with dire disasters. Finally, he was seized with madness, and devoured by a crocodile.
## Dynasty X.

Fr. 29 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Tenth Dynasty consisted of nineteen kings of Hêracleopolis, who reigned for 185 years.

Fr. 30 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Tenth Dynasty consisted of nineteen kings of Hêracleopolis, who reigned for 185 years.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Tenth Dynasty consisted of nineteen kings of Heracleopolis, who reigned for 185 years.

## Dynasty XI.

Fr. 31 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Eleventh Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of sixteen kings of Diospolis [or Thebes], who reigned for 43 years. In succession to these, Ammenemês ${ }^{2}$ ruled for 16 years.

Here ends the First Book of Manetho.
Total for the reigns of 192 kings, 2300 years 70 days.
Dynasty XI. (c. 2100-c. 2000 в.c.) with its seat at Thebes: sixteen kings of Thebes ruling for only 43 years (Manetho): Turin Papyrus gives six kings with more than 160 years.
${ }^{8}$ Ammenemês is Amenemhêt I.: see pp. 66 f., nn. 1, 2.

Fr. 32 (a). Syncellus, p. 112. Kata Eydebion.
${ }^{\text {' } E \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ \delta \nu \nu а \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~} \Delta \iota о \sigma \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ßабı入є́ $\omega \nu$
 $\mu \eta s$, "' $\tau \eta 15^{\prime}$.

Мє́ $\chi \rho \iota ~ \tau о и ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \rho \omega ิ т о \nu ~ \tau o ́ \mu о \nu ~ к а т а ү \eta ́ о \chi \epsilon \nu ~ o ́ ~$
 $o \theta^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 97.

Undecima dynastia Diospolitarum regum XVI, annis XLIII. Post hos Ammenemes annis XVI.

Hactenus primmm librum Manetho produxit. Sunt autem reges CXCII, anni MMCCC.

Fr. 32 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Eleventh Dynasty consisted of sixteen kings of Diospolis [or Thebes], who reigned for 43 years. In suecession to these, Ammenemês ruled for 16 years.

Here ends the First Book of Manetho.
Total for the reigns of $192 \mathrm{kings}, 2300$ years 79 days.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Eleventh Dymasty consisted of sixteen kings of Diospolis [or Thebes], who reigned for 43 years. In succession to these, Ammenemes ruled for 16 years.

Here ends the First Book of Manetho. Total for the reigns of 192 kings, 2300 years.

## TOMOS $\triangle E Y T E P O \Sigma$.

Fr. 34. Syncellus, p. 110. Kata Adpikanon.
$\Delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v$ रó $\mu$ ov $M a \nu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$.
$\Delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta \delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \alpha \Delta \iota \sigma \pi о \lambda_{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma に$ $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$.
$a^{\prime} \Sigma \epsilon \sigma o ́ \gamma \chi о \sigma \iota s,{ }^{1}{ }^{\prime} A \mu \mu a \nu \epsilon ́ \mu о v$ viós, ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \mu s^{\prime}$.






${ }^{2}$ A : $\sum^{\prime} \sigma o \sigma \tau \rho / s \mathrm{~B}$.
${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XII. c. $2000-1790$ B.c. (Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 270). Including Ammenemês whom Manetho places between Dynasty XI. and Dynasty XII., there are eight rulers in Dynasty XII.-(1) Ammenemês (Amenemhêt I.), (2) Sesonchôsis (Senwosret or Sesôstris I.), (3) Ammanemês (Amenemhêt Il.), (4) Sesôstris II. (omitted by Manetho), (5) Sesôstris (Senwosret III.), (6) Manetho's Lamarês and Amerês (Amenemhêt III., Nema'trê'), (7) Amnenemês (Amenemhêt IV.), (8) Scemiophris (Queen Sebeknofrurê'). For (5), the great Sesôstris (1887-1850 в.c.) of Herodotus, ii. 102, Diod. Sic. I. 53 ff ., see Sethe, Unters. zur Gesch. . . . Aeg. ii. 1, and Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 268. The name of Amenemhêt bespeaks his Theban origin : he removed the capital further north to Dahshûr, a more central position-" Controller of the Two Lands," as its Egyptian name means. Thus the kings of Dynasty XII. are kings who came from Thebes, but ruled at Dahshûr.
[Footnote continued on opposite page.

## BOOK II.

## Dynasty XII.

Fr. 34 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
From the Second Book of Manetho.
The Twelfth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of seven kings of Diospolis.

1. Sesonchosis, son of Ammanemês, for 46 years.
2. Ammanemês, for 38 years: he was murdered by his own eunuchs. ${ }^{2}$
3. Sesôstris, for 48 years: in nine years he subdued the whole of Asia, and Europe as far as Thrace, everywhere erecting memorials of

In Dynasty XII. the conquests of Dynasty VI. in the south were extended; and Sesôstris III. was the first Egyptian king to conquer Syria. Among works of peace the great irrigation schemes in the Fayûm perpetuated the name of Amenemhêt III. in "Lake Moeris". (See G. Caton-Thompson and E. W. Gardner, The Desert Fayûm, 1934.) Manetho mentions his building of the Labyrinth: it is significant that after the reign of Sesôstris III. and his wide foreign conquests, his son should have built the Labyrinth. Vases of the Kamares type from Crete have been found at Kahûn, not far from the Labyrinth.
${ }^{2}$ See A. de Buck (Mélanges Maspero, vol. i., 1935, pp. 847-52) for a new interpretation of the purpose of The Instruction of Amenemmes : in this political pamphlet the dead king speaks from the tomb in support of his son Sesostris, now holding the throne in spite of strong opposition, and violently denounces the ungrateful ruffians who murdered him. It seems prokable that Manetho's note here refers to the death of Ammenemês I. (Battiscombe Gunn).
$\mu \nu \eta \mu o ́ \sigma v \nu \alpha$ є่ $\gamma \epsilon i \rho a s \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \chi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s,{ }^{1}$


 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \nu о \mu \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$.


$\epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{'} A \mu \epsilon \rho \eta^{\prime}{ }^{4}{ }^{4}{ }^{4} \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$.
$\varsigma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \mu \eta s,{ }^{5} \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$.
$\zeta^{\prime} \sum_{\kappa} \in \mu$ io $\phi \rho \iota \varsigma, \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \eta^{\prime},{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \delta^{\prime}$.
' $O \mu \circ \hat{v}, \epsilon \notin \tau \eta \rho \xi^{\prime}$.

Fr. 35. Syncellus, p. 112. Rata Eysebion.
$\triangle \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v ~ \tau о ́ \mu о v ~ M a v \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$.




${ }^{1}$ See Agyptische Inschriften aus den Museen au Berlin, i. p. 257, for a stele at Semneh with an inscription in which the great Sesôstris pours contempt upon his enemies, the Nubians.
${ }^{2}$ For the sexual symbols represented upon pillars, see Mdt. ii. 102, 106, Diod. Sic. I. 55. 8: cf. the representation of mutilated captives on one of the walls of the Ramesseum, Dod. Sic. I. 48. 2. It has been suggested that Herodotus, who saw the pillars of Sesostris in Palestine, may possib،y have mistaken an Assyrian for an Egyptian relief.

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 34, 35

his conquest of the tribes. ${ }^{1}$ Upon stelae [pillars] he engraved for a valiant race the secret parts of a man, for an ignoble race those of a woman. ${ }^{2}$ Accordingly he was esteemed by the Egyptians as the next in rank to Osiris.
4. Lacharês (Lamarês), ${ }^{3}$ for 8 years: he built the Labyrintb ${ }^{4}$ in the Arsinointe nome as his own tomb.
5. Amerês, for 8 years.
6. Ammenemês, for 8 years.
7. Scemiophris, his sister, for 4 years.

Total, 160 years.

Fr. 35 (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.
From the Second Book of Manctho.
The Twelfth Dynasty consisted of seven kings of Diospolis. The first of these, Sesonchosis, son of Ammenemês. reigned for 46 years.
${ }^{3}$ For other names of Amenemhêt III., see note on Marês, App. II., No. 35, p. 224.

- The Labyrinth is correctly attributed by Manetho to Amenemhêt III., who built it as his mortuary temple (contrast Herodotus, ii. 148, who assigns this monument to the Dodecarchy). The Fayûm was a place of great importance during this dynasty, from Amenemhêt 1. onwards.

The description of the nome as "Arsinoite " has often been suspected as a later interpo ation ; but if " Arsinoïte" was used by Manetho himself, it gives as a date in his life the year 256 b.c. when Ptolemy Philadelphus commem. orated Queen Arsinoe (d. 270 b.c.) in the new name of the nome. ( $C f$. Intro. p. xvi for a possible reference to Manetho, the historian of Egypt, in 241 b.c.)
 $\epsilon \dot{v} \nu \circ u ́ \chi \omega \nu$ àv $\eta \rho \in ́ \theta \eta$.
$\gamma^{\prime} \Sigma \epsilon \in \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \rho \iota s,{ }^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \mu \eta^{\prime}$, ôs $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha l \gamma \epsilon \gamma o v \in ́ v a l$ $\pi \eta \chi \omega \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}, \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma^{\prime}, \delta \alpha \kappa \tau v \hat{\lambda} \omega \nu \beta^{\prime}$. ôs





 $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ Aìvuti' $\omega \nu<\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu\rangle^{3} \quad \mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ "Oб七рıv voutбө̂̀vaı.


 є́ßaci'入єvoav ${ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́$.

Fr. 36. Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 98.

E Manethonis secundo libro.
Duodecima dynastia Diospolitarum regum VII, quorum primus Sesonchosis Ammenemis filius annis XLVI.

Ammenemes annis XXXVIII, qui a suis eunuchis interemptus est.

Sesostris annis XLVIII, cuius mensura fertur cubitorum quattuor, palmarumque trium cum digitis

[^24]
## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 35, 36

2. Ammanemês, for 38 years: he was murdered by his own eunuchs.
3. Sesôstris, for 48 years: he is said to have been 4 cubits 3 palms 2 fingers' breadths in stature. In nine years he subdued the whole of Asia, and Europe as far as Thrace, everywhere erecting memorials of his conquest of the tribes. Upon stelae [pillars] he engraved for a valiant race the secret parts of a man. for an ignoble race those of a woman. Accordingly he was esteemed by the Egyptians as the next in rank to Osiris.
Next to him Lamaris reigned for 8 years: he built the Labyrinth in the Arsinoite nome as his own tomb.

His successors ruled for 42 years, and the reigns of the whole dynasty amounted to 245 years. ${ }^{1}$

Fr. 36. Armenian Version of Eusebius.
From the Second Book of Manetho.
The Twelfth Dynasty consisted of seven kings of Diospolis. The first of these, Sesonchosis, son of Ammenemês, reigned for 46 years.
2. Ammenemês, for 38 years: he was murdered by his own eunuchs.
3. Sesôstris, for 48 years: he is said to have been 4 cubits 3 palms 2 fingers' breadth in

## ${ }^{1}$ The items given add to 182 years.

[^25]Fr. 37, 38, 39 MANETHO
duobus. Is universam Asiam annorum novem spatio sibi subdidit, itemque Europae partem usque ad Thraciam. Idem et suae in singulas gentes dominationis monumenta ubique constituit; apud gentes quidem strenuas virilia, apud vero imbelles feminea pudenda ignominiae causa columnis insculpens. Quare is ab Aegyptiis proximos post Osirin honores tulit.

Secutus est Lampares, annis VIII. Hic in Arsinoïte labyrinthum cavernosum sibi tumulum fecit.

Regnaverunt successores eius annis XLII.
Summa universae dominationis annorum CCXLV.

Fr. 38. Syncellus, p. 113. Kata Adpikanon.
 $\xi^{\prime}$, oî $\epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \nu v \gamma^{\prime} .{ }^{1}$

Fr. 39 (a). Syncellus, p. 114. Kata Eysebion.
Tрıбкаı $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ \delta v \nu а \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ \Delta ı о \sigma \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ $\xi^{\prime}$, oí є’ $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \varepsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \neq \tau \eta v v \gamma^{\prime}$.

$$
{ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}: \rho \pi \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{A} .
$$

[^26]stature. In nine years he subdued the whole of Asia, and Europe as far as Thrace. Everywhere he set up memorials of his subjugation of each tribe: among valiant races he engraved upon pillars a man's secret parts, among unwarlike races a woman's, as a sign of disgrace. ${ }^{1}$ Wherefore he was honoured by the Egyptians next to Osiris.
His successor, Lampares, reigned for 8 years: in the Arsinoïte nome he built the many-chambered ${ }^{2}$ Labyrinth as his tomb.

The succeeding kings ruled for 42 years.
Total for the whole dynasty, 245 years.

## Dynasty XIII.

Fr. 38 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Thirteenth Dynasty ${ }^{3}$ consisted of sixty kings of Diospolis, who reigned for 453 years.

## Fr. 39 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Thirteenth Dynasty consisted of sixty kings of Diospolis, who reigned for 453 years.
being a name ending in -mes, perhaps Dedumes, the king Tovtifalos of Fr. 42. The twenty-fifth king in the Turin Papyrus, Col. VII., Kha'neferrế Sebekhotp IV., is probably the King Chenephrês of whom Artapanns (i./B.c.) says that he was "king of the regions above Memphis (for there were at that time many kings in Egypt) " in the lifetime of Moses (Artapanns, Concerning the Jews, quoted by Euseb., Praepur. Evany. ix. 27: see also Clement of Alexaudria, Strom. i. 23, 154).

Fr. 39, 41
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 99.

Tertia decima dynastia Diospolitarum regum LX, qui regnarunt annis CCCCLIII.

Fr. 41 (a). Syncellus, p. 113. Kata AфPikanon.


(b) Syncellus, p. 1l4. Kata Eysebion.


(c) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 99 .

Quarta decima dynastia Xoĭtarum ${ }^{2}$ regum LXXVI, qui regnarunt annis CCCCLXXXIV.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}$ on y : a lacuna in A .
${ }^{2}$ Aucher: Khsojitarum (Petermann's translation).
${ }^{1}$ Dynasties XIV.-XVII., the Hyksôs Age: c. 1700. 1580 в.c.

Dynasty XIV. Nothing is known of the kings of Dynasty XIV., whose seat was at Xoīs (Sakha) in the West Delta-an island and town in the Sebennytic nome (Strabo, 17. 1. 19). They were not rulers of Upper Egypt, but probably of the West Delta only. At this period there was, it is probable, another contemporary dynasty in Upper Egypt (Dynasty XVII. of Manetho).

In the Turin l'apyrus there is a long series of rulers' names corresponding to this dynasty; but the number 74

AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 39,41
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Thirteenth Dynasty consisted of sixty kings of Diospolis, who reigned for 453 years.

## Dynasty XIV.

Fr. 41 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.

The Fourteenth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of seventy-six kings of Xois, who reigned for 184 years.
(b) According to Eusebius.

The Fourteenth Dynasty consisted of seventysix kings of Xois, who reigned for 184 years,-in another copy, 484 years.
(c) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Fourteenth Dynasty consisted of seventy-six kings of Xois, who reigned for 484 years.
given by Manotho (76) was not approximated in the Papyrus which shows between twenty and thirty names of kings. Not one of these names is preserved on the Monuments, nor on the Karnak Tablet. The kings of Dynasty XIV., and even the last kings of Dynasty XIII., reigned simultaneously with the Hyksôs kings: $c f$. the double series of kings in Dynasty XVII. In the Royal Lists of Abydos and Sakkára the rulers of Dynasties XIII.-XVII. are altogether omitted. The Royal List of Karnak gives a selection of about thirty five names of Dynasties XIII.XVII., omitting Dynasty XIV. and the Hyksôs.

Fr. 42. Josephus, Contra Apionem, I. 14, §§ 73-92. ${ }^{1}$
 $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. av̉тà $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v oủ久 ơóv $\tau \epsilon \pi a \rho a-$




${ }^{\prime}$ For §§ 73-75, 82-90, see Eusebius, Praepar. Evang. x. 13 : for $\S \S 73-105$, see Eusebius, Chron. i. pp. 151-8, Schöne (Arm.).
${ }^{2}$ Eus. : Mavé $\theta \omega \nu$ L, Lat. (same variation elsewhere).
${ }^{3} \delta \in ́ \lambda \tau \omega \nu$ Gutschmid (sacris libris Lat. : sacris monumentis Ens. Arm..cf. § உ26): $\tau \in \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \mathrm{L}$.
${ }^{1}$ The invasion of the Hyksôs took place at some time in Dynasty XIII.: hence the succeeding anarchy in a period of foreign domination. The later Egyptians looked back upon it as the Jews did upon the Babylonian captivity, or the English upon the Danish terror. The keen desire of the Egyptians to forget about the Hyksôs usurpation accounts in part for our ignorance of what actually happened: " it is with apparent unwillingness that they chronicle any events connected with it " (Peet, Egypt and the Old Testament, p. 69). In Egyptian texts the "infamous" (Hyksôs) were denoted as "Amu,-a title also given to the Hittites and their allies by Ramessês II. in the poem of the Battle of Kadesh (ed. Kuentz, § 97). Perhaps they were combined with Hittites who in 1925 B.c. brought the kingdom of Babel to an end. It is certain that with the Hyksôs numerous Semites came into Egypt: some of the Hyksôs kings have Semitic names. For the presence of an important Hurrian element ainong the Hyksôs, see E. A. Speiser. "Ethnic Movements," in Ann. of Amer. Sch. of Or. Res. xiii. (1932), p. 51. The

## The Hyкsôs Age, c. $1700-c .1580$ b.c. ${ }^{1}$

## Fr. 42 (from Josephus, Contra Apionem, i. 14, §§ 73-92).

[Josephus is citing the records of neighbouring nations in proof of the antiquity of the Jews.]

I will begin with Egyptian documents. These I cannot indeed set before you in their ancient form; but in Manetho we have a native Egyptian who was manifestly imbued with Greek culture. He wrote in Greek the history of his nation, translated, as he himself tells us, from sacred tablets; ${ }^{2}$ and on many

Hyksôs brought with them from Asia their tribal god, which was assimilated by the Egyptians to Sêth, the god of foreign parts, of the desert, and of the enemy.

In the first half of the second millennium b.c. the Hyksofs ruled a great kingdom in Palestine and Syria (Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, i. § 304); and when their power was broken down by the arrival of hostile tribes, King Amôsis took advantage of their plight to drive the Hyksôs out of Egypt (A. Jirku, " Aufstieg und Untergang der Hyksôs," in Journ. of the Palestine Orient. Soc. xii., 1932, p. 60).

A dim tradition of Hyksôs-rule is possibly preserved in Herodotus, ii. 128. Perhaps "the shepherd Philitis" in that passage is connected with " Philistines," a tribe which may have formed part of these invaders. There is confusion between two periods of oppression of the common people,-under the pyramid-builders and under the Hyksôs. For a translation of the Egyptian records which illustrate the Hyksôs period, see Battiscombe Gunn and Alan H. Gardiner, J. Eg., Arch. v., 1918, pp. 36-56, "The Expulsion of the Hyksôs'".
"The word "tablets" is a probable cmendation, since Manetho would naturally base his History upon templearchives on stone as well as on papyrus: cf. the Palermo Stone, the Turin Papyrus, etc. (Intro. pp. xxiii fi.).
 є̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Aí $\gamma v \pi \tau \iota \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi^{\prime}$ à $\gamma v o i ́ a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \psi \epsilon v \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu$.


 $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \alpha \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a$.
75 "Tovтípalos. ${ }^{2}$ є́ $\pi i$ i tov́тov oủк oî $\delta^{\prime}$ ö $\pi \omega$ s ó ${ }^{3} \theta \epsilon$ òs




 $\dot{\omega} \mu \hat{\omega} s$ є่ $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \pi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ каі $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \quad i \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha}$ катє́ $\sigma-$

 77 тє́кขа каi үvраîкаs єis Sou入єíà ä $\gamma о \nu \tau \epsilon s$. тє́pas

${ }^{1}$ ôs Eus. : om. L.
${ }^{2}$ Gutschmid : tov̂ Típazos ồvoua L, Eus. (ơ้vo $\mu$ a probably a gloss: àvє $\mu$ оs Gutschmid).
${ }^{3} \delta$ Eus. (perhaps a survival of Ancient Egyptian usage): om. L: Meyer conj. $\theta$ tós tis.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Manetho, Fr. 88.
${ }^{2}$ This account of the Hyksôs invasion is obviously derived from popular Egyptian tales, the characteristics of which are deeply imprinted upon it. Meyer (Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 313) quotes from papyri and inscriptions passages of similar style and content, e.g. Pap. Sallier I. describing the war with the Hyksôs, and mentioning " Lord Apopi in Auaris,' and an inscription of Queen Hatshepsut from the Speos Artemidos, referring to the occupation of
points of Egyptian history he convicts Herodotus ${ }^{1}$ of having erred through ignorance. In the second book of his History of Egypt, this writer Manetho speaks of us as follows. I shall quote his own words, just as if I had brought forward the man himself as a witness: ${ }^{2}$
"Tutimaeus. ${ }^{3}$ In his reign, for what cause I know not, a blast of God smote us; and uuexpectedly, from the regions of the East, invaders of obscure race marched in confidence of victory against our land. By main force they easily seized it without striking a blow ; ${ }^{4}$ and having overpowered the rulers of the land, they then burned our citics ruthlessly, razed to the ground the temples of the gods, and treated all the natives with a cruel hostility, massacring some and leading into slavery the wives and children of others. Finally, they appointed as king one of their number whose name was

Auaris. See Breasted, Ancient Records, i. § 24, ii. §§ 296 ff. Meyer adds that he would not be surprised if Manotho's description reappeared word for word one day in a hieratic papyrus. Cf. § 75 ó $\theta$ cós : § 76 the crimes of the Hyksôs (Fr. 54, § 249, those of the Solymites and their polluted allies): § 77 the upper and lower lands: $\S \S 78,237$ religious tradition to explain the name of Auaris and its dedication to Typhôn : $\S 99$ hollow phrases about military expeditions of Sethôs: $\$ 237$ the form of the phrase $\dot{\omega}$ xpóvos iкavòs $\delta \iota \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$, and many other passages. See also Weill, La fin du moyen empire égyptien, pp. 76 ff.
${ }^{3}$ Sce Fr. 38, n. 3.

- The success of the Hyksôs may have been due to superior archery and to the use of horse-drawn chariots, previously unknown in Egypt (Maspero, Hist. Anc. ii. p. 51 ; Petrie, Hyksos and Israelite C'ities, p. 70 ; H. R. Hall, Anc. Hist. of Near East ${ }^{8}$, p. 213), as well as to superior weapons of bronze (H. R. Hall, C.A.H. i. p. 291 11., 312 i.).



入íбато $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta, \pi \rho о о р и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s, ~ ' A \sigma \sigma v \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi о \tau є ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon і ̆ \zeta о \nu ~$







${ }^{1}$ The name may be Semitic ( $c f$. Hebr. shallit), but it has not been found on the monuments. Possibly it is not strictly a proper name, but rather a title like " prince," "general" : "sultan " comes from the same root.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. § 90. Manetho regards as historically true the Greck tales of the great Assyrian Empire of Ninus and Semiramis. The period referred to here is much earlior than the time when Assyria began to harass the Mediterranean regions.
" If "Saite" is correct here, it has nothing to do with the famous Sais, but is probably used for "Tanite ": cf. Herodotus, ii. 17, Strabo, 17, 1, 20 (P. Montet in Revue Biblique, xxxix. 1930). The Sethroïte nome (Fr. 43, 45, 49) is in the extreme E. of the Delta, adjoining the Tanite nome. For Scthroê see H. Junker, Zeit.f. äg. Sprache 75. 1939, p. 78.
${ }^{4}$ For Bubastis see Fr. 8 n. 2. The Bubastite branch is the farthest E., the noxt being the Tanitic.
${ }^{5}$ Auaris, in Ancient Egyptian Hetwa'ret, "town of the desert strip," but this meaning does not explain the "religious tradition". (The older interpretations," house of the flight," " house of the leg," were attached to the Seth-Typhôn logend : cf. n. 3 infra.) Tanis was a strong80

Salitis. ${ }^{1}$ He had his seat at Memphis, levying tribute from Upper and Lower Egypt, and always leaving garrisons behind in the most advantageous positions. Above all, he fortified the district to the east, foreseeing that the Assyrians, ${ }^{2}$ as they grew stronger, would one day covet and attack his kingdom.
"In the Saitte [Sethroilte] nome ${ }^{3}$ he found a city very favourably situated on the east of the Bubastite branch ${ }^{4}$ of the Nile, and called Auaris ${ }^{5}$ after an
hold of the Hyksôs: in O.T. Numbers xiii. 22, "Now Hebron (in S. Palestine) was built seven years before Zoan in Egypt," Zoan is Tanis (Dja'net), and the statement probably refers to the Hyksôs age. Sethe cautiously said, "Seth is the god of the Hyksôs cities, Tanis and Auaris". But in Rerue Bihlique, xxxix., 1930, pp. 5-28, Pierre Montet, the excavator of Tanis, brought forward reasons to identify Auaris and Pi-Ra'messes with Tanis; and Alan H. Gardiner (J. Eg. Arch. xix., 1933, pp. 122128) gave further evidence for this view (p. 126): "San el-Hagar marks the site of the city successively called Auaris, Pi-Ra'messe, and Tanis''. In spite of the criticism of Raymond Weill (J.Eg. Arch. xxi., 1935, pp. 10-25), who cited a hieroglyphic document (found in the temple of Ptah in Memphis) in which Auaris and " the field (or land) of Tanis" are separate, Pierre Montet (Syria, xvii., $1936, \mathrm{pp} .200-202$ ) maintains the identity of Auaris, Pi-Ra'messes, and Tanis. [So does H. Junker, Zeit.f. äg. Sprache 75. 1939, pp. 63-84.]

Meanwhilo, a new identification of Pi-Ra'mossês had been suggested: by oxcavation M. Hamza (Annales du Service des Antiquités de l'Egypte, xxx. I930, p. 65) found evidence tending to identify P'i-Ra'messês with the palace of Ramessês 11. at Tell el-Yahulîya, near Kantîr, c. 25 kilometres seuth of Tanis; and Williann C. Hayes (Clazed Tiles from a Palace of Ramessês II. at Kantîr: The Metropolitan Museum of Art Papers, No. 3, 1937) supports this theory that Kantîr was the Delta residence of the Ramesside kings of Egypt, pointing out that there is a practically







 $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha ́ к о \nu \tau \alpha ~ є ̈ т \eta ~ к а \lambda о и ́ \mu є \nu о \varsigma ~ B \nu \omega ́ \nu,{ }^{2} \quad \mu \epsilon \theta$ ’ ôv


 каi "Aббıs ${ }^{6}$ є̀ $\nu \nu \epsilon ́ a ~ к а і ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma а р а ́ к о \nu \tau а ~ к а i ~ \mu \eta ̂ \nu а s ~ \delta v ́ o . ~$



${ }^{1}$ Hic autem Lat. : $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \theta$ á $\delta \epsilon$ L.
${ }^{2}$ Manetho, Fr. 43, 48, 49 : Bךஸ́ц L.
${ }^{3}$ Apakhnan Eus. : Пaxvàv Fr. 43 : Apachnas Lat.
${ }^{4}$ Aphosis Eus. Arm.: "Aфoßıs MSS., Fr. 43: "Aфwфıs Fr. 49.
${ }^{5}$ 'Iavías cd. pr. : Samnas Lat. : Anan Eus. Arm. : 'Avvàs or 'Avvàv Gutschmid.
${ }^{6}$ Ases Lat.: Aseth Eus. (Gutschmid and Meyer hold "Aon $\theta$ to be the form used by Josephus).
${ }^{7} E d . p r .: \pi o \rho \theta \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ L.
 in Hudson.
${ }^{9}$ ov́r $\pi$ av aù $\frac{\omega}{\nu}$ Eus., omne genus eorum Lat. : om. L.
ancient religious tradition. ${ }^{1}$ This place he rebuilt and fortified with massive walls, planting there a garrison of as many as 240,000 heavy-armed men to guard his frontier. Here he would come in summertime, partly to serve out rations and pay his troops, partly to train them carefully in manœuvres and so strike terror into foreign tribes. After reigning for 19 years, Salitis died; and a second king, named Bnốn, ${ }^{2}$ succeeded and reigned for 44 years. Next to him came Apachnan, who ruled for 36 years and 7 months; ${ }^{3}$ then Apôphis for 61, and Iannas for 50 years and 1 month; then finally Assis for 49 years and 2 months. These six kings, their first rulers, were ever more and more eager to extirpate the Egyptian stock. Their race as a whole was called
unbroken series of royal Ramesside monuments which cover a period of almost 200 years.

In 1906 Petrie discovered at Kantir a vast fortified encampment of Hyksôs date and a Hyksôs cemetery : see Petrie, Hyksôs and Israelite Cities, pp. 3-16 (the earthwork ramparts of the camp were intended to protect an army of chariots).
${ }^{1}$ See Fr. 54, § 237, for its connexion with Seth-Typhon, to whom the tribal god of the Hyksôs was assimilated.
${ }^{2}$ Of these Hyksôs names Bnôn and Apachnan are unexplained. Apôpi (the name of several kings-at least three), and perhaps Aseth (Assis), seem to be pure Egyptian: Iannas is presumed to be Khian, whose cartouche turned up surprisingly and significantly on the lid of an alabastron in the Palace of Minos at Knossos in Crete, as well as on a basalt lion from Baghdad. On Khian, see Griffith in Proc. of Soc. of Bibl. Arch. xix. (1897), pp. 294 f., 297.
${ }^{3}$ In his History (and for short reigns in the Epitome, see e.g. Dynasty XXVII.) Manetho reckoned by months as well as by years, like the Turin Papyrus and the Palermo Stone : see Intro. pp. xxiv f.
 тò $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ v̂к ка日’ $i \in \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ \sigma \eta \mu \alpha i v \epsilon \iota, ~$



$83\left[\epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{2} \delta^{\prime}\right.$ ằ $\lambda \lambda \omega$ à $\nu \tau \iota \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \varphi$ ov̉ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i s$ o $\eta \mu \alpha i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$
 $\mu a \lambda \omega ́ \tau o v s ~ \delta \eta \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi о \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v a s{ }^{\cdot 3}$ тò $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ v̂к $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$

 $\mu о \iota$ фаìvєтаı каi та入аıâs ívторías є’ $\chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$.
84 Toútovs tov̀s трокатшvoна⿱䒑䶹є́vovs ßaбı入є́as，


${ }^{1}$＇Yкovarós Eus．（Hikkusin Eus．Arm．）：so also infra．
${ }^{2}$ The bracketed clause（already in Eus．）is apparently an ancient gloss，derived from § 9I ：cf．the similar marginal annotations to §§ $92,98$.

${ }^{4} \mu \eta \nu v \in \epsilon \nu$ Holwerda ：$\mu \eta \nu v ́ \epsilon \iota \mathrm{~L}$ ．
${ }^{5}$ Bracketed by Thackeray，Reinach．
${ }^{1}$ Hyksôs，＂rulers of foreign lands＂（Erman－Grapow， Wörterbuch，iii．p．171，29）．Another form of the name， Hykussôs，is preserved by Eusebius，but it is uncertain whether the medial－u－is really authentic－the Egyptian plural（Meyer）．Hyk＝ruler of a pastoral people，a sheikh．
＂The Hyksôs，like the foreign Kassite Dynasty in Babylonia，adopted the higher culture of the conquered 84

Hyksôs, ${ }^{1}$ that is 'king-shepherds': for hyk in the sacred language means ' king,' and sôs in common speech is 'shepherd' or 'shepherds' $:{ }^{2}$ hence the compound word 'Hyksôs'. Some say that they were Arabs." ${ }^{3}$ In another copy ${ }^{4}$ the expression hyk, it is said, does not mean " kings ": on the contrary, the compound refers to "captiveshepherds ". ${ }^{5}$ In Egyptian hyk, in fact, and hak when aspirated expressly denote "captives". ${ }^{6}$ This explanation seems to me the more convincing and more in keeping with ancient history.

These kings whom I have enumerated above, and their descendants, ruling over the so-called Shepherds, dominated Egypt, according to Manetho, for 511
country" (J. Garstang, The Heritage of Solomon, 1934, p. 62).
${ }^{2}$ This is eorrect: for the Egyptian word $s$ 'sw, " Bedouins," which in Coptic became shôs, " a herdsman," see Erman-Grapow, Wöterbuch, iv. p. 412, 10 (B.G.).
${ }^{3}$ In a papyrus (ii./iii. A.D.) quoted by Wilcken in Archiv für Pap. iii. (1906), pp. 188 ff. (Chrestomath in, I. ii. p. 322) ${ }_{\alpha}^{\mu} \mu \mu о$ v́кб兀 $\omega \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ is mentioned-aloe [or cement (Preisigke)] from the land of the Hyksiôtae, apparently in Arabia. This gives some support to the statement in the text.
${ }^{4}$ Josephus, in revising thas treatise just as he revised his Antiquities, appears to have used a second version of Manetho's Aegyptiaca. Did Josephus ever have before him Manetho's original work? Laqueur thinks it more probable that Josephus consulted revisions of Manetho made from the philo- or the anti-Semitic point of view : see Intro. p. xx. Since the third century b.c. an extensive literature on the origin of the Jews had arisen.
${ }^{5}$ This appears to be a Jewish explanation (§ 91), to harmonize with the story of Joseph.
${ }^{6}$ The reference here is to the Egyptian word h'k, " booty," "prisoners of war" (Erman-Grapow, W'orterbuch, iii. p. 33) (B.G.).

Fr. 42









 $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega$ каi io $\chi \nu \rho \hat{\varphi} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ тoùs По七нє́vas,


 Sıà то入єоркіая є̀̀єî̀ катà кра́тоs, òкт̀̀ каì



${ }^{1}+$ av̀roîs L, Lat. : om. Aus.<br>${ }^{2}$ Els. : 'A $\lambda \iota \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \mu о$ on $\theta \omega \sigma \iota$ L (Lat.) : so also infra.<br>${ }^{3}$ Conj. Cobet: $\grave{\eta} \tau \tau \omega \mu$ évous L.<br><br>${ }^{5}$ Aüapıv L (Lat.) : Aüapıs Ens.<br>${ }^{6}$ Oov́ $\mu \mu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ L: $\Theta \mu$ ои́ $\theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ Bus.<br>${ }^{7} \mathrm{~L}$ : $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ тодеоркіар Ens.

[^27]years. ${ }^{1}$ Thereafter, he says, there came a revolt of the kings of the Thebaid and the rest of Egypt against the Shepherds, and a fierce and prolonged war broke out between them. By a king whose name was Misphragmuthôsis, ${ }^{2}$ the Shepherds, he says, were defeated, driven out of all the rest of Egypt, and confined in a region measuring within its circumference 10,000 arûrae, ${ }^{3}$ by name Auaris. According to Manetho, the Shepherds enclosed this whole area with a high, strong wall, in order to safeguard all their possessions and spoils. Thummôsis, the son of Misphragmuthôsis (he continues), attempted by siege to force them to surrender, blockading the fortress with an army of 480,000 men. Finally, giving up the siege in despair, he concluded
victorious king was Amosis, and he took Auaris by main force: the genuine Manetho must surely have given this name which is preserved by Africanus and Eusebius, as also by Apiôn in Tatian, adv. Graecos, §38. See p. 101 n. 2, and cf. Meyer, Aeg. Chron. pp. 73 f.

Weill, La fin du moyen empire égyptien, p. 95, explains the error by assuming that the exploit of the capture of Auaris was usurped by Tuthmôsis IV., as it was usurped earlier by Hatshepsut and later by Ramessês III.

Breasted (C.A.H. ii. p. 83) holds that, since with the catastrophic fall of Kadesh on the Orontes before the arms of Tuthmôsis III. the last vestige of the Hyksôs power disappeared, the tradition of late Greek days made Tuthmôsis III. the conqueror of the Hyksôs. He points out that the name Misphragmuthôsis is to be identified with the two cartouche-names of Tuthmôsis III.: it is a corruption of "Menkheperrê' Tuthmôsis".
${ }^{3}$ Lit. "with a circumference of 10,000 arûrae". The text (which cannot be attributed as it stands to Manctho - $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \circ \nu$ must be a later addition) implies a wrong use of arura as a measure of length : it is, in reality, a measure of area, about half an acre.

Fr. 42








 ' $I \epsilon \rho о \sigma o ́ \lambda \nu \mu a$ таv́т $\eta \nu$ o’vo $\mu \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota$.


 $a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ßíß入oıs $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \theta a \iota$, $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ò $\rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ s. каi




 тòv $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Ai $\gamma v \pi \tau i ́ \omega \nu$ aì $\mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau \tau \nu$ єivaı,
${ }^{1}$ Bekker: on. L.





[^28]a treaty by which they should all depart from Egypt and go unmolested where they pleased. On these terms the Shepherds, with their possessions and households complete, no fewer than 240,000 persons, ${ }^{1}$ left Egypt and journeycd over the desert into Syria. There, dreading the power of the Assyrians who were at that time masters of Asia, they built in the land now called Judaea a city large enough to hold all those thousands of people, and gave it the name of Jerusalem. ${ }^{2}$

In another book ${ }^{3}$ of his History of Egypt Manetho says that this race of so-called Shepherds is, in the sacred books of Egypt, described as "captives"; and his statement is correct. With our remotest ancestors, indeed, it was a hereditary custom to fced sheep; and as they lived a nomadic life, they were called Shepherds. ${ }^{4}$ On the other hand, in the Egyptian records they were not unreasonably styled Captives. since our ancestor Joseph told the king of Egypt ${ }^{5}$ that he was a captive, and later, with the
also, Jaru-wataš in an inser. of Boghazköi) ; the second part, Salem, is a Canaanitish divine name, found in the texts of Ras esh-Shamra. The name of the city occurs in the El-Amarna Letters in the form "Urusalimmu," the oldest literary mention of Jerusalem.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{Cf}$. § 83 for the same information, there attributed to " another copy".
${ }^{4}$ Cf. O.T'. Genesis xlvi. $32-34$, xlvii. 3 .
${ }^{5}$ In the Biblical narrative Joseph told the chief butler or cup-bearer (Genesis xl. 15). The margin of the Florentine MS. has a note on this passage: "In another copy (i.e. of the treatise Agrinst Apion) the following reading was found - ' he was sold by his brethren and brought down into ligypt to the king of Egypt; and later, again, with the king's consent, summoned his brethren to Egypt '."


 ふ̀крı $\beta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$.

Fr. 43. Syncellus, p. 113. Kate Aфpikanon.




 ô̂ каi on $\sum$ aïт $\eta$ s vo uós. ${ }^{1}$
$\beta^{\prime} B \nu \hat{\omega} \nu, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \delta^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} \Pi a \chi \nu{ }^{\prime} \nu,{ }^{\prime} \neq \tau \eta a^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime} \Sigma \tau a \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu, \stackrel{\prime \prime}{\prime} \tau \eta \nu^{\prime}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime}$ " $A \rho \chi \lambda \eta s$, "' $\tau \eta \mu \theta^{\prime}$.
$\varsigma^{\prime}$ "Ar $\omega \phi \iota{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \epsilon \tau \eta \xi \alpha^{\prime}$.
' $O \mu \circ \hat{v}, ~ \not " \tau \tau \eta \sigma \delta^{\prime}$.
 come after os wait $\eta \boldsymbol{s}$ vo poos.
${ }^{2}$ m. : "A ${ }^{2} \beta_{i s}$ MSS.
${ }^{1}$ The reference seems to be to Fr. 54, § 227 ff ., but iv ädlocs usually refers to a separate work.
${ }^{2}$ Africanus gives a less correct list than Josephus (cf. the transposition of Apophis to the end) : there is further corruption in Eusebius (Fr. 48) and the Book of S6this (App. IV.).
${ }^{3}$ This statement of the Phoenician origin of the Hyksos kings has generally been discredited until recently: now the Rus esh-Shamra tablets, which imply a pantheon strikingly similar to that of the Hyksos, have shown that the Hyksos were closely related to the Phoenicians.

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 42, 43

king's consent, summoned his brethren to Egypt. But I shall investigate this subject more fully in another place. ${ }^{1}$

## Dynasty XV.

Fr. 43 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus. ${ }^{2}$
The Fifteenth Dynasty consisted of Shepherd Kings. There were six foreign kings from Phoenicia, ${ }^{3}$ who seized Memphis: in the Sethroite nome they founded a town, from which as a base they subdued Egypt.

The first of these kings, Saitês, reigned for 19 years: the Saite nome ${ }^{4}$ is called after him.
2. Bnôn, for 44 years.
3. Pachnan [Apachnan], for 61 years.
4. Staan, ${ }^{5}$ for 50 years.
5. Archlês, ${ }^{6}$ for 49 years.
6. Aphôphis, ${ }^{7}$ (Aphobis), for 61 years.

Total, 284 years.
4 See p. 80 n .3 . The Saite nome proper, as opposed to this "Tanite" nome, is mentioned in Egyptian texts of the Old Kingdom. For the famous Sairs, the seat of Dynasty XXVI. (now Sa El-Hagar, see Baedeker, ${ }^{8}$ p. 36 -N.W. of Tanta on the right bank of the Rosetta branch), the centre of the cult of Neith, "the metropolis of the lower country " (Strabo, 17. 1, 18), of. Herodotus, ii. 62 ; Diod. i. 28, 4 (for its relation to Athens).
${ }^{6}$ For Iannas (in Josephus), the Khian of the Monuments, see p. 83 n. 2.

- Archlês here, and in Eusebius (Fr. 48), corresponds with Assis (or Ascth) in Josephus (Fr. 42, §80); but the change in the form of the name is extraordinary.
${ }^{7}$ The Jenifth of reign ( 61 years, as in Josephns) Ieads one to believe that Africanus has transposed Apophis from the 4 th place to the 6 th; but in point of fact the last Hyksôs king whom we know by name was called Apepi.

Fr. 44, 45, 46 MANETHO
Fr. 44 (a). Syncellus, p. 114. Kata Eycebion.
 $\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$, oî $\epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma i ̀ \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \nu$ є́т $\tau \sigma \nu^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 99 .

Quinta decima dynastia Diospolitarum regum, qui regnarunt annis CCL.

Fr. 45. Syncellus, p. 114. Kata Aqpikanon
 $\lambda \beta^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon \in \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \phi{ }^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$.

Fr. 46 (a). Syncellus, p. 114. Kata Eysebion.
 каі $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \eta \zeta^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 99.

Sexta decima dynastia Thebaeorum regum V, qui regnarunt annis CXC.

$$
{ }^{1} \eta^{\prime} \text { Boeckh. }
$$

AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 44, 45, 46

Fr. 44 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Fifteenth Dynasty consisted of kings of Diospolis, who reigned for 250 years.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Fifteenth Dynasty consisted of kings of Diospolis, who reigned for 250 years.

## Dynasty XVI.

Fr. 45 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Sixteenth Dynasty were Shepherd Kings again, 32 in number : they reigned for 518 years. ${ }^{1}$

Fr. 46 (a) (from $\underset{\text { Eyncellus). According to }}{\text { Eusebius. }}$
The Sixteenth Dynasty were kings of Thebes, 5 in number: they reigned for 190 years.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Sixteenth Dynasty were kings of Thebes, 5 in number: they reigned for 190 years.

[^29]Fr. 47. Syncellus, p. 114. Kata Aqpikanon.


 ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \rho \nu \alpha^{\prime}$.

Fr. 48 (a). Syncellus, p. 114. Kata Eydebion.




 Aìvттíovs є́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о$.
${ }^{1}$ Müller.
${ }^{2}$ A lapsus calamı for $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ( Meyer) : Africanus (Fr. 43) pre-


[^30]AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 47, 48

## Dynasty XVII

Fr. 47 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Seventeenth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ were Shepherd Kings again, 43 in number, and kings of Thebes or Diospolis, 43 in number.

Total of the reigns of the Shepherd Kings and the Theban kings, 151 years. ${ }^{2}$

Fr. 48 (a) (from Syncellus). According to
The Seventeenth Dynasty were Shepherds and brothers: ${ }^{3}$ they were foreign kings from Phoenicia, who seized Memphis.

The first of these kings, Saïtês, reigned for 19 years : the Saite nome ${ }^{4}$ is called after him. These kings founded in the Sethroilte nome a town, from which as a base they subdued Egypt.
with those of Dynasty XIII. tend to show that the Hyksôs rute in the Nile Valley lasted for about a hundred and twenty years, c. 1700-1580 b.c. Under one of the Theban kings, Ta'o, who bore the epithet "The Brave," war with the Hyksôs broke out $c .1590$ b.c. : Kamose, the last king of Dynasty XVII., continued the war of independence, and Amôsis (of Dynasty XVIII.) finally expelled the usurpers.
${ }^{3}$ This must be a mistake of transcription : see note 2 on the text.
${ }^{4}$ See Fr. 42, § 78, n. 3, Fr. 43, n. 4.

```
\(\beta^{\prime} B \nu^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu,{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu^{\prime}\).
\(\gamma^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} A \phi \omega \phi \iota s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \star \delta^{\prime}\).
\(М \epsilon \theta\) ' \({ }^{\circ} \nu \quad\) " \(A \rho \chi \lambda \eta s\), \({ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \lambda^{\prime}\).
' \(O \mu o \hat{v},{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \eta \gamma^{\prime}\).
```

 vuтal.
(b) Eusebius, Clironica I. (Armenian Version), p. 99 sq.

Septima decima dynastia Pastorum, qui fratres erant Phoenices exterique reges, et Memphin occuparunt.

Ex his primus Saïtes imperavit annis XIX, a quo Sä̈tarum quoque nomos nomen traxit. Eidem in Sethroïte nomo urbem condiderunt, unde incursione facta Aegyptios perdomuerunt.

Secundus Bnon, annis XL.
Deinde Arehles, annis XXX.
Aphophis, annis XIV.
Summa annorum CIII.
Horum aetate regnavisse in Aegypto Josephus videtur.

$$
{ }^{1} \mathrm{Om} . \mathrm{A} .
$$

2. Boôn, for 40 years.
3. Aphôphis, for 14 years.

After him Archlês reigned for 30 years.
Total, 103 years.
It was in their time that Joseph was appointed king of Egypt.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Seventeenth Dynasty consisted of Shepherds, who were brothers ${ }^{1}$ from Phoenicia and foreign kings: they seized Memphis. The first of these kings, Saites, reigned for 19 years: from him, too, the Saite nome ${ }^{2}$ derived its name. These kings founded in the Sethroite nome a town from which they made a raid and subdued Egypt.

The second king was Bnon, for 40 years.
Next, Archles, for 30 years.
Aphophis, for 14 years.
Total, 103 years.
It was in their time that Joseph appears to have ruled in Egypt. ${ }^{3}$

The Armenian text of this sentence is rather difficult, but Professor Margoliouth, pointing out that the Armenian present infinitive is used here for the perfect, approves of this rendering. Karst translates the Armenian in the following sense: "It is muder these kings that Joseph arises, to rule over Egypt ".

Fr. 49. Scholia in Platonis Timaeum, 21 E (Hermann).





 є́ $\chi є \iota \rho \dot{́ \sigma \alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau о$.


Tє́тартоs " $A \phi \omega \phi \iota s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$.
'O $O$ ои, $\rho \gamma^{\prime}$.

 $\gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma \nu \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \xi \in \epsilon$.

$$
{ }^{1} \delta \text { è conj. : cf. Fr. } 48 \text { (a). }
$$

## Fr. 49 (from the Scholia to Plato).

Saitic, of Sais. From the Aegyptiaca of Manetho. The Seventeenth Dynasty consisted of Shepherds: they were brothers ${ }^{1}$ from Phoenicia, foreign kings. wbo seized Memphis. The first of these kings, Saitês, reigned for 19 years: the Saite nome ${ }^{2}$ is called after him. These kings founded in the Sethrôite nome a town, from which as a base they subdued Egypt.

The second of these kings, Bnôn, reigned for 40 years; the third, Archaês, for 30 years; and the fourth, Aphôphis, for 14 years. Total, 103 years.

Saitês added 12 hours to the month, to make its length 30 days; and he added 6 days to the year, which thus comprised 365 days. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ See p. 95 n. $3 . \quad{ }^{2}$ See p. 80 n. 3.
${ }^{3}$ The addition of 5 days (not 6 , as above) to the short year of 360 days was made long before the Hyksôs age: it goes back to at least the Pyramid Age, and probably earlier. The introduction of the calendar, making an artificial reconciliation of the lunar and solar years, perhaps as early as 4236 в.c., is believed to give the earliest fixed date in human history : see V. Gordon Childe, New Light on the Most Ancient East, 1934, pp. 5 i.

Fr. 50. Josephus, Contra Apionem, I, 15, 16, §§ 93-105.
(Continued from Fr. 42.)
93 Nvvi $\delta$ è $\tau \hat{\rho} s$ ả $\rho \chi \alpha เ o ́ \tau \eta \tau o s ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau i ́ \theta \epsilon \mu a \iota ~$ тov̀s Aìvortious $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s . ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ o v ̂ v ~ \tau \grave{a} ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ $M a \nu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}^{2} \pi \bar{\omega} s$ єै $\chi \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota \nu$



 $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho a s ~ к а i ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, каi $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu$







${ }^{1}$ §§ 94-105 are quoted by Theophilus, Ad Autolycum, III, 20 f. $\S \S 103,104$ are quoted by Eusebius, Praepar. Evang., $\mathrm{X}, 13$.
${ }^{2}$ Niese: Mavétuvos L.
${ }^{8}$ Naber: ' $A \mu \epsilon v \sigma$ is Fr. 52 : ' $A \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \grave{\jmath} \mathrm{~L}$ L.

${ }^{1}$ The New Kingdom: Dynasties XVIII.-XX.: c. $1580-$ c. 1100 в.c.

Dynasty XVIII. c. 1580-1310 b.c.
For identifications with the monumental evidence which is firmly established, see Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{2}$, ii. 1, p. 78: the names and order of the first nine kings are: (1) Amôsis 100

## Dynasties, XVIII, ${ }^{1}$ XIX.

Fr. 50 (from Josephus, Contra Apionem, i. 15, 16, $\S \S 93-105$ )-(continued from Fr. 42).

For the present I am citing the Egyptians as witnesses to this antiquity of ours. I shall therefore resume my quotations from Manetho's works in their reference to chronology. His account is as follows: "After the departure of the tribe of Shepherds from Egypt to Jerusalem, Tethmôsis, ${ }^{2}$ the king who drove them out of Egypt, reigned for 25 years 4 months until his death, when he was succeeded by his son Chebrôn, who ruled for 13 years. After him Amenôphis reigned for 20 years 7 months; then his sister Amessis for 21 years 9 months; then her son Mêphrês for 12 years 9 months; then his son Mêphramuthôsis for 25 years 10 months; then his son Thmôsis for 9 years 8 months; then his son Amenôphis
(Chebrôn is unexplained), (2) Amenôphis I., (3) Tuthmôsis I., (4) Tuthmôsis II., (5) Hatshepsut (apparently Manetho's Amessis or Amensis : the same length of reign, 21 years), (6) Tuthmôsis III. (corresponding to Mêphrês, i.e. Menkheperrế or Meshperế, and Misphragmuthôsis, i.e. Menkheperrế Thutmose), (7) Amenôphis II., (8) Tuthmôsis IV. (the order of these two being reversed by Manetho), (9) Amenôphis III. (Hôrus, the same length of reign, 36 years).

The remaining kings of the dynasty are : Amenôphis IV. (Akhnaten, see p. 123 n. 1), Semenkhkarê' (? Acenchêrês), Tût'ankhanon (? Chebrês), Ay (? Acherrês) : see C.A.H. ii. p. 702. On rulers Nos. 3, 4, 5 and 6, see Wm. F'. Edgerton, The Thutmosid Succcssion, 1933.

For Dynasty XIX゙. see p. 148 n. 1.
${ }^{2}$ Tethmôsis $=$ Amôsis : see note on Misphragmuthôsis, Fr. 42, § 86. For the searab of Amosis see Plate 1, 3.





 каi $\mu \hat{\eta} v a s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma a \rho a s . ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \delta \grave{~ ' A \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \eta э ~ M ı a \mu o v ̂ \nu ~}$





 $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta \mu a \mu \grave{\eta}$ форєîv $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ßабı入íßa $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \quad \tau \epsilon$




## 





 катабтŋ̆ба८ (for катє́бтךбє).

[^31]for 30 years 10 months; ${ }^{1}$ then his son Ôrus for 36 years 5 months; then his daughter Acenchërês for 12 years 1 montb; then her brother Rathôtis for 9 years; then his son Acenchêrês for 12 years 5 months, his son Acenchêrês II. for 12 years 3 months, his son Harmaïs for 4 years 1 month, his son Ramessês for 1 year 4 months, his son Harmessês Miamûn ${ }^{2}$ for 66 years 2 months, his son Amenôphis for 19 years 6 months, and his son Sethôs, also called Ramessês, ${ }^{3}$ whose power lay in his cavalry and his fleet. This king appointed his brother Harmaïs viceroy of Egypt, and invested him with all the royal prerogatives, except that he eharged him not to wear a diadem, nor to wrong the queen, the mother of his ehildren, and to refrain likewise from the royal concubines. He then set out on an expedition against Cyprus and Phoenicia and later against the Assyrians and the

[^32]



 $\tau \epsilon$ iк $\alpha \nu о \hat{v} \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu o ́ \tau о s, ~ " A \rho \mu \alpha i ̈ s ~ o ́ ~ к а \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu$


 $\delta \iota \tau \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \quad \chi \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s, \pi \epsilon \iota \theta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \delta_{\epsilon}^{2}$ vinò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ $101 \delta \iota \alpha ́ \delta \eta \mu \alpha$ є́фópє८ каi $\alpha \nu \tau \eta \hat{\rho} \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\varphi}$. ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$
 $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i o v \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \Sigma \epsilon \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota, \delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ aư $\bar{\omega} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$




 aủrov̂ Uavaós." $^{\prime \prime}$
${ }^{3}{ }_{i \in \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu} \mathrm{~L}$ (perhaps an Ancient Egyptian formula): $i \in \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$
Hudson (sacra Lat., fana Eus.)-with this cf. Revenue Laws
of Ptolemy Philadelphus, $51^{9}$ (258 в.о.) oi $\epsilon \pi i \tau \bar{\omega} \nu i \epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau а \gamma-$
$\mu$ е́voc
${ }^{4} \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \epsilon \tau a l$ Gutschmid : $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ L (dicit Lat.).
${ }^{1}$ A frequent title from the Old Kingdom onwards is " overseer of the priests of Upper and Lower Egypt," later applied to the high priest of Amûn. The emendation $i \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ (for $i \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ) is supported by a reference in a papyrus of about the time of Manetho.

- See Fr. 54, § 274, n. 1 (pp. 140-141).
${ }^{3}$ With the return of Sethôsis to a country in revolt, cf. Herodotus, ii. 107 (return of Sesostris and the perilous 104

Medes; and he subjugated them all, some by the sword, others without a blow and merely by the menace of his mighty host. In the pride of his conquests, he continued his advance with still greater boldness, and subdued the cities and lands of the East. When a considerable time had elapsed, Harmais who had been left behind in Egypt, recklessly contravened all his brother's injunctions. He outraged the queen and proceeded to make free with the concubines; then, following the advice of his friends, he began to wear a diadem and rose in tevolt against his brother. The warden of the priests of Egypt ${ }^{1}$ then wrote a letter which he sent to Sethôsis, revealing all the details, including the revolt of his brother Harmaiss. Sethôsis forthwith returned to Pêlusium ${ }^{2}$ and took possession of his kingdom ${ }^{3}$; and the land was named Aegyptus after him. It is said that Sethôs was called Aegyptus, and his brother Harmaīs, Danaus." ${ }^{4}$
banquet), Diod. Sic. i. 57, 6.8. The tale appears to be a piece of folklore (Maspero, Journ. des Savants, 1901, pp. 599, 665 ff.). See Wainwright, Sky-Religion, p. 48.
${ }^{4}$ Danaus : cf. § 231. See Meyer, Aeg. Chron. p. 75, for the theory that the identification of Sethôs and Harmais with Aegyptus and Danaus is due, not to Manetho, but to a Jewish commentator or interpolator.

The tradition is that Danaus, a king of Egypt, was expelled by his brother and fled to Argos with his fiity daughters, and there "the sons of Aegyptus" were slain by "the daughters of Danaus." The legend appears to have existed in Egypt as well as in Greece : see Diod. Sic. i. 28.2,97.2. For attempts to explain the story in terms of Aegean pre-history, see J. L. Myres, Who Were the Greeks? (1930), pp. 323 ff ; M. P. Nilsson, The Mycenaean Origin of Greek Mythology (1932), p. 64.















 av̀тồ $\psi \epsilon v \delta o \lambda o \gamma i ́ a v . ~$

Fr. 51. Theophilus, Ad Autolycum, III, 20 (Otto).
'O $\delta \grave{\epsilon} M \omega \sigma \hat{\eta} s$ ód $\eta \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a{ }^{4}$ тoùs 'Iovסaíous, $\dot{\omega}$


${ }^{1} \delta \epsilon$ Eus. : om. L, Lat.<br>${ }^{2} \pi o v \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ Eus., Lat. : $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ L$.<br>${ }^{3} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ed. pr. (litteris Lat., libris Eus.): $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ L.<br>${ }^{4}$ Sc. ${ }^{\eta} \nu$ : $\omega \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma$ Boeckh.

${ }^{1}$ This total is reckoned from Tethmôsis (Amôsis) to the end of the reign of Sethôsis, the latter being taken as 60 years (cf. § 231, where Sethôs is said to have reigned for 59 years after driving out Hermaeus).

Such is Manetho's account ; and, if the time is reckoned according to the years mentioned, it is clear that the so-called Shepherds, our ancestors, quitted Egypt and settled in our land 393 years ${ }^{1}$ before the coming of Danaus to Argos. Yet the Argives regard Danaus as belonging to a remote antiquity. ${ }^{2}$ Thus Manetho has given us evidence from Egyptian records upon two very important points: first, upon our coming to Egypt from elsewhere; and secondly, upon our departure from Egypt at a date so remote that it preceded the Trojan war ${ }^{3}$ by wellnigh a thousand years. ${ }^{4}$ As for the additions which Manetho has made, not from the Egyptian records, but, as he has himself admitted, from anonymous legendary tales, ${ }^{5}$ I shall later refute them in detail, and show the improbability of his lying stories.

Fr. $51{ }^{6}$ (from Theophilus, Ad Autolyc. iii. 19).
Moses was the leader of the Jews, as I have already said, when they had been expelled from Egypt by
${ }^{2}$ The mythical King Inachus was held to be still more ancient: cf. Fr. 4, 1 (p. 19 n. 4).
${ }^{3}$ The traditional date of the Trojan war is $1192-1183$ B.c.
${ }^{4}$ This appears to be about four times too high a figure : 250 years would be a nearer estimate.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. Fr. 54, §§ 229, 287, for Manetho's use of popular traditions.
${ }^{6}$ This list of Dynasties XVIII., XIX. is obviously derived wholly from Josephus, any variations from the text of Josephus being morely corruptions. Theophilus, Bishop of Antioch, wrote his apologia for the Christian faith (three books addressed to a friend Autolycus) in the second half of ii. A.D.



2. Kai $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ то̂̀тоע $X \in \beta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta$ ' $\gamma^{\prime}$.
 є́ $\pi \tau$ á.
4. $M \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тoû̃ov $\dot{\eta}$ à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\eta}$ aủzov̂ ' $A \mu \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \eta$,

5. $M \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu M \eta ́ \eta \rho \eta s$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \iota \beta^{\prime}, \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s \theta^{\prime}$.
6. Mєтà $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тoûtov $M \eta \phi \rho a \mu \mu o u ́ \theta \omega \sigma \iota s, ~ \nexists \tau \eta$ $\kappa^{\prime},{ }^{2} \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s \iota^{\prime}$.
7. Kai $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тov̂тov $T v \theta \mu \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta s, \not{\epsilon} \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}, \mu \hat{\eta} v a s$ $\eta^{\prime}$.
8. Kai $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тồvov ' $A \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \phi \iota s,{ }^{3}{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \eta \lambda^{\prime}, \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s$ $\iota^{\prime}$.

 $\iota\left[\beta^{\prime}\right], \mu \hat{\eta} v a s a^{\prime} .{ }^{4}$
11. M $M \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu\left\langle ‘ P a \theta \hat{\omega} \tau \iota s,{ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
 $\epsilon^{\prime}>$.
13. 〈Mєтà $\delta$ ѐ тov̂тov ' $A \kappa>\epsilon[\gamma] \chi[\eta ́] \rho \eta s$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \subset \beta^{\prime}$, $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s \gamma^{\prime}$.
14. Tô̂ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ " $A \rho \mu a \ddot{̈} \varsigma,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \delta^{\prime}, \mu \hat{\eta} v a a^{\prime}$.
 $\delta^{\prime}$.



King Pharaôh whose name was Tethmôsis. After the expulsion of the people, this king, it is said, reigned for 25 years 4 months, according to Manetho's reckoning.
2. After him, Chebrôn ruled for 13 years.
3. After him, Amenôphis, for 20 years 7 months.
4. After him, his sister Amessê, for 21 years 1 month [ 9 months in Josephus].
5. After her, Mêphrês, for 12 years 9 months.
6. After him, Mêphrammuthôsis, for 20 years [ 25 years in Josephus] 10 months.
7. After him, Tuthmôsês, for 9 years 8 months.
8. After him, Amenôphis, for 30 years 10 months.
9. After him, Ôrus, for 36 years 5 months.
10. Next, his daughter [Acenchěrês] reigned for 12 years 1 month.
11. After her, [Rathôtis, for 9 years.
12. After him, Acenchêrês, for 12 years 5 months.
13. After him, Ac]enchêrês [II.], for 12 years 3 months.
14. His son Harmaïs, for 4 years 1 month.
15. After him, Ramessês for 1 year and 4 months.
16. After him, Ramessês Miammû(n), for 66 years 2 months.

[^33]Fr. 51, 52
17. Kai $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ тov̂тov ' $A \mu$ '́v $\omega \phi \iota s$, є̀ $\tau \eta ~ \iota \theta^{\prime}, \mu \eta ̂ \nu a s$ $5^{\prime}$.

 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \xi \iota \nu$ vavтєкท̂s.

Fr. 52. Syncellus, pp. 115, 130, 133.

Kata Aфpikanon.
'Oктшкаı $\delta є \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta \delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i \alpha \Delta \iota о \sigma \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \iota \iota s^{\prime}$.




 $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \in v \sigma \epsilon X \epsilon \beta \rho \omega^{\prime} s,{ }_{\epsilon} \tau \eta \geqslant \gamma^{\prime}$.

$T \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \rho \tau о s,{ }^{4}{ }^{~} A \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma$ is ${ }^{5}{ }^{5} \epsilon ँ \tau \eta \kappa \beta^{\prime}$.
${ }^{1}$ тoû $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ © $\Theta_{\text {ôraoos }}$ Otto.
${ }^{2}$ oűs Otto, adding after vautıк̂̂s the words кarà roùs ioíous xpóvous.
${ }^{3} \kappa a^{\prime} \mathrm{m} . \quad{ }^{4} \tau \epsilon \tau a ́ \rho \tau \eta$ Müller. $\quad{ }^{5}{ }^{2} A \mu \epsilon \rho \sigma$ is A.
${ }^{1}$ See p. 100 n .1.
${ }^{2}$ See p. 101 n. 2. On the basis of new evidence scholars now tend to conclude that the Exodus took place c. 1445 b.c. (see e.g. J. W. Jack, The Date of the Exodus, 1925): Jericho fell c. 1400 в.o. (J. Garstang, The Heritage of Solomon, 1934, p. 281).
${ }^{3}$ I.e. Africanus.
17. After him, Amenôphis, for 19 years 6 months. 18. Then, his son Sethôs, also called Ramessês, for 10 years. He is said to have possessed a large force of cavalry and an organized fleet.

## Dynasty XVIII.

Fr. 52 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Eighteenth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of 16 kings of Diospolis.

The first of these was Amôs, in whose reign Moses went forth from Egypt, ${ }^{2}$ as $I^{3}$ here declare; but, according to the convincing evidence of the present calculation ${ }^{4}$ it follows that in this reign Moses was still young.

The second king of the Eighteenth Dynasty, according to Africanus, was Chebrôs, who reigned for 13 years.

The third king, Amenôphthis, ${ }^{5}$ reigned for 24 (21) years.

The fourth king (queen), Amensis (Amersis), reigned for 22 years.

## - I.e. by Syncellus.

${ }^{5}$ This Greek transcription of "Amenhotpe," retaining both the labial and the dental, is the fullest form of the namo, "Amenôthês" showing assimilation: "Amenôphis," which is regularly used to represent "Amenhotpo," actually comes from another name, "Amen(em)ôpe" (B.G.). Tho month Phamenôth (February-March) is named from the "feast of Amenôthês '.

 є̇ті $\Delta \epsilon \cup к а \lambda i ́ \omega \nu о s ~ к а т а к \lambda \nu \sigma \mu о ́ s . ~$



$\zeta^{\prime} \operatorname{Tov} \theta \mu \omega \sigma \iota s,{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.



$\therefore \quad ' A \chi \in \rho \rho \hat{\eta} s, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \lambda \beta^{\prime}$.
$\alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} P \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \xi$.
« $\beta^{\prime} X \in \beta \rho{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \iota \beta^{\prime}$.
$\iota \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \chi \in \rho \rho \hat{\eta} \varsigma, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \iota \beta^{\prime}$.
$\iota^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} A \rho \mu \in \sigma$ ís $^{\prime}{ }^{1}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \epsilon^{\prime}$.
$\iota \epsilon^{\prime} \quad$ ' $P a \mu \in \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} s$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau о \varsigma a^{\prime}$
七5' 'A $A \in \nu \omega \phi \alpha^{\prime} \theta,{ }^{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \iota \theta$
' $O \mu \circ \hat{v},{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma \xi \gamma^{\prime}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{~B}:{ }^{\prime} A_{\mu \epsilon \sigma} \eta^{\prime} \mathrm{A} . \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}$ : ${ }^{\prime} A_{\mu \epsilon \nu}{ }^{\prime} \phi \mathrm{G}$.
${ }^{1}$ This note about Memnôn in both Africanus and Eusebius should be transferred to the ninth king of the dynasty, Ôrus or Amenôphis III.
[Footnote continued on opposite page.

The fifth, Misaphris, for 13 years.
The sixth, Misphragmuthôsis, for 26 years : in his reign the flood of Deucalion's time occurred.

Total, according to Africanus, down to the reign of Amôsis, also called Misphragmuthôsis, 69 years. Of the length of the reign of Amôs he said nothing at all.
7. Tuthmôsis, for 9 years.
8. Amenôphis, for 31 years. This is the king who was reputed to be Memnôn and a speaking statue. ${ }^{1}$
9. Orus, for 37 years.
10. Acherrês, ${ }^{2}$ for 32 years.
11. Rathôs, for 6 years.
12. Chebrês, for 12 years.
13. Acherrês, for 12 years.
14. Armesis, for 5 years.
15. Ramessês, for 1 year.
16. Amenôphath (Amenôph), for 19 years.

Total, 263 years.
The reference is to the two monolithic colossi of Amenôphis III. (Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, pp. 345 f.) : see Pausanias, i. 42 (the Thebans say it was a statue not of Memnôn, but of Phamenôph, who dwelt in those parts) with J. G. Frazer's note (vol. ii. pp. 530 f.), and Tacitus, Ann. ii. 61. Amenôphis III. (Memnôn) is correctly named in Greek Amenôth and Phamenôth by the poetess Balbilla (time of Hadrian) : see Werner Peok in Mitt. des Deutsch. Inst. für äg. Alt. in Kairo, v. 1 (1934), pp. 96, 99 ; Sammelbuch, 8211, 8213.
${ }^{2}$ For possiblo identifications of Nos. 10, 12, and 13 see p. 101 n. 1. Nos. 14, 15, and 16 should be transferred to Dynasty XIX.: soe p. 148 n .1 . Armesis (Armails) is probably Haremhab : Ramessês, vizier of Haremhab and afterwards Ramessês I., was probably of Heliopolitan origin (P. E. Newberry).

Fr. 53 (a). Syncellus, pp. 116, 129, 133, 135.

## Kata Eyzebion.

 $\beta$ абı入є́ $\omega \nu$ ८ $\delta^{\prime}$.

$\beta^{\prime} \quad X \epsilon \beta \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \varsigma, \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \iota \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} \quad$ ' $A \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \phi \iota \varsigma$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa \alpha^{\prime}$.




 ${ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \xi$. тòv $\gamma$ à $\rho \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \rho \tau о \nu ~ ' A \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \sigma \eta \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \delta \rho \alpha \mu \omega ́ \nu$, ỗ ó
 є́кодо́ $\beta \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
$5^{\prime}$ Tov́ $\theta \mu \omega \sigma \iota s$, є̃ $\tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.



$\theta^{\prime} \quad A_{\chi \in \nu \chi}{ }^{\prime} \rho \sigma \eta s,\left\langle\epsilon ้ \tau \eta \not \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle$.

$\langle K \epsilon \nu \chi \epsilon ́ \rho \eta s\rangle, \notin \tau \eta \iota 5^{\prime} .{ }^{2}$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iov $\alpha$ í $\omega \nu$ ท $\eta \gamma \eta$ ท́бaтo. (Syncellus adds: Móvos


 $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon i$.

## Fr. 53 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Eighteenth Dynasty consisted of fourteen kings of Diospolis.

The first of these, Amôsis, reigued for 25 years.
2. The second, Chebrôn, for 13 years.
3. Ammenôphis, for 21 years.
4. Miphrês, for 12 years.
5. Misphragmuthôsis, for 26 years.

Total from Amôsis, the first king of this Eighteenth Dynasty, down to the reign of Misphragmuthôsis amounts, according to Eusebius, to 71 years; and there are five kings, not six. For he omitted the fourth king, Amensês, mentioned by Africauus and the others, and thus cut off the 22 years of his reign.
6. Tuthmôsis, for 9 years.
7. Amenôphis, for 31 years. This is the king who was reputed to be Memnôn and a speaking statue. ${ }^{1}$
8. Orus, for 36 years (in another copy, 38 years).
9. Achenchersês [for 12 years].
[Athôris, for 39 years (? 9).]
[Cencherês] for 16 years.
About this time Moses led the Jews in their march out of Egypt. (Syncellus adds: Eusebius alone places in this reign the exodus of Israel under Moses, although no argument supports him, but all his predecessors hold a contrary view, as he testifies.)

$$
{ }^{1} \text { See p. } 113 \text { n. } 1 .
$$

[^34]```
\(\imath^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \chi \in \rho \rho \hat{\eta} s,{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}\).
\(\iota a^{\prime} X \in \rho \rho \hat{\eta} s, \ddot{\epsilon}_{\tau} \tau \eta \epsilon^{\prime}\).
```






```
        \(\gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu\).
```



```
\(\iota \delta^{\prime} ' A \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \phi \iota s, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu^{\prime}\).
```







``` \(\kappa \alpha \nu \varphi ิ\).
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 99.
```

Octava decima dynastia Diospolitarum regum XIV, quorum primus

Amoses, annis XXV.
Chebron, annis XIII.
Amophis, annis XXI.
Memphres, annis XII.
Mispharmuthosis, annis XXVI.
Tuthmosis, annis IX.
Amenophis, annis XXXI. Hic est qui Memnon putabatur, petra loquens.
Orus, annis XXVIII.
${ }^{1}$ Dindorf: ${ }^{\prime} A \mu \epsilon \sigma a \grave{\eta} \mathrm{~B}$.
10. Acherrês, for 8 years.
11. Cherrês, for 15 years.
12. Armaïs, also called Danaus, for 5 years: thereafter, he was banished from Egypt and, fleeing from his brother Aegyptus, he arrived in Greece, and, scizing Argos, he ruled over the Argives.
13. Ramessês, also called Aegyptus, for 68 years. 14. Ammenôphis, for 40 years.

Total, 348 years.
Eusebius assigns 85 years more than Africanus to the Eighteenth Dynasty. (Syncellus elsewhere says: Eusebius leaves out two kings, but adds 85 years, setting down 348 years instead of the 263 years of the reckoning of Africanus.)
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Eighteenth Dynasty consisted of fourteen kings of Diospolis. The first of these, Amoses, reigned for 25 years.
2. Chebron, for 13 years.
3. Amophis, for 21 years.
4. Memphres, for 12 years.
5. Mispharmuthosis, for 26 years.
6. Tuthmosis, for 9 years.
7. Amenophis, for 31 years. This is the king who was reputed to be Memnon, a speaking stone.
8. Orus, for 28 years.

Achencheres ${ }^{1}$. . . , annis XVI. Huius aetate Moses ducem se praebuit Hebraeis ab Aegypto excedentibus.
Acherres, annis VIII.
Cherres, annis XV.
Armaïs, qui et Danaus, annis V ; quibus peractis, Aegyptiorum regione pulsus Aegyptumque fratrem suum fugiens, evasit in Graeciam, Argisque captis, imperavit Argivis.
Ramesses, qui et Aegyptus, annis LXVIII.
Amenophis, annis XL.
Summa dominationis CCCXLVIII.

Fr. 54. Josephus, Contra Apionem, I, 26-31, §§ 227-287.


 íторíav є́к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad i \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \in \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \cup \cup \in \iota$




 $\nu \epsilon \grave{\omega} \nu \kappa а т а \sigma \kappa є v a ́ \sigma \alpha a \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ท’ко入ои́-


$$
{ }^{1} \text { A lacuna here, as in the Greek version. }
$$

${ }^{1}$ According to O.T. 1 Kings vi. 1, the building of Solomon's Temple was begun 480 years after the Exodus:
9. Achencheres . . . , for 16 years. In his time Moses became leader of the Hebrews in their exodus from Egypt.
10. Acherres, for 8 years.
11. Cherres, for 15 years.
12. Armaiss, also called Danaus, for 5 years: at the end of this time he was banished from the land of Egypt. Fleeing from his brother Aegyptus, he escaped to Greece, and after capturing Argos, he held sway over the Argives.
13. Ramesses, also called Aegyptus, for 68 years.
14. Amenophis, for 40 years.

Total for the dynasty, 348 years.
Fr. 54 (from Josephus, Contra Apionem, I. 26-31, §§ 227-287).
(Josephus discusses the calumnies of the Egyptians against the Jews, whom they hate.)

The first writer upon whom I shall dwell is one whom I used a little earlier as a witness to our antiquity. I refer to Manetho. This writer, who had undertaken to translate the history of Egypt from the sacred books, began by stating that our ancestors came against Egypt with many tens of thousands and gained the mastery over the inhabitants; and then he himself admitted that at a later date again they were driven out of the country, occupied what is now Judaea, founded Jerusalem, and built the temple. ${ }^{1}$ Up to this point he followed the chronicles: thereif the Exodus is dated c. 1445 b.c. (see p. 110 n .2 ), the Temple was founded c. 965 в.о.

Fr. 54
MANETHO





 каi ठıà тоиิто хро́vov aủтô тท̂s ßaбı入єías óрíqaı





 тоv́тov $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v}{ }^{2} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ кат’ av̀тóv ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota$








 $\theta \epsilon \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\eta}, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ " $\Omega \rho \in \hat{i} \mathrm{~s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ a v ่ \tau o \hat{v} \beta \epsilon \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v-$
${ }^{1} \pi \rho o \theta$ cis Cobet: $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta$ cis L .
${ }^{2}$ тoútov $\tau \hat{\omega} v \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \dot{v}$ conj. Niese (et ab hoc tempore regum


[^35]after, by offering to record the legends and current talk about the Jews, he took the liberty of interpolating improbable tales in his desire to confuse with us a crowd of Egyptians, who for leprosy and other maladies ${ }^{1}$ had been condemned, he says, to banishment from Egypt. After citing a king Amenôphis, a fictitious person,-for which reason he did not venture to define the length of his reign, although in the case of the other kings he adds their years precisely,-Manetho attaches to him certain legends, having doubtless forgotten that according to his own chronicle the exodus of the Shepherds to Jerusalem took place 518 years ${ }^{2}$ earlier. For Tethmôsis was king when they set out ; and, according to Manetho, the intervening reigns thereafter occupied 393 years down to the two brothers Sethôs and Hermaens, the former of whom, he says, took the new name of Aegyptus, the latter that of Danaus. Sethôs drove out Hermaens and reigned for 59 years; then Rampsês, the elder of his sons, for 66 years. Thus, after admitting that so many years had elapsed since our forefathers left Egypt, Manetho now interpolates this intruding Amenophis. This king, he states, conceiv cd a desire to behold the gods, as Or, ${ }^{3}$ one of his predecessors on

[^36]

 бофíav каi $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇ $\sigma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. єỉтєîv ov̂v
 $i \delta \epsilon \hat{u}, \epsilon i{ }^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho a ̀ \nu$ áтó $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$





${ }^{1}$ Ed. pr. (cf. § 243): Пámıos L<br>${ }^{2}$ Conj. Niese (after Lat.) : $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta^{\eta} \theta_{o u s} \mathrm{~L}$.

${ }^{1}$ For this Amenôphis, a historical personage, later deificd (cf. the deification of Imhotep, Fr. 11), Amenhotpe, son of Hapu, and minister of Amenôphis III., see G. Maspero, New Light on Ancient Egypt (1909), pp. 189-195 : Sethe, in Aegyptiaca (Ebers, Festschrift), 1897, pp. 107-116 : Breasted, Anc. Rec. ii. §§ $911 \mathrm{ff} . ;$ Warren R. Dawson, The Bridle of Pegasus, 1930, pp. 49-79. In 1934-35 excavations by the French Institute, Cairo, revealed all that remains of the splendour of the funerary temple of Amenbotpe, son of Hapu, among a series of such temples to the $\mathfrak{N}$. of Medinet Habu : see Robichon and Varillo, Le Temple du Scribe Royal Amenhotep, Fils de Hapou, i. Cairo, 1936. An inscription of iii. B.c. (and therefore
 "Precepts of Amenôtes or Amenôphis," was published by Wilcken in Aegyptiaca, 1897, pp. 142 ff . It is inscribed upon a limestone ostracon of Deir el-Bahri; and the first three injunctions run: "Practise wisdom along with justice," "Revere both the gods and your parents," 122
the throne, had done; and he communicated his desire to his namesake Amenôphis, ${ }^{1}$ Paapis' son, who, in virtue of his wisdom and knowledge of the future, was reputed to be a partaker in the divine nature. This namesake, then, replied that he would be able to see the gods if he cleansed the whole land of lepers and other polluted persons. The king was delighted, and assembled ${ }^{2}$ all those in Egypt whose bodies were wasted by disease: they numbered 80,000 persons.
" Take counsel at leisure, but accomplish speedily whatever you do ".

An ostracon, found at Deir el-Bahri, and giving the draft of an inscription concerning the deified Amenôphis, was published by A. Bataille, Etudes de Papyrologic, IV. (1938), pp. 125-131: it celebrates the cure of a certain Polyaratos. See O. Guéraud in Bull. Inst. Fr. d’Arch. Or., xxvii. (1927), pp. 121 ff., P. Jouguet, "Les Grands Dieux de la Pierre Sainte à Thèbes," Mélanges Glotz, II. pp. $493-500$.

For the historical interpretation of this whole passage, $\$ \S 232-251$, see Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{2}$, ii. 1, pp. $421 \mathrm{ff} . \quad$ King Amenôphis is at one time Merneptah, son of Rameses II.; at another time, Amenôphis IV. (Akhnaten), some 200 years earlier. The doings of the polluted, the persecution of the gods, and the slaughter of the holy animals, clearly portray the fury of Akhnaten and his followers against Egyptian religion. For a popular Egyptian parallel to §§ 232 ff ., see the Potter's Oracle, one of the Rainer Papyri (iii. A.D.) edited by Wilcken in Hermes, xl. 1905, pp. 544 ff . and by G. Manteuffel, De Opusculis Graecis Acgypti e papyris, ostracis, lapidibusque collectis, 1930, No. 7; and cf. the prophecy of the lamb, Manctho, Fr. 64.

For a theory about the identity of the polluted (they are the troops of Scthôs I., sent to Tanis by his father Ramessês I. during the ascendaney of Haremhab), see P. Montet, "La Stèle de l'An 400 Retrouvco," in Kćmi, iii. 1935, pp. 191-215.
${ }^{2}$ In an incredibly short time (§ 257).






















${ }^{1}$ єiєv $\kappa \in \chi \propto \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ éroı conj．Holwerda：oí é $\gamma \kappa є \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ évo九 L．
${ }^{2}$ бvvє $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ c o n j$ ．Niese：$\sigma v v \epsilon \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s$ Dindorf：$\sigma v \gamma-$ $\kappa \in \chi \nu \mu$ е́rous L ．

${ }^{4} \delta$ ’ $\epsilon \nu$ Bekker ：$\delta e ̀$ L．$\quad{ }^{5} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ b r a c k e t e d ~ b y ~ N i e s e . ~$
${ }^{6}$ єis bracketed as apparently spurious by Niese：〈óp ${ }^{\prime} \eta^{-}$

＇L．：＇Ooápo九фov conj．Hudson．
${ }^{8}$ Transp．Niese（a more natural place for the participle）：入єүófıєо́v тиа ．．．＇Og．L．

These he cast into the stone-quarries ${ }^{1}$ to the east of the Nile, there to work segregated from the rest of the Egyptians. Among them, Manetho adds, there were some of the learned priests, who had been attacked by leprosy. Then this wise seer Amenôphis was filled with dread of divine wrath against himself and the king if the outrage done to these persons should be discovered; and he added a prediction that certain allies would join the polluted people and would take possession of Egypt for 13 years. Not venturing to make this prophecy himself to the king, he left a full account of it in writing, and then took his own life. The king was filled with despondency. Then Manetho continues as follows (I quote his account verbatim) : "When the men in the stone-quarries had suffered bardships for a considerable time, they begged the king to assign to them as a dwelling-place and a refuge the deserted city of the Shepherds, Auaris, and he consented. According to religious tradition ${ }^{2}$ this city was from earliest times dedicated to Typhôn. Occupying this city and using the region as a base for revolt, they appointed as their leader one of the priests of Hêliopolis called Osarsêph, ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ The quarries of Tura were known to Herodotus (ii. 8, 124) as the source of building-stone for the Pyramids.

On forced labour in quarries in Ptolemaic times, Reinach refers to Bouché-Leclercq, Histoire des Lagides, iii. 241 ; iv. 193, 337 f.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Fr. 42, § 78.
${ }^{3}$ Osarsêph, the leader of the movemont, is later (§ 250) identified with Moses. The name Osarseph is a possible Egyptian name: $c f$. Ranke, Personennamen 1. p. 85, No. 3 wsir-sp'. Wilcken (Chrestomathie, i. 1, p. 106) derives the name from a holy animal Sêph; but the Jews would naturally see in it a form of the name Joseph.

тои́т $\quad \pi \epsilon \ell \theta a \rho \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s^{1}{ }^{1} \nu \quad \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \kappa \omega \mu о ́ \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.


 $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \quad \theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ каi à àa入о仑̂v，$\sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $240 \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i \quad \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu о \mu \omega \mu \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu .{ }^{2}$ то九аv̂та $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $v о \mu о \theta \epsilon \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha s$ каi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau \alpha ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \alpha ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau о \imath ิ \varsigma ~$


 $241 \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha$ ．av̉тòs $\delta \in ́, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s \quad \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ є́avtov̂



 тoùs $\sigma v \nu a \tau \iota \mu a \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha s ~ \delta \eta \lambda c ́ \sigma \alpha a s ~ \eta ’ \xi i o v ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$
 oûv aủ $\frac{1}{}$


 $243 \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \alpha \rho \epsilon i \hat{s} \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega s$ $\epsilon i s \kappa^{\prime} \mu v \rho \iota \alpha ́ \delta a s ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \xi \omega \dot{\rho} \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$
${ }^{1}$ Ed. pr.: - - $\sigma \sigma a v \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~L} . \quad{ }^{2}$ Niese : $\sigma v \nu \omega \mu o \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \mathrm{~L}$.
${ }^{3} \tau \iota v a s$ add. Reinach (quosdam Lat.).
${ }^{4} \epsilon \pi a v a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$ conj. Cobet.

1 ＂Does the author know that the Decalogue begins with an admonition to have no other god but Jehovah ？ Or does he recall Greek lists of duties（Xen．，Mem．iv．4， 126
and took an oath of obedience to him in everything. First of all, he made it a law ${ }^{1}$ that they should neither worship the gods nor refrain from any of the animals ${ }^{2}$ prescribed as especially sacred in Egypt, but should sacrifice and consume all alike. and that they should have intercourse with none save those of their own confederacy. After framing a great number of laws like these, completely opposed to Egyptian custom, he ordered them with their multitude of hands, to repair the walls of the city and make ready for war against King Amenôphis. Then, acting in concert with certain other priests and polluted persons like himself, he sent an embassy to the Shepherds who had been expelled by Tethmôsis, ${ }^{3}$ in the city called Jerusalem; and, setting forth the circumstances of himself and his companions in distress, he begged them to unite wholeheartedly in an attack upon Egypt. He offered to conduct them first to their ancestral home at Auaris, to provide their hosts with lavish supplies, to fight on their behalf whenever need arose, and to bring Egypt without difficulty under their sway. Overjoyed at the proposal, all the Shepherds, to the number of 200,000 , eagerly set out,

19; Carmen Aureum, v. l; cf. Dieterich, Nekyia, pp. 146 f.) which inculcate reverence for the gods as the first precept?" (Reinach). Add Isocrates, Ad Demonicum, $\S \S$ 13, 16, and the Precepts of Sansn6s (ii./iii. A.d.), as inscribed in Nubia, C.I.G. iii. 5041 (Wilcken, Chrestomathie, I. ii. p. 147, No. 116)-the first precept is "Revere the divinity ".
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Tac., Hist. v. 4 : the Jews under Moses sacrificed the ram as if to insult Ammôn, and the bull, because the Egyptians worship Apis. Of. O.'T'. Leviticus xvi. 3.
${ }^{3}$ Tethmôsis for Amôsis, as in Fr. 50 (§ 9.4).


 ' $A \mu \epsilon \nu \omega ́ \phi \epsilon \omega s$ то̂ Паа́тьоs $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon i s ~ \pi \rho о \delta \eta \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ 244 каі $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ аvvaүа $\bar{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ оs $A i \gamma v \pi \tau i \omega \nu$ каі









 $246 \alpha \nu \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha{ }^{4}{ }^{4}$ ov̉ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \hat{\nu}{ }^{5} \quad \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \alpha \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath}{ }^{\prime}$
 $\tau \epsilon \tau о ́ \nu \tau \epsilon{ }^{\text {² }} A \pi \iota \nu$ каі $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ є’кєї $\sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \mu-$




 є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon i \omega \nu$, каi $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ к а i ~ к \omega ́ \mu \alpha s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

```
\({ }^{1}\) Om. Lat. : bracketed by Bekker.
```



```
\({ }^{3}\) Conj. Niese (cum aliis Lat.).
\({ }^{4}\) Cobet (occurrens Lat.) : ámavrŋ́aaou L.
\({ }^{5}\) Herwerden (cf.§ 263) : \(\mu \dot{\mu} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{L}\).
\({ }^{6}\) Niese (after Lat.) : \({ }^{\circ} \theta \epsilon \nu \mathrm{L}\).
```

and before long arrived at Auaris. When Amenôphis, king of Egypt, learned of their invasion, he was sorely troubled, for he recalled the prediction of Amenôphis, son of Paapis. First, he gathered a multitude of Egyptians; and having taken counsel with the leading men among them, he summoned to his presence the sacred animals which were held in greatest reverence in the temples, and gave instructions to each group of priests to conceal the images of the gods as securely as possible. As for his five-year-old son Sethôs, also called Ramessês after his grandfather Rapsês, ${ }^{1}$ he sent him safely away to his friend. ${ }^{2}$ He then crossed the Nile with as many as 300,000 of the bravest warriors of Egypt. and met the enemy. But, instead of joining battle, he decided that he must not fight against the gods, and made a hasty retreat to Memphis. There he took into his charge Apis and the other sacred animals which he had summoned to that place; and forthwith he set off for Ethiopia ${ }^{3}$ with his whole army and the host of Egyptians. The Ethiopian king, who, in gratitude for a service, had become his subject, welcomed him, maintained the whole multitude with such products of the country as were fit for human consumption,
${ }^{1}$ Rapsês: doubtless an error for Rampsês. There is confusion here: the grandfather is Ramessês II. See Meyer (Aeg. Chron. p. 91), who considers the words "Sethôs also called " an interpolation (cf. § 98), intended to identify a Sethôs son of Amenôphis and a Ramessês son of Amenôphis.
${ }^{2}$ A curious indefiniteness: the reference may be to the king of Ethiopia, mentioned in the next section.
${ }^{3}$ The truth is that Ethiopia (Nubia, Cush) was at that time a province of the kingdom of the Pharaohs.


 тoîs $\pi a \rho '$ ' $A \mu \epsilon \nu \omega ́ \phi \epsilon \omega s$ тô̂ ßaбı入є́ $\omega s$ є̇ $\pi i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 248 ópí $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ Aìúrттоv. каі $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ кат̀̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Aitıo-

 $\langle\dot{\omega} \mu \hat{\omega} s\rangle^{2} \tau \circ \hat{\iota} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi о \iota s \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \nu \epsilon ́ \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, \omega^{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$



 छóava $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \eta \rho \kappa о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau о, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ́ \delta u ́ \tau о \iota s{ }^{4}$



 каi тoùs vó $\mu$ ovs aùтoîs катаßа入ó $\mu \in \nu$ оs iєpєús, тò


 27 M $\omega v a \hat{\eta} s$.'
251


${ }^{1}+\epsilon i s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{~L}$ (repeating $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ above): a verb (e.g. $\pi а \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu)$ seems to have dropped out.
${ }^{2}$ Add. Reinach. ${ }^{3}$ Add. Reinach.
${ }^{4}$ Bekker: aủroîs L.
${ }^{6}$ Cobet: om. L.
${ }^{6}$ Cf. § 238 : 'Oqapoí edd.

[^37]assigned to them cities and villages sufficient for the destined period of 13 years' banishment from his realm, and especially stationed an Ethiopian army on the frontiers of Egypt to guard King Amenôphis and his followers. Such was the situation in Ethiopia. Meanwhile, the Solymites [or dwellers in Jerusalem] made a descent along with the polluted Egyptians, and treated the people so impiously and savagely that the domination of the Shepherds seemed like a golden age to those who witnessed the present enormities. For not only did they set towns and villages on fire, pillaging the temples and mutilating images of the gods without restraint, but they also made a practice of using the sanctuaries as kitchens to roast the sacred animals which the people worshipped: and they would compel the priests and prophets to saerifice and butcher the beasts, afterwards casting the men forth naked. It is said that the priest who framed their constitution and their laws was a native of Hêliopolis, named Osarsêph after the god Osiris, worshipped at Hêliopolis; but when he joined this people, he ehanged his name and was called Moses." ${ }^{1}$

Such, then, are the Egyptian stories about the Jews, ${ }^{2}$ together with many other tales which I pass
anti-Semitic commentator on Manetho. It is interesting that Osiris should be thus identified with the mysterious god of the Jews, whose name must not be uttered.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{Cf}$. Hecataeus of Abdera (in Diodorus Siculus, xl. 3): the Jews are foreigners expelled from Egypt becauso of a plague. Sce Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{2}$, ii. 1, p. 424. Hecataeus lived for some time at the court of Ptolemy 1. (323-285 в.c.), and used Egyptian sources for his Aegyptiaca. ('f. Intro. pp. xxvif.










 єival tò $\gamma \epsilon ́ v o s$ Aì

 v̈́т $\tau \rho \rho \frac{\nu}{} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Ai$\gamma v \pi \tau i \omega \nu$ oi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda \omega \beta \eta-$


 $82^{\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu}{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \nu$.


 $\epsilon i \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ тoùs $\pi a \rho$ ' av̉тoîs $\nu \epsilon \nu o \mu o \theta \epsilon \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ßои̂̀ каі тоáүоу каі крокобєìdovs каi кขขокєфа́-



[^38]by for brevity's sake. Manetho adds, however, that, at a later date, Amenôphis advanced from Ethiopia with a large army, his son Rampsês also leading a force, and that the two together joined battle with the Shepherds and their polluted allies, and defeated them. killing many and pursuing the others to the frontiers of Syria. This then, with other tales of a like nature, is Manetho's account. Before I give proof that his words are manifest lies and nonsense, I shall mention one particular point, which bears upon my later refutation of other writers. Manetho has made one concession to us. He has admitted that our race was not Egyptian in origin, but came into Egypt from elsewhere, took possession of the land, and afterwards left it. But that we were not, at a later time, mixed up with disease-ravaged Egyptians, and that, so far from being one of these, Moses, the leader of our people, lived many generations earlier, I shall endeavour to prove from Manetho's own statements.

To begin with, the reason which he suggests for his fiction is ridiculous. "King Amenôphis," he says, "conceived a desire to see the gods." Gods indeed! If he means the gods estahlished by their ordinances,-bull, goat, crocodiles, and dog-faced baboons,-he had them before his eyes; and as for the gods of heaven, how could he see them? And why did he conceive this eager desire? Because, by Zeus, ${ }^{1}$ before his time another king
${ }^{1}$ A strange expression which seems to belong to an anti-Semitic polemic. In Josephus, c. Apion. ii. 263 (a passage about Socrates), 访 $\Delta i a$ has been restored to the text by Niese's conjecture.



 тои̂то катор $\theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ó $\beta$ абı $\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} s$ viтє $\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon$. каi





 $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ oióv $\tau \epsilon \mu \iota a ̣ ̂ ~ \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ \nu ~ \eta ̛ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \eta ̂ \nu a \iota ; ~ \pi \omega ̂ s$








 $\mu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \iota s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a u ́ \tau o v ̂ ~ \theta a ́ v a \tau o v ~ \pi \rho o \eta \pi i \sigma \tau a \tau o ; ~ \pi \hat{\omega} s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$


 $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ô̂ $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu{ }^{2}$ є́avtò̀ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \pi \epsilon \cup \delta \epsilon \nu$;

${ }^{1}$ Ed. pr. : $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \rho \eta \sigma w$ L.
${ }^{2}$ herwerden (quam quod se ipse perimere festinabat Lat.):
ov® ${ }^{\text {à } 2 \mathrm{~L} .}$

134
had seen them! From this predecessor, then, he had learned their nature and the manner in which he had seen them, and in consequence he had no need of a new system. Moreover, the prophet by whose aid the king expected to succeed in his endeavour, was a sage. How, then, did he fail to foresee the impossibility of realizing this desire? It did, in fact, come to naught. And what reason had he for ascribing the invisibility of the gods to the presence of cripples or lepers? Divine wrath is due to impious deeds, not to physical deformities. Next, how could 80,000 lepers and invalids be gathered together in practically a single day? And why did the king turn a deaf ear to the prophet? The prophet had bidden him expel the cripples from Egypt, but the king cast them into stone-quarries, as if he needed labourers, not as if his purpose was to purge the land. Manetho says, moreover, that the prophet took his own life, because he foresaw the anger of the gods and the fate in store for Egypt, but left in writing his prediction to the king. Then how was it that the prophet had not from the first foreknowledge of his own death? Why did he not forthwith oppose the king's desire to see the gods? Was it reasonable to be afraid of misfortuncs which were not to happen in his time? Or what worse fate could have been his than that which he hastened to inflict upon himself?

But let us now examine ${ }^{1}$ the most ridiculous part

[^39]$\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ фоß ${ }_{\eta} \theta \epsilon i ́ s$,


 $\phi \eta \sigma \iota, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ оiк$\eta \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \nu$ vi $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Поц $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$,





 $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu о \mu \omega \mu о \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu,{ }^{3}$ ӧркоьs $\tau \epsilon \tau$ о̀ $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{\text {os }}$
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of the whole story. Although he had learned these facts, and had conceived a dread of the future, the king did not, even then, expel from his land those cripples of whose taint he had previously been bidden to purge Egypt, but instead, at their request, he gave them as their city (Manetho says) the former habitation of the Shepherds, Auaris, as it was called. Here, he adds, they assembled, and selected as their leader a man who had formerly been a priest in Heliopolis. This man (according to Manetho) instructed them not to worship the gods nor to refrain from the animals revered in Egypt, but to sacrifice and devour them all, and to have intercourse with none save those of their own confederacy. Then having bound his followers by oath to abide strictly by these laws, he fortified Auaris and waged war against the king. This leader, Manetho adds, sent to Jerusalem, inviting the people to join in alliance with him, and promising to give them Auaris, which, he reminded them, was the ancestral home of those who would come from Jerusalem, and would serve as a base for their conquest of the whole of Egypt. Then, continues Manetho, they advanced with an army of 200,000 men; and Amenôphis, king of Egypt, thinking he ought not to fight against the gods, fled straightway into Ethiopia after enjoining that Apis and some of the other sacred animals should be entrusted to the custody of the priests. Thereafter, the men from Jerusalem came on, made desolate the cities, burned down the temples, massacred

[^40][^41]
 тoùs vó $\mu o v s$ aủzoîs катаßa入ó $\mu \in \nu o{ }^{1}{ }^{1} i \in \rho \in u ̛ ́ s, ~ \phi \eta \sigma i v$,






 $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a ́ \chi \eta$ каi ктєîval mo入入oùs $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \iota \iota \omega \neq \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ $29 \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\jmath} s \Sigma v \rho i ́ a s$ ӧ $\rho \omega \nu$ ．
267 ＇Ev тоv́тoıs $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma v \nu i \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ả $\pi \theta$ áv $\omega s$ $\psi \epsilon v-$ ठó $\mu \epsilon \nu$ os．oi $\gamma$ à $\rho \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho o i ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau ’ ~ a u ̀ \tau ~ \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$ ，
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\grave{l}}$ aùтov̀s $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad[\tau \epsilon]^{3} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau о \hat{v}$

 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega{ }^{4}$ äv $\gamma \epsilon \gamma o ́ v \epsilon \iota \sigma a \nu$ тра́óтєро८ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ v . ~$




 av่т $\omega \nu$ $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau o ́ \lambda \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu ~ o v ่ \delta ' ~ v i \pi \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu-~$


${ }^{1} E d . p r$. ：катаßа入入ó $\mu \in \nu=s \mathrm{~L}$ ．
${ }^{2}$＇Oqapoi申 ed．pr．：＇Apoウ̀ф L
${ }^{3}$ Om．Lat．，Bekker．
${ }^{4} E d . p r .: \pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ L，Lat．
${ }^{5} \epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ є̀ $\tau \iota$ conj．Niese（ porro si adhuc Lat．）．
the priests, and, in short, committed every possible kind of lawlessness and savagery. The priest who framed their constitution and their laws was, according to Manetho, a native of Hêliopolis, Osarsêph by name, after Osiris the god worshipped in Hêliopolis: but he changed his name and called himself Moses. Thirteen years later-this being the destined period of his exile-Amenôphis, according to Manctho, advanced from Ethiopia with a large army, and joining battle with the Shepherds and the polluted people, he defeated them, killing many, after pursuing them to the frontiers of Syria.

Here again Manetho fails to realize the improbability of his lying tale. Even if the lepers and their accompanying horde were previously angry with the king and the others who had treated them thus in obedience to the seer's prediction, certainly when they had left the stone-quarries and received from him a city and land, they would have grown more kindly disposed to him. If indeed they still hated him, they would have plotted against him personally, instead of declaring war against the whole people; for obviously so large a company must have had numerous relatives in Egypt. Notwithstanding, once they had resolved to make war on the Egyptians, they would never have ventured to direct their warfare against their gods, nor would they have framed laws completely opposed to the ancestral code under which they had been brought up. We must, however, be grateful to Manetho for stating that the

[^42]
 à $\lambda \lambda$ ' aúzov̀s є́кєívous ővтаs Aizvттious каi тои́-


 aủzoîs каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фì $\omega \nu$ бvva
 тоѝs $\mu \iota a \rho o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ ‘ I \epsilon \rho о \sigma o ́ \lambda \nu \mu а ~ к а і ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi а \rho ’ ~ \epsilon ’ к \epsilon i v \omega \nu ~$









 $\tau i ́ \pi o \tau ’ a ̆ v ~ \epsilon ́ \chi \theta \rho o i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau a ~ \lambda \epsilon-$ $\lambda \omega \beta \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu o เ s$, ov̂s $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oiкє $i \omega \omega \nu$ oủ $\delta \epsilon i$ is vi $\pi \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon$,
 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \tau o ̀ \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \quad \pi \rho \circ \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \delta \rho a \sigma \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$

${ }^{1}$ Bekker (consensit Lat.) : ovvaroor $\bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota$
${ }^{2}$ Hudson (moribus Lat.)
$\eta \eta^{2} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ L . ~$

[^43][Footnote continued on opposite page.
authors of this lawlessness were not the newcomers from Jerusalem, but that company of people who were themselves Egyptians, and that it was, above all, their priests who devised the scheme and bound the multitude by oath.

Moreover, how absurd it is to imagine that, while none of their relatives and friends joined in the revolt and shared in the perils of war, these polluted persons sent to Jerusalem and gained allies there! What alliance, what connexion had previously existed between them? Why, on the contrary, they were enemies, and differed widely in customs. Yet Manetho says that they lent a ready ear to the promise that they would occupy Egypt, just as if they were not thoroughly acquainted with the country from which they had been forcibly expelled ! Now, if they had been in straitened or unhappy circumstances, they would perhaps have taken the risk; but dwelling, as they did, in a prosperous city and enjoying the fruits of an ample country, superior to Egypt, why ever should they be likely to hazard their lives by succouring their former foes, those maimed cripples, whom none even of their own kinsfolk could endure? For of course they did not foresee that the king would take flight. On the contrary, Manetho has himself stated that the son ${ }^{1}$ of

Pêlusium, " the celebrated eastern seaport and key to Egypt" (Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, pp. 197 f.), the famous frontier fortress, in Ancient Egyptian Snw. A scarab of the late Twelfth Dynasty or early Thirteenth, published by Newberry in J. Eg. Arch. xviii. (1932), p. 141, shows the place-name written within the fortress-sign. The name Pêlusium is from $\pi \eta$ خhós " mud": $c f$. Strabo, 17. 1, 21, for the muddy pools or marshes around Pêlusium.

 $\eta ̋ \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ oi $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ ，т $̀ \nu$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́-$











 то́тє $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega ~ к \rho а т о \hat{\nu \tau є \epsilon ~ а u ̉ \tau \eta ́ \nu, ~ \zeta \eta ̂ \nu ~ \pi \nu \nu \theta а \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~}$









 то入̀̀v хро́vov є́кєî $\gamma \in \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ к а і ~ к а к о \pi а Ө о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$ ， то入入oùs $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu$ тaîs $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\mu a ́ \chi \alpha \iota s, \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau o v s$ $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha i ́ a ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \phi v \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ．

[^44]Amenôphis marched with 300,000 men to confront them at Pêlusium. This was certainly known to those already present; but how could they possibly guess that he would change his mind and flee? Manetho next says that, after conquering Egypt, the invaders from Jerusalem committed many heinous crimes; and for these he reproaches them, just as if he had not brought them in as enemies, or as if he was bound to accuse allies from abroad of actions which before their arrival native Egyptians were performing and had sworn to perform. But, years later, Amenôphis returned to the attack, conquered the enemy in battle, and drove them, with slaughter, right to Syria. So perfectly easy a prey is Egypt to invaders, no matter whence they come! And yet those who at that time conquered the land, on learning that Amenôphis was alive, neither fortified the passes between it and Ethiopia, although their resources were amply sufficient, nor did they keep the rest of their forces in readiness! Amenôphis, according to Manetho, pursued them with carnage over the sandy desert right to Syria. But obviously it is no easy matter for an army to cross the desert even without fighting.

Thus, according to Manetho, our race is not of Egyptian origin, nor did it receive any admixture of Egyptians. For, naturally, many of the lepers and invalids died in the stone-quarries during their long term of hardship, many others in the subsequent battles, and most of all in the final engagement and the rout.

[^45]31
पoı $\pi o ́ v ~ \mu o \imath ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ M \omega v \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega s ~$
 каi $\theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \nu o \mu i \zeta o v \sigma \iota, ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda o \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \pi т ь \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ a र ् v-~$

 $280 \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \rho \alpha \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \eta \lambda a \sigma \mu \in ́ v o \nu . \quad \delta \epsilon i \kappa v v \tau \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime}$ є̇v $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \varsigma$ ảvaүрафаîs òктшкаí'єка бùv тоîs $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о \sigma i o ı s ~$










 $\mu o v ̀ s ~ \pi \eta \gamma \alpha i \omega \nu ~ v i \delta a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \lambda o v \tau \rho o i ̂ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \xi u \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon เ s ~$


 $\tau \iota \nu i$ каi фi入av $\theta \rho \omega \pi i a ́ ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \nu \mu-$
 $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \eta \eta^{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s$. ov̉ $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\tau \alpha \tau o ́ v ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \mu а \tau о s ~ \eta ̀ к \rho \omega \tau \eta \rho \iota a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ i \in \rho \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$


[^46]It remains for me to reply to Manetho's statements about Moses. The Egyptians regard him as a wonderful, even a divine being, but wish to claim him as their own by an incredible calumny, alleging that he belonged to Hêliopolis and was dismissed from his priesthood there owing to leprosy. The records, however, show that he lived 518 years ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ earlier, and led our forefathers up out of Egypt to the land which we inhabit at the present time. And that he suffered from no such physical affliction is clear from his own words. He has, in fact, forbidden lepers ${ }^{2}$ either to stay in a town or to make their abode in a village; they must go about in solitude, with their garments rent. Anyone who touches them or lives under the same roof with them he considers unclean. Moreover, even if the malady is cured and the leper resumes normal health, Moses has prescribed certain rites of purification-to cleanse himself in a bath of spring-water and to shave off all his hair,-and enjoins the performance of a number of different sacrifices before entrance into the holy city. Yet it would have been natural, on the contrary, for a victim of this scourge to show some consideration and kindly feeling for those who shared the same misfortune. It was not only about lepers that he framed such laws: those who had even the slightest mutilation of the body were disqualified for the priesthood ; ${ }^{3}$ and if a priest in the course of his ministry met with an
${ }^{1} 518$ years. Seen. on § 230.
${ }^{2}$ For the laws of leprosy, here summarized, see O.T. Leviticus xiii. (especially 45 f .) and xiv.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Leviticus xxi. 17-23 (exclusion from the priesthood of anyone " that hath a blemish ").



 286 oैvєєठós $\tau \epsilon \kappa$ каi $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta \nu \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ \sigma v \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ; ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$









 $\epsilon і \rho \eta \kappa о ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon v \sigma \in \nu$.

```
\({ }^{1} \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}\) 'кeivol Niese. \(\quad{ }^{2}\) Add. Niese.
```



```
    \({ }^{4}\) Ed. pr. : 'Oapaŋ̀ \(\phi\) L.
\({ }^{5}\) Bracketed as a gloss (Niese).
\({ }^{6}\) Beaker: cai \(\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda\) av \(\delta^{\prime} \mathrm{L}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right.\) om. ed. pr.).
```

[^47]accident of this nature, he was deprived of his office. How improbable, then, that Moses should be so foolish as to frame these laws, or that men brought together by such misfortunes should approve of legislation against themselves, to their own shame and injury! But, further, the name, too, has been transformed in an extremely improbable way. According to Manetho, Moses was called Osarsêph. These names, however, are not interchangeable : the true name means " one saved out of the water," for water is called " mo-y" by the Egyptians."

It is now, therefore, sufficiently obvious, I think, that, so long as Manetho followed the ancient records, he did not stray far from the truth; but when he turned to unauthorized legends, he either combined them in an improbable form or else gave credence to certain prejudiced informants.
$M s . i$ from the Old Kingdom, Ms (very common) from the New Kingdom. In Exodus ii. 10 "Moses" is "drawn out " (Hebr. mashah) of the water-a derivation " hardly meant to be taken seriously " (T. H. Robinson, in Oesterley and Robinson, History of Israel, I. p. 81).

See further Alan H. Gardiner, "The Egyptian Origin of some English Personal Names," in Journ. of Amer. Orient. Soc. 56 (1936), pp. 192-4. Gardiner points out (p. 195, n. 28) that von̂s (mentioned above) is clearly a perversion of aoı ${ }^{\text {n }}$ [or $\epsilon \sigma \iota \hat{\eta} s,=$ Egyptian h.sy, "praised," $\left.\mathrm{LS}^{9}\right]$, the Greek equivalent of the Coptic hasie, "favoured "; but an Egyptian became " favoured " by the fact of being drowned, not by being saved from drowning.

Fr．55．Syncellus，p．134．Kata AdPikanon．
 $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．

```
a' \sum\epsilon'0\omegas, 白T\eta\nu\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}.
\beta' 'Pa\psi\alpháк\etas, !゙\tau\eta \xiа'..
\gamma' 'A\mu\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilon'ф0\etas, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\tau}\eta\mp@subsup{\kappa}{}{\prime}.
\delta' 'Pa\mu\in\sigma\sigma\hat{\eta}s, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\tau}\eta\eta\mp@subsup{\xi}{}{\prime}.
```



```
s' \Thetaov́\omega\rhoıs, ò \piа\rho' 'O\mu\eta\prime\rho\omega}\mp@subsup{}{}{3}\kappaа\lambdaоv́\muєvos Пó
```




```
' O\muо仑ै, 光\tau\eta \sigma0'.
```

${ }^{1}$ MSS．：5＇Müller，who explains the error as due to someone who thought that＇$A \lambda \kappa \alpha{ }^{2} \delta \rho a s$ áv＇$\rho$ denoted a seventh king．
${ }^{2} \xi \Sigma^{\prime}$ Müller．
${ }^{3}$ Odyssey，iv． 126.

${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XIX．：c．1310－1200 b．c．The lists given by Africanus and Eusebius for Dynasty XIX．are in very bad confusion．Armaïs（Haremhab）should begin the line， which Meyer gives as follows ：－

Haremhab：Ramessês I．：Sethôs I．：Ramessês II． （the Louis Quatorze of Egyptian history ： 67 years，see Breasted，Anc．Rec．iv．§ 471 ；C．A．H．ii．pp． 139 ff．）： Merneptah ：Amenmesês ：Merneptah II．Siptah ：Sethôs II．：Ramessês Siptah：＜Arsu the Syrian＞．

W．Struve（Die Ara àmò Mєyód $\rho \epsilon \omega$ s und die XIX．Dynastie Manethos，in Zeitschr．für äg．Sprache，Bd． 63 （1928），pp． 45－50）gives a revised sequence with additional identifica－ tions：（1）Harmais（Haremhab），（2）Ramessês I．，（3） Amenôphath（Seti I．Merneptah），（4）Sesôs（Struve＇s emendation for Sethôs），also called Ramessês Miamoun 148

## Dynasty XIX.

Fr. 55 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Nineteenth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of seven (six) kings of Diospolis.

1. Sethôs, for 51 years.
2. Rapsacês, for 61 (66) years.
3. Ammenephthês, for 20 years.
4. Ramessês, for 60 years.
5. Ammenemnês, for 5 years.
6. Thuôris, who in Homer is called Polybus, husband of Alcandra, and in whose time Troy was taken, ${ }^{2}$ reigned for 7 years.
Total, 209 years.
(Ramessês II. Seso), (5) Amenephthês (Merneptah), (6) [Amenophthês or Menophthês, emended from the form Menophrês in Theon of Alexandria], (Seti II. Merneptah), (7) Ramessês III. Siptah, (8) Ammenemes (Amenmeses), (9) Thuôris or Thuôsris, also called Siphthas. Cf. Petrie, History of Egypt, iii. pp. 120 ff . Struve points also to a new Sôthis date, 1318 b.c., in the reign of Seti I. (according to Petrie's chronology, 1326-1300 в.c.).
${ }^{2}$ The Fall of Troy was traditionally dated 1183 b.c.: cf. p. 107 n .3.

In Homer, Odyssey, iv. 126, a golden distafi and a silver work-basket with wheels beneath and golden rims, treasures in the palace of Menelaus at Sparta,-are described as gifts to Helen from "Alcandrê, the wife of Polybus who dwelt in Egyptian Thebes where the amplest store of wealth is laid up in men's houses ": while to Menelaus himself Polybus had given two silver baths, two tripods, and ten talents of gold. See W. H. D. Rouse, The Story of Odys.veu", 1937, p. 56: " Polybos was a great nobleman in the Egyptian Thebes, with a palace full of treasures".
 45', ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta$, $\beta \rho \kappa \alpha^{\prime}$.

Fr. 56 (a). Syncellus, p. 136. Kata Eysebion
 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu$.
$a^{\prime} \Sigma \epsilon^{\prime} \theta \omega s,{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \nu \epsilon^{\prime}$.

$\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \phi \theta i^{\prime} s, \epsilon \in \tau \eta \mu^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime}$ ' $A \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta s$, , $\epsilon \tau \eta \kappa s^{\prime}$.
 $\lambda \nu \beta$ оs, ' $A \lambda \kappa \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ a ̉ \nu \eta \prime \rho, ~ \epsilon ' \phi ' ~ o \hat{v} ~ \tau o ̀ ~ " I \lambda \iota o v ~$ є́á $\lambda \omega$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \zeta^{\prime}$.

 єँтך , арка. ${ }^{1}$
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version).

$$
\text { p. } 102 .
$$

Nona decima dynastia Diospolitarum regum V.
Sethos, annis LV.
Rampses, annis LXVI.
Amenephthis, annis VIII.
Ammenemes, annis XXVI.
${ }^{1}$, ßока' corr. Mül!er.
150

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 55, 36

Sum total in the Second Book of Manetho, ninetysix kings, for 2121 years. ${ }^{1}$

## Fr. 56 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Nineteenth Dynasty consisted of five kings of Diospolis.

1. Sethôs, for 55 years.
2. Rampsês, for 66 years.
3. Ammenephthis, for 40 years.
4. Ammenemês, for 26 years.
5. Thuôris, who in Homer is called Polybus, husband of Alcandra, and in whose reign Troy was taken, reigned for 7 years.
Total, 194 years.
Sum total in the Second Book of Manetho, for ninety-two kings, 1121 (2121) years.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Nineteenth Dynasty consisted of five kings of Diospolis.

1. Sethos, for 55 years.
2. Rampses, for 66 years.
3. Amenephthis, for 8 years.
4. Ammenemes, for 26 years.
${ }^{1}$ For the corrected total of Book II., see Fr. 4, n. 4 (246 or 289 kings for 2221 years). The wide difference between the number of kings ( 96 or 92 as compared with 246 or 289) is puzzling: Meyer conjectures that about 150 or 193 of the larger numbers were ephemeral or co-regents.

Fr. 56, 57 MANETHO
Thuoris, ab Homero dictus Polybus, vir strenuus et fortissimus, ${ }^{1}$ cuius aetate Ilium captum est, annis VII.
Summa annorum CLXXXXIV.
Manethonis libro secundo conflatur summa LXXXXII regum, annorum MMCXXI.

## TOMOS TPITOE

Fr. 57 (a). Syncellus, p. 137.
Kata Adpikanon.
Tिíтov то́ $\mu$ оv $M a v \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$.
Eiкобт̀̀ $\delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \triangle \iota o \sigma \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu \iota \beta^{\prime}$, оi $\epsilon$ є $\beta \alpha \sigma{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \rho \lambda \epsilon^{\prime}$.
(b) Syncellus, p. 139. Kata Eyzebion.

T९íтоv то́ $\mu$ ои $M a \nu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$.



> ' I.e. ávخ̀̀ 'A入кávסpas Müller.

[^48]
## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 56,57

5. Thuoris, by Homer called the aetive and gallant Polybus, in whose time Troy was taken, reigned for 7 years.
Total, 194 years.
In the Second Book of Manetho there is a total of ninety-two kings, reigning for 2121 years.

## BOOK III.

## Dynasty XX.

Fr. 57 (a) (from Syncellus). According to
Africanus.
From the Third Book of Manetho.
The Twentieth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of twelve kings of Diospolis, who reigned for 135 years.
(b) According to Eusebius.

From the Third Book of Manetho.
The Twentieth Dynasty consisted of twelve kings of Diospolis, who reigned for 178 years.

Ramessês XII. and Herihor. The Great Papyrus Harris (time of Ramessôs III.) describes the anarchy between Dynasties XIX. and XX.: see Breasted, Anc. Rec. iv. § 398.

A rovised list of Dynasty XX. is given by Newberry in Elliot Smith and Warren Dawson, Egyptian Mummies, 1924: see also 'T. E. Peet in J. of Eg. Arch. xiv. (1928), pp. 52 \&.
(c) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 103.

E Manethonis tertio libro.
Vicesima dynastia Diospolitanorum regum XII, qui imperaverunt annis CLXXII.

Fr. 58. Syncellus, p. 137. Kata Aфpikanon.
При́тך каi єікобтخ̀ $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ T a \nu ı \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ そ'.
$\alpha^{\prime} \Sigma_{\mu \epsilon} \omega \delta \hat{\eta} s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa \varsigma^{\prime}$.
$\beta^{\prime} \Psi_{o v \sigma \epsilon} \nu \nu \eta s_{,}{ }^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \mu s^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} N \epsilon \phi \in \rho \chi \in \rho \eta^{\prime} s,{ }^{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \delta^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime} A^{\prime} A \mu \in \omega \phi$ i $^{\prime} s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime}$ 'Oбох $\dot{\rho} \rho$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \varsigma^{\prime}$.


' $O \mu о \hat{v}, \epsilon \in \epsilon \tau \eta \lambda^{\prime}$.

${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XXI., resident at Tanis, c. 1090-c. 950 b.c. (a dark period in Egyptian history). For identifications with monumental and other evidencesee Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{2}$, ii. 2, p. 20 n . This Tanite Dynasty overlapped with the Theban Dynasty XX.: see the Report of Wenamon, Breasted, Anc. Rec. iv. $\S 5557-591$; C.A.H. ii. pp. 192 ff.

## (c) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

From the Third Book of Manetho.
The Twenticth Dynasty consisted of twelve kings of Diospolis, who reigned for 172 years.

## Dynasty XXI.

Fr. 58 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Twenty-first Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of seven kings of Tanis.

1. Smendês, ${ }^{2}$ for 26 years.
2. Psusen(n)ês [I.], ${ }^{3}$ for 46 years.
3. Nephercherês (Nephelcherês), for 4 years.
4. Amenôphthis, for 9 years.
5. Osochôr, for 6 years.
6. Psinachês, for 9 years.
7. Psusennês [II.] (Susennês), for 14 years.

Total, 130 years. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{2}$ For Smendes or Nesbenebded, a tocal noble of Tanis, who seized the whole Delta and made himself king of Lower Egypt, see C.A.H. ii. p. 191 ; iii. pp. 253 i.
${ }^{3}$ In Egyptian, Psusennês is Psukho'mnê, " the star appearing in Thebes". In 1939-40 tombs of cortain kings of Dynasties XXI. and XXII. were excavated by 1 '. Hontet at Tanis, the most valuable being the intaet tomb of Isusennês I., with its rich funerary equipmont: in several chambers sareophagi, vases of many kinds, and ewels were found, including the funerary outfit of Amenôphthis (Amon-em-apt, son of Psusennês 1.) and the silver sarcophagus of a certain Sesonchôsis (not the first king of Dynasty XXII.), (Ann.Sere. Antıq., tt. xxxix. f., 1939-40).

- Actual total of items. Il y years. Ensetins is probably correct with 41 years for whl king and 3.5 years for 7th (Meyer).

Fr. 59 (a). Syncellus, p. 139. Kata Eyzebion.
Eiкобті̀ $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta ~ \delta u v a \sigma \tau \epsilon i \alpha ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ T a \nu \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu$ є̇ $\pi \tau \alpha$.
$a^{\prime} \Sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \delta \iota s, \not ้ \tau \eta \kappa s^{\prime}$.

$\gamma^{\prime} N \in \phi \in \rho \chi \in \rho \eta^{\prime} s,{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \delta^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime} \quad A \mu \in \nu \omega \phi \theta$ is, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime}$ 'Oaох $\omega \rho$, ё $\tau \eta 5^{\prime}$.
$5^{\prime} \Psi \iota \nu a \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma, \stackrel{\text { Є̈ }}{ }{ }^{\prime} \eta \theta^{\prime}$.

'O $O$ ồ, $\epsilon \notin \eta \rho \lambda^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 103.

Vicesima prima dynastia Tanitarum regum VII.
Smendis, annis XXVI.
Psusennes, annis XLI.
Nephercheres, annis IV.
Amenophthis, annis IX.
Osochor, annis VI.
Psinnaches, annis IX.
Psusennes, annis XXXV.
Summa annorum est CXXX.

Fr. 59 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Twenty-first Dynasty consisted of seven kings of Tanis.

1. Smendis, for 26 years.
2. Psusennês, for 41 years.
3. Nephercherês, for 4 years.
4. Amenôphthis, for 9 years.
5. Osochôr, for 6 years.
6. Psinachês, for 9 years.
7. Psusennês, for 35 years.

Total, I30 years.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Twenty-first Dynasty consisted ol seven kings of Tanis.

1. Smendis, for 26 years.
2. Psusennês, for 41 years.
3. Nephercherês, for 4 years.
4. Amenôphthis, for 9 years.
5. Osochôr, for 6 years.
6. Psinnaches, for 9 years.
7. Psusennes, for 35 years.

Total, 130 years.

Fr. 60, 61
MANETHO

Fr. 60. Syncellus, p. 137. Kata Adpikanon
 $\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \theta^{\prime}$.
$\alpha^{\prime} \sum^{\prime} \sigma \omega \gamma \chi \iota \varsigma,{ }^{1} \epsilon \ddot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \kappa \alpha^{\prime}$.
$\beta^{\prime}$ 'Oоор $\theta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime},^{2}{ }^{2} \epsilon \tau \eta \iota \epsilon$ '.

$\varsigma^{\prime}$ Такє́ $\lambda \omega \theta \iota \varsigma$, ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \geqslant \not \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}$ "Adגоו $\tau \rho \epsilon i \bar{\varsigma}, ~ \check{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \beta^{\prime}$.
' $O \mu о \hat{v}, ~ Є ̈ \tau \eta \rho \kappa$ '.

Fr. 61 (a). Syncellus, p. 139. Kata Eysebion.
 $\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \rho \iota \bar{\omega} \nu$.
$\alpha^{\prime} \sum_{\epsilon \sigma \omega \prime} \gamma \chi \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma,{ }^{4} \epsilon \tau \eta \kappa \alpha^{\prime}$.
$\beta^{\prime}$ 'Oоор $0 \dot{\omega} \nu$, ётт $\tau \epsilon$ '.

' $O \mu \circ \bar{u},{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \mu \theta^{\prime}$.

${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}$ : 'Oo $\omega \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{A}$.
${ }^{3} \kappa \theta^{\prime}$ Boeckh.
${ }^{4}{ }^{2} \epsilon \sigma o ́ \gamma \chi \omega \sigma \iota s$ A.
${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XXII.c. 950-c. 730 в.c., kings of Libyan origin resident at Bubastis. For identifications with the monumental and other evidence see Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{2}$, ii. 2,

AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 60,61

## Dynasty XXII.

Fr. 60 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Twenty-second Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of nine kings of Bubastus.
l. Sesônchis, for 21 years.
2. Osorthôn, ${ }^{2}$ for 15 years.
$3,4,5$. Three other kings, for 25 [29] years.
6. Takelôthis, for 13 years.

7, 8, 9. Three other kings, for 42 years.
Total, 120 years. ${ }^{3}$

Fr. 61 (a)(from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.
The Twenty-second Dynasty consisted of three kings of Bubastus.

1. Sesônchôsis, for 21 years.
2. Osorthôn, for 15 years.
3. Takelôthis, for 13 years.

Total, 49 years.
p.58. The first king, Sesonchôsis (Shishak, O.T. 1 Kings xiv. 25,2 Chron. xii.) overthrew the Tanites c. 940 b.c. About 930 b.c. he captured Jerusalem and plundered the Temple of Solomon : see Peet, Egypt and the Old Testament, 1922. pp. 158 ff . Albright (The Archaeology of Palestine and the Bible ${ }^{2}, 1932-3$, p. 199), dates the conquest of Judah by Shishak between 924 and 917 b.c.
${ }^{2}$ The name Osorthôn is another form of Osorchô (Dynasty XXIII. No. 2-Africanus), the Egyptian Osorkon.
'Actual total of items, 116 years.

Fr. 61, 62 MANETHO
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 103.

Vicesima secunda dynastia Bubastitarum regum III.

Sesonchosis, annis XXI.
Osorthon, annis XV.
Tacelothis, annis XIII.
Summa annorum XLIX.

Fr. 62. Syncellus, p. 138. KATA AФPIKANON.
 $\delta^{\prime}$.
 $\eta ้ \chi \theta \eta \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$.
 ка入ойбь.
$\gamma^{\prime} \Psi a \mu \mu o \hat{v} s, ~ \check{\epsilon} \tau \eta \imath^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime} Z{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \tau,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \lambda a^{\prime} .{ }^{1}$
' $O \mu \circ \hat{v}, \stackrel{\imath}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \pi \theta^{\prime}$.

$$
{ }^{1} \lambda \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{B} .
$$

${ }^{1}$ Osorthôs (Aucher, Karst).
${ }^{2}$ Dynasty XXIII., resident at Tanis: the records of these kings (dated by Breasted 745-718 b.c.) are much confused. The name Petubates (see Fr. 63 for the usual Grecized form Petubastis) represents the Egyptian Pedibaste. For King Osorcho (Osorkon III.) see the stele of Piankhi, king of Ethiopia, whose vassal Osorkon became (Breasted, Anc. Rec. iv. §§ 807, 811, 872, 878). Psammûs has not been identified.

AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 61,62
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Twenty-second Dynasty consisted of three kings of Bubastus.

1. Sesônchôsis, for 21 years.
2. Osorthôn, ${ }^{1}$ for 15 years.
3. Tacelôthis, for 13 years.

Total, 49 years.

## Dynasty XXIII.

Fr. 62 ( from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Twenty-third Dynasty ${ }^{2}$ consisted of four kings of Tanis.

1. Petubatês, for 40 years: in his reign the Olympic festival ${ }^{3}$ was first celebrated.
2. Osorchô, for 8 years: the Egyptians call him Hêraclês.*
3. Psammûs, for 10 years.
4. Zêt, ${ }^{4}$ for 31 years (34).

Total, 89 years.
${ }^{3}$ The date of the first Olympic festival was conventionally fixed at 776-775 в.c.

* See G. A. Wainwright, Sky-Religion, pp. 35 f.
${ }^{-}$The fact that the name Zêt, occurring in Africanus alone, is wrapped in obseurity, has led Flinders Petrie to suggest ("The Mysterious Zêt" in Ancient Egypt, 1914, p. 32) that the three Greek letters are a contraction for $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i t a c$ or other word eonnected with $\zeta_{\eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega} \omega$, meaning "A question (remains)," or "Query, about 3l yonrs': for 31 years at this time no single ruler seemed to be predominant, and further search was needed to settlo who should be entered as the king of Egypt. "Zêt." is found in wall-inscriptions at Pompeii : see Dichl, Pompeianische Wamlinschriften, No. 682. The next inscription, No. 6s:3, gives " Zêtêma" in full: a riddle follows.

Fr. 63 (a). Syncellus, p. 140. Kata Eydebion.
 $\tau \rho \iota \omega \nu$.

 є́ка́ $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$.
$\gamma^{\prime} \Psi a \mu \mu \circ$ v̂s, ${ }^{\prime} \neq \eta \imath^{\prime}$.
' $O \mu о \hat{v}, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \delta^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p 103.

Vicesima tertia dynastia Tanitarum regum III.
Petubastis, annis XXV.
Deinde Osorthon, quem Aegyptii Herculem nuncupaverunt, annis IX. ${ }^{1}$
Psammus, ${ }^{2}$ annis X.
Summa annorum XLIV.
${ }^{1}$ annis IX. (Aucher).
${ }^{2}$ Phramus (Petermann) : Psamus (Aucher, Karsa,

Fr. 63 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Twenty-third Dynasty consisted of three kings of Tanis.

1. Petubastis, ${ }^{1}$ for 25 years.
2. Osorthôn, for 9 years: the Egyptians called him Hêraclês.
3. Psammûs, for 10 years.

Total, 44 years.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Twenty-third Dynasty consisted of three kings of Tanis.

1. Petubastis, for 25 years.
2. Osorthon, whom the Egyptians named Hercules: for 9 years.
3. Psammus, for 10 years.

Total, 44 years.
${ }^{1}$ For a demotic romance of the time of Petubastis in one of the Rainer Papyri, see Krall in Vienna Oriental Journal, xvii. (1903), 1 : it is also found in papyri of Paris and Strassburg. Parallels may be drawn between this romance and Manetho; cf. Spiegelberg, Der Sagenkreis des Königs Petubastis (Leipzig, 1910), pp. 8 f.

Fr. 64. Syncellus, p. 138. Kata Adpikanon.
Tєта́ $\rho \tau \eta$ каi єікоот̀̀ $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$.



Fr. 65 (a). Syncellus, p. 140. Kata Eyzebion.
Eiкобт̀̀ $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta$ סvvaбтєia.
 ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon ́ \gamma \xi \alpha \tau 0 . \quad$ ' $O \mu о \hat{v}, \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \delta^{\prime}$.

[^49]
## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 64, 65

## Dynasty XXIV.

Fr. 64 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Twenty-fourth Dynasty. ${ }^{1}$
Bochchôris of Sais, for 6 years: in his reign a lamb ${ }^{2}$ spoke ${ }^{3}$. . . 990 years.

Fr. 65 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Twenty-fourth Dynasty.
Bochchôris of Saīs, for 44 years : in his reign a lamb spoke. Total, 44 years. ${ }^{4}$

 тà $\mu$ é̀до⿱宀та. ("Tho lamb has spoken to you. Egyptians have recorded a lamb speaking with a human voice [or, in Egypt, they say, a lamb spoke with a human voice]. It was found to have upon its head a royal winged serpent [ 4 cubits in longth]; and it foretold the future to one of the kings.") See Moyer, Ein neues Bruchstuck Manethos über das Lamm des Bokchoris in Zeitschr. für Ägypt. Sprache, xlvi. (1910), pp. 135 f.: he points out the Egyptian charactor of the description-the royal uraeus, four cubits long, with ostrich feathers on both sides. Cf. Woill, La fin du moyen empire égyptien, pp. 116, 622.
${ }^{3}$ Here some essential words have been omitted from the text.
${ }^{4}$ Contrast tho " 6 yoars" assigned to Bocchoris by Africanus (Fr. 64) : it is suspicious that Eusebius should give 44 yoars for each of Dynasties XXIII., XXIV.. and XXV.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 104 .

Vicesima quarta dynastia.
Bocchoris Saïtes, annis XLIV, sub quo agnus locutus est.

Fr. 66. Syncellus, p. 138. Kata Aфpikanon.
Пє́ $\mu \pi \tau \eta$ каі єікобті̀ $\delta v \nu а \sigma \tau є і а ~ A i \theta ı o ́ \pi \omega \nu ~ \beta a-~$ $\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu \tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu$.
$a^{\prime} \sum_{\alpha} \beta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu$, ôs aix ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \omega \tau о \nu$ Bó $\chi \chi \omega \rho \iota \nu$ € € $\lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$

$\beta^{\prime} \Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \iota \chi \dot{\omega} s$ viós, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \imath \delta^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime}$ Та́лкоя, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \not \imath^{\prime}$.

Fr. 67 (a). Syncellus, p. 140. Kata Eysebion.
 $\tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu$.
$a^{\prime} \Sigma \alpha \beta$ áк $\omega \nu$, ôs aix $\mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \nu$ Bó $\chi \chi \omega \rho \iota \nu$ € €̀ $\grave{\nu} \nu$

$\beta^{\prime} \Sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \iota \chi \grave{\omega} s$ viós, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \iota \beta^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime}$ Тарако́s, є̈ $\tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}$.
${ }^{\prime} O \mu \circ \hat{v}, \epsilon ँ \tau \eta \mu \delta^{\prime}$.

[^50]AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 65, 66, 67
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Twenty-fourth Dynasty.
Bocchoris of Sais, for 44 years: in his reign a lamb spoke.

## Dynasty XXV.

Fr. 66 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Twenty-fifth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of three Ethiopian kings.

1. Sabacôn, ${ }^{2}$ who, taking Bochchôris captive, burned him alive, and reigned for 8 years.
2. Sebichôs, his son, for 14 years.
3. Tarcus, for 18 years.

Total, 40 years.
Fr. 67 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Twenty-fifth Dynasty consisted of three Ethiopian kings.

1. Sabacôn, who, taking Bochchôris captıve, burned him alive, and reigned for 12 years.
2. Sebichôs. his son, for 12 years.
3. Taracus, for 20 years.

Total, 44 years.
Bochchoris was treated like a mock king in the ancient festival, the burning ceremonially destroying his kingly character. See Wainwright, Sky-Religion, pp. 38 ff .
${ }^{2}$ Taharka : in O.T. 2 Kings xix. 9, Tirhakah, King of Ethiopia. See Peet, Egypt and the Old Testament, 1922, pp. 175 ff.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 104.

Vicesima quinta dynastia Aethiopum regum III. Sabacon, qui captum Bocchorim vivum combussit, regnavitque annis XII.
Sebichos eius filius, annis XII.
Saracus, ${ }^{1}$ annis XX.
Summa annorum XLIV.

Fr. 68. Syncellus, p. 141. KATA AфPIKANON.
 є́vıє́a.
$a^{\prime} \Sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \iota \nu a ́ \tau \eta s$, ё $^{\prime} \tau \eta \zeta^{\prime}$.
$\beta^{\prime} N \epsilon \chi \in \psi \dot{\omega} s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta 5^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} N \epsilon \chi \alpha \omega^{\prime},{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime} \Psi \alpha \mu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \chi$ оя, ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \nu \delta^{\prime}$.
 'Iєроиба入й $\mu$, каі 'I $\omega \alpha \chi \alpha \zeta$ то̀v $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~$ aì $\mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ A i ̋ \gamma v \pi \tau o \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \epsilon$.

${ }^{1}$ Taracus, Aucher, m.: Tarakos, Karst.
${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XXVI., 663-525 b.c.
Saïs (see p. 91 n .4 ), now grown in power, with foreign aid asserts independence, and rules over Egypt. Herodotus, ii. 151 ff ., supports the version of Africanus but differs in (5) Necôs 16 years (Ch. 159), and (7) Apries 25 years (Ch. 161) (22 years in Diod. Sic. i. 68). Eusebius (Fr. 69) has preserved the Ethiopian Ammeris (i.e. Tanutamûn) at the begimming of Dynasty XXVI.: so in the Book of Sothis (App. IV.), No. 78, Amaês, 38 years.

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 67, 68

(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Twenty-fifth Dynasty consisted of three Ethiopian kings.
l. Sabacon, who, taking Bocchoris captive, burned him alive, and reigned for 12 years.
2. Sebichos, his son, for 12 years.
3. Saracus (Taracus), for 20 years.

Total, 44 years.

## Dynasty XXVI.

Fr. 68 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Twenty-sixth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted ol nine kings of Saïs.

1. Stephinatês, for 7 years.
2. Nechepsôs, for 6 years.
3. Nechaô, for 8 years.
4. Psammêtichus, ${ }^{2}$ for 54 years.
5. Nechaô ${ }^{3}$ the Second, for 6 years: he took Jerusalem, and led King Iôachaz captive into Egypt.
6. Psammuthis the Second, for 6 years.
${ }^{2}$ Psammêtichus I. (Psametik) = Psammêtk, " man. or vendor, of mixed wine," $c f$. Herodotus, ii. 151 (Griffith in Catalogue of Demotic Papyri in the Rylands Library, iii. pp. 44, 201). See Diod. Sic. i. 66, 67.
${ }^{3}$ Nechaô is an old name, an Egyptian plural form, "belonging to the kas" or bulls (Apis and Mnevis), O.T. 2 Chron xxxvi. 2.4. Battlo of Megiddo, 609 b.c.: defeat and death of King Josiah by Necho (2 Kings xxiii. 29, xxiv. 1, xxv. 26). Johoahaz, son of Josiah, was led captive into Fgypt. For these events, see l'eet, Egypt and the Old Textament, 1922, p. 181 ff .
 $\dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ ' A \sigma \sigma v \rho i ́ \omega \nu$＇I $\epsilon \rho o v \sigma a \lambda \grave{\eta} \mu$ oi $\tau \omega \bar{\omega}$＇Iovסai $\omega \nu$ v́тódoıтoь．
$\eta^{\prime}{ }^{*} A \mu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \mu \delta^{\prime}$.
$\theta^{\prime} \Psi a \mu \mu \in \chi \in \rho i \tau \eta s, \mu \eta \hat{\nu}$ as $5^{\prime}$.


Fr． 69 （a）．Syncellus，p．143．Kata Eycebion．


$\beta^{\prime} \Sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \iota \nu a{ }^{\prime} \theta \iota s, \tilde{\epsilon}_{\tau} \eta \zeta^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} N \epsilon \chi \epsilon \psi \omega \bar{s}, \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \eta \boldsymbol{s}^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime} N \epsilon \chi a \omega^{\prime}, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime} \Psi a \mu \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota \chi o s, ~ \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{1}$

 aì $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \tau о \nu$ єis $A i ̋ \gamma v \pi \tau о \nu$ à $\pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \epsilon$ ．
 じ。
${ }^{2} \mu \delta^{\prime}$ Müller．

[^51]7. Uaphris, ${ }^{1}$ for 19 years: the remnant of the Jews fled to him, when Jerusalem was captured by the Assyrians.
8. Amôsis, ${ }^{2}$ for 44 years.
9. Psammecheritês, ${ }^{3}$ for 6 months.

Total, 150 years 6 months.

Fr. 69 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Twenty-sixth Dynasty consisted of nine kings of Sais.

1. Ammeris the Ethiopian, for 12 years.
2. Stephinathis, for 7 years.
3. Nechepsôs, for 6 years.
4. Nechaô, for 8 years.
5. Psammêtichus, for 45 [44] years.
6. Nechaô the Sccond, for 6 years: he took Jerusalem, and led King Iôachaz captive into Egypt.
7. Psammuthis the Second, also called Psammêtichus, for 17 years.
${ }^{2}$ Amôsis should be Amasis (Ia'ḥmase), the general of Uaphris or Apries: Amasis was first made co-regent with Apries (569 b.c.), then two years later, after a battle, he became sole monarch.

On the character of Amasis, " the darling of the people and of popular legend," see the demotic papyrus translated by Spiegelberg, The Credibility of Herodotus' Account of Egypt (trans. Blackman), pp. 29 f.
${ }^{3}$ Psammêtichus III., defeated by Cambysês tho Persian, 525 b.c. The three Psametiks are differentiated as Psammêtichus, Psammuthis, and Psammecheritês (cf. Fr. 20, n. I).

 'Iovסaíwv vímóגoıтoь.

' $O \mu \circ \hat{v}, \tilde{\epsilon}_{\tau} \tau \eta \rho \xi \gamma^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 104.

Viccsima sexta dynastia Saïtarum regum IX.
Ameres Aethiops, annis XVIII.
Stephinathes, annis VII.
Nechepsos, annis VI.
Nechao, annis VIII.
Psametichus, annis XLIV.
Nechao alter, annis VI. Ab hoc Hierosolyma capta sunt, Iochasusque rex in Aegyptum captivus abductus.
Psamuthes alter, qui et Psammetichus, annis XVII.

Uaphres, annis XXV, ad quem reliquiae Iudaeorum, Hierosolymis in Assyriorum potestatem redactis, confugerunt.
Amosis, annis XLII.
Summa annorum CLXVII.
8. Uaphris, for 25 years: the remnant of the Jews fled to him, when Jerusalem was captured by the Assyrians.
9. Amôsis, for 42 years.

Total, 163 years. ${ }^{1}$
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Twenty-sixth Dynasty consisted of nine kings of Sais.

1. Ameres the Ethiopian, for 18 years.
2. Stephinathes, for 7 years.
3. Nechepsos, for 6 years.
4. Nechao, for 8 years.
5. Psametichus, for 44 years.
6. Nechao the Second, for 6 years: he took Jerusalem, and led King Ioachaz captive into Egypt.
7. Psamuthes the Second, also called Psammetichus, for 17 years.
8. Uaphres, for 25 years: the remnant of the Jews took refuge with him, when Jerusalem was subjugated by the Assyrians.
9. Amosis, for 42 years.

Total, 167 years.

[^52]Fr. 70. Syncellus, p. 141. Kata AФPIKANON.
 $\eta^{\prime}$.
$\alpha^{\prime} K a \mu \beta \dot{v} \sigma \eta s$ є̈ $\tau \epsilon \iota \epsilon^{\prime} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ є́avтồ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a s$


$\gamma^{\prime} \Xi \epsilon \rho \xi \xi \eta s$ ò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a s,{ }_{\epsilon} \quad \tau \eta \kappa \alpha^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime} \quad A \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha \nu o s, \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha s \zeta^{\prime}$.

$5^{\prime} \Xi \epsilon \in \xi \eta s, \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s$ रúo.
$\zeta^{\prime}$ इo $\delta \iota \iota \nu o ́ s, \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s \zeta^{\prime}$.
$\eta^{\prime} \Delta a \rho \in \hat{i}$ os $\Xi_{\epsilon} \rho \rho \xi$ ov, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \geqslant \theta^{\prime}$.

${ }^{1}$ Persian Domination, 525-332 b.c.
Dynasty XXVII., 525.404 в.c. After conquering Egypt, Cambysês reigned three years, $525 / 4-523 / 2$ в.c. See Cambridge Ancient History, vi. pp. 137 ff.

An interesting papyrus fragment ( P . Baden 4 No. 59 : v. / A.D.-see the facsimile in Plate III) contains this Dynasty in a form which differs in some respects from the versions given by Africanus and Eusebius. Like Eusebius the papyrus inserts the Magi, and calls Artaxerxês "the Long-handed" and his successor Xerxes "the Second " : as in Africanus, Darius is "son of Hysta[spês]" and Xerxês is "the Great". To Cambysês the papyrus

## Dynasty XXVII.

Fr. 70 (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Twenty-seventh Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of eight Persian kings.

1. Cambysês in the fifth year of his kingship over the Persians became king of Egypt, and ruled for 6 years.
2. Darius, son of Hystaspês, for 36 years.
3. Xerxês the Great, for 21 years.
4. Artabanus, ${ }^{2}$ for 7 months.
5. Artaxerxês, ${ }^{3}$ for 41 years.
6. Xerxês, ${ }^{4}$ for 2 months.
7. Sogdianus, for 7 months.
8. Darius, son of Xerxês, for 19 years.

Total, 124 years 4 months.
gives $6 \frac{1}{2}$ years: to the Magi, $7 \frac{1}{2}$ months. The conquest of Egypt is assigned to the fourth year of Cambysês' reign, and it was in that year that the campaign began. Artaxerxês is described as "the son" (i.e. of Xerxês); while Darius II. is correctly named " the Illegitimate". See Bilabel's note on the papyrus (l.c.).
${ }^{2}$ Artabanus, vizier, and murderer of Xerxês I., 465 b.c.
'Artaxerxês I., "Long-hand" ("whether from a physical peculiarity or political capacity is uncertain," C.A.H. vi. p. 2), 465.424 в.c.

- Xerxês II. was murdered by his half-brother Sogdianus, who was in turn defeated and put to death in 423 в.c. by another half-brother Ochus (Darius II., nicknamed Nothos, "the Illegitimate,"), not "son of Xersês". Darius II. died in 404 b.c.

Fr. 71 (a). Syncellus, p. 143. Kata Eydebion.



$\beta^{\prime} M a ́ \gamma o \imath, \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu a s \zeta^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} \Delta a \rho \in \hat{\imath} o \mathrm{os}$. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \lambda 5^{\prime}$.


$s^{\prime} \Xi \epsilon \rho \xi \eta s$ ó $\delta \in \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o s, \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s \beta^{\prime}$.

$\eta^{\prime} \Delta a \rho \in \mathfrak{\imath o o s ~ o ́ ~ \Xi \epsilon ́ \rho \xi o v , ~} \tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \eta \subset \theta^{\prime}$.
'O $O \boldsymbol{\nu}$, 光 $\tau \eta \rho \kappa$ ' каі $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$.
(b) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 105.

Vicesima septima dynastia Persarum regum VIII. Cambyses, qui regni sui quinto ${ }^{1}$ anno Aegyptiorum potitus est, annis III.
Magi, mensibus septem.
Darius, annis XXXVI.
Xerxes Darii, annis XXI. Artaxerxes, annis XL.
Xerxes alter, mensibus II.
Sogdianus, mensibus VII.
Darius Xerxis, annis XIX.
Summa annorum CXX, mensiumque IV.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Aucher: XV. MSS. }
$$

## Fr. 71 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Eusebius.

The Twenty-seventh Dynasty consisted of eight Persian kings.

1. Cambysês in the fifth year of his kingship became king of Egypt, and ruled for 3 years.
2. Magi, for 7 months.
3. Darius, for 36 years.
4. Xerxês, son of Darius, for 21 years.
5. Artaxerxês of the long hand, for 40 years.
6. Xerxês the Second, for 2 months.
7. Sogdianus, for 7 months.
8. Darius, son of Xerxês, for 19 years.

Total, 120 years 4 months.
(b) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Twenty-seventh Dyuasty consisted of eight Persian kings.

1. Cambyses in the fifth ${ }^{1}$ year of his kingship became king of Egypt, and ruled for 3 years.
2. Magi, for 7 months.
3. Darius, for 36 years.
4. Xerxes, son of Darius, for 21 years.
5. Artaxerxês, for 40 years.
6. Xerxês the Second, for 2 months.
7. Sogdianus, for 7 months.
8. Darius, son of Xerxes, for 19 years.

Total, 120 years 4 months.

Fr. 72 (a). Syncellus, p. 142. KATA AфPIKANON.
 $\bar{\epsilon} \tau \eta s^{\prime}$.
(b) Syncellus, p. 144. Kata Eysebion.
 Є' $\tau \eta 5^{\prime}$.
(c) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 105.

Vicesima octava dynastia. Amyrtes Saïtes, annis ${ }^{1}$ VI.

Fr. 73 (a). Syncellus, p. 142. Kata AфPIKANON.
'Eváтך каi єiкобтウ̀ $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a . ~ M \epsilon \nu \delta \eta ́ \sigma \iota o \iota ~$ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i s \delta^{\prime}$.
$a^{\prime} N \epsilon \phi \in \rho i \tau \eta s, \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \eta 5^{\circ}$.
$\beta^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \neq \omega \rho \iota s, \tilde{\epsilon}_{\tau} \eta \iota \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} \Psi a \mu \mu \operatorname{ov} \theta \iota s$, є́тos $a^{\prime}$.
$\delta^{\prime} N \epsilon \phi \in \rho i \tau \eta s, \mu \eta \bar{\eta} a s \delta^{\prime}$.

${ }^{1}$ Aucher, m.: mensibus MSS., according to Müller.
${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XXVIII.-XXX., Egyptian kings: 404-341 B.c.-a brief period of independence.

Dynasty XXVIII., Amyrtaeus of Sais, 404-399 b.c.: no Egyptian king of this name is known on the monuments. See Werner Schur in Klio, xx. 1926, pp. 273 ff. 178

AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 72,73

## Dynasty XXVIII.

Fr. 72 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.
The Twenty-eighth Dynasty. ${ }^{1}$ Amyrteos of Sais, for 6 years.
(b) According to Eusebius.

The Twenty-eighth Dynasty. Amyrtaeus of Sais, for 6 years.
(c) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Twenty-eighth Dynasty. Amyrtes of Sais, for 6 years. ${ }^{2}$

## Dynasty XXIX.

Fr. 73 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.

The Twenty-ninth Dynasty: ${ }^{3}$ tour kings of Mendês.

1. Nepheritês, for 6 years.
2. Achôris, for 13 years.
3. Psammuthis, for l year.
4. Nepheritês [II.], for 4 months.

Total, 20 years 4 months.
${ }^{2} 6$ years (Aucher, Karst): 6 months (Müller). The Armenian words for " month " and "year" are so similar that corruption is likely (Margoliouth).
${ }^{3}$ Dynasty XXIX., resident at Mendês in E. Delta (Baedeker ${ }^{\text {8 }}$, p. 183), $398-381$ b.c. On the sequence of these rulers see H. K. Hall in C.A.H. vi. p. 145 and n.
(b) Syncellus. p. 144. Kata Eysebion.
 $\sigma \iota \lambda \in i s \delta^{\prime}$.
$a^{\prime} N \epsilon \phi \in \rho i \tau \eta s, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta 5^{\prime}$.
$\beta^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} A \chi \omega \rho \iota s, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \iota \gamma^{\prime}$.

$\delta^{\prime} N \in \phi \in \rho i \tau \eta s, \mu \eta$ vas $\delta^{\prime}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime}$ Mồ $\theta \iota \varsigma$, ётоs $a^{\prime}$.
'O $O$ о仑̂, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa \alpha^{\prime} \kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$.
(c) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 106.

Vicesima nona dynastia Mendesiorum regum quattuor.

Nepherites, annis VI.
Achoris, annis XIII.
Psamuthes, anno I.
Muthes, anno I.
Nepherites mensibus IV.
Summa annorum XXI, mensiumque IV.
(b) According to Eusebius.

The Twenty-ninth Dynasty: four kings ${ }^{1}$ of Mendês.

1. Nepheritês, for 6 years.
2. Achôris, for 13 years.
3. Psammuthis, for $l$ year.
4. Nepheritês [II.], for 4 months.
5. Muthis, for 1 year.

Total, 21 years 4 months.
(c) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Twenty-ninth Dynasty consisted of four kings of Mendes.

1. Nepherites, for 6 years.
2. Achoris, for 13 years.
3. Psamuthes, for 1 year.
4. Muthes, for 1 year.
5. Nepherites [II.], for 4 months.

Total, 21 years and 4 months.
${ }^{1}$ Muthis or Muthês was a usurper, hence the number of kings is given as four. He is unknown to the Monuments. Aucher suggests that the name Muthis may be merely a repetition, curtailed, of the name Psammuthis.

Fr. 74 (a). Syncellus, p. 144. KATA AфPIKANON.
 $\tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu$.
$\alpha^{\prime} N \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \dot{\beta} \eta s,{ }^{\pi} \tau \eta \iota \eta^{\prime}$.
$\beta^{\prime} T \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega},{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \eta \beta^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} N \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \beta$ ós, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \iota \eta^{\prime}$.
${ }^{\prime} O \mu \circ \hat{v},{ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \lambda \eta^{\prime}$.
(b) Syncellus, p. 145 Kata Eysebion.

Tрıакобтخ̀ $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \quad \Sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \nu \nu v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ $\tau \rho \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$.
$\alpha^{\prime} N \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon ́ \beta \eta s, \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\epsilon} \eta \iota^{\prime}$.
$\beta^{\prime} T \epsilon \omega \bar{s},{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \beta^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} N \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \beta$ ós, ${ }^{\prime}$ є̈т $\eta \eta^{\prime}$.
' $O \mu \circ \hat{v}, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}$.
${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XXX., resident at Sebennytus (see Intro. p. xiii), 380-343 в.c.: Nectanebês I. (Nekhtenêbef), 380-363, Toôs or Tachôs (Zedḥôr), 362-361, Nectanebus II. (Nekhthorehbe), 360-343. See E. Meyer, Zur Geschichte der 30. Dynastie in Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache, Bd. 67, pp. 68-70.

It is certain that Manetho knew only 30 dynasties and ended with the conquest of Egypt by Ochus: see Unger,

## Dynasty XXX.

Fr. 74 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.

The Thirtieth Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of three kings of Sebennytus.

1. Nectanebês, for 18 years.
2. Teôs, for 2 years.
3. Nectanebus, ${ }^{2}$ for 18 years.

Total, 38 years.
(b) According to Eusebius.

The Thirtieth Dynasty consisted of three kings of Sebennytus.

1. Nectanebês, for 10 years.
2. Teôs, for 2 years.
3. Nectanebus, for 8 years.

Total, 20 years.

Chronol. des Manetho, pp. 334 f. Under Olymp. 107 (i.e. 352-348 в.c.) Jerome (Chronicle, p. 203 Fotheringham, p. 121 Helm) notes: Ochus Aegyptum tenuit, Nectanebo in Aethiopiam pulso, in quo Aegyptiorum regnum destructum est. Huc usque Manethos. (" Ochus possessed Egypt, when he had driven Nectanebó into Ethiopia: thereby the kingship of the Egyptians was destroyed. So far Manetho [or, Here ends the History of Manetho] ").
${ }^{2}$ For the later renown of this king as magician in popular legend, see the Dream of Nectonabds, in Wilcken, Urkunden der Ptolemuerzeit, i. pp. 369 ff.
(c) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 106.

Tricesima dynastia Sebennytarum regum III.
Nectanebis, annis X.
Teos, annis II.
Nectanehus, annis VIII.
Summa annorum XX.

Fr. 75 (a). Syncellus, p. 145. KATA AфPIKANON.
 $\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu$.


$\beta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \rho \sigma \hat{\eta} s, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\gamma^{\prime} \Delta a \rho \in \hat{\imath} о \varsigma,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \delta^{\prime}$.

M'́ $\chi \rho \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \operatorname{Ma\nu \epsilon \theta \hat {\omega }}$.
${ }^{1}$ Syncellus (p. 486) thus describes the scope of Manetho's History, wrongly putting $\lambda a^{\prime}$ for $\lambda^{\prime}$ : $\epsilon \omega$ " " $\Omega \chi$ хо каі $N є к \tau а \nu \epsilon \beta \dot{\omega}$

${ }^{2}$ This $\beta^{\prime}$ (instead of $5^{\prime}$ ) is probably due to confusion with the $\beta^{\prime}$ at the beginning of the next line (Aucher).
${ }^{3} \omega \nu^{\prime}$ Boeckh, Unger.
${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XXXI. is not due to Manetho, but was added later to preserve the continuity,-perhaps with the use of material furnished by Manctho himself. No total is given by Africanus and Euscbius,-a further proof that the whole Dynasty is additional. In another passage (p. 486) Syncellus states: "Manetho wrote an account of the 31 184

## AEGYPTIACA (EPITOME) Fr. 71, 7.

(c) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Thirtieth Dynasty consisted of 3 kings of Sebennytus.

1. Nectanebis, for 10 years.
2. Teos, for 2 years.
3. Nectanebus, for 8 years.

Total, 20 years.

## Dynasty XXXI.

Fr. 75 (a) (from Syncellus). According to Africanus.

The Thirty-first Dynasty ${ }^{1}$ consisted of three Persian kings.

1. Ochus in the twentieth year ${ }^{2}$ of his kingship, over the Persians became king of Egypt, and ruled for 2 years.
2. Arsês, for 3 years.
3. Darius, for 4 years.

Total of years in Book III., 1050 years ${ }^{3}$ [850].
Here ends the History of Manetho.
(an error for 30) Dynasties of Egypt down to the time of Ôchus and Nectanebo ": although mistaken about the number of the Dynasties, Syncellus is in the main correct.
${ }^{2}$ The 20th year of the kingship of Orhas was 343 b.c. : the phrase is parallel to that used in Fr. 70, 1, and appears therefore to be Manetho's expression.
${ }^{3}$ The totals given by Africanus in Book III. are 135, 130, $120,89,6,40,150+, 124+, 6,20+, 38$, i.e. $858+$ vears. To reduce to 850 , assign 116 years to Dynasty $X X I I$. (as the items add), and 120 to Dynasty NXVH. (Meyer).
(b) Syncellus, p. 146. Kata Ey
 $\tau \rho \iota \omega \nu$.



 Макє $\delta \dot{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon$.

$M \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon M a \nu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$.
(c) Eusebius, Chronica I. (Armenian Version), p. 107.

Tricesima prima dynastia Persarum.
Ochus vicesimo iam anno Persis imperitans Aegyptum occupavit tenuitque annis VI. Postea Arses Ochi, annis IV.
Tum Darius, annis VI, quem Macedo Alexander interfecit. Atque haec e Manethonis tertio ${ }^{1}$ libro
' Aucher, m. : secundo MSS., according to Müller.

[^53](b) According to Eusebius.

The Thirty-first Dynasty consisted of three Persian kings.

1. Ôchus in the twentieth year of his kingship over the Persians conquered Egypt, and ruled for 6 years.
2. His successor was Arsês, son of Ochus, who reigned for 4 years.
3. Next, Darius reigned for 6 years: he was put to death by Alexander of Macedon.
These are the contents of the Third Book of Manetho.

Here ends the History of Manetho.
(c) Armenian Version of Eusebius.

The Thirty-first Dynasty consisted of Persian kings.

1. Ochus in the twentieth year of his kingship over the Persians seized Egypt and held it for 6 years.
2. His successor was Arsês, son of Ochus, who reigned for 4 years.
3. Next, Darius reigned for 6 years: he was put to death by Alexander of Macedon.

These are the contents of the Third Book ${ }^{1}$ of Manetho.

## H IEPA BIBAOE

Fr 76. Eusebius, Praeparatio Evangelica, II Prooem., p. 44 C (Gifford).

Пâoav $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oưvv т $\grave{\nu} \nu$ Aìvттıакク̀v iotopiav єis $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \nu \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ̀ \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$ ioícos $\tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ т $\bar{s} s$ кат' aùtoùs $\theta \epsilon o \lambda o \gamma i a s ~ M a \nu \epsilon \theta \grave{\omega} s$ ó



Cf. Theodoretus, Curatio, II, p. 61 (Räder):

 Aiүvлтí $\omega \nu$ є’ $\mu v$ Өодó $\eta \eta \sigma \epsilon$.

Fr. 77. Plutarch, De Is. et Osir., 9.






Fr 78. Plutarch, De Is. et Osir., 49.



[^54]
## THE SACRED BOOK Fr. 76, 77, 78

## THE SACRED BOOK.

## Fr. 76 (from Eusebius).

Now the whole history of Egypt and especially the details of Egyptian religion are expounded at length in Greek by Manetho the Egyptian, both in his Sacred Book and in other writings of his.

## (From Theodoretus.)

Manctho rehearsed the stories of Isis. Osiris, Apis, Serapis. and the other gods of Egypt.

Fr. 77 (from Plutarch, Is. and Osir., ch. 9).
Further, the general belief is that the name Amûn, ${ }^{1}$ which we transform into Ammôn, is an Egyptian proper noun, the title of Zeus ${ }^{2}$; but Manetho of Sebennytus is of opinion that this name has a mean-ing--" that which is concealed " and "concealment."

Fr. 78 (from Plutarch, Is. and Osir., ch. 49).
Some say that Bebôn ${ }^{3}$ was one of the comrades of Typhôn; but Manetho states that Typhôn himself
${ }^{2}$ The title Zeus Ammôn was already known to Pindar in the first half of the fifth century b.c. (Pythians, iv. 16, Fr. 36 ; see Pausanias, ix. 16, 1).
${ }^{3}$ The name " Bebôn," given to T'yphôn, does not mean "prevention," but is the ligyptian biby, an epithet of sêth. In Greek, besides the form Bépov, Báßus was used (Hellanicus in Athenaeus, xv. 25, p. 680 a). Typhôn, an unpopular deity, eame into favour in Dynasty XIX., two kings of which were Sethôs I. and II.





## Fr. 79. Plutarch, De Is. et Osir., 62.




 Tvфळ́v, $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \epsilon і ̈ р \eta \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \Sigma \grave{\eta} \theta$ каі $B \epsilon \in \beta \nu$ каі $\Sigma \mu \dot{v}$









${ }^{1}\left\langle\tilde{\eta} \tau \tau \nu^{\prime}\right\rangle$ Pohlenz.

${ }^{1}$ Explanation is difficult. The name of the goddess Neith with whom Athena is often identified has been interpreted " that which is, ar exists" (Mallet, Le Culte de Neit ad Sails, p. 189). As a genuine etymology of the name, this is impossible; but it may be that in the late period a connexion was imagined between $N t$, "Neith," and $n t(t)$, " that which is " (B.G.). It is suggestive that the Coptic word meaning "come" is na (A. Rusch, Pauly-Wissowa-Kroll, R.-E. xvi. 2 (1935), col. 2190). 190
was also called Bebôn. The name means "checking" or "prevention," and implies that, when actions are proceeding in due course and tending to their required end, the power of Typhôn obstructs them.

Fr. 79 (from Plutarce, Is. and Osir., ch. 62).
The usage of the Egyptians is also similar. They often call Isis by the name of Athena, which expresses some such meaning as " I came from Myself," ${ }^{1}$ and is indicative of self-originated movement. But Typhôn, as I have already mentioned, is called Sêth, Bebôn, and Smy, ${ }^{2}$ these names implying a certain violent and obstructive force, or a certain opposition or overthrow. Further, as Manetho records, they call the lnadstone " the bone of Hôrus," but iron "the bone of Typhôn." ${ }^{3}$ Just as iron is often like to be attracted and led after the stone, but often again turns away and is repelled in the opposite direction. so the

[^55]

 $\epsilon i \tau^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v} \theta \iota s$ à $\nu \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha$ єis $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha v \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \alpha \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon$ каi


## Fr. 80. Plutarch, De Is. et Osir., 28.







[^56]salutary, good, and rational movement of the world at one time attracts, conciliates, and by persuasion mollifies that harsh Typhonian power ; then again, when the latter has recovered itself, it overthrows the other and reduces it to helplessness.

Fr. 80 (from Plutarch, Is. and Osir., ch. 28).
Ptolemy Sôtêr dreamed that he saw the colossal statue ${ }^{1}$ of Pluto at Sinôpê, ${ }^{2}$ although he did not know what manner of shape it had, having never previously seen it ; and that it bade him convey it with all possible speed to Alexandria. The king was at a loss and did not know where the statue stood; but as he was describing the vision to his friends,
J. Eg. Arch. xi. (1925), p. 182). Only the Greck statue by Bryaxis was brought from Sinôpe: the cult was organized in Egypt itself, and Serapis bocame the paramount deity of Alexandria with a magnifieent temple in Rhakôtis. If there were forty-two temples of Serapis in Egypt (Aristides, viii. 56, l, p. 96 Dind.)-this number being one for eaeh nome, the majority have left no trace: Parthey (op. cit. pp. 216 f.) identifics eleven.

See Wilamowitz, Hell. Dichtung, i. p. 154, Wilcken, Urkunden der Ptolemäerzeit, Intro. pp. 77 ff . (a full discussion of the origin of the cult of Serapis). Cf. also Rostovtzeff in C.A.H. vii. pp. 145 f.

For the dream as a vehicle of religious propaganda, $c f$. P. Cairo Zonon 34 ( $258-257$ b.c.: see Dcissmann, Light from the Ancient East, pp. 152 ff.$)$, and Inscr. Gr. xi. 4, 1299 (c. 200 в.c.).
${ }^{2}$ In the districts by the Black Sea, a great god of the underworld was worshipped; and this deity, as Rostovtzoff holds, must be set in close conne xion with the Alexandrine Serapis. Seo Julius Kaerst, Gieschichte des Hellenismus ${ }^{2}$, ii. (1926), pp. 246 f., and $c f$. the late Roman coins of Sinope with the Sorapis-type (Plate IV, Nu. 3).






 тòv $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ каi Mavé $\theta \omega \nu a$ тòv $\Sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \nu \nu v ́ \tau \eta \nu$ П Поú-







Fr. 81. Aelian, De Natura Animalium, X, 16 (Hercher).

 $\dot{v \in i ́ o v ~ o ́ ~} \gamma \in v \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu O s$ à $\lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi о \pi i \mu \pi \lambda a \tau \alpha \iota ~ к а і ~ \lambda \epsilon ́-~$







[^57]there came forward a far-travelled man, by name Sôsibius, who declared that at Sinôpe he had seen just such a colossus as the king had dreamt he saw. He therefore despatched Sôtelês and Dionysius, who after a long time and with difficulty, though not unaided by divine providence, stole away the statue. When it was brought to Egypt and exhibited there, Timotheus ${ }^{1}$ the exégétếs (expounder or interpreter), Manetho ${ }^{2}$ of Sebennytus, and their colleagues, judging by the Cerberus and the serpent, came to the conclusion that it was a statue of Pluto; and they convinced Ptolemy that it represented no other god than Serapis. For it had not come bearing this name from its distant home, but after being conveyed to Alexandria, it acquired the Egyptian name for Pluto, namely Serapis.

Fr. 81 (from Aelian).
I am told also that Manetho the Egyptian, who attained the acme of wisdom, declared that one who tastes sow's milk is infected with leprosy or scall. All Asiatics, indeed, loathe these diseases. The Egyptians hold that the sow is abhorred by both Sun and Moon; so, when they celebrate the annual festival in honour of the Moon, they sacrifice swine ${ }^{3}$ to the goddess, whereas at any other time they refuse to sacrifice this animal to the Moon or to any other deity.

[^58]
## EПITOMH T $\Omega$ N $\Phi$ YミIK $\Omega$ N

Fr. 82. Diogenes Laertius, Prooem, § 10 (Hicks, L.C.L.).


 ஸ̈s $\phi \eta \sigma \iota M a \nu \epsilon \theta \grave{\omega} s \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Phi_{\nu \sigma \iota \kappa}^{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} E \pi \iota \tau о \mu \hat{\eta}$.

Fr. 83. Eusebius, Praepar. Evang., III, 2, p. 87 d (Gifford).
 $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \nu \quad \epsilon i v a \iota$, каì $\Delta i ́ a ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \chi \omega \rho о u ̂ \nu ~$





 $\tau \epsilon \Pi \bar{v} \rho$ каi т $\grave{\eta}_{\nu} \Gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ каi тò $\Pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$


 Aìvatioıs $\gamma \in \gamma o v \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \theta \nu \eta$ тov̀s ảv $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v s,{ }^{\circ} H \lambda \iota o v$

[^59]
## AN EPITOME OF PHYSICAL DOCTRINES

## AN EPITOME OF PHYSICAL DOCTRINES.

Fr. 82 (from Diogenes Laertius).
The Egyptians hold the Sun and the Moon to be gods, the former being named Osiris, the latter Isis. They refer darkly to them under the symbols of beetle, serpent, hawk, and other creatures, as Manetho says in his Epitome of Physical Doctrines.

Fr. 83 (from Eusebius).
The Egyptians say that Isis and Osiris are the Moon and the Sun; that Zeus is the name which they gave to the all-pervading spirit, Hephaestus to fire, and Demeter to earth. Among the Egyptians the moist element is named Ocean and their own River Nile; and to him they ascribed the origin of the Gods. ${ }^{1}$ To Air, again, they give, it is said, the name of Athena. Now these five deities,-I mean Air, Water, Fire, Earth, and Spirit,-traverse the whole world, transforming themselves at different times into different shapes and semblanees of men and ereatures of all kinds. In Egypt itself there have also been born mortal men of the same names as these deities:
 p. 377 C. The name Neilos appears first in Hesiod, Theogony 338, which may be dated to the eighth century в.с.

In a Hymn to the Nile, engraved upon the rocks at Gebel Silsileh in Upper Egypt hy command of Ramessês ll., the river is described as "the living and beatitiful Nile, . . . father of all the gods" (Wiedemann, Religion of the Ancient Egyptians, pp. 146 f.).

Fr. 83, 81, 85 MANETHO
 "Нфаıбтоу каі 'Eбтíà є̇тоуонабӨє́vтая. रра́фєь
 є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega s$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ó $\Delta \iota o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s$. . .
$C f$. Theodoretus, Curatio, III, p. 80 (Räder).

## MEPI EOPTRN

Fr. 84. Joannes Lydus, De Mensibus, IV, 87 (Wünsch).


 ото́цахоข.

## ПEPI APXAÏธMOY KAI EYミEBEIAさ

Fr. 85. Porphyrius, De Abstinentia, II, 55 (Nauck).


${ }^{1}$ Eideıtvias mó̀eı conj. Fruin.

[^60]
## ON ANCIENT RITUAL AND RELIGION

they were called Hêlios, Cronos, Rhea, as well as Zeus, Hêra, Hêphaestus, and Hestia. Manetho writes on this subject at considerable length, while Diodorus gives a concisc account. . . .

## ON FESTIVALS.

Fr. 84 (from Joannes Lydus).
It must be understood that Manetho in his book On Festivals ${ }^{1}$ states that a solar eclipse exerts a baneful influence upon men in their head and stomach.

## ON ANCIENT RITUAL AND RELIGION.

Fr. 85 (from Porphyrius).
The rite of human sacrifice ${ }^{2}$ at Hêliopolis (Eileithyiaspolis) ${ }^{3}$ in Egypt was suppressed by Amôsis, ${ }^{4}$

Tiberius, wrote an account of human sacrifice in Egypt (Athen. iv. p. 172d), and there is evidence for the sacrifice of captives in Dynasties XVIII. and XIX. See Diod. Sic. i. 88, 5, and cf. Frazer, Golden Bough, ii. pp. 254 ff.

Some writers have suggested that the contracted human figure (the tekenu), wrapped in a skin and drawn on a sledge, who is a regular feature of funeral processions in the New Kingdom, may have been a remnant of hmman sacrifice. This, however, is very doubtful: cf. N. de G. Davies, Five Theban Tombs, pp. 9, 14. See further G. A. Wainwright, Sky-Religion, pp. 33 f .
${ }^{3}$ See Fr. 86. The mention of Hêra (see infra) makes it very probable that "Eileithyiaspolis" is the correct reading here.

- Amôsis, c. 1570 в.c.






See also Eusebius, Praepar. Evang., IV, 16, p. 155d (Gifford): Theodoretus, Curatio, VII, p. 192 (Räder).

Fr. 86. Plutarch, De Is. et Osir., 73.
 Tv申ผ̂vos aủzô $\delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \hat{\eta} \theta a \iota{ }^{1} \psi v \chi \eta{ }^{1} \nu$, aivíт $\tau \in \sigma \theta a \iota$



 $\pi \iota \pi \tau \eta$ каi $\chi а \lambda \epsilon \pi o ̀ s ~ a v ̀ \chi \mu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \alpha ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega s$


 ' Wyttenbach : סıápactau MSS.
${ }^{1}$ or ". . . . in discussing ancient ritual and religion.
${ }^{2}$ Drought is said to be a particular manifestation of Typhôn; see Plutarch, Is. et Osir., 45, 51 fin. In reference to Egypt, drought naturally means, not absence of rain, but insufficient inundation.
${ }^{3}$ For this striking trait in Egyptian religion see ErmanRanke, Ägypten, 1923, p. 184 n. 2, with the reference to Lacau, Recueil de travaux, 26 (1904), p. 72 (sarcophagi of Dynasty XII.); and cf. Alan H. Gardiner. Hieratic Papyri in the British Museum, iii. (1935), No. V. C (a spell of $c .1200$ b.c. in which the reciter threatens the gods that he will cut off the head of a cow taken from the forecourt 200

## ON ANCIENT RITUAL AND RELIGION

as Manetho testifies in his book On Ancient Ritual and Religion. ${ }^{1}$ Men were sacrificed to Hêra: they were examined, like the pure calves which are sought out and marked with a seal. Three men used to be sacrificed each day; but in their stead Amôsis ordered that the same number of waxen images should be offered.

Fr. 86 (from Plutarch, Is. and Osir., ch. 73).
Now many say that the soul of Typhôn himself is diffused among these animals; and this fable would seem to hint that every irrational and bestial nature is partaker of the evil spirit, and that, while seeking to conciliate and appease him, men tend and worship these animals. Should a long and severe drought ${ }^{2}$ occur, bringing with it an excess of deadly diseases or other strange and unaccountable calamities, the priests lead off some of the sacred animals quietly and in silence under cover of darkness, threatening them at first and trying to frighten ${ }^{3}$ them; but, should of the temple of Hathor, and will cause the sky to split in the middle), No. VIII. B (the Book of Banishing an Enemy, also dated c. 1200 b.c., eontaining threats to tear out the soul and annihilate the corpse of Osiris, and set fire to every tomb of his), and The Attitude of the Ancient Egyptians to Death and the Dead, 1935, pp. 12, 16 f., 39, note 17.

Threats to the gods also appear later in the Greek papyri : see L.C.L., Select Papyri, i. (Hunt and Elgar), pp. 309, 345, Th. Hopfner, Griechisch-Agyptischer Offenbarungszauber (= Stud.zur Pal. und Pap., Wessely, xxiii. 1924), §§ 187, 210 et al., and rf. Porphyrius, Epistula ad Ancbonem, 27, who remarks that this is peculiarly Egyptian. See Wilcken, Chrestomathie, i. 1, pp. 124 f. ("perhaps a remmant of ancient fetishism ').









 тоvта үıvó $\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s ~ \lambda a \nu \theta a ́ v o v \sigma \iota, ~ \pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$


 خं $\delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$.

## IIEPI KATAさKEYHさ KYФI 2 N

Fr. 87. Plutarch, De Is. et Osir., 80.


${ }^{1}\left\langle{ }^{2} A \pi \delta o s\right\rangle$ add. Xylander.

[^61]the visitation continue, they consecrate the animals and slaughter them, intending thus to inflict a kind of chastisement upon the spirit, or at least to offer a great atonement for heinous offences. Moreover, in Eileithyiaspolis, ${ }^{1}$ as Manetho has related, they used to burn men alive, calling them "Typhôn's followers"; and their ashes they would winnow and scatter broadcast until they were seen no more. But this was done openly and at a set time, namely in the dog-days; whereas the consecrations of sacred animals are secret ceremonies, taking place at irregular intervals as occasion demands. unknown to the common people except when the priests celebrate a funeral of Apis, and, displaying some of the animals, cast them together into the tomb in the presence of all, deeming that thus they are vexing Typhôn in return and curtailing his delight.

## ON THE MAKING OF KYPHI.

Fr. 87 (from Plutarch, Is. and Osir., ch. 80).
Kyphi ${ }^{2}$ is a mixture of sixteen ingredients-honey, wine, raisins, cyperus [? galingale], resin, myrrh,

Recipes of a similar nature have been found at Edfu (two) and at Philae (one): they were inseribed in hieroglyphs on temple-walls. Kyphi had a double use-as incense and as medicine. Sec further Ganszyniec in Panly-WissowaKroll, R.-E. (1924). Parthey (Isis und Osiris, pp. 277 ff.) describes the results of experiments with the recipes of Plutarch, of Galen (also sixteen ingredients), and of Dioscorides (ten ingrerlients): he gives first place to the kyphi propared according to the prescription of Dioscorides.

китє́िоv, 户’ $\eta \tau i ́ v \eta s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \sigma \mu u ́ \rho \nu \eta s ~ к а i ~ a ̉ \sigma \pi а \lambda a ́ \theta о v ~$ каi $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota$ סѐ $\sigma \chi i v o v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ a ̉ \sigma \phi \alpha ́ \lambda \tau о v ~ к а i ~$ $\theta \rho v ́ o v ~ к а i ~ \lambda a \pi \alpha ́ \theta o v, ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{~ \tau о и ́ т о \iota s ~} \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa є v \theta i \delta \omega \nu$



## [TA MPO乏 HPODOTON]

Fr. 88. ${ }^{1}$ Etymologicum Magnum (Gaisford), s.v. Аєодтоко́иоя.

Tò $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega v \pi a \rho \dot{\alpha}$ тò $\lambda \alpha ́ \omega$, тò $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \cdot$ ỏ $\xi v \delta \epsilon \rho-$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' H \rho o ́ \delta o \tau o v, ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon ́ \pi о \tau \epsilon ~ к а \theta \epsilon u ́ \delta \epsilon \iota ~ o ́ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$,

${ }^{1}$ Cf. also Fr. from Choeroboscus, Orthogr., in Cramer, Anecd. Graeca Ox., ii. 235, 32 (=Etym. genuinum): . . . д́лд




${ }^{1}$ Aspalathus $=$ Calyeotome villosa.
${ }^{2}$ Cardamom = Elettaria cardamomum. See L.C.L., Theophrastus, ix. 7, 3 (Hort).
${ }^{3}$ Manetho's note may refer to such passages in Herodotus as ii. 65 ff. and iii. 108.
[Footnole continued on opposite page.
aspalathus, ${ }^{1}$ seselis [hartwort]; mastic, bitumen, thryon [a kind of reed or rush], dock [monk's rhubarb], as well as of both junipers (arceuthids-one called the greater, the other the less), cardamom, ${ }^{2}$ and reed [orris-root, or root of sweet flag].

## [CRITICISMS OF HERODOTUS]

Fr. $88{ }^{3}$ (from the Etymologicum Magnum).
The word $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ (" lion ") comes from $\lambda{ }^{\prime} \omega$, "I see" : the animal has indeed the keenest of sight, as Manetho says in his Criticism of Herodotus that the lion never sleeps. ${ }^{4}$ But this is hard to believe.

Choeroboscus, in his work On Orthography (iv./v. A.D.), gives the derivation of $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ aecording to Orus or Hôrus in almost the same words as those quoted above from the Etymologicum Magnum; but he omits the clause "as Manetho says in his Criticism of Herodotus" (Cramer, Anecdota Graeca e codd. manuscriptis bibliothecarum Oxoniensium, ii. p. 235, II. 32 ff. = Etymologicum Genuinum).

Cf. Aelian, On the Nature of Animals, v. 39 : " the Egyptians, they say, boast about this, adding that the lion is superior to slcep, being always awake." Aelian quotes from Apion (see p. I9 n. 3), who may well have taken his statement from Manetho.
${ }^{4}$ By a curious coineidence, in Egyptian also the words for " lion" ( $m^{\prime}$ i) and " to see " $\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are very similar, and the word for "lion" is sometimes written as though it came from the verb "to see". Manetho possibly had this faet in mind when he stated that the lion never sleops (Battiscombe Gunn).

Fr. 88 MANETHO

Eustathius on Homer, Iliad, XI, 480 :



 ó $\lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ à $\pi i$ itavov . . .

## [CRITICISMS OF HERODOTUS] Fr. 88

## (From Eustathius.)

(Some say) that from $\lambda \alpha ́ \omega$, " I see," comes not only $\lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$, but also $\lambda i ́ s$ (a lion), according to Ôrus the grammarian, ${ }^{1}$ because of its keen sight ; and they add, as Manetho states in his Criticisms of Herodotus, that the lion never sleeps. This is hard to believe.
${ }^{1}$ Orus or Hôrus (v. A.D.) was, according to Suidas, an Alexandrian grammarian who taught at Constantinople: none of his numerous works is extant.

## APPENDIX I

## Pseudo-Manetho

## Syncellus, p. 72.




 $\epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{\eta} \Sigma_{\eta \rho \iota \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}} \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu \sigma \tau \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $\epsilon \rho \hat{a}$,










${ }^{1}$ The words bracketed are probably a later interpolation. ${ }^{2}$ ảnot $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ conj. Scaliger, Müller.

[^62]
## APPENDIX I.

## Pseudo-Manetho.

## (From Syncellus).

It remains now to make brief extracts concerning the dynasties of Egypt from the works of Manetho of Sebennytus. In the time of Ptolemy Philadelphus he was styled high-priest of the pagan temples of Egypt, and wrote from inscriptions in the Sêriadic land, ${ }^{1}$ traced, he says, in sacred language and holy characters by Thôth, ${ }^{2}$ the first Hermês, and translated after the Flood . . . in hieroglyphic characters. When the work had been arranged in books by Agathodaemôn, son of the second Hermês ${ }^{3}$ and father of Tat, in the temple-shrines of Egypt, Manetho dedicated it to the above King Ptolemy II. Philadelphus in his Book of Sôthis, using the following words:
${ }^{3}$ The second Hermês is Hermés Trismegistus, the teacher.
For a discussion of the whole passage, see W. Scott, Hermetica, iii. pp. 492 f. He pointed out manifest breaches of continuity after $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \sigma a s$ (end of 1.4) and after Aigúntou (end of 1. 12). If the intervening 8 lines are cut out ( $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu .$. Aizúnrov), the sentence runs smoothly; and Scott suggested that these 8 lines origimally stood in Manetho's letter after $\hat{a} \epsilon \mu \mu \partial o \nu$. Even with this insertion there still remains a gap before ípà $\beta$ phía, but upart from that lacuna, the whole beeomes intelligible.

App. I PSEUDO-MANETHO
 Пто $\lambda \epsilon \mu \alpha \hat{\iota} о \nu \tau \dot{\tau} \nu \Phi_{\iota} \lambda \alpha^{\delta} \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \phi о \nu$.



 $\mu \alpha i ́ \mu$ mai $\rho є \iota \nu$.





 $\sigma \pi о \tau \alpha ́ \mu о v \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} . "$





' ov̉v add. Boeckh.<br>${ }^{2}$ For the continuation of this, see Fr. 2, p. 10.

[^63]Letter of Manetho of Sebennytus to Ptolemy Philadelphus.
"To the great King Ptolemy Philadelphus Augustus. ${ }^{1}$ Greeting to my lord Ptolemy from Manetho, high-pricst and scribe of the sacred shrines of Egypt, born at Sebennytus and dwelling at Hêliopolis. It is my duty, almighty king, to reflect upon all such matters as you may desire me to investigate. So, as you are making researches concerning the future of the universe, in obedience to your command I shall place before you the Sacred Books which I have studied, written by your forefather, Hermês Trismegistus. ${ }^{2}$ Farewell, I pray, my lord King."

Such is his account of the translation of the books written by the second Hermês. Thereafter Manetho tells also of five Egyptian tribes which formed thirty dynasties

> (Fr. 2, p. 11, follows directly after this.)

A MS. ot Celsus gives a list of medical writers, Egyptian or Greek and Latin : they include (col. 1, 11. 9-13) Hermés Trismegistus, Manetho (MS. emmanetos), Nechepsô, Cleopatra regina. Here Manetho is followed by Nechepsô, to whom, along with Petosiris (perhaps another namo of Nechepsô), works on astrology were attributed in the Second Century b.c.: see W. Kroll and M. Pieper in R.-E. xvi. 2 (1935), s.v. Nechepsô.

## APPENDIX II

## Eratosthenes (?)

## Fr. 7 (a). Syncellus, p. 171.

$\Theta_{\eta} \beta a i \omega \nu$ 及aбı $\lambda \in i ̂ s$.






 $\lambda a ́ \delta \iota ~ \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \rho a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ oṽ $\tau \omega s$.
 ठıaбторâs $\lambda \eta^{\prime} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$,

$$
{ }^{1}, \gamma \pi \operatorname{los} \mathrm{~m} .
$$

${ }^{1}$ This list of kings was said to have been taken by Apollodorus (ii. bic.) from Eratosthenes of Cyrene (iii. B.c.) whom Apollodorus often followed as an authority; but according to Jacoby (Apollodors Chronik, pp. 399 ff ;, Fr. 117-Pseudo-Apollodorus) the list of "Theban" kings owes nothing either to Apollodorus or to Eratos. thenes, but is the work of one who sought to recommend his compilation under two distinguished names. The list, 212

## APPENDIX II.

## Eratosthenes (?) (From Syncellus).

$$
\text { Fr. } 7 \text { (a). }
$$

Kings of Thebes. ${ }^{1}$
Apollodorus, the chronographer, recorded another dynasty of Egyptian kings,- the Thebans, as they are called,-thirty-eight kings ruling for 1076 years. This dynasty began in Anno Mundi 2900, and came to an end in Anno Mundi 3045 [3976]. The knowledge of these kings, he says, Eratosthenes took from Egyptian records and lists, and at the king's command he translated them into the Greek language, as follows:

Of the Theban kings in thirty-eight dynasties ruling 1124 years after the Dispersion,
containing thirty-eight kings, who ruled for 1076 years, is of Theban origin, derived from a Royal List such as that of Karnak : the explanations of the names are interesting, and the variations in Nos. 11 and 15 may be due to the priests themselves. Historically the list is of no great worth : several of the names are not proper names, but Throne-names, such as are found in the Royal Lists and the Turin I'apyrus (Meyer, Aeg. Chron. pp. 99 ff.).

Kings 1-5 correspond to Dynasty I., 13-17 to Dynasty IV., I8-22 to Dynasty VI.






$\gamma^{\prime} \Theta_{\eta} \beta a i \omega \nu$ Aìvлтíwv трíтоs $\epsilon$ єßaбì $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$



Syncellus, p. 180.


 ,$\gamma v \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime}$ Ө $\eta \beta a i \omega v \quad \epsilon \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \quad \Pi \epsilon \mu \phi \hat{\omega} s,{ }^{6}$ viòs



Fr. 13. Syncellus, p. 180.


${ }^{1} \pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega}$ os add. Goar.
 dorf.
${ }^{3}$ aíúvıos corr. Jablonski : $\delta \iota \omega$ v́los B, Dıóvos A.

- ${ }^{\text {- }}$ eakeñs B.

${ }^{6}{ }^{2} \epsilon \mu \psi \bar{\omega} s$ Bunsen.

1. The first was Mênês of Thebes, whose name, being interpreted, means " everlasting ". ${ }^{1}$ He reigned for 62 years. Anno mundi 2900.
2. The second king of Thebes was Athôthês, son of Mênês, for 59 years. His name, being interpreted, means "Born of Hermês". ${ }^{2}$ Anno mundi 2962.
3. The third king of Thebes in Egypt was Athôthês II., for 32 years. Anno mundi 3021.
4. The fourth king of Thebes was Miabaês, son of Athôthis, for 19 years. His name, being interpreted, means "Bull-lover". ${ }^{3}$ Anno mundi 3053.
5. The fifth king of Thebes was Pemphôs (? Sempsôs, Semempsês), son of Athôthis. His name is "descendant of Hêraclês," and he reigned for 18 years. Anno mundi 3072.

Fr. 13.
6. The sixth king of Thebes in Egypt was Momcheiri of Memphis, reigning for 79 years. His name, being interpreted, means
${ }^{1}$ The Egyptian form of the name Mênès may quite well be interpreted as "the abiding one," from $m n$, " to endure".

2 This etymology obviously assumes the presence of the divine name Thôth in the name Athothes.
${ }^{3}$ The first element of the nnmo Miabaes is clearly some form of the verb $m r$, " to love ".

## App. II PSEUDO-MANETHO

$\mu \eta \nu \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \hat{\eta} \gamma \eta \eta^{\sigma} \alpha \nu \delta \rho o{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \mu \in \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} s$,











## Syncellus, p. 190.









 ${ }^{2}$ A gloss, which the codd. have before Mо $^{2}$ кєьi.
${ }^{2}$ є́ є̇ $\eta \sigma \iota \pi a v \tau o ́ s ~ A: ~ \epsilon ̈ \tau \eta s ~ \pi a v \tau o s ~ G u t s c h m i d . ~$
${ }^{4} \mathrm{~B}$ : єтіконоя A .
${ }^{1}$ With this interpretation of the name Mares (which may correctly explain the second element as Rê, "the Sun "), cf. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \lambda \iota o s \epsilon \cup \cup \phi \epsilon \gamma \gamma \eta_{s}, ~ " a ~ b r i l l i a n t ~ S u n, " ~ i n ~ H y m n ~ I V ., ~$ 216
"leader of men". He had excceding large limbs (and was therefore irresistible). Anno mundi 3090.
7. The seventh king of Thebes in Egypt was his son, Stoichos. The name means "unfeeling Arês". He reigned for 6 years. Anno mundi 3169.
8. The eighth king of Thebes in Egypt was Gosormiês, whose name means " all-demanding ". He reigned for 30 years. Anno mundi 3175.
9. The ninth king of Thebes in Egypt was his son, Marês, whose name means "gift of the Sun ". ${ }^{1}$ He reigned for 26 years. Anno mundi 3205 .
10. The tenth king of Thebes in Egypt was Anôyphis, whose name means " revelling "". ${ }^{2}$ He reigned for 20 years. Anno mundi 3231.
11. The eleventh king of Thebes in Egypt was Sirius, whose name means " son of the iris of the eye," ${ }^{3}$ or, as others say, " unharmed by the evil eye ". He reigned for 18 years. Anno mundi 3251.
12. The twelfth king of Thebes in Egypt was Chnubos or Gneuros, which means "gold" "
line 32, A. Vogliano, Madinet Madi, I'imo Rapporto (I936): see note on No. 35 infra, p. 22. 1.
${ }^{2}$ Possibly this explanation is based "pon the Egyptian word unúf," to rejoice "(B.G.).
${ }^{3}$ In Egyptian si-iri means "son of the eye".

- Nu$u$ is Egyptian for "gold".


## App. II PSEUDO-MANETHO

 ,$\gamma \sigma \xi \theta^{\prime}$.
$\iota \gamma$ ' $\Theta_{\eta} \beta \alpha i \omega \nu$ Ai $\gamma v \pi \tau i \omega \nu \iota \gamma$ ' $\epsilon \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon$ ' $P a u$ ù-





Fr. 17. Syncellus, p. 190.
$\iota \epsilon^{\prime} \Theta_{\eta} \beta \alpha^{\prime} \omega \nu$ Ai $\gamma v \pi \tau i \omega \nu \iota \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \Sigma \alpha \hat{\omega} \phi \iota s$,



Syncellus, p. 195.



 , $\gamma \tau o^{\prime}$.





[^64]or "golden son" (or his son). He reigned for 22 years. Anno mundi 3269.
13. The thirteenth king of Thebes in Egypt was Raÿôsis, which means "the arch-masterful ". ${ }^{1}$ He reigned for 13 years Anno mundi 3291.
14. The fourteenth king of Thebes in Egypt was Biÿrês, who reigned for 10 years. Anno mundi 3304.

## Fr. 17.

15. The fifteenth king of Thebes in Egypt was Saôphis, " reveller," or, according to some, " money-getter, trafficker". He reigned for 29 years. Anno mundi 3314.
16. The sixteenth king of Thebes was Saôphis II , who reigned for 27 years. Anno mundi 3343.
17. The seventeenth king of Thebes was Moscherês (? Mencherês), "gift of the Sun," who reigned for 31 years. Anno mundi 3370 .
18. The eighteenth king of Thebes was Mosthês (? Mencherês II.), who reigned for 33 years. Anno mundi 3401.
19. The nineteenth king of Thebes was Pammês, "leader-like," who reigned for 35 years. Anno mundi 3434.
[^65]Fr. 22. Syncellus, p. 195.
$\kappa^{\prime} \Theta_{\eta} \beta \alpha i ́ \omega \nu \kappa^{\prime}$ є’ $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad A \pi \alpha ́ \pi \pi o v s, \mu \epsilon \prime \gamma \iota-$




 $\gamma \phi \xi \theta^{\prime}$.




Fr. 33. Syncellus, p. 196.

 є́тоs , $\gamma \phi о \varsigma^{\prime}{ }^{3}{ }^{3}$

Syncellus, p. 204.



$\kappa \epsilon^{\prime} \Theta_{\eta} \beta a i \omega \nu \quad \kappa \epsilon^{\prime} \quad \epsilon \quad \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \quad \Sigma \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \nu \iota \lambda o s{ }^{6}{ }^{6}$ ó



${ }^{3} \mathrm{~m}$.:,$y \phi \nmid \eta$ ' codd.
${ }^{6}$ Bunsen: ó getzv codd.
${ }^{6} \mathrm{~B}$ : Өipeldos A : Өividlos Dindorf.

Fr. 22.
20. The twentieth king of Thebes was Apappûs (Pepi), " the very great". He, they say, ruled for 100 years all but one hour. Anno mundi 3469.
21. The twenty-first king of Thebes was Eeheskosokaras, for $l$ year. Anno mundi 3569.
22. The twenty-second ruler of Thebes was Nitôcris, ${ }^{2}$ a queen, not a king. Her name means "Athêna the victorious," and she reigned for 6 years. Anno mundi 3570.

Fr. 33.
23. The twenty-third king of Thebes was Myrtaeus (Amyrtaeus), " gift of Ammôn," ${ }^{3}$ for 22 years. Anno mundi 3576.
24. The twenty-fourth king of Thebes was Uôsimarês, "Mighty is the Sun," ${ }^{4}$ for 12 years. Auno mundi 3598.
25. The twenty-fifth king of Thebes was Sethinilus (Thirillus), which means " having increased his ancestral power," for 8 years. Anno mundi 3610.

[^66]$\kappa \varsigma^{\prime} \Theta_{\eta} \beta a i \omega \nu \kappa \varsigma^{\prime} \epsilon \beta \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \Sigma_{\epsilon} \mu \phi \rho$ оик $\rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta s$,


$\kappa \zeta^{\prime} \Theta \eta \beta a i \omega \nu \kappa \zeta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\beta} \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon X o v \theta \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho, \tau a \hat{p} \rho o s$
 , $\gamma \chi \lambda s^{\prime}$.
$\kappa \eta^{\prime} \Theta_{\eta \beta a i \omega v} \kappa \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \quad M \epsilon v \rho \eta^{\prime},^{1}$, фí入os
 ,$\gamma \chi \mu \gamma^{\prime}$.

 $\hat{\eta} \nu$ є'тos, $\gamma \chi \nu \epsilon^{\prime}$.



Syncellus, p. 233.



Fr. 37.


${ }^{1}$ Conj. Mitetpis. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Gutschmid : ф८лóoкороs coda.
${ }^{3}$ To $\mu$ ar $\phi \theta a ́$ Bunsen. ${ }^{〔}$ Eoıкоv̂vs Bunsen.

${ }^{6}{ }^{\prime} A \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \eta \eta$ Bunsen. A lacuna here in od.

[^67]26. The twenty-sixth king of Thebes was Semphrucratês, which means "Heraclês Harpocratês." for 18 years. Anno mundi 3618.
27. The twenty-seventh king of Thebes was Chuthêr, " bull-lord," ${ }^{1}$ for 7 years. Anno mundi 3636.
28. The twenty-eighth king of Thebes was Meurês (Mieirês), "loving the iris of the eye," ${ }^{2}$ for 12 years. Anno mundi 3643.
29. The twenty-ninth king of Thebes was Chômaephtha (Tômaephtha), " world, loving Hêphaestus," ${ }^{3}$ for 11 years. Anno mundi 3655.
30. The thirtieth king of Thebes was Soicunius (or Soicunis), $\dagger$ hochotyrannos, $\dagger^{4}$ (or Soicuniosochus the lord), for 60 years. Anno mundi 3666.
31. The thirty-first king of Thebes was Peteathyrês, ${ }^{5}$ for 16 years. Anno mundi 3726 .

Fr. 37.
32. The thirty-second king of Thebes was <Stammenemês I. (Ammenemês I.), for 26 years. Anno mundi 3742.
${ }^{3}$ As to the latter part of the name, "loving Hepphaestus" is in Egyptian mai-Ptah: the emended Tô- represents the Egyptian $t \breve{c}$, "world " (B.G.).
${ }^{4}$ Bunsen emends this vox nihili to mean "a tyrant like Ochus": Gutschmid, to mean "Suchus the lord". The latter description may refer to one of the Sebekhotpes.
${ }^{5}$ Peteathyrês, a well-formed name Pede-hathor, which does not occur as a king's name.
$\left.\lambda \gamma^{\prime} \Theta_{\eta} \beta \alpha i \omega \omega \nu \lambda \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon\right\rangle \Sigma \tau \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \mu \eta s \beta^{\prime}$,


 भ̂̀ ётos, $\gamma \psi 4 a^{\prime}$.
$\lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \Theta \eta \beta a i \omega \nu \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \beta \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon$ Má $\rho \eta s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \mu \gamma^{\prime}$.


Fr. 40.
$\lambda \varsigma^{\prime} \Theta_{\eta} \beta a i \omega \nu \quad \lambda \varsigma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \beta \alpha \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon v \sigma \epsilon} \sum_{\iota} \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} s^{2}$ o каі



Syncellus. p. 278.
$\lambda \zeta^{\prime} \Theta \eta \beta a i \omega v \quad \lambda \zeta^{\prime} \epsilon \beta \beta a i \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \quad \Phi \rho о v o \rho \hat{\omega}^{3} \quad \eta \quad \eta \quad \tau$
 ,$\gamma \omega \zeta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{5}$



${ }^{2}$ Bunsen : Ľфóas codd.
${ }^{4}$ ' $\theta$ ' corr. Müller.
${ }^{3}{ }^{\text {Dovop }}$ Bunsen.
${ }^{5}, \gamma \omega \pi \theta^{\prime}$ codd.

[^68]33. The thirty-third king of Thebes was> Stammenemês II. (Ammenemês II.), for 23 years. Anno mundi 3768.
34. The thirty-fourth king of Thebes was Sistosichermês, "valiant Hêraclês" (Sistosis or Sesortôsis, " valiant Hermês or Hêraclês"), for 55 years. Anno mundi $3 \% 91$.
35. The thirty-fifth king of Thebes was Marês, ${ }^{1}$ for 43 years. Anno mundi 3846.

Fr. 40.
36. The thirty-sixth king of Thebes was Siphthas, ${ }^{2}$ also called Hermês, " son of Hêphaestus." for 5 years. Anno mundi 3889.
37. The thirty-seventh king of Thebes was Phruorô ${ }^{3}$ (Phuorô) or " the Nile," for 5 (? 19) years. Anno mundi 3894.
38. The thirty-eighth king of Thebes was Amuthartaeus, for 63 years. Anno mundi 3913.
[Syncellus then adds (p. 279) in much the same phrase as that quoted at the beginning of Appendix II.: "These names Eratosthenes took from the sacred scribes at Diospolis and translated from Egyptian into the Greek language."]
the pentameter is to scan. [See note on p. 50. The temple at the vestibule of which the Hymn was inseribed is dated 95 b.c.]
${ }^{2}$ Siphthas is King Siptali ("son of Ptah"), probably Thuôris (Thuôsris), of Dynasty XIX.
${ }^{3}$ The Egyptian name for the River Nile is p-yeor-o. For comparisons of the King of Egypt with the River Nile, see Grapow, Die Bildlichen Ausdruckedes Aegyptischen. p. 62 .

## APPENDIX III

## to Manaion Xponikon.

Syncellus, p. 95.


 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi \circ \nu \lambda^{\prime} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \omega ิ \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a \hat{\imath} \varsigma \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \rho \iota \gamma^{\prime} \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu$
 $\tau \rho \iota \sigma i ̀ \kappa \alpha i,, 5 \phi \kappa \epsilon$ ', $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $A \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu,{ }^{2} \delta \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$



 ท̀нє́раs av̇тòv фаìvєı
${ }^{1}$ Hopfner : tòv A: ôv Boeckh, Bunsen. ${ }^{2} A \dot{u} \rho \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ codd.
${ }^{1}$ The Old Chronicle is dated by Gutschmid to the end of the second century after Christ. Gelzer would refer its statements to another source than Manetho, perhaps Ptolemy of Mendês; while Meyer regards it as the work of Panodôrus, c. A.d. 400 (cf. Fr. 2).
${ }^{2}$ By the name Manetho Syncellus refers, as always, to the Book of Sothis (App. IV.).
${ }^{3}$ The actual total of years from the items given, if 6 years be assigned to Dynasty XXVIII., is 36,347, i.e. 178 years 226

## APPENDIX III.

## The Old Chronicle.

(From Syncellus).
Now, among the Egyptians there is current an old chronography, ${ }^{1}$ by which indeed. I believe, Manetho ${ }^{2}$ has been led into error.

In 30 dynasties with 113 generations, it comprises an immense period of time [not the same as Manctho gives] in 36,525 years, ${ }^{3}$ dealing first with the Aeritac, ${ }^{4}$ next with the Mestraei, and thirdly with the Egyptians. Its contents are somewhat as follows:-

Dynasties of the Gods according to the Old Chronicle.
Hêphaestus has no period assigned, because he shines night and day. Hêlios [the Sun], son of
less than the total given in the text. The number of generations, 113 , is obtained by counting 1 for Dynasty XXVIII. and 7 for XXIX. T'his vast world-period of 36,525 years is 25 times the Sôthie period of 1461 ealendar years (or 1460 Sôthic years): see infra, and for the Sôthie period, Intro. pp. xxix f.

4 Aeritae and Mestraei are really the same as the third race, the Egyptians, the three names apparently referring to Egypt at three different dates. Aeria is an old name of Egypt (Euseb., Chron. in Syucellus, p. 293, Armenian Version (Schöne, p. 30), Aegyptus quae prius Aeria dicebatur ... ). Mestraei (Josephus, Antiq. 1. 6. 2)-from Mestraim (p. 7 n. 2).

App. III PSEUDO-MANETHO
 $\tau \rho \epsilon i \hat{s}$.



 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \stackrel{\ominus}{\epsilon} \nu$ '̇ $\tau \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu v \mu \gamma^{\prime}$.
Eĩa Tavıт $\omega \nu \iota \varsigma^{\prime} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \eta^{\prime}$, $\epsilon ่ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\rho \zeta^{\prime}$.
Прòs oîs $\iota \zeta^{\prime} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \quad M \epsilon \mu \phi \iota \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$, $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \bar{\omega} \nu \rho \gamma^{\prime}$.
$M \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ oűs $\imath \eta^{\prime} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ M \epsilon \mu \phi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \iota \delta^{\prime}$, $\epsilon \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \mu \eta^{\prime}$.
"Eлєєга $\iota \theta^{\prime} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ \Delta \iota o \sigma \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\epsilon^{\prime}, \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \rho \zeta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$.
Eîa $\kappa^{\prime} \delta \nu \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ \Delta \iota o \sigma \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \eta^{\prime}$, $\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \eta^{\prime}$.
 $\epsilon ' \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \rho \kappa \alpha^{\prime}$.
$E_{i \tau \alpha} \kappa \beta^{\prime} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \operatorname{Ta\nu } \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$, Є่ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\mu \eta^{\prime}$.
${ }^{*} E \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \kappa \gamma$ ' $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ \Delta \iota o \sigma \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\beta^{\prime}, \epsilon \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \iota \theta^{\prime}$.
Eifa $\kappa \delta^{\prime} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \quad \sum a \ddot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$, є̇т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ $\mu \delta^{\prime}$.
Прòs oîs кє' $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ A i \theta \iota o ́ \pi \omega \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$, $\epsilon \quad \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \mu \delta^{\prime}$.
$M \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ oüs кऽ' $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \quad M \epsilon \mu \phi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta^{\prime}$, $\epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \rho o \zeta^{\prime}$.

Hêphaestus, ruled for 30,000 years. Then Cronos (it says) and the remaining gods, 12 in number, reigned altogether for 3984 years. Next, the eight demi-gods were kings for 217 years; and after them 15 generations of the Sôthic Cyele are recorded with 443 years. ${ }^{1}$

Then follow:
The Sixteenth Dynasty of Kings of Tanis, in 8 generations, for 190 years.
The Seventeenth Dynasty of Kings of Memphis, in 4 generations, for 103 years.
The Eighteenth Dynasty of Kings of Memphis, in 14 generations, for 348 years.
The Nineteenth Dynasty of Kings of Diospolis, in 5 generations, for 194 years.
The Twentieth Dynasty of Kings of Diospolis, in 3 generations, for 228 years.
The Twenty-first Dynasty of Kings of Tanis, in 6 generations, for 121 years.
The Twenty-second Dynasty of Kings of Tanis, in 3 generations, for 48 years.
The Twenty-third Dynasty of Kings of Diospolis, in 2 generations, for 19 years.
The Twenty-fourth Dynasty of Kings of Saīs, in 3 generations, for 44 years.
The Twenty-fifth Dynasty of Ethiopian Kings, in 3 generations, for 44 years.
The Twenty-sixth Dynasty of Kings of Memphis, in 7 generations, for 177 years.

[^69]Kai $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av̉zov̀s $\kappa \zeta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota a^{1}{ }^{1} \prod_{\epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu}$ $\epsilon^{\prime}, \epsilon \in \tau \omega \nu \rho \kappa \delta^{\prime}$.
 $\epsilon \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \theta^{\prime}$.
 $\eta^{\prime}$.
Tà $\pi a ́ v \tau a ~ o ̛ \mu о \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda^{\prime} \delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \tau \eta M \gamma^{\prime}$ каі ,гфкє'.
Tav̂ta àva入vó $\mu \epsilon \nu a$, єïтovv $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \in \nu a, \pi a \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \grave{\alpha}$




 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v \pi a \rho '$ av̉тоîs, ̈̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ каi $\dot{\epsilon} v$ тоîs $\Gamma \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa о \imath ̂ s$

 тò̀s $\pi \rho о \chi \epsilon i \rho o v s ~ к а \nu o ́ v a s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau \rho о \nu о \mu i ́ a s ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ к \epsilon ' ~$

 є́к $\delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \grave{a} s ~ \theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \rho a \phi a ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$






[^70]The Twenty-seventh Dynasty of Persian Kings, in 5 generations, for 124 years.
[The Twenty-eighth Dynasty is here omittedone king of Sais reigning for 6 years.]
Then comes the Twenty-ninth Dynasty of Kings of Tanis in <7> generations for 39 years; and finally the Thirtieth Dynasty consists of one King of Tanis for 18 years. The sum total of all the 30 Dynasties comprises 36,525 years.

If this total is broken up, or divided, 25 times into periods of 1461 years, it reveals the periodic return of the Zodiac which is commonly referred to in Egyptian and Greek books, that is, its revolution from one point back to that same point again, namely, the first minute of the first degree of the equinoctial sign of the Zodiac, the Ram as it is called by them, according to the account given in The General Discourses of Hermés and in the Cyranides.

Hence it was, I suppose, that Claudius Ptolemaeus ${ }^{1}$ announced that the ready astronomical tables should be calculated in periods of 25 years . . .

Hence, too, the lack of harmony between such systems and our Holy Scriptures, as well as between one system and another, may be explained by the fact that this Egyptian record, which is held to be of great antiquity, assigns an immense period to Hêphaestus, and to the remaining $29^{2}$ Dynasties 36,525 ycars, although Hêphacstus ruled over Egypt
${ }^{1}$ Claudius Ptolemaeus, the famous mathematician, astronomer, and geographer, c. A.D. 100-178: for his Ready Tables see P. 5 in the other section of this volume.
${ }^{2}$ An obviously incorrect summary of the enumeration of Dynasties given above.
 ठє́оуть то́т $\omega$.

 $\delta \eta \lambda \alpha \delta \grave{\eta} \lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{s}$ áфор $\mu a ́ s$, катà тод̀̀ $\delta \iota a \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ àv $\nu \tau \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \mu \alpha \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \kappa \alpha i \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$









 $\pi v \rho \gamma о \pi о$ оїаs каi $\sigma v \gamma \chi$ v́rєढs т $\uparrow \nu \nu \quad \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \omega \bar{\nu}$ каi




 є $\sigma \chi a ́ \tau o v ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ A i \gamma u ́ \pi \tau \tau o v, ~ \omega ̀ s ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a ~$




${ }^{1}$ Boeckh : aú $\bar{\omega} \nu$ codd., probably corrupt.
many years after the Flood and the Building of the Tower, as will be shown in the appropriate place.

The illustrious Egyptian Manetho, writing of these same 30 Dynasties, and obviously taking this as his starting-point, is widely divergent thereafter in the dates he gives, as one may learn both from what I have already said above, and from the remarks that will follow immediately. For in his three books, 113 generations are recorded in 30 Dynasties, and the time which he assigns amounts in all to 3555 years, beginning with Anno mundi 1586 and ending with 5147 [5141], or some 15 years before the conquest of the world by Alexander of Macedon.

If therefore one subtracts from this total the 656 years before the Flood in order to make up [with 1586] the 2242 years from Adam to the Flood, these 656 years being regarded as falsely assigned or non-existent, -and the 534 years from the Flood to the Building of the Tower, the Confusion of Tongues, and the Dispersion of the Peoples, one will clearly find the rise of the kingdom of Egypt under the first Egyptian king, Mestraïm, who is by Manetho called Mênês, which began in the year 2776, the year of Adam, and continued down to Nectanabô, the last king of Egypt. Thus the sum total from Mestraïm down to this Nectanabô is 2365 years, which takes us, as has already been stated, to Anno mundi 5147 [5141], approximately 15 years before the rule of Alexander the Founder.

[^71]
## APPENDIX IV.

## 

Syncellus, p. 170.
Aívú $\pi$ тov $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota M \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a i a s \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \tau \eta$.

$\beta^{\prime} K o v \rho \omega \dot{\delta} \eta s, \stackrel{้}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$.

$\delta^{\prime} \Sigma \pi$ ávıos, $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \epsilon\end{gathered} \eta \lambda s^{\prime}$.
 $\zeta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \Omega \sigma \iota \rho \circ \pi i s,{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \tau \eta \kappa \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\eta^{\prime} \Sigma \epsilon \sigma$ ó $\gamma \chi \omega \sigma \iota s$, ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \mu \theta^{\prime}$.
$\theta^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime} A \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \mu \eta s, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \kappa \theta^{\prime}$.
Syncellus, p. 179.
$\iota^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \mu a \sigma \iota s, \frac{\text { є̈ }}{\tau} \eta \beta^{\prime}$.

${ }^{\iota} \beta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \gamma \chi \circ \rho \epsilon v^{\prime} s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.

${ }^{1}$ Cod. B : ó $\sum_{\text {á } \rho a \pi ı s ~ G o a r, ~ D i n d o r f . ~}^{\text {a }}$
${ }^{1}$ The Book of Sothis which Syncellus believed to be the genuine Manetho, but which in its original form was based upon Eusebius and Josephus, is dated by Gutschmid to the 234

## APPENDIX IV.

The Book of Sôthis ${ }^{1}$ or The Sôthic Cycle.

## (From Syncellus.)

The years of the kings of Egypt, called Mestraea of old.

1. Mestralm, also called Mênês, 35 years.
2. Kourôdês, 63 years.
3. Aristarchus, 34 years.
4. Spanius, 36 years.

5 and 6. Two kings, unrecorded, 72 years.
7. Ósiropis, 23 years.
8. Sesonchôsis, 49 years.
9. Amenemês, 29 years.
10. Amasis, 2 years.
11. Acesephthrês, 13.
12. Anchoreus, 9 years.
13. Armiÿsês, 4 years.
third century after Christ. It is not possible to divide the kings of this "Cycle " into dynasties, for their sequence is unchronological: e.g. 18-24 belong to Dynasties XIX. and XX., 26-29, 32 to the Hyksôs period, 33-48 to Dynasty XVIII., 49, 58 to Dynasty XIX., 50, 51 to Dynasty XXVI., $59-61$ to Dynasty I., $63-67$ to Dynasty XXI., 68.70 to Dynasty XXIII., 74 to Dynasty XXIV., 75-77 to Dynasty XXV., and 79-86 to Dynasty XXVI.

The Book of Sothis includes names taken from another source than Manetho.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iota \epsilon^{\prime} \text { M८aцои́s, "̈т } \tau \text { t } \delta^{\prime} \text {. } \\
& \iota^{\prime} \text { ' } A \mu \epsilon \sigma \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma \text {, } \epsilon^{\prime} \tau \eta \xi \epsilon^{\prime} \text {. } \\
& \iota \zeta^{\prime} O \ddot{v} \sigma \eta s, \stackrel{\prime}{\prime} \tau \eta \nu^{\prime} \text {. } \\
& \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} P \alpha \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta^{\prime} s, \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \kappa \theta^{\prime} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Syncellus, p. 189.
 $\kappa^{\prime} O \mathcal{v} \sigma \iota \mu \alpha \rho \eta,{ }^{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$.
$\kappa \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} P \alpha \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \quad=\omega \varsigma$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\kappa \beta^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime} P \alpha \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega$, ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \iota \theta^{\prime}$.
 $\mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \in \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. е̇ $\pi i$ тои́тоv od $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ \rho \chi \eta S$
 $\kappa \gamma^{\prime} \quad$ ' $P a \mu \in \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Iov} \beta \alpha \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta}, \quad{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \lambda \theta^{\prime}$.

Syncellus, p. 193.
$\kappa \delta^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime} P a \mu \in \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} O \dot{v} \alpha ́ \phi \rho o v, \quad$ є́ $\tau \eta \kappa \theta^{\prime}$.
$\kappa \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ Kó $\chi \chi a \rho \iota s$, "̈т $\tau \epsilon^{\prime}$.



${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}:$ Ov̉ァı $\mu a ́ \rho \eta s \mathrm{~A}$.
${ }^{1}$ The name Chamois is probably the Greek form of the name Khamuas: for Khanuas, the principal son of Ramesses II., see Griffith, Stories of the High Priests, p. 2 n. 2.
14. Chamoïs, ${ }^{1} 12$ years.
15. Miamûs, 14 years.
16. Amesêsis, 65 years.
17. Usês, 50 years.
18. Ramesês, 29 years.
19. Rames(s)omenês, 15 years.
20. Usimarê(s), ${ }^{2} 31$ years.
21. Ramessêseôs, ${ }^{3} 23$ years.
22. Ramessamenô, 19 years.

He is the first Pharaoh mentioned in the Holy Scriptures. In his reign the patriareh Abraham went down into Egypt. ${ }^{4}$
23. Ramessê Iubassê, 39 years.
24. Ramessê, son of Uaphrês, ${ }^{5} 29$ years.
25. Coneharis, 5 years.

In this 5 th year of Concharis, the 25th king of Egypt, during the Sixteenth
${ }^{2}$ The name Usimarê(s) is the first part of the praenomen of Ramessês II. : seo p. 221 n. 4.
${ }^{3}$ It is tempting to see in this name the Egyptian Ramesese-o, "Ramessês the Great," although this term, so commonly used in modorn times, is not found in Egyptian records (B.G.).
${ }^{4}$ On Abraham's descent into Egypt, see Peet, Egypt and the Old T'estament, 1922, pp. 47 ff . (Abraham went down into Egypt in the First Intermediate Period, during Dynasties VII.-X., and left Egypt before 2081 b.c.) Sir L. Woolley, on the other hand, is satisfied with the traditional date of the birth of Abraham at Ur, c. 2000 r.c. ; but he believes that the patriarch was not a single man, but a composite character (Abram, Abraham)-see Abraham: Recent Discoveries and Hebrew Origins, 1936.
"This description "son of Uaphrês" is a romarkabio anachronism : a king of Dynasty XIX. or XX. is said to be the son of a king of Dynasty XXV1.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ к и ́ к \lambda o v ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{̣} ~ M a v \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$, àmò то仑̂ $\pi \rho \omega ́ т о \cup ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ к а i ́ ~ o i к \iota \sigma т о \hat{v} M \epsilon \sigma-$









$$
\text { Syncellus, p. } 195 .
$$

 סuvaбтєias $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ M a v \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$.

## Syncellus, p. 204.

$\kappa \zeta^{\prime} B a i ́ \omega \nu,{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \delta^{\prime}$.
$\kappa \eta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \pi \alpha \chi \nu$ ás, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \lambda_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$.
$\kappa \theta^{\prime}$ " $A \phi \omega \phi \iota \varsigma$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \xi \alpha^{\prime}$.
Toûтov $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \in s ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu ~ к \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$

入ov. oûтоs катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$ тòv 'I $\omega \sigma \eta ̀ \phi$ кúpıo





[^72]Dynasty of the Sôthic Cycle as it is called in Manetho, the total of years from the first king and founder of Egypt, Mestraïm, is 700 belonging to 25 kings, i.e. from the general cosmic year 2776, in which the Dispersion took place in the 34th year of the rule of Arphaxad ${ }^{1}$ and the 5th year of Phalec. ${ }^{2}$ Next in the succession were 4 kings of Tanis, who ruled Egypt in the Seventeenth Dynasty for 254 [259] years, according to the following computation.
26. Silitês (the first of the 6 kings of the Seventeenth Dynasty in Manetho), 19 years.
27. Baiôn, 44 years.
28. Apachnas, 36 years.
29. Aphôphis, 61 years.

Some say that this king was at first called Pharaoh, and that in the 4th year of his kingship Joseph came as a slave into Egypt. ${ }^{3}$ He appointed Joseph lord of Egypt and all his kingdom in the 17th year of his rule, having learned from him the interpretation of the dreams and having thus proved his divine wisdom.
${ }^{1}$ Arphaxad, son of Shem: O.T. Genesis x. 22. Sce p. 26 n . I.
${ }^{2}$ Phalec or Peleg ( $=$ division) : "for in his days was the earth divided " (Genesis x. 25). Cf. the name of the town Phaliga on the Euphrates,-not that the patriarch Peleg is to be connected directly with this town (W. F. Albright, The Archaeology of Palestine and the Bible ${ }^{2}, 1932-3$, p. 210).
${ }^{3}$ For the Sojourn in Egypt during the Hyksôs period, see Peet, Egypt and the Old Testament, pp. 73 ff .; Albright, The Archaeology of Palestine and the Bible ${ }^{2}, \mathrm{pp} .143 \mathrm{f}$. ; Garstang, The Heritage of Solomon, 1934, p. 147.

 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.

Syncellus, p. 232.
$\lambda^{\prime} \Sigma \epsilon^{\prime} \theta \omega s, \stackrel{\prime \prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \nu^{\prime}$.


$\lambda \beta^{\prime} \quad$ ' $A \sigma \eta^{\prime} \theta,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}$.

 є́ $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \xi \epsilon^{\prime}$ ท̀ $\mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó Aìvvттьакòs Є̀vıavtós, $\tau \xi^{\prime}$ بóvov $\hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ т $\rho o ̀$ тоúтоv $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \circ u ́ \mu \in \nu o s . ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ̂ ~ o ̛ ~ \mu o ́ \sigma \chi o s ~ \theta \epsilon o-~$



Syncellus, p. 278.
$\lambda \delta^{\prime} X \epsilon \beta \rho \dot{\omega} \nu,{ }^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \eta \imath \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\lambda \epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \mu \epsilon \mu \phi{ }^{\prime}{ }_{5},{ }^{1}{ }^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \quad \iota \epsilon^{\prime}$.
$\lambda_{5}{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\mu \in \nu \sigma \hat{\eta} s$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \iota a^{\prime}$.
$\lambda \zeta^{\prime} M_{\iota \sigma \phi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu о v ́ \theta \omega \sigma \iota s, ~}^{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ เs.
$\lambda \eta^{\prime} M \iota \sigma \phi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} s, \stackrel{\prime \prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \kappa \gamma^{\prime}$.

Syncellus, p. 286.

$\mu^{\prime} \quad$|  |
| :--- | :--- |$\mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \phi \theta \iota s, \stackrel{้}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \lambda \delta^{\prime}$.

Ô̂тos ó 'A $\mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \phi \theta$ is є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ò $М є ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu$


The Holy Scriptures, however, give the name of Pharaoh also to the king of Egypt in the time ot Abraham.
30. Sethôs, 50 years.
31. Cêrtôs, according to Josephus, 29 years;
according to Manetho, 44 years.
32. Asêth, 20 years.

This king added the 5 intercalary days to the year : ${ }^{1}$ in his reign, they say, the Egyptian year became a year of 365 days, being previously reckoned as 360 days only. In his time the bull-calf was deified and called Apis.
33. Amôsis, also called Tethmôsis, 26 years.
34. Chebrôn, 13 years.
35. Amemphis, 15 years.
36. Amensês, 11 years
37. Misphragmuthôsis, 16 years.
38. Misphrês, 23 years.
39. Tuthmôsis, 39 years.
40. Amenôphthis, 34 years.

This is the king who was reputed to be Memnôu and a speaking statue. Many

$$
{ }^{1} \text { See p. } 99 \text { n. } 3 .
$$






$\mu a^{\prime}{ }^{\top} \Omega \rho \circ s, \stackrel{\check{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \eta^{\prime}$.
$\mu \beta^{\prime} \quad A \chi \in \nu \chi \in \rho{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} s$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$.
$\mu \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \theta \omega \rho i s, ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \kappa \theta^{\prime}$.
$\mu \delta^{\prime} X \epsilon \nu \chi \in \rho \eta^{\prime} s, \stackrel{\dddot{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \kappa \varsigma^{\prime}$.

## Syncellus, p. 293.

$\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime} A \chi \epsilon \rho \rho \eta^{\prime} s, \stackrel{\check{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \ddot{\eta}$ каі $\lambda^{\prime}$.
$\mu$ ' $^{\prime} A \rho \mu a i ̂ o s$, ò каi $\triangle$ avaós, ${ }^{\prime} \tau \backslash \eta \theta^{\prime}$.
'Apraîos, ò каi Davaós, $\phi \in u ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ тòv




 $\xi \eta^{\prime}, \mu \epsilon \tau о \nu о \mu a ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \omega^{\prime} \rho a \nu A^{\prime \prime} \gamma v \pi \tau o \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$
 $\pi a \rho$ ' "E

 $\gamma \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ '́ßací入єvбє. каi oi àmóyovoı aủzov̂





[^73]
## THE BOOK OF SôTHIS App. IV

years later Cambysês, the Persian king, cut this statue in two, deeming that there was sorcery in it, as Polyaenus of Athens ${ }^{1}$ relates.

The Ethiopians, removing from the River Indus, settled near Egypt.
41. Ôrus, 48 years.
42. Achencherês, 25 years.
43. Athôris, 29 years.
44. Chencherês, 26 years.
45. Acherrês, 8 or 30 years.
46. Armaeus, also called Danaus, 9 years.

This king, fleeing from his brother Ramessês, also called Aegyptus, was driven from his kingdom of Egypt and came to Greece. Ramessês, his brother, whose other name was Aegyptus, ruled Egypt for 68 years, changing the name of his country to Egypt after his own name. Its previous name was Mestraea, and among the Greeks Aeria. Now Danaus or Armaeus took possession of Argos and, driving out Sthenclus the son of Crotôpus, ruled over the Argives. His descendants thereafter were called Danaïdae down to Eurystheus son of Sthenelus, the son of Perscus. Next to these, after Pelops the Pelopidae succeeded to the kingdom: the first of these was Atreus.

[^74]Syncellus, p. 302.
$\mu \zeta^{\prime}{ }^{~} P a \mu \in \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} s$, ó каi $A \ddot{\imath} \gamma v \pi \tau о s,{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$. $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ' $A \mu \epsilon ' \nu \omega \phi \iota s, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$.
$\mu \theta^{\prime} \Theta$ ov́ $\omega \rho \iota s, \stackrel{\dddot{\epsilon}}{ } \tau \eta$ し $\zeta^{\prime}$.
$\nu^{\prime} N \in \chi \in \psi \stackrel{\omega}{\omega}, \stackrel{\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta}{ } \uparrow \theta^{\prime}$.
$\nu a^{\prime} \Psi a \mu \mu o v \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ s, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \iota^{\prime}$.
$\nu \beta^{\prime}-, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \delta^{\prime}$.
$\nu \gamma^{\prime} K \eta \rho \rho \tau \omega s,{ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}{ }^{1}$
$\nu \delta^{\prime}$ 'Pá $\mu \psi \iota \varsigma, \epsilon \in \tau \eta \mu \epsilon$ '.


Syncellus, p. 319.

$\nu \zeta^{\prime} \quad ' A \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \eta \eta^{\prime}, \frac{\check{\epsilon} \tau \eta}{} \kappa \zeta^{\prime}$.
$\nu \eta^{\prime} \Theta o v ́ \omega \rho \iota s, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \nu^{\prime}$.


 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\tau} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ T $\rho o i ́ a s ~ \kappa а \tau \eta ิ \chi \theta a \iota ~ \pi \lambda a \nu \omega ́-~$ $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$.



$\xi^{\prime} K \epsilon \nu \kappa \epsilon ́ v \eta s$, є̀ $\tau \eta \lambda \theta^{\prime}$.
$\xi a^{\prime} O \dot{v} \epsilon ́ \nu \nu \epsilon \phi \iota s, \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \beta^{\prime} .{ }^{2}$
' Corr. Goar: $15^{\prime}$ codd. $\quad{ }^{2} \lambda \beta^{\prime}$ cod. B.
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47. Ramessês, also called Aegyptus, 68 years.
48. Amenôphis, 8 years.
49. Thuôris, 17 years.
50. Nechepsôs, ${ }^{1} 19$ years.
51. Psammuthis, 13 years.
52. -, 4 years.
53. Cêrtôs, ${ }^{2} 20$ years.
54. Rampsis, 45 years.
55. Amensês, also called Ammenemês, 26 years.
56. Ochyras, 14 years.
57. Amendês, 27 years.
58. Thuôris, 50 years.

This is the Polybus of Homer, who appears in the Odyssey as husband of Alcandra: the poet tells how Menelaus and Helen dwelt with him in their wanderings after the capture of Troy.
59. Athôthis, also called Phusanus, ${ }^{3} 28$ years.

In his reign earthquakes occurred in Egypt, although previously unknown there.
60. Cencenês, 39 years.
61. Uennephis, 42 years.
${ }^{1}$ See p. 211 n. 2. Nechepsôs appears again as Neehepsus, No. 80.
${ }^{2} 53.58$ may be the 6 kings of Dynasty N1N.. some of them repeated. 53 Cêrtôs may be Sethôs: 54 Rampsis $=47$ Ramessês: 55 Amensês $=$ Amenmesês: while Thuôris appears as 58 and 49.
${ }^{8}$ With P'husanus cf. Psusennês of Dynasty N゙NI.

App. IV PSEUDO-MANETHO
Syncellus, p. 332.
$\xi \beta^{\prime}$ इovaакєí $\mu, \stackrel{\eta}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \lambda \delta^{\prime}$.
इоибакєір Mißuas каi AiӨioтаs каi
 $\sigma \alpha \lambda{ }_{\eta} \mu$.
$\xi \gamma^{\prime}$ Yov́єvos, $\stackrel{\dddot{\epsilon}}{\tau}_{\tau}^{\tau} \eta \kappa \epsilon^{\prime}$.
$\xi \delta^{\prime} \quad A \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \phi \iota s,{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.
$\xi \epsilon^{\prime} N \epsilon \phi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \in \rho \eta s, \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \varsigma^{\prime}$.
$\xi \varsigma^{\prime} \sum a$ ì $\tau \eta s,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta \iota \epsilon^{\prime}$.
$\xi \zeta^{\prime} \Psi \iota \nu a ́ \chi \eta s,{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.
$\xi \eta^{\prime} \Pi \epsilon \tau \operatorname{\sigma ov} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \eta s,{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mu \delta^{\prime}$.
$\xi \theta^{\prime}$ 'O $O \omega \rho \theta \omega \nu, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.
$\circ^{\prime} \Psi \alpha \mu \mu o s, \stackrel{\text { є }}{\prime} \tau \eta \imath^{\prime}$.
oa' Kó $\gamma \chi a \rho \iota s, \neq \nexists \tau \eta \kappa a^{\prime}$.

Syncellus, p. 347.
${ }_{\circ} \beta^{\prime}$ ' $O \sigma$ ó $\rho \theta \omega \nu, \stackrel{\pi}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \iota \epsilon^{\prime}$.

o $\delta^{\prime}$ Во́к $\chi \omega \rho \iota \varsigma$, є̈є $\tau \eta \delta^{\prime}$.



Ô̂тоs, тòv Bóкхшрıv aì $\not \mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \nu \lambda a \beta \omega ́ \nu$,
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62. Susakeim, ${ }^{1} 34$ years.

This king brought up Libyans, Ethiopians, and Trôglodytes ${ }^{2}$ before Jerusalem.
63. Psuenus, 25 years.
64. Ammenôphis, 9 years.
65. Nephecherês, 6 years.
66. Saïtês, 15 years.
67. Psinachês, 9 years.
68. Petubastês, 44 years.
69. Osôrthôn, 9 years.
70. Psammus, 10 years.
71. Concharis, 21 years.
72. Osŏrthôn, 15 years.
73. Tacalôphis, 13 years.
74. Bocchôris, 44 years.

This king made laws for the Egyptians: in his time report has it that a lamb spoke. ${ }^{3}$
75. Sabacôn, an Ethiopian, 12 years.

This king, taking Bocchôris captive, burned him alive. ${ }^{4}$
76. Sebêchôn, 12 years.
${ }^{1}$ Susakeim, apparently, is Shoshenk, or Sesonehôsis, the first king of Dynasty XXII. (Fr. 60, 1) : Josephus, Antiq., viii. § 210, has Susakos.
${ }^{2}$ In O.T. 2 Chron. xii. 3 it is said that Shishak brought up, along with the Ethiopians, the Lubims (Libyans) and the Sukkiims : in the LXX tho last are the Trôglodytes, i.e. the "Cave-dwellers" along the west shore of the Red Sea (see Strabo, xvi. 4. 17). G. W. Murray, Sons of Ishmael, 1335, p. 18, suspects that the Ethiopians were negro troops or perhaps Beja nomads (i.e. Bedouin). "At any rate Shishak, like the great Mohammed Ali after him, realized the importance of Bedouin auxiliaries on a desert campaign."
${ }^{3}$ See p. 164 n. 2.
${ }^{4}$ See p. 166 n. 2.

## Apr．IV PSEUDO－MANETHO

## Syncellus，p． 360.

o弓＇Tара́к $\eta \varsigma$ ， ＇́ $^{\prime} \tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}$ ．

$o \theta^{\prime} \sum \tau \epsilon \phi \iota \nu \alpha \theta \eta s,{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \kappa \zeta^{\prime}$.

Syncellus，p． 396.
$\pi \alpha^{\prime} N \epsilon \chi \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$, ё＇т $\eta \eta^{\prime}$.
$\pi \beta^{\prime} \Psi а \mu \mu \eta \dot{\prime} \tau \iota \chi \circ \varsigma, ~ є ̈ \tau \eta ~ \iota \delta^{\prime}$.
$\pi \gamma^{\prime} N \in \chi \alpha \grave{\omega} \beta^{\prime} \Phi \alpha \rho \alpha \omega^{\prime}, \epsilon \notin \tau \eta \theta^{\prime}$.
手 $\eta$ し $\zeta^{\prime}$ ．

$\pi \varsigma^{\prime}$＂$A \mu \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma,{ }^{2}$＇$\epsilon \tau \eta \nu^{\prime}$ ．


## THE BOOK OF SÔTHIS App. Il

77. Taracês, 20 years.
78. Amaês, ${ }^{1} 38$ years.
79. Stephinathês, 27 years.
80. Nechepsus, 13 years.
81. Nechaô, 8 years.
82. Psammêtichus, 14 years.
83. Nechaô Il. (Pharaoh), 9 years.
84. Psamuthês the Second, also called Psammêtichus, 17 years.
85. Uaphris, 34 years.
86. Amôsis, 50 years.
${ }^{1}$ Amaês corresponds to Ammeris or Ameres the Ethiopian, Fr. 69, 1, i.e. Tanutamûn, Dynasty XXVI.


## SCARABS



1. Apôphis.

2. Khian.

3. Amôsis.
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Old Eeryptian Amands of the Kingr. Dimensions of
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Papyrus of ant Epitone vi Mantho, v./ad.
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## INDEX TO MANETHO

Abraham, 25, 27, 237, 241.
Acenchérès I. (King), 103, 109,
119 ; II. (King), 103, 109.

Acesepht
Achenchirnsizice Ammenophil: sce Apenp̂phis.


Achôris, 179, 181.
Arhthoés, 61.
Adam, 7, 11, 13, 25, 27, 233.

Aegyptue


Aeria, 243.
Aerltae, 227.

Anchoreus, 235.






Alezandria, 193, 195.
Amaes, 249.
Amasis, 235.
Amemphis, 241.
Amendes, 245.
Amenemés, 235.
Amenôph, Amenóphath, 113.
Amenophis 1., 101, 109, 115, ? 245, ? 247; 11., 101, 109 ; 111., 103, 109, 113, 115, 117 ;
IV., $103,111,113,117,119,121$, 123 п. 1, 127, 129, 131, 133, 137. 139, 143.
Amenóphls, son of Hapu, 123, 125, 129.

Amenophthis, 111, 155, 157, 241 .
Amensen (-is), 111, 115, 241, 245.
Ameréa, 69, 173.
Amersis, 111.
Amesests, : 237 .
Amessen, 105.
Amesyly, 101.
 241.

Apollo, 17.
A pollodorus, 213.
Apophis (Aphobis, Aphôphls), 83, 91, 97, 09, 239.
Arabs, 85.
Archaés, 99.
Archlês, 91, 97.
Ares, 17, $23,217$.
Argives, 107, 117, 119. 243.
Argos, 19, 107, 117, 119, 243.
Aristarchus, 235.
Armacuq, 243.
Armals, 117, 119.
Armesis, 110.
Armiýsés, 235.
Arphaxad, 27. 239.
Arsès, $185,1 \times i$.
Arsinofte nome, 6), 71, 73.
Ar*athanus, 175.
Artaxetxê, 175, 177.
(1) Barge of Serapis; a galley Fithoars g serapis seated in the centre, to the Idrt Igig Pharia, to the right Dometer (Poole. Brit. MuT. Cat. of Greek Coins of Alexandria, No. 880-coin of Hadrian, reverse):
(2) Temple of Sarapispunibh aidimelle Partico: Serapis seated, with Cerberus at his feet (ibid., No. 872).
(3) Serapis reclining, an eagle in his right hand, a sceptre in his left (Babelon et Reinach, Recueil général des monnaies grecques, I.,


## INDEX TO MANETHO

Abraham, 25, 27, 237, 241.
Acenchérès 1. (King). 103, 109, 119 ; Il. (King), 103, 109.
Acenchèrès (Queen), 103, 109.
Acesephthrès, 235.
Achencheris, 243.
Achenchersees, 115.
Acherrès, 113, 117, 119, 243.
Achès, 43.
Achôris, 179, 181.
Achtioếs, 61.
Adam, 7, 11, 13, 25, 27, 233.
Aegyptiaca, 99.
Aegyptus, 7, 105, 117 119, 121, $243,245$.
Aeria, 243.
Aeritae, 227.
Aesculapius, 45.
Africanus, 25, 27, 29, 37, 43, 47, 57, $111,113,115,117$.
Agathodaemon, 15, 209.
Air, 197.
Alcandra, 149, 151, 245.
Alexander the Great, 187, 233.
Alexandria, 193, 195.
Amaes, 249.
Amasis, 235.
Amemphis, 241.
Amendês, 245.
Amenemés, 235.
Amenôph, Amenophath, 113.
Amenôphis I., 101, 109, 115, ? 245, ? 247; II., 101, 109 ; III., 103, 109, 113, 115, 117 : IV., 103, 111, 113, 117, 119, 121, 123 n. 1, 127, 129, 131, 133, 137, 139, 143.
Amenophls, son of Hapu, 123, 125, 129.

Amenophthis, 111, 155, 157, 241.
Amenses (-is), 111, 115, 241, 245.
Amerès, 69, 173.
Amersis, 111.
Amesêsis, 237.
Amessê, 109.
Amessis, 101.

Ammanemês, 67, 71.
Ammenemès, 63, 65, 69, 71 ; (I.), 223 ; (II.), 225, 245.
Ammenem( n )ès, 149, 151.
Am(m)enpphthés(-is), 149, 151.
Ammenóphis: see Amenôphis.
Ammeris, 171.
Ammôn, 17, 189, 221.
A mophis, 117.
Amos, 111, 113.
Amôsis (Amosès, A musis), 19, 113, $115,117,171,173,199,201,241$, 249.

A mún, 189.
Amnthartaens, 225.
Amyrtaeus (-teos, -tes), 179, 221.
Anchoreus, 235.
Annlanus, 11 n. 2, 17 n. 3.
Anóyphis, 217.
Anubis (-es), 17, 19.
Apachnan (-as), 83, 230.
A pappus, 221.
Aphrodite, 23.
A plôn, 19.
Apis, $35,37,39,129,137,189,203$, 241.

Apolla, 17.
Apollodorus, 213.
Apôphis (Aphobis, Aphôphis), 83, 91, 97, 99, 239.
Arabs, 85.
Archaés, 99.
Archlès, 91, 97.
A rês, 17, 23, 217.
Argives, 107, 117, 119, 243.
Argos, 19, 107, 117, 119, 243.
Aristarchus, 235.
Armaeus, 243.
Armaĭs, 117, 110.
Armesis, 118.
Armiýsês, 235.
Arphaxad, 27, 239.
Arsès, 185, 187.
Arsinoĭte nome, 69, 71, 73.
Artabanus, 175.
Artaxerxês, 175, 177.

## INDEX

Asclenios, 41, 43.
Aséth, 241.
$A$-in, $67,71,73,89$.
Asiatics, 195.
Assis, 83.
Assyrians, 81, 89. 103, 171, 173.
Athena, 191, 197, 291 .
Atheres, 243.
Athoris, $115,: 213$.
Athothés $1 ., 215: 11 ., 215$.
Athôthis, 29, 31, 33, 215, 245.
Atreus, "24.
Auaris $81,87,125,127,129,137$
Babylon, 15.
Batón, 239.
Rehón, 1.29. 191.
Bérossos, 15.
Bieheris, 47.
Biêncchés, 29.
Binothiris, 37.
Biophis, 39.
Bites, 5.
Biyrcès, 219.
Bnôn, 83, 91, 97.
Bocchôris, Poch hôris. 165. 167 169, 217.
Bôchos (-11s), 21, 37.39.
Boéthos, 35.
Bubant is ( -115 ), 21, 35, 37, 39, 159, 1 f1.
Bubastite branch, 81 .
Bydis, 5.
Cainan, 27.
Calentar, xxviii., 99 n. 3, 233, 241.
Cambyses, 175, 177, 243.
Cechous, 39 (see Kaiechôs).
Cencenôs, 33 (see kenkenés), 2.55 .
C'encheres, 115.
Ccrberus. 195.
Certoss, :211, :215.
Chaires, 37.
Chaldea, 15.
Cham (11am), 7, 23.
Chamoise, 237.
Chebréa, 113.
Chebrôn, 101, 109, 115, 117, 241
Chebros, 111.
Chencherês, -43 .
Cheneress 87.
Cheols, 47, 49.
Cheres, 51.
Cherrés, 117, 119.

Chnubos, 217.
(ho, 33 (see Kôchômê).
Chomaephtha, 223.
('hôos, 37 (sce Kaichôos).
Chuthêr, 223.
Concharis, 237, 247.
(ronos, 3, 17, 23, 199, 229.
Crotopus, 243.
Cyprus, 103.

Danaïdae, 24 .
Danaus, 105, 107, 117, 119, 121, $\because 43$.
Darius 1., 175, 177; 11., 175, 177.
Dirius, 3, $185,187$.
beneter. 197.
bencalion, 113.

1) indoгиs, 199.
monysius, 195.
Dinapolis (nt Thehes; 21, 63, 65, $67.62,71,73,75,93,95,111$, $115,117,149,151,153,155$, $225,229$.
Diepersion, 213, $233,239$.

Earth, 197.
larthquakes, 35 n. 3.
Erhiskosokaras, 201,
Vgregori, 11.
Egypt, $3,5,7,15,17,19,23$, 25 , $27,29,41,43,45,47,61,63,85$, $87,89,91,95,97,101,103,105$, $107,111,115,117,119,121,123$, $125,127,129,133,135,137,139$, $141,143,145,169,171,173,175$, $177,185,187.189,195,197,199$, $203,211,215,217,219,231,233$, 235, 237, 239, 241, 243, 245; lower, 81 ; Tpper, 81
Pgybiana, 121, 125. 129, 133, 139. 1 1 $1,143,145,147,161,163,191$, 145, 197, 227, 217.
Eileithyiaspolis, 199, 203.
Elephantine, 51, 53.
linoth, 11.
Eratosthencs, :213, 225.
Ethiopia, $9,129,131,133,137,139$, 143
Ethiopian, 167, 169, 171, 173, 229, 243, 247 .
Europer 67, 71, 73.
Eurysthens, 243 .
Eusebiuz, 11, 13, $25,27,29,31,39$, $43,49,57,115,117$.

## INDEX

Fxodus, 19 n. 3, 107,110 n. 2 , $115,119$.

Fire, 197.
Flood, 7, 13, 15, 25, 27, 31, 37, 47, 49, 113, 209, 233.

Gueuros, 217.
Gosormiés. 217.
(ireeee, 117, 119, 243.
1; reeta, 243.
Ham, 7, 23.
Harmaĭ, 103, 105, 109.
Harmesses Miaminn, 103
Harpocratis, 223.
Hebrcws, 119.
Hecataels oi Abdera, xxiv., 131 n. 2.

Helen, 245.
Hèliopolis, 23, 35, 125, 131, 139. 145, 199, 211.
Hèlios, 3, 15, 17, 2:3, 199, 227.
Hêphaestus, $3,15,17,23,197$. $199,223,227,229,231$.
1lèra, 194, 201.
11"racleopolis, 61,63.
Hèracléa, Hercules, 17, 161, 163, $215,223,225$.
Hermaens, $1: 1$.
Hermés, 23, 209, 215, 225.
Hermes (Trismegistua), 209, 211
Hernupolis, 23.
Llerodutus, $31,33,47,49,79,205$. 207.

Hestia, 199.
Homer, 149, 151, 153, 245.
Herus, 23, 191.
IIyk?ós, 85.
Hystaspes, 175.
Iannas, 83.
Imuthes, 41.
Inachus, 19.
Indus, River, 243.
1Oachasz, 169, 171, 173.
lsis, $5,17,1$ ¹, 191, 197.
Israel, 115.
Jerusalem 88 n. 2, 89, 101, 119 $121,127,137,141,143,169,171$. 173, 247.
Jews, 77, 107, $115121,131,171$ 173.

Joseph 25, 89, 97, 233

Josephus, 77, 241.
Judaea, 89, 119.
Jupiter, 23.
Kaiechos, Kaichôos, 35, 37.
K゙enkenc̀s, 29, 31.
Kerpherès, 43.
Khian, s3 n. थ.
Kings, co-existing, \& n. 1.
K ôchômê, 29, 31.
hourôdès, 235.
K yphi, 203 .
Labyrinth, 69, 71, 73.
Lachares, Lamares (-is), Lampares, 69, 71, 73.
Lamb, prophetie, $16 t$ n. 2.
Libyans, 41, 43, 45, 247.
Luke, 27 .
Macedon. 187.
Magi, 17.
Madalas. 23.
Manetho, 3, 11, 15, 17, 21, 23, 25, $63,65,67,69,71,77,74,85,37$, -9, 99, 101, 107, 109, 119, 129, 133. 13.5, 137, 139, 141, 143, 14.5. $147,151,153,155,105.1=7,159$,
 209, 211, 227, 233, $\because 39,241$.
М1arés. 217, 2.5
Mars, 23.
Medes, 10.5.
Метцйи, 113, 115, 117, 241.
Nlemphis, i, $9,23,29,31,33,33$, $41,43,45,49.53,57,59,81,91$, $95,97,129,215,229$.
Mentures. 117.
Mempses, 35.
Mencherè 1., 11., 47, 51, 219.
Mendes, Mendesian. 35 37. 39, $173,181$.
Menelans, 245
Menes, Den. Min, Mineuz, 21, 29, $31,33, \because 15, \because 33, \because 35$.
Menthesuphis, 50.
Mephramentuthosis, 101, 109.
Mephrés, 101, 109.
Mercury, 23.
Meserchris, 43.
Mestrara, 235,243
Meatraei, $\because 27$.
Mestrain, Mestrem, Mizraim, 7, 9, 15. 25, 233, 235, 239.

Methu*uphis, 53.

## INDEX

Meurés, 223.
Miabaés, 215.
Mlamus, 237.
Miebis, 29.
Mleírès, 223.
Min, Mineus: see Menes.
Miphrès, 115.
Misaphris, 113.
Mispharmuthosis, 117.
Misphragmuthosis, 87, 113, 115, 241
Mlsphrès. 241.
Mnevis, 35, 37, 39.
Momcheiri, 215.
Moon, 195, 197.
Moscherês, 219.
Moses, 25, 107, 111, 115, 119, 131, $133,139,145,147$.
Mosthês, 219.
Muthes (-is), 181.
Myrtaeus, 221.
Naracho, 23, 25.
Nechao 1., 169, 171, 173, 249 ; II., 169, 171, 173, 249.
Nechepsoss, 169, 171, 173, 245.
Nechepsus, 249.
Necherocheus, 21.
Necherôchis, 43, 45.
Necherôphês, 41.
Nectanabô, 25, 233.
Nectanebês (-is), 183, 185.
Nectanebus, 183, 185.
Nephecherês, 247.
Nephelcherês, Nephercherês, 37, $51,155,157$.
Nepherités I., 179, 181: II., 179, 181.
Nlebaīs, 31, 35.
Nile, 37, 39, 81, 125, 129, 197, 225.
NitOcris, 55, 57, 221.
Noah, 7, 23.
Ocean, 197.
Ochthois, 61.
Ốchus, 185, 187.
Ochyras, 245.
Odyseey, 245.
Olympic festival, 161.
Onnis, 51.
Or, Orus, 5, 17, 19, 103, 109, 113, 1:5, 117, 121, 243.
Òrus the grammarian, 207.
Osarsêph, 125, 131, 139, 147.

Osiris, 5, 17, 19, 23, 69, 71, 73, 131, 139, 189, 197.
Osiropls, 235.
Osochôr, 155, 157.
Osorcho, 161.
Osǒrthôn, 159, 101, 163, 247.
Osorthôn, 247.
Othius, 53.
Othoês, 51, 63.
Othol, 21.

Paapis, 123, 129.
Pachnan, 91.
Palaephatuc, 23.
Pammês, 219.
Pamphilus, 11, 25.
Panodôrus, 11, 13.
Pelopidae, 243.
Pelops, 243
Pelusium, 105, 140 n., 143.
Pemphos, 215.
Pepi, 221.
Perseus, 243.
Persian Kings, $175,177,185,187$, 231, 243.
Persians, 3, $175,185,187$.
Peteathyrês, 223.
Petubastés (-is), 163, 247.
Petubatês, 161.
Phaethôn, 23.
Phalec, 239.
Pharaôh, 23, 109, 237, 239, 241, 249.
Phiôps, 53, 55.
Phius, 53.
Phoenicia, 91, 95, 97, 99, 103.
Phruorô (Phuoro), 225.
Phusanus, 245.
Pluto, 193, 195.
Polyaenus, 243.
Polybus, 149, 151, 153, 245.
Potter's oracle, vili. n. 1, 123 n. 1.
Psammecheritês, 171.
Psam(m)êtirhus I., 169, 171, 173, 249 : II., 169, 171, 173, 249 : III., 171.

Psammus, 247.
Psammûs, 161, 163.
Psammuthis, Psamnthê, 169, 173, 179, 181, 245, 249.
$\operatorname{Psin}(\mathrm{n})$ achês, $155,157,247$.
Psuenus, 247.
Psusennês I., 155, 157 ; II., 155, 157.

Ptolemaeus, Claudius, 231.
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Ptolemy of Mendes, vill., x.. 19 n. 3, 226 n. 1.

Ptolemy Phlladelphus, 15, 209, 211.
Ptolemy Sótêr, 193, 195.
Pyramid, the Great, 47, 49.
Queens, 37 n. 1, 54 n. 2.
Ram, 231.
Ramessameno, 237.
Ramessé, 237.
Ramessć Iubassė, 237.
Rames(e)ès, 103, 109, 113, 117, 119, 237, 243 ( $=$ Aegyptus), 245.
Ramessês II., 103, 149.
Ramessês Mlammû(n), 109.
Ramessêseôs, 237.
Rames(s)omenés, 237.
Rampsës (-is), 121, 133, 151, 245.
Rapsacês, 149.
Rapseès, 129.
Rathos, 113.
Rathôtis, 103, 109.
Rathurès, 51.
Ratolsès, 47.
Raÿosis, 219.
Rhea, 199.
Sabacôn, 167, 169, 247.
Sacriffice, human, 198 n. 2.
Saīs, 9, 91 п. 4, 99, 165, 167, 168
n. 1, 169, 171, 173, 179, 229, 231.

Saĭte nome, 81, 91, 95, 97, 99.
Saitêq, 91, 95, 97, 99, 247.
Saltic, 99.
Salitis, 81, 83.
Saophis I., 219 , II., 219.
Saracus, 169.
Saturn(us), 2, 23.
Scemiophris, 69.
Scripture, IINly Scriptures, 13, 25. 231, 237, 241.
Sebéchôn, 247.
Sebennytus, xl. D. 1, 15, 23, 183, 185, 189, 195, 200, 211.
Sebercherês, 47.
Seblchos, 167, 169.
Semcmpses, 29, 33, 215.
Semphrucratès, 223.
Semps0s, 215.
Sephrês, 51.
Sêphuris, 43.
Serapis, 189, 195.
Sériadlc, 209.
Seachris, 37, 39, 41.

SesOnchis, 159.
Sesonchosis, 67, 69, 71, 150, 161, 235.

Sesorthos, Sosorthus, 43, 45.
Sesortôsis, 225.
Sesôstris, 67, 71.
Sêth, 191.
Sethenes, 37.
Sethinilus, 221.
Sethôs (Ramessês), 103, 105, 111, 121, 129, 149, 151, 241.
Sethôsis, 105.
Sethroite nome, 80 n. 3, 81, 91 , 95, 97, 99.
Shepherds, Shepherd Kings, 85, 87, $89,91,93,95,97,99,101,107$. 121, 125, 127, 131, 133, 137, 139.
Silites, 239.
Sin6́pê, 193, 195.
Siphthas, 225.
Sirius, 217.
Sisirês, 51.
Sistosichermès, 225.
Sistosis, 225.
Smendés (-is), 155, 157.
Smy, 191.
Sogdianus, 175, 177.
Soicuniosochus, 223.
Soicunis (-lus), 223.
Sol, 2, 17.
Solymites, 131.
Soris, 47.
Soslbius, 195.
Sosinosíris, 19.
Sósis, 3, 19, 23.
Sosus, 17.
Sôtatés (? Sôtadês), 23.
Sotelês, 195.
Sothic Cycle, xxvii. f., 229, 235, 239.
Sothis, xxvií n., 235.
Sóyphis, 43.
Spanlus, 235.
Splrit, 197.
Staan, 91.
Stammenemês I., 223 ; II., 225.
Stephlnatêz (-thês, -thls), 169, 171, 173, 249.
Sthenelus, 243.
Stol chos, 217.
Sun, 3, 15, 17, 195, 197, 217, 221, 227.

Suphis I., 47, 49 ; II., 47.
Susakelm, 247.
Susennés, 155.
Syria, 89, 133, 139143.
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1'aealophis, 247.
T'aeelothis, Takelothis, 159, 161.
Cancheres, 51
T'anis, 23. 155 1.7 161, 163, 229 231, 239.
Tanite nome, 80 n. 3.
Taraces, 249.
'Taracus, 'Tareus, 167, 169.
'Tat, 209.
Temple (Solomon's), 118 n., 119. 159 п. 1.
Tethmosis, 101, 109 121. 127. 241
Teôs, 183, 185.
Thamphthis, 47.
Thebaid, 87.
Thebans, 213.
Thebes, 93, 95, 215, 217, 219, 221. 223,225 : see Diospulis.
Thirilhus, 221.
This, 5, 9, 29, 31, 33, 35.
Thinosis, 101.
Thôth, 209.
'harace, 67, 71, 73.
Threats to the gots, :00 11. 3.
Thulis, 23.
Thummósis, 87.
'1'huôгік, 149, 151, 153, 245.
'Timothens, 195.
'Tithoes, 17.
Tias, 37.
'TOMarphtha, 2:3.
Tongues, Confusion of, 233.
'l'oserlisis, 43.
Tusurthros, 41.
Tower (ol Biabel), 233 .

Troglodytes, 247.
Trojan war, 107.
Troy, 149, 151, 153, 245.
luthmos a (-is). 109113.115117 241
Tutimaeus, 79.
T'yphon, 5, 17, 19, 125, 189, 191, 201, 203.
Typhonian, 193.
Tyreis, Tyris, 43.
Uaplırês (-is), 171, 173, 237, 249.
Ubienthês, 33.
Uenephês, $29,31$.
Uennephis, 245.
Uôsimarês, 221.
Usaphairs, 29, 31, 35.
Usercherêz, 51.
Usês, 237.
Usimare(s), 237.
Vavenephis (see Uenephês), 33.
Venus, 23.
Vibenthis, 35.
Vulcanıs, 2.
Water, 197.
Xerxes 1. (the Great), 175, 177, 1I., 175, 177
Xoïs, 75.
Zêt, 161.
Keus, 17, 23, 133, 189, 197, 199. Zodiac, 13, 231 .
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## INTRODUCTION

## I.

From his own day well into the Renaissance Claudius Ptolemy's name was well-nigh pre-eminent in astronomy, geography, and astrology alike. "The divine Ptolemy," he is called by Hephaestion of Thebes, ${ }^{1}$ and the expression shows that the reverence accorded him fell little short of idolatry. In such circumstances it is surprising that all we know of Ptolemy's personal history must be pieced together from passages in his own works, two scholia in ancient manuscripts, and brief notices to be found in later writers, some of them Arabian. ${ }^{2}$ The result, when the reliable is summed up and the false or fanciful subtracted, is meagre indeed. We can probably rely upon the reports that he was born at Ptolemais in Egypt ${ }^{3}$ and lived to the age of $78 ;{ }^{4}$ he tells us that his astronomical observations were made on the
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parallel of Alexandria, which convinces Boll that Nexandria was his home, although there is another tradition ${ }^{1}$ that for 40 years he observed at Canopus, which was about 15 miles east of Alexandria, and it is known that he erected votive stelae in the temple at Canopus inscribed with the fundamental prineiples of his doctrines. ${ }^{2}$ Combining the various traditions with the fact that the earliest of his observations recorded in the Almagest was made in 127 and the latest in 151, we may conclude, further, that his life fell approximately in the years $100-178,{ }^{3}$ covering the first three-quarters of the second century of our cra and the reigns of Trajan, Hadrian, Antoninus Pius, and Mareus Aurelius.

A detailed and not too flattering description of Ptolemy's personal appearance and habits goes back, again, to the Arabic tradition, and has been repeated in some of the modern editions of Ptolemy's works, ${ }^{4}$

[^76]
## INTRODUCTION

but on examination it proves to be nothing but the stock characterization of the philosopher given by the Greek physiognomists. ${ }^{1}$ There is, in fact, no more to be learned about Ptolemy from external sources, and his own works contain little that is biographical. We learn from them, however, that he took, in general, an Aristotelian position philosophically, though his predilection for mathematics led him to regard that division of science with far greater reverence than the more biologically minded Aristotle. ${ }^{2}$ One of his minor works and chapters in the longer ones are philosophical and testify to his knowledge of and interest in the subject. Though he was himself amply capable of original thought, he was acquainted with the work and writings of his predecessors, of Menelaüs in mathematics, of Hipparchus in astronony, of Marinus of Tyre in geography, of Didymus in music, and of Posidonius in astrological ethnology and the arguments whereby astrology was defended. He drew freely and openly from them, and had the gift of systematizing the materials with which he dealt, a characteristic which is especially evident in the Tetrabiblos.

The works, genuine and false, ascribed to Ptolemy are: (1) the Almagest or Syntaxis Mathematica, in 13 books, the great treatise on astronomy;
 $\sigma \omega \hat{\prime}$ " ("On the Apparitions of the Fixed Stars and
 $\pi \lambda a v o p e e^{\prime} \cdot \omega \nu$ ("On the Planetary Hypothesis"); (4) Kavèv Fuoudecinv" ("Table of Reigns"), a chrono-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 13oll, Stulien, pp. 58-62. } \\
& { }^{2} \text { Op. cit., pp. } 66-111,131-163 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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 ("On Music," in three books) ; (6) the Tetrabiblos, of which later; (7) Пєрi àvàń $\mu \mu a \tau o s$, De Analemmate, the description of a sphere on a plane (extant only in translation); (8) Planisphaerium, "The Planisphere "; (9) the Optics, in 5 books (its genuineness has becn doubted) ; (10) the Kapтós or Centiloquium, a collection of astrological aphorisms (generally thought to be spurious) ; (11) the Geography;
 Tables"; (13) Прохєípov каvóv $\omega \nu$ бıáтаद̆ıs каi \& $\eta \phi$ oфopía. "Scheme and Manipulation of the Ready Tables"; (14) Пєрі критךріоv каі $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ $\nu \iota \kappa o v$, a short treatise dealing with the theory of knowledge and the soul. Of these, the Almagest,
 and the Tetrabiblos, and since it contains no reference to observations after the year 151, was certainly not the latest. The three books mentioned, and possibly others, belong to the last third of the author's life.

## II.

The treatise with which we are especially concerned is now, and usually has been, called the Tetrabiblos or Quadripartitum. but more accurately
 "Mathematical Treatise in Four Books," which is the title found in some of the MSS. ${ }^{1}$ and is likely to have been that used by Ptolemy himself. Many of the MSS.. however, use the title Tà moos
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 to Syrus," in which certain of them substitute the similar but less common word $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́$ for $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \alpha .^{2} \quad$ The book is a systematic treatise on astrology, but it should be remembered that in Ptolemy's time the two words ajorpodoरia and $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho o r o \mu i ́ a ~ m e a n t ~ m u c h ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~ t h i n g, ~ " a s t r o-~$ nomy." and that he called what we mean by
 nostication through astronomy," which indeed it was, in his estimation.

In antiquity and the middle ages no one thought it inconsistent with Ptolemy's reputation as a scientific astronomer that he should also have written upon astrology, and consequently the Tetrabiblos passed without question as genuine. ${ }^{\ddagger}$ More lately, however, this wedding of astrology to astronomy has come to seem incongruous and for that reason the authenticity of the work has been challenged by certain scholars. ${ }^{5}$ In this brief introduction the question, of course, cannot be argued fully. There are, however, two reasons for dismissing any doubts concerning the authorship of the book. The first is that by the second century of our era the triumph of astrology
${ }^{1}$ E.g. VMDE. Syrus is otherwise unknown. The Anonymous who comments on the Telrabiblos says that some consilered it a fictitious name, others that syrus was a physician skilled in astrology. Several other works of P'toleny-notably the Almagest-are dedicated to him.
${ }^{2}$ E.g. A. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Tetrabiblos, i. ad init.
? Boll, Studien, pp. 127-131.
${ }^{6}$ Chiefly Hultsch. Cf. Boll's remarks in his paper * Zur Celerlicferungsueschichte dor ariechischen Astrolorie und Astronomie," Sitzungsher. It. Münch Ak., phil.-hist. ''l., 1899, рp. 77 ff.
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was complete. ${ }^{1}$ With few exceptions every one, from emperor to the lowliest slave, believed in it, and having weathered the critieism of the New Aeademy, astrology was defended by the powerful Stoic sect. Its position was strengthened by the prevalence of stellar and solar religion throughout the world, and it even captured the seiences, such as medicine, botany, mineralogy, ehemistry, and ethnography. Furthermore, this continued to be the situation, in general, well into the Renaissance. Regiomontauns, Copernieus, Tyeho Brahe, Galileo, Kepler, and Leibnitz all either practised astrology themselves or countenaneed its practice. There is really no basis, therefore, for thinking it incongruous that Ptolemy should have believed in astrology or written upon it. The second reason for accepting him as the anthor of the Tetrabiblos is, as Boll ${ }^{2}$ has sufficiently demonstrated, that the book, in its general philosophic views, its language, and its astronomy, is entirely in accord with the Ptolemaic works whose genuinencss has never been questioned. These arguments are too lengthy to be repeated here.

## III.

Though the Tetrabiblos enjoyed almost the authority of a Bible among the astrological writers of a thousand years or more, its Greek text has been

[^78]
## INTRODUCTION

printed only three times, and not at all since the sixteenth century. The editions are as follows:
(1) The first edition, edited by Joachim Camerarius, was printed by Froben at Nürnberg in 1535 in quarto. Besides the text, it contains Camerarius' Latin translation of Bks. I-II and of parts of Bks. III-IV, and his notes on Bks. I-II, the Greek text of the $K a \rho \pi o ́ s$, and a Latin translation by J. Pontanus.
(2) The second edition, also by Camerarius, was printed by Joannes Oporinus in octavo at Basel in 1553. ${ }^{1}$ This contains the Greek text of the Tetrabiblos, a Latin translation by Philip Melanehthon, and the Kapmós in both Greek and Latin. In the preparation of the first edition Camerarius had relied upon the Nürnberg codex ( N in the list on p. xvii), in which his marks to guide the printer are still to be seen. He elaims for his second edition to have corrected many mistakes in the text, and he has indeed managed to do away with many errors and misprints which are to be found in the first edition; but apparently, too, he made use of one or more additional MSS., probably of the general type of A in our list below, from which he introduced nearly a hundred readings at variance with N , and

[^79]
## PTOLEMY

in some seventy-five other instances he altered the text by outright emendation. In spite of the attempted improvement the second edition retains some forty misprints or mistakes, half of them newly introduced ; its punctuation is most illogical, and it is far from reproducing what scems to be the best tradition of the manuscripts.
(3) Fr. Junctinus included the Greek text of the Tetrabiblos in his Speculum astrologiae, the second edition of which, in two folio volumes, was issued at Leyden in 1581. Junctinus made no attempt to improve the text as already published.

Professor Franz Boll, whose studies of Ptolemy have been cited many times already, had begun work upon a new edition of the Tetrabiblos prior to his lamented death, July 3, 1924. His pupil, Fräulein Emilie Boer, however, continued Boll's task, and the appearance of their completed text has been awaited since 1926. ${ }^{1}$ I regret very much that my own work on the present text and translation could not have profited from the results of the textual studies of these two scholars.

Translations of the Tetrabiblos have been more numerous than texts. The oldest of them is the Arabian version, by Ishaq ben Hunein, made in the ninth century. Thence in turn Plato Tiburtinus, in 1138, and Aegidius de Thebaldis, in the middle of the thirteenth century, made Latin translations,
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which were the chief means whereby Western Europe kuew the Tetrabiblos up to the time of the first edition of the Greek text. Printed editions of these translations-the first dated 1484 -appeared, ${ }^{1}$ and they were also circulated in mannscript form. More important are the Latin translations made directly from the Greek, beginning with that of Camerarius himself, which was printed both with his text, as noted above, and by itself. ${ }^{2}$ The translation by Antonius Gogava, first issued at Louvain in 1543, was several times reprinted at other places, for instance, at Padua in 1658, and was the version used by Cardanus to accompany his commentary. Philip Melanchthon's translation made its appearance in 1553, as we have seen; this, too, was issued separately later. ${ }^{3}$ An English translation by John Whalley was published in 1701 and in a second edition in $1786,{ }^{4}$ which, as Ashmand says, " was not, in any one instance, purified from the blunders and obscurities which disgraced its predecessor." In
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truth, Ptolemy is not easy to translate accurately, and though Whalley's version is worse than the others, all show a certain willingness to disguise the difficulties with smooth-sounding but non-committal phrases. ${ }^{1}$

The importance and popularity of the Tetrabiblos is shown by the number of commentaries upon it which have been made. In antiquity, as we deduce from expressions used in writings still extant, a considerable number existed; ${ }^{2}$ the name of one commentator, Pancharios, survives, but none of his work except a few quotations. ${ }^{3}$ Three such treatises which did survive, however, were edited by Hieronymus Wolf and published with Latin translations in folio at Basel in 1559. These are (1) an anonymous commentary on the Tetrabiblos, attributed by some, as Wolf says, to Proclus; (2) an introduction to the Tetrabiblos, to which the name of Porphyry is attached, though its authorship is by no means certain ; (3) the scholia of Demophilus. These have not been republished, but are to be found in a number of manuscripts. Of greater importance for the study of the Tetrabiblos is the Paraphrase attributed to Proclus, but which, of course, may not have been his at all. Since it follows the Tetrabiblos very
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closely, and since, as it happens, one manuscript of the Paraphrase is older than any of those of the Tetrabillos. this document must be taken into consideration by any editor of the latter work. The first and only edition of the Paraphrase, with a preface by Melanchthon, appeared at Basel in 1554, ${ }^{1}$ and the standard Latin version. from which at least two English translations have been made, ${ }^{2}$ is that of Leo Allatius (Elzevir, Leyden, 1635). Besides the Paraphrase and the ancient commentaries, the elaborate commentary by Hieronymus Cardanus, published in the sixteenth century, should also be mentioned. ${ }^{3}$

## IV.

There are in European libraries at least thirty-five manuscripts containing all or a large part of the Tetrabiblos, besides a consilerable number whieh contain partial texts or astrological miscellanies in which Ptolemy is cited along with other writers. Parts of the Tetrabiblos, too, are quoted by other
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authors, like Hephaestion of Thebes. Finally, there are a few manuscripts with Latin or Arabic translations. In spite of this volume of material, however, the carlicst text of the Tetrabiblos itself is only of the thirteenth century. There is but one full manuscript even of this degree of antiquity, and only two or three from the fourteenth century; most of them are from the fifteenth and sixteenth. In view of this fact it is fortunate that we have one (but only one) manuscript of the Paraphrase which antedates all of these, having been written in the tenth century.

In preparing the present text of the Tetrabiblos I have been obliged to work entirely with photographs and photostats. However, by a fortunate circumstance, I was able to secure a collection of these which had been brought together by a German scholar unknown to me and which apparently includes the most important manuscripts. ${ }^{1}$ Those manuscripts, therefore, which have been collated and used, and the symbols which I have used to refer to them, are as follows: ${ }^{2}$

V : Vaticanus gr. 1038, S. XIII. Contains a number of the works of Euclid, Hypsicles, and Hero, and an almost complete collection of the writings of Ptolemy, with the Tetrabiblos on ff. $352-384 \mathrm{v}$.; the ending, after p. 207, 19 (Cam. ${ }^{2}$ ), does not appear. Heiberg (Deutsche Litteraturzeitung, 1900, p. 417)
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believes that it was largely copied from Vat. gr. 1594, S. IX, which contains other Ptolemaic texts in a relatively pure form but does not, now at least, include the Tetrabiblos. A distinctive feature of this manuscript is the large number of small lacunæ left by the scribe when he could not read his archetype or found it defective. In this Boll sees an indication of faithfulness and reliability. $C f$. F. Boll, "Zur Ueberlieferungsgeschichte der griechischen Astrologie und Astronomie," Sitzungsberichte d. K. B. Akad. d. Wiss. zu München, phil.-hist. Cl., 1899 , pp. 77 ff.; CCAG, v. 1, no. 9.

D: Parisinus gr. 2509, S. XV. Contains the Tetrabiblos on ff. 14-81v., followed by the Kapaós. Cf. Onont, Inv. ii. 274; CCAG, viii. 3, no. 82. A copy of V , but the lacunæ were filled in from another source.

P: Parisinus gr. 2425, S. XV. Contains the Tetrabiblos on ff. $8-63 \mathrm{v}$. The most immediately striking feature of this manuscript is its constant mis-spelling of words due to the confusion of at and $\epsilon, \epsilon \iota, \eta$, and $\iota$, o and $\omega$, for example: that is, the confusions typical of late Greek. They may indicate that the manuscript (or an ancestor) was copied from dictation. P also has an ending which differs from the final sentences of the Camerarius cditions and most other manuscripts.

L: Oxon. Laud, gr. 50, S. XVI. A copy of P, of no independent value. Paris. Suppl. gr. 597 is another copy of P .

N: Norimbergensis Cent. V, app. 8. S. XVI. This is the basis of Camerarius' text. It contains the Tetrabiblos (to p. 187, 6 Cam . only) on ff. $1-59 \mathrm{v}$. $C f . C C A G$, vii. no. 42.
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A: Vaticanus gr. 208, S. XIV exeuntis. This manuscript uses the term $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ in the title instead of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu а \tau \iota к а ́ . ~ F ~ a n d ~ H ~ b e l o w ~ a r e ~$ related to A. Mercati and De' Cavalieri, Codices Vaticani graeci, i (Rome, 1923); CCAG, v. 1, no. 6.

E: Monacensis gr. 419, S. XIV. In this manuscript book and chapter headings are missing, and the ending is omitted (from p. 212, 7 Cam.). It is closely related to $M$ (below), but in the latter the missing parts have been supplied in a second hand.

F: Venetus Marc. 323, S. XV. Contains the Tetrabiblos on ff. 403-461. Zanctti, Bibliotheca, p.146; Morelli, Bibliotheca, p. 195 ; CCAG, ii. no. 4.

G: Vindobonensis philos. gr. 115, S. XIII. Contains a portion of Book II of the Tetrabiblos in ff. 7-16v. Cf. Boll, Sitzungsb. Mïnch. Ak. 1899, i. p. 84.

H: Venetus Marc. 324, S. XIV-XV. The Tetrabiblos is on ff. 156r.-189v. Zanetti, p. 149 ; Morelli, p. 207 ; CCAG, ii. no. 5.

II: Venetus Marc. 314, S. XIV ineuntis. Contains the Tetrabiblos on ff. 1.76 v . See on E, above. Zanetti, p. 146; Morelli, p. 195 ; CCAG, ii. no. 3.

Besides the manuscripts of the Tetrabiblos itself the oldest manuscript of the Paraphrase has been utilized: Vaticanus gr. 1453, S. X, containing this text on ff. 1-219. This is cited as Proc. Camerarius' two editions of the Tetrabiblos are cited respectively as Cam. ${ }^{1}$ and Cam. ${ }^{2}$, or simply Cam., if they agree.

A puzzling problem connected with the manuscripts of the Tetrabiblos concerns their ending. In one group the conclusion is entirely missing, and has xviii
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either been left so ${ }^{1}$ or an ending supplied which is identical with that of Proclus' Paraphrase; ${ }^{2}$ in the other an ending appears which is considerably longer than the former, but which is precisely the same in its general content, and is to be found in the Arabic version of the Tetrabiblos. ${ }^{3}$ One thing is certain : the first of these endings is spurious. Of course it does not follow that the other is genuine; if it is not, however, the original ending of the book must have been lost so early that it is missing in all the manuscripts. This is a situation that not infrequently occurred in ancient times, especially when a book was from the first existent in the form of a codex, not a roll; yet I am not ready to concede it in this instance. for these reasons: (a) the ending shown in P could readily, from its language, have
${ }^{1} \mathrm{~V}$ breaks off at p. 207, 19 Cam. ${ }^{2}$, E at P, 212, 7 (the boginning of the concluding passage). N also in its present state lacks the conclusion (from p. 187. 6 Cam. ${ }^{2}$ ), but this may have been lost at the time the first edition was made. and sinee Camerarius probably made some use of at least one other MS. we eannot be sure whether $N$ originally had the conclusion or, if so, if it was of the type which Camerarius actually printed (i.e. the one taken from the Parapherase). N in general resembles P and ono would have expected it to have the same conelusion as P . On the other hand, if it did, one would have expected Camerarius to reproduce it, for it is unlikely that he would have departed from his preferred MS. in so important a particular.
${ }_{2}$ MAD. D, after the point at which $V$ ends, is written in a different ink; the conclusion of $M$ ( $\mathrm{p} .212,7 \mathrm{ff}$. Cam. ${ }^{2}$ ) is in a different hand.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ and its copies alone have this ending. My colleague, Professor William H. Worrell, has examined the conclusion of the Arabie version as it appears in Cod. Laur. Orient. $35: 2$ ff. $234 \times .-35 \mathrm{r}$. It is close to, but perhaps not identical with, the ending of P .

## PTOLEMY

been written by Ptolemy himself; ${ }^{1}$ (b) the ending taken from the Paraphrase is obviously a summary of that found in P, and I cannot conceive how anyone (except perhaps Ptolemy) could have reversed the process and evolved the tortuous, crabbed Greek of the latter from the comparatively simple language of the former. Thus the ending found in $\mathbf{P}$ has the better claim to originality, and if it was not written by Ptolemy in the first place it is extremely difficult to explain how it came to be written at all in the form in which we find it. Since the question, however, is admittedly complicated, and not all the extant manuscripts could be studied in preparing this edition, both endings have been included in the text and translation.

In constructing the text which follows, my underlying purpose has been to abide by the best manuscript tradition; very few emendations have been
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attempted, and I think no great amount of emendation is necessary. My collations have been made against Camerarius' second edition, because thus far this has been the standard text and it was most convenient; I have not, however, allowed Camerarius' choice of readings to influence me unduly, for his text, in the first place, was not based upon the oldest and best manuseripts and it is, besides, full of his emendations. It was quite evident that this edition of the Tetrabiblos should be built up anew, independently of Camerarius' work. Without making the exhaustive studies of the relationships of the manuscripts which should eventually be carried out, I have proceeded on the assumption that $V$ and $P$ best preserve the original text, representing somewhat different strains. With V and its copy D, the oldest text of Proclus' Paraphrase is evidently in close alliance, and among the Tetrabiblos manuseripts MAEFHG are inclined in general to follow the lead of V, ME and AFH being related between themselves, as has already been stated. N apparently belongs rather to the P family, if there is such, but it is far from presenting a pure text; its peculiarities are, in my opinion, the result of attempts to edit or improve. The later manuscripts, however, all show aberration to a greater or less extent, and VPLD Proc. are frequently to be found arrayed against MNAE (I leave FGH out of consideration because only a few pages of each of them have come into the reckoning). In such cases I have seldom hesitated to follow VPLD, and in general, too, I agree with Boll that V is the best single guide that we have.

I am conscious that in many passages this
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translation falls short of the intended goal, a version which, in spite of the technical, unfamiliar subject, could readily be understood by itsclf or at least with the help of a few notes. Ptolemy, however, was a difficult anthor even for the ancients; the existence of the Paraphrase and the frequent flounderings of the anonymous commentator testify to this. He displays a certain enthusiasm for his subject, but beyond this it would be impossible to commend his literary style or even the clearncss of his exposition. He is fond of long, involved sentences and has a number of mannerisms, among them a fondness for the infinitive with the article and an almost Teutonic habit of piling up long strings of modifiers between article and substantive, which often results in sequences of two or cven three articles. It would, under the circumstances, be almost impossible to make him crystal clear, but I trust there are not too many Heraclitean passages.

Annotation of the Tetrabiblos could be carried to great lengths by collecting comparable passages from other astrological writers. The comments attached to this translation, however, are intended only to help the reader over difficulties and have been kept at minimum length.

Many friends have assisted, in one way or another, with this work. Some I cannot thank as I would like to do ; but I must express appreciation to Professor W. Carl Rufus for criticizing the astronomy of my translation; to Dr. William Warner Bishop, Librarian of the University of Michigan, for procuring much-nceded books and the photostatic reproductions of the manuscripts; and to Franz Cumont for ever helpful interest and suggestions.

## THE LUMINARIES AND PLANETS

## Symbols.

| Sun $\odot$ | Saturn $h_{2}$ | Venus ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moon $\mathbb{1}$ | Jupiter 4 | Mercury $¢$ |
|  | Mars ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |

Classifications.

| Effoct (i. 5). | Gender (i. 6). | Sect (i. 7). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beneficent $\psi ¢ \mathbb{C}$ | Nasculine $\odot \mathrm{K}_{2} 4{ }^{\text {S }}$ | Diurnal $\odot 2 /$ h |
| Naleficent 以す | Feminine $\mathbb{C}$ of | Nocturnal ¢fo |
| common ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ | Common | Common $¢$ |

## THE SIGNS OF THE ZODIAC

Symbols and Order.

| Arims $\uparrow$ | Cancer 20 | Libra $\bumpeq$ | Capricornus is |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Taurus $\succ$ | Leo | Scorpio II | Aquarius $\because:$ |
| Gemini II | Virgo my | Sagittarius $\ddagger$ | Pisces if |

The order Aries to Pisces is that "of the following signs," or direert ; from Pisces to Aries that " of the leading sishn." or reverse.

## THE SIGNS OF THE ZODIAC，CONTINUED

> Classifications.
> i. 11
> Erquinoctial $\Gamma_{\Gamma} \bumpeq$ Solstitial סET
> Solid $\varnothing$ \& $\mathrm{C}=:$
> Bicorporeal IIIX $f$ 龙
i． 12
Masculine and diurnal $\uparrow \Gamma \Omega \Omega \bumpeq$

Commanding and obeying（i．I4）४兴；$\Pi \because:=\frac{\sigma}{\sigma} 19: \delta f f$ ；以リ


## THE TRIANGLES（i．18）．

Signs．Governors．
I．N．W．． $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{P}}$ of $\uparrow$ ©， 21

III．N．E．．II气に！$h_{2}(d),. \nsucceq(n$.

d．，day ；n．，night．

HOUSES，EXALTATIONS，DEPRESSIONS（i．17，19）．

| Planet． $\odot$ | Solar house． | Lunar house． ．．．．．． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exallation. } \\ & \rho \uparrow \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depression. } \\ \bumpeq \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c | ．．．．．． | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | m |
| h | 19 | $\because$ | $\sim$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 4 | f | H | Фठ | 19 |
| $\bigcirc$ | m | r | 19 | O |
| Q | $\bumpeq$ | ¢ | 爰 | II |
| $\bigcirc$ | IIX | II | IIV | 兴 |

xXiv

## P'TOLEMY TETRABIBLOS

#  $\tau \in \tau \rho \alpha \beta i \beta \lambda o v \quad \sigma v \imath \tau \alpha ́ \xi \in \omega s$ 

## BIBAÍON $A^{\prime}$.

$$
\left\langle\alpha . \Pi_{\rho \circ \circ i \mu \iota \nu \nu}\right.
$$











 §єvтє́ $\rho o v \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \rho a i v \eta \tau a \iota, ~ \kappa a \tau ’ ~ i \delta i ́ a \nu ~ \sigma u ́ v \tau a \xi \iota \nu$









```
2}\tau\hat{\omega
\mp@subsup{}{}{3}\sigmaol] \epsilonंv \tau!ी \sigmavv'\tauá\xi\epsilon\epsilon P.
```


## THE QUADRIPARTITE Mathematical TREATISE, OR "TETRABIBLOS," OF CLAUDIUS PTOLEMY.

## BOOK I.

## 1. Introduction.

Of the means of prediction through astronomy, 0 Syrus. two are the most important and valid. One, which is first ${ }^{1}$ both in order and in effectiveness, is that whereby we apprehend the aspects of the movements of sun, moon, and stars in relation to each other and to the earth, as they occur from time to time; the second is that in which by means of the natural character of these aspects themselves we investigate the changes which they bring about in that which they surround. The first of these, which has its own science, desirable in itself even though it does not attain the result given by its combination with the second, has been expounded to you as best we could in its own treatise ${ }^{2}$ by the method of demonstration. We shall now give an account of the second and less self-sufficient method in a properly philosophical way, so that one whose aim is the truth might never compare its perceptions with the sureness of the first, unvarying science. for he aseribes to it the weakness and umpredictability

[^86]
## PTOLEMY







 ai $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s^{1}$ ठıaßo入ai $\tau v \phi \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ ä้ $\epsilon i \in \nu$ $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} s$, ai $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\delta \epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \pi \rho \circ \phi a \sigma i ́ \sigma \tau o v s$
 $\rho \eta \tau о \nu$ áката入ךభías $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \delta o ́ \xi a \nu{ }^{2} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \in \nu, \ddot{\eta}$



 $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot \kappa \alpha i \pi \rho \hat{\omega \tau о \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v}}$ ठvขатоv̂.
$\langle\bar{\beta}.\rangle{ }^{\circ} O \tau \iota \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \pi \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \delta \imath \quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho o-$ $\nu o \mu i a s \quad \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s, \kappa a i \mu \epsilon \chi \chi \iota \tau i \nu o s$


${ }^{1} \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$ каi $\delta v{ }^{\prime} \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$ post $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ a d d . ~ N C a m . ~$ ${ }^{2}$ סó jav om. SCam.
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of material qualities found in individual things, ${ }^{1}$ nor yet refrain from such investigation as is within the bounds of possibility, when it is so evident that most events of a general nature draw their causes from the enveloping heavens. But since everything that is hard to attain is easily assailed ${ }^{2}$ by the generality of men, and in the case of the two before-mentioned disciplines the allegations against the first could be made only by the blind, while there are specious grounds for those levelled at the second-for its difficulty in parts has made them think it completely incomprehensible, or the difficulty of escaping what is known ${ }^{3}$ has disparaged even its object as uselesswe shall try to examine briefly the measure of both the possibility and the usefulness of such prognostication before offering detailed instruction on the subject. First as to its possibility.

## 2. That Knowledge by Astronomical Means is Attainable, and How Far.

A very few considerations would make it apparent to all that a certain power emanating from the eternal ethercal substance ${ }^{4}$ is dispersed through and

Carncades, initiated the most scrious attack against it in antiquity. The answers given by Ptolemy in the two chapters following are, as Boll (Studien, pp. 131 ff.$)$ shows, largely derived from the Stoic Posidonius, who defended divination.
${ }^{3}$ Proclus paraphrases, " the difficulty of retaining in the memory what has been learned," but the referenco is elearly to the subject discussed in i. 3 .
${ }^{4}$ The ether, or fifth element, contrasted with the usual four; this is an Aristotelian (l'eripatetic) doctrine.

## PTOLEMY

$\epsilon \epsilon \pi i \pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon i \alpha \nu$ каi $\delta \iota{ }^{\prime}$ ơ $\lambda \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$,


 $\sigma v \nu \tau \rho \in \pi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 入oı $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha, \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ v i \delta \omega \rho$ каi $\tau \alpha ̀$

 $\pi \omega s$ à $\epsilon i \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тồ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi о \nu \tau о s \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ ov̉ $\mu o ́ v o \nu ~ \delta i \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau \eta \sigma i o v s ~ \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \rho \alpha s$
 форías каi $\rho$ v́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota s$ v́ $\delta a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \tau \rho \circ \pi \alpha ̀ s$
 $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha i ̀ \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ íरраìшv каi $\xi \eta \rho a i \nu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ $\psi v ́ \chi \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega s$ $\tau \epsilon$ каi ảко入ov́ $\theta \omega s$ тоîs $\pi \rho o ̀ s$
 $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ i \hat{s} \quad \eta^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu \eta \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta \nu,{ }^{2} \dot{\omega} s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \iota-$ $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta, \delta \iota \alpha \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ Є่ $\pi i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu^{3} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ả $\pi o ́ \rho \rho o \iota a \nu, \sigma v \mu-$ $\pi \alpha \theta$ ov́v $\tau \omega \nu$ av̉ $\hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma v \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \pi о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$



 $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \tau \iota \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \quad \sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \alpha \cup \dot{\tau} \eta \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \sigma v \mu$ $\mu \epsilon \iota \quad \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$. aï $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$
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permeates the whole region about the earth, which throughout is subject to change, since, of the primary sublunar elements, fire and air are encompassed and changed by the motions in the ether, and in turn encompass and change all else, earth and water and the plants and animals therein. For the sun, ${ }^{1}$ together with the ambient, is always in some way affecting everything on the earth, not only by the changes that accompany the seasons of the year to bring about the generation of animals, the productiveness of plants, the flowing of waters, and the changes of bodies, but also by its daily revolutions furnishing heat, moisture, dryness, and cold in regular order and in correspondence with its positions relative to the zenith. The moon, too, as the heavenly body nearest the earth, bestows her effluence ${ }^{2}$ most abundantly upon mundane things, for most of them, animate or inanimate, are sympathetic to her and change in company with her; the rivers increase and diminish theirstreams with her light, the seas turn their own tides with her rising and setting, and plants and animals in whole or in some part wax and wane with her. Morcover, the passages of the fixed stars and the planets through the sky often signify hot, windy, and snowy conditions of the air, and mundane
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 गovs aù $\bar{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ i$, , $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \omega s^{1} \kappa \alpha i$ $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \iota \rho \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu, \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \tau \alpha$ к каі $\pi о \iota \kappa i \lambda \alpha$ s

 $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu, ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \circ u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ठє̀ $\ddot{\eta}$ à $\pi о \sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \circ u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$



 $\kappa \rho v ́ \psi \epsilon \sigma \iota$ каi $\pi \rho о \sigma \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$. öтє $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ оӥт $\omega$





 $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu о \mu \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$



 каi $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu}^{\prime} \eta s$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ є’ $\pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \iota \nu о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$




$$
\left.{ }^{1} \pi \omega s\right] \tau \epsilon \text { NCam. }
$$
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things are affected accordingly. Then, too, their aspects ${ }^{1}$ to one another, by the meeting and mingling of their dispensations, bring about many complicated clanges. For though the sun's power prevails in the general ordering of quality, the other heavenly bodies aid or oppose it in particular details, the moon more obviously and continuously, as for example when it is new, at quarter, or full, and the stars at greater intervals and more obscurely, as in their appearances, occultations, and approaches. ${ }^{2}$ If these matters be so regarded, all would judge it to follow that not only must things already compounded be affected in some way by the motion of these heavenly bodies, but likewise the germination and fruition of the seed must be moulded and conformed to the quality proper to the heavens at the time. The more observant farmers and herdsmen, ${ }^{3}$ indeed, conjecture, from the winds prevailing at the time of impregnation and of the sowing of the seed, the quality of what will result; and in general we sce that the more important consequences signified by the more obvious configurations of sun, moon, and stars are usually known beforehand, even by those who inquire, not by scientific means, but ouly by observation. Those which are consequent upon greater forces and simpler natural orders, such as
> ${ }^{2}$ By "stars" (áorép $\omega \nu$ ) in this passage Ptolemy means primarily the planets rather than the fixed stmes. Their "appearances" and "occultations" are their heliacal risings and settings (cf. Bouché-Leclereq, p. 111, 11. 3). $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma v \in v a r$ is used to mean both "inclination" and, as here, the "approach" of one heavenly body to another.
> ${ }^{3}$ Cicero, de divinatione, i. 112: Multa medici, multa gubernatores, agricolae etian multa praesentiunt.
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 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i a s \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \hat{\omega} s \delta_{v}^{\prime} \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota$ катаvoєîv, $\mu \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ v a s, \tau o ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ́ \kappa \iota s ~ a v ่ \tau o i ̂ s{ }^{1} \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \sigma v \mu-$












${ }^{1}$ aủroîs VPMNDE ; aủroùs ACam.
${ }^{2} \dot{\omega}$. . . фv́бєıs post $\delta \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi o ́ t a ~ \delta e ̀ ~ N C a m . ; ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ P M A E, ~$ av̉тà YDNCam.; ràs фúoєıs MAEProc., фv́oєı VDNCam., $\phi \eta_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{P}$.
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the annual variations of the seasons and the winds, are comprehended by very ignorant men, nay even by some dumb animals; for the sun is in general responsible for these phenomena. Things that are not of so general a nature, however, are eomprehended by those who have by necessity become used to making observations, as, for instance, sailors know the special signs of storms and winds that arise periodically by reason of the aspects of the moon and fixed stars to the sun. Yet because they cannot in their ignorance accurately know the times and places of these phenomena, nor the periodic movements of the planets, which contribute importantly to the effect, it happens that they often err. If. then, a man knows accurately the movements of all the stars, the sun, and the moon, so that neither the place nor the time of any of their configurations escapes his notice, and if he has distinguished in general their natures as the result of previous continued study, even though he may discern, not their essential, but only their potentially effective qualities, such as the sun's heating and the moon's moistening, and so on with the rest; and if he is capable of determining in view of all these data, both scientifically and by successful conjecture, the distinctive mark of quality resulting from the combination of all the factors, what is to prevent him from being able to tell on each given occasion the characteristics of the air from the rela-
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 тò $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тоเóvס $\epsilon$ à $\sigma u ́ \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho о \nu$ каi $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi о \rho o \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$

 $\sigma v \nu \ell \delta \in i v$.
 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ́ \delta u ́ v a \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma \chi \epsilon ~ \delta ı a ß o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~ o v ̃ \tau \omega s ~ a ̈ ้ \nu ~$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \nu о \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu$. $\quad \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ रà $\rho \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \tau \alpha i ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$











${ }^{1}$ The first part of the pseudo-Lucianic Mєpi áatpodoyins closely parallels this passage, as Boll, studien, pp. 151-153, shows.

## TETRABIBLOS I. 2

tions of the phenomena at the time, for instance, that it will be warmer or wetter? Why can he not, too, with respect to an individual man, perceive the general quality of his temperament from the ambient at the time of his birth, as for instance that he is such and such in body and such and such insoul, and predict occasional events, by use of the fact that such and such an ambient is attuned to such and such a temperament and is favourable to prosperity, while another is not so attuned and conduces to injury? Enough, however; for the possibility of such knowledge can be understood from these and similar arguments.

The following considerations might lead us to observe that criticism of the science on the score of impossibility has been specious but undeserved. In the first place, the mistakes ${ }^{1}$ of those who are not accurately instructed in its practice, and they are many, as one would expect in an important and many-sided art, have brought about the belief that even its true predictions depend upon chance, which is incorrect. For a thing like this is an impotence, not of the science, but of those who practise it. Secondly, most, for the sake of gain, claim credence for another art in the name of this, ${ }^{2}$ and deceive the vulgar, because they are reputed to foretell many things, even those that cannot naturally be known
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 $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \mu \circ i ̂ s, ~ a ̀ \phi ’ \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \notin \alpha \rho \mu o ́ \zeta о \mu \epsilon \nu \tau o \imath ̂ s ~ \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \omega s$



 $\tau \hat{\eta} S \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ढ่v $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ovj $\alpha \alpha \nu \hat{\varphi} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} S \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$




 om. VIMADE Proc.
${ }^{4} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ add. codd. : om. Proc.
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beforehand, while to the more thoughtful they have thereby given occasion to pass equally unfavourable judgement upon the natural subjects of prophecy. Nor is this deservedly done; it is the same with philosophy-we need not abolish it because there are evident rascals among those that pretend to it. ${ }^{1}$ Nevertheless it is clear that even though one approach astrology in the most inquiring and legitimate spirit possible, he may frequently err, not for any of the reasons stated, but because of the very nature of the thing and his own weakness in comparison with the magnitude of his profession. For in general, besides the fact that every science that deals with the quality of its subject-matter is conjectural and not to be absolutely affirmed, particularly one which is composed of many unlike elements, it is furthermore true that the ancient configurations of the planets, ${ }^{2}$ upon the basis of which we attach to similar aspects of our own day the effects observed by the ancients in theirs, can be more or less similar to the modern aspects, and that, too, at long intervals, but not identical, since the exact return of all the heaventy bodies and the earth to the same positions, ${ }^{3}$ unless one
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о к є \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ádıафо́ $\omega \nu$ viтотı $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha ́ \lambda-$

${ }^{1}$ каi $\gamma^{2} \omega \bar{\omega} \iota \nu$ om. Cam.
${ }^{2} \tilde{\eta} \ldots \hat{\eta}$ VMADE ; $\epsilon i \quad . . \epsilon i$ NCam. : $\tilde{\eta} . . . \dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\imath} \mathrm{P}$.
${ }^{3} \pi \rho \circ \rho \rho \eta{ }^{3} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ libri ( $\pi \rho \omega$ - P) Proc.Cam. ${ }^{1}$ (* notatum); таратири́бєєя Cam. ${ }^{2}$
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holds vain opinions of his ability to comprehend and know the incomprehensible, either takes place not at all or at least not in the period of time that falls within the experience of man; so that for this reason predictions sometimes fail, because of the disparity of the examples on which they are based. As to the investigation of atmospheric phenomena, this would be the only difficulty, since no other cause besides the movement of the heavenly bodies is taken into consideration. But in an inquiry concerning nativities and individual temperaments in general, one can see that there are circumstances of no small importance and of no trifling character, which join to cause the special qualities of those who are born. For differences of seed exert a very great influence on the special traits of the genus, since, if the ambient and the horizon are the same, each seed prevails to express in general its own form, for example, man, horse, and so forth; and the places of birth bring about no small variation in what is produced. For if the seed is generically the same, human for example, and the condition of the ambient the same, those who are born differ much, both in body and soul, with the difference of countrics. ${ }^{1}$ In addition to this, all the aforesaid conditions being equal, rearing and customs contribute to influence the particular way in which a
> ${ }^{1}$ The first three chapters of Book ii deal with astrological ethnology, and in iv. 10 Ptolemy points out that in all nativities such general considerations as nationality and age take precedence over more particular details.
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 $\mu$ е́vots．
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life is lived. Unless each one of these things is examined together with the causes that are derived from the ambient, although this latter be conceded to exercise the greatest influence (for the ambient is one of the causes for these things being what they are, while they in turn have no influence upon it), they can cause much difficulty for those who believe that in sueh cases everything can be understood, even things not wholly within its jurisdiction, from the motion of the heavenly bodics alone.

Since this is the case, it would not be fitting to dismiss all prognostication of this character because it can sometimes be mistaken, for we do not discredit the art of the pilot for its many errors; but as when the claims are great, so also when they are divine, we should welcome what is possible and think it enough. Nor, further, should we gropingly and in human fashion demand everything of the art, but rather join in the appreciation of its beanty, even in instances wherein it could not provide the full answer; and as we do not find fault with the physicians, when they examine a person, for speaking both about the siekness itself and about the patient's idiosyncrasy, so too in this calse we should not object to astrologers using as a basis for calculation nationality, country, and rearing, or any other already existing aceidental qualitics.
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## 3. That it is also Beneficial.

In somewhat summary fashion it has been shown how prognostication by astronomical means is possible, and that it can go no further than what happens in the ambient and the consequences to man from such causes-that is, it concerns the original endowments of faculties and activities of soul and body, their occasional diseases, their endurance for a long or a short time, and, besides, all external circumstances that have a directive and natural connection with the original gifts of nature, such as property and marriage in the case of the body and honour and dignities in that of the soul, and finally what befalls them from time to time. ${ }^{1}$ The remaining part of our project would be to inquire briefly as to its usefulness, ${ }^{2}$ first distinguishing how and with what end in view we shall take the meaning of the word usefulness. For if we look to the goods of the soul, what' could be more conducive to well-being, pleasure, and in general satisfaction than this kind of forecast, by which we gain full view of things human and divine? And if we look to bodily goods, such knowledge, better than anything else, would perceive what is fitting and expedient for the capabilities of each temperament. But if it docs not aid in the acquisition of riches, fane, and the like, we shall be able
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to say the same of all philosophy, for it does not provide any of these things as far as its own powers are concerned. We siould not, however, for that reason be justified in condemning either philosophy or this art, disregarding its greater advantages.

To a general examination it would appear that those who find fault with the uselessness of prognostication have no regard for the most important matters, but only for this-that foreknowledge of events that will happen in any case is superfluous; this, too, quite unreservedly and without due discrimination. For, in the first place, we should consider that even with events that will necessarily take place their unexpectedness is very apt to cause excessive panic and delirious joy, while foreknowledge accustoms and calms the soul by experience of distant events as though they were present, and prepares it to greet with calm and steadiness whatever comes. A second reason is that we shonld not believe that separate events attend mankind as the result of the heavenly cause as if they had been originally ordained for each person by some irrevocable divine command and destined to take place ly necessity without the possibility of any other cause whatever interforing. Rather is it true that the movement of the heavenly bodies, to be sure, is eternally performed in accordance with divine, unchangeable destiny, while the ehange of earthly things is subject to a natural and matable fate, and in drawing its first causes from above it is governed by chance and natural sequence. Moreover, some thinge happen to mankind through more general
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[^99]${ }^{1}$ Cf. ii. 1, "the particular always falls under the general." Ptolemy distinguishes carefully between uni24
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circumstances and not as the result of an individual's own natural propensities-for example, when men perish in multitudes by conflagration or pestilence or cataclysms, through monstrous and inescapable changes in the ambient, for the lesser cause always yields to the greater ${ }^{1}$ and stronger; other occurrences, however, accord with the individual's own natural temperament through minor and fortuitous antipathies of the ambient. For if these distinctions are thus made, it is clear that both in general and in particular whatever events depend upon a first cause, which is irresistible and more powerful than anything that opposes it, must by all means take place; on the contrary, of events that are not of this character, those which are provided with resistant forces are easily averted, while those that are not follow the primary natural causes, to be sure, but this is due to ignorance and not to the necessity of almighty power. One might observe this same thing happening in all events whatsoever that have natural causes. For even of stones, plants, and animals, and also of wounds, mishaps, and sicknesses, some are of such a nature as to act of necessity, others only if no opposing thing interferes. One should therefore believe that physical philosophers predict what is to befall men with foreknowledge of
versal (ка日о $\lambda_{\iota} \eta$ ) and particular or genethlialogical ( $\gamma \in \in \theta \lambda t a \lambda o y(\kappa \eta)$ astrology. The former deals with astrologieal influences which affect all mankind or whole countries and races of men, and is treated in Books i-ii ; the latter concerns the mativities of individuals, and is the subject of Books iii-iv.
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 $\chi \omega \rho \eta \gamma o u ̂ v a$ Cam．${ }^{1}$ ，Camı．${ }^{2}$（ $\left.\chi о \rho-\right), \mathrm{P}^{\prime}(\chi \omega \rho \iota-)$ ．
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this character and do not approach their task under false impressions; for certain things, because their effective causes are numerous and powerful, are inevitable, but others for the opposite reason may be averted. Similarly those physicians who can recognize ailments know beforehand those which are always fatal and those which admit of aid. In the case of events that may be modified we must give heed to the astrologer, when, for example, he says that to such and such a temperament, with such and such a character of the ambient, if the fundamental proportions increase or decrease, such and such an affection will result. Similarly we must believe the physician, when he says that this sore will spread or cause putrefaction, and the miner, for instance, that the lodestone attracts iron: just as each of these, if left to itself through ignorance of the opposing forces. will inevitably develop as its original nature compels, but neither will the sore cause spreading or putrefaction if it receives preventive treatment, nor will the lodestone attract the iron if it is rubbed with garlic; ${ }^{1}$ and these very deterrent measures also have their resisting power naturally and by fate; so also in the other cases, if future happenings to men are not known, or if they are known and the remedies are not applied, they will by all means follow the course of primary nature; but if they are recognized ahead of time and remedies are provided, again quite in accord
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${ }^{1}$ á $\gamma \dot{\prime} \varphi \eta \tau a$ VADE, á $\gamma \epsilon ́ v \imath \eta \tau a$ PMCam.
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with nature and fate, they either do not occur at all or are rendered less severe. And in general, since such power is the same whether applied to things regarded universally or particularly, one would wonder why all believe in the efficacy of prediction in universal matters, and in its usefulness for guarding one's interests (for most people admit that they have foreknowledge of the seasons, of the significance of the constellations, and of the phases of the moon, and take great forethought for safeguarding themselves, always contriving cooling agents against summer and the means of warmth against winter, and in general preparing their own natures with moderation as a goal ; furthermore, to ensure the safety of the seasons and of their sailings they watch the significance of the fixed stars, and, for the beginning of breeding and sowing, the aspects of the moon's light at its full, ${ }^{1}$ and no one ever condemns such practices cither as impossible or useless) ; but, on the other hand, as regards particular matters and those depending upon the mixture of the other qualities-such as predictions of more or less, of cold or of heat, and of the individual temperament-some people believe neither that foreknowledge is still possible nor that precautions can be taken in most instances. And yet, since it is obvions that, if we happen to have cooled ourselves against heat in general, we shall

Orion, Hyades, Sirius, and Arcturus, and the solstices were observed in ordinary rural life in such connections as those mentioned by Ptolemy : also in navigation ( 61 s ff. ). The favourable and unfavourable days of the month (i.e. of the moon) are enumerated in lines 769 ff .
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suffer less from it. similar measures can prove effective against particular forees which increase this particular temperament to a disproportionate amount of heat. For the canse of this error is the difficulty and unfamiliarity of particular prognostication. a reason which in most other situations as well brings about disbelief. And since for the most part the resisting faculty is not coupled with the prognostic, because so perfect a disposition is rare, and since the fore of nature takes its course without hindrance when the primary natures are concerned, an opinion has been produced that absolutely all future events are inevitable and unescapable. ${ }^{1}$

But, I think, just as with prognostication, even if it be not entirely infallible, at least its possibilities have appeared worthy of the highest regard, so too in the case of defensive practice, even though it dors not furnish a remedy for everything, its anthority in some instances at least. howerer fow or unimportant, should be welcomed and prized. and regarded as profitablo in no ordinary srnse.

Recognizing. apparently, that these things are so, those who have most advanced this faculty of the art, the Egyptians. have entirely mited medicine with astronomical prediction. ${ }^{2}$ For they would
ful handling of these matters, and the tact that, because a person is rarely found who tas so perfert a disposition that none of the remedies escapes him, the bacolty which generally resists the force which, whimudered, is effective through the primary natures, is not compled with the prognostication. and. not being so coupled, creates the opinion concerning all fiture ewouts withont exception that they are inevitablo and that it is impossible to ward them off." $\quad{ }^{2}$ Seo Bouché-Leclereq, pp. 517-520.
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never have devised certain means of averting or warding off or remedying the universal and particular conditions that come or are present by reason of the ambient, if they had had any idea that the future cannot be moved and clanged. But as it is, they place the faculty of resisting by orderly natural means in second rank to the decrees of fate, and have yoked to the possibility of prognostication its useful and beneficial faculty, through what they call their iatromathematical systems (medical astrology), in order that by means of astronomy they may succeed in learning the qualities of the underlying temperatures, the events that will occur in the future because of the ambient, and their special causes, on the ground that without this knowledge any measures of aid ought for the most part to fail, because the same ones are not fitted for all bodies or diseases; ${ }^{1}$ and, on the other hand, by means of medicine, through their knowledge of what is properly sympathetic or antipathetic in each case, they proceed, as far as possible, to take precautionary measures against impending illness and to prescribe infallible treatment for existing disease.

Let this be, to this point, our summarily stated preliminary sketch. We shall now conduct our discussion after the manner of an introduction, ${ }^{2}$ beginning with the character of each of the heavenly
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$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\bar{\delta} .\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda a v \omega \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \\
\alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu^{2} \delta v \nu a^{\prime} \mu \in \omega \varsigma
\end{gathered}
$$



 $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon$ nos aủrô̂ каi тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ катà







 àmò тồ ai $\lambda i o v$ ф $\omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu o v{ }^{\prime} s$.


 Proc., $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega s$ Cam.
${ }^{2} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ar $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ VADEProc., om. ar $\sigma \tau \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$ M, $\pi \lambda a-$ $\nu \eta \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ PLCam. ${ }^{3} \tau \hat{\varphi}$. . $\tau о ́ \pi \pi \omega$ MAECam.
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bodies with respect to its active power, in agreement with the physical observations attached to them by the ancients, and in the first place the powers of the planets, sun, and moon.

## 4. Of the Power of the Planets.

The active power of the sun's essential nature is found to be heating and, to a certain degree, drying. ${ }^{1}$ This is made more easily perceptible in the case of the sun than any other heavenly body by its size and by the obviousness of its seasonal changes, for the closer it approaches to the zenith the more it affects us in this way. Most of the moon's power consists of humidifying, clearly because it is close to the earth and because of the moist exhalations ${ }^{2}$ therefrom. Its action therefore is precisely this, to soften and cause putrefaction in bodies for the most part, but it shares moderately also in heating power because of the light which it receives from the sun.

It is Saturn's ${ }^{3}$ quality chiefly to cool and, moderately, to dry, probably because he is furthest

Diels, Doxographi Graeci (Berlin, 1879). p. 276 ; J. Burnet, Early Greek I'hilosophy (London, 1900), p. 49.
${ }^{3}$ Ptolemy ordinarily says "the (star) of Saturn," " the (star) of Jupiter," etc. (ó tô Kpórov, ó tồ Dós), and less often merely " Saturn." "Jupiter," and the like, a form of speeeh which tends to identify the planet and the divinity whose name it bears. On the other hand, he does
 etc. (though Hupofi's occurs for "Apys in one of the MSS.). See F. Cumont. "Antiochus d'Athènes et Porphyre," Annuaire de l'Inst. de Philologie et d'Histoire Orientale. ii. 139, and "Les noms de planêtes et d'astrolatrie chez les grees," L'Antiquité Classique, iv. 1, pp. 5-43; Boll. Bezold-Gundel, p. 48.

## PTOLEMY

 $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu a \sigma i \alpha s$ каi $\tau \bar{\eta} S \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \eta ̀ \nu \gamma \bar{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \gamma \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \theta v$.


 $18 \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi $\mu \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ ov゙ $\tau \omega s$ ，oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ov゙т $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ тov̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \tau o s$
 фаívovтаи．


 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \alpha \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ бфаípas．


 каvбтıкой．$\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha i ́ v є \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ä $\mu \alpha$ каі $\dot{v} \gamma \rho \alpha i ́ v \epsilon \iota$, каi $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v ~ є i ̂ v a \iota ~ \theta є \rho \mu a \nu \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s, ~ i ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$
 тоıךтько́s．





 $\theta v \mu i ́ a \sigma ı \nu$.
 áva日vutáoє ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cam．
${ }^{2}$ Mupoévios ME．Ordinem restauravi quam praebent VPLADProc．：in MECam．ordo est ó $\delta$ è toû Diòs ．．．
 36

## TETRABIBLOS I. 4

removed ${ }^{1}$ both from the sun's heat and the moist exhalations about the earth. Both in Saturn's case and in that of the other planets there are powers, too, which arise through the observation of their aspects to the sun and the moon, for some of them appear to modify conditions in the ambient in one way, some in another, by increase or by decrease.

The nature of Mars is chiefly to dry and to burn, in conformity with his fiery colour and by reason of his nearness to the sun, for the sun's sphere lies just below him.

Jupiter has a temperate active force because his movement takes place between the cooling influence of Saturn and the burning power of Mars. He both heats and humidifies; and beeause his heating power is the greater by reason of the underlying spheres, he produces fertilizing winds.

Venus has the same powers and tempered nature as Jupiter, but acts in the opposite way; for she warms moderately because of her nearness to the sun, but chiefly humidifies, like the moon, because of the amount of her own light and because she appropriates the exhalations from the moist atmosphere surrounding the earth.
${ }^{1}$ The order of the heavenly bodies followed by Ptolemy is Saturn, Jupiter, Mars, Sun, Venus, Meroury, Moon ; cf. Bouché-Leclereq, pp. 107-108.
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 $\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \eta ̈ \lambda \iota o v ~ o ́ \xi v \kappa \iota \nu \eta \sigma i ́ a s . ~$

Tov́т $\omega \nu$ ou゙т $\omega \varsigma$ Є’Хóv $\tau \omega \nu, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$
 $\tau \epsilon \tau о \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \rho \mu о \hat{v}$ каi тò $\tau о \hat{v}$ v́ $\gamma \rho \circ \hat{v} \cdot \delta_{i \alpha}^{\alpha} \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$
 каi таӨךтька́, то́ $\tau \epsilon \tau о \hat{v} \xi \eta \rho о \hat{v}$ каì тò то̂ $\psi v \chi \rho \circ \hat{v}$, $\delta_{\imath}{ }^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \delta \iota \alpha к р i \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \phi \theta i \nu \epsilon \iota,{ }^{4}$ тоv̀s

 $\pi а \lambda а \iota o i ~ \pi а р є \iota \lambda \eta ́ \phi а \sigma \iota, ~ \delta ı \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon u ̈ к р а т о \nu ~ к а і ~ т о ̀ ~ \pi \lambda є ́ о \nu ~$





 $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \gamma \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota .{ }^{6}$
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Mercury in general is found at certain times alike to be drying and absorptive of moisture, because he never is far removed in longitude from the heat of the sun; and again humidifying, because he is next above the sphere of the moon, which is closest to the earth; and to change quickly from one to the other. inspired as it were by the speed of his motion in the neighbourhood of the suu itself.

## 5. Of Beneficent and Maleficent Planets.

Since the foregoing is the case, because two of the four humours are fertile and active, the hot and the moist (for all things are brought together and increased by them), and two are destructive and passive, the dry and the cold, through which all things, again, are separated and destroyed, the ancients accepted two of the planets, Jupiter aud Venus, together with the moon, as beneficent because of their tempered nature and because they abound in the hot and the moist, and Saturn and Mars as producing effects of the opposite nature, one because of his excessive cold and the other for his excessive dryness; the sun and Mercury, however, they thought to have both powers, because they have a common nature, and to join their influences with those of the other plancts, with whichever of them they are associated.
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## $\langle\bar{\varsigma}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\imath} \theta \eta \lambda \nu \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$





 20 ar $\rho \rho \epsilon \sigma(\nu)$, єіко́тшs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$ каi $\tau o ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$















 ${ }^{2}$ битıкоѝs Cam.
${ }^{1}$ Or matutine; that is, stars which are above the earth when the sun rises, as evening, or vespertine, stars set after the sun. Cardanus ( $p .127$ ) says that whatever planet is

## TETRABIBLOS I. 6

## 6. Of Masculine and Feminine Planets.

Again, since there are two primary kinds of natures, male and female, and of the forces already mentioned that of the moist is especially feminine-for as a general thing this element is present to a greater degree in all females, and the others rather in maleswith good reason the view has been handed down to us that the moon and Venus are feminine, because they share more largely in the moist, and that the sun, Saturn, Jupiter, and Mars are masculine, and Mercury common to both genders, inasmuch as he produces the dry and the moist alike. They say too that the stars become masculine or feminine according to their aspects to the sun, for when they are morning stars ${ }^{1}$ and precede the sun they become masculine, and feminine when they are evening stars and follow the sun. Furthermore this happens also according to their positions with respect to the horizon; for when they are in positions from the orient to mid-heaven, ${ }^{2}$ or again from the occident to lower mid-heaven, they become masculine because they are eastern, but in the other two quadrants, as western stars, they become feminine.
less than 6 signs removed from the sun in the order of the signs is feminine and occidental; any that is more than 6 sigus distant, maseuline and oriental.
${ }^{2}$ Cardanus (l.c.) remarks that some do not accept this statement but count all stars from the inferior to the superior mid-heaven (4th to the loth house) masculine and from the superior to the inferior mid-heaven (10th to the 4th house) feminine. Planets may also becomo masculine or feminine in consequence of oceupying a masculine or feminine sign ; see Bouchó-Leclereq, p. 103.
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$\langle\bar{\zeta}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \imath \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \nu v \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \imath \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \grave{v}$ каi $\delta \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}$ каi тò $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \eta \lambda \nu \sigma-$

















 аíрє́vє $\omega$ s.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { évartías кра́oєшs Cam.; є̇vavtias om. libri. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## TETRABIBLOS I. 7

## 7. Of Diurnal and Nocturnal ${ }^{1}$ Planets.

Similarly, since of the two most obvious intervals of those which make up time, the day is more masculine because of its heat and active force, and night more feminine because of its moisture and its gift of rest, the tradition has consequently been handed down that the moon and Venus are nocturnal, the sun and Jupiter diurnal, and Mercury common as before, diurnal when it is a morning star and nocturnal as an evening star. They also assigned to each of the sects the two destructive stars, not however in this instance on the principle of similar natures, ${ }^{2}$ but of just the opposite; for when stars of the same kind are joined with those of the good temperament their beneficial influence is increased, but if dissimilar stars are associated with the destructive ones the greatest part of their injurious power is broken. Thus they assigned Saturn, which is cold, to the warmth of day, and Mars, which is dry, to the moisture of night, for in this way each of them attains good proportion through admixture and becomes a proper member of its sect, which provides moderation.
${ }^{1}$ These are the sects (aipeors, conditio, secta) of the sun and moon respectively ; cf. Vettius Valens, ii. 1, iii. 5 ; Rhetorius, ap. CCAG, i. 146.
${ }^{2}$ I.e. that "birds of a feather flock together," in various forms a proverbial expression in Greek; e.g.
 оноїо ; Plato, Republic, 329 A, Phaedrus, ᄅ40 C, etc.
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## $\langle\bar{\eta}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \quad \eta \quad \lambda \iota \circ \nu \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \imath$

 $\mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ \dot{v}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu \eta$ каi oi $\tau \rho \epsilon i \hat{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda a \nu \omega-$



 סє́ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ à $\pi o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta s ~ \delta \iota \chi о \tau o ́ \mu о v ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta ́ \nu o v, ~$








 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \delta u ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \mu \bar{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \nu \psi u \kappa \tau \iota \kappa о i \cdot \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda о \nu \delta \epsilon ̀$ öть каi



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \zeta$ о $\mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu .{ }^{4}$
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8. Of the Power of the Aspects to the Sun.

Now, mark you, likewise, according to their aspects to the sun, the moon and three of the planets ${ }^{1}$ experience increase and decrease in their own powers. For in its waxing from new moon to first quarter the moon is more productive of moisture : in its passage from first quarter to full, of heat : from full to last quarter, of dryness. and from last quarter to occultation, ${ }^{2}$ of cold. The planets, in oriental aspects only, are more productive of moisture from rising to their first station, ${ }^{3}$ of heat from first station to evening rising. of dryness from evening rising to the second station, of cold from second station to setting; and it is clear that when they are associated with one another they produce very many variations of quality in our ambient, the proper force of each one for the most part persisting, but being changed in quantity by the force of the stars that share the configuration.
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$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\bar{\theta} .\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} v \quad \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha v \hat{\omega} \nu \\
\alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \delta \nu v \alpha^{\prime} \mu \in \omega s
\end{gathered}
$$








Tô̂ Kpıồ тoivvv oî $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta}$ тò

 $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ то仑̂ 'E $\rho \mu о \hat{v}$ каi $\eta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\eta} ~ \tau о \hat{v}$









 "Apєws.

${ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. . . áaтє́ $\left.\rho \omega \cdot\right]$ rov̂ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ Taúpov NCam.
${ }^{3} \dot{o}$ т $\tau \bar{s}$ 'Yáסos VDProc., $\tau \hat{s}$ 'Yá $\delta o s$ PLMAEFH, $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ 'Yá $\delta \omega \nu$ "Cam.

4áто́кıроо NCam.
 \PLMADEProc., om. NFHCam. ${ }^{1}$; post I. 16, toû dós Clam. ${ }^{2}$; post doıтoi add. є́кєí övтєS Cam. ${ }^{2}$, om. libri.

## TETRABIBLOS I. 9

## 9. Of the Poucer of the Fixed Stars.

As it is next in order to recount the natures of the fixed stars with reference to their special powers, we shall set forth their observed characters in an exposition like that of the natures of the planets, and in the first place those of the ones that occupy the figures in the zodiac ${ }^{1}$ itself.

The stars in the head of Aries, then, have an effect like the power of Mars and Saturn, mingled; those in the mouth like Mercury's power and moderately like Saturn's; those in the hind foot like that of Mars, and those in the tail like that of Venus.

Of those in Taurus, ${ }^{2}$ the stars along the line where it is cut off have a temperature like that of Venus and in a measure like that of Saturu; those in the Pleiades. like those of the moon and Jupiter ; of the stars in the head, the one of the Hyades that is bright and somewhat reddish. called the Torch, ${ }^{3}$ has a temperature like that of Mars; the others, like that of Saturn and moderately ike that of Mercury; those in the tips of the horns, like that of Mars.
${ }^{1}$ Strictly, "around the ecliptic itself." Properly, the


 through the midst of the signs " or "through the middle of the zodiac."
${ }^{2}$ Taurus was represented as the head and fore parts only of a charging bull.
${ }^{3}$ Aldebaran.
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 калєîтаı $\delta$ ѐ каi 'Нраклє́ous.

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \tau о \hat{v}$ ' $E \rho \mu о \hat{v}$ каi $\eta \rho \epsilon \in \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau о \hat{v} " A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ • oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota \quad \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda о \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} s \quad \sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}, \kappa \alpha \lambda о v-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ ठє̀ Фа́тvך, $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \tau \sigma \hat{v} " A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta$.
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau о \hat{v}$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega$ каи $\tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\eta} \lambda i \not \omega$
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Of the stars in Gemini, those in the feet share the same quality as Mercury and to a less degree. as Venus; the bright stars in the thighs, the same as Saturn; of the two bright stars in the heads, ${ }^{1}$ the one in the head in advance the same as Mercury; it is also called the star of Apollo; the one in the head that follows. the same as Mars; it is also ealled the star of Hercules.

Of the stars in Cancer. the two in the eyes produce the same effeet as Mercury, and. to a less degree. as Mars; those in the claws. the same as Saturn and Mereury ; the eloud-like cluster in the breast, called the Manger, ${ }^{2}$ the same as Mars and the moon; and the two on either side of it, which are called Asses, ${ }^{3}$ the same as Mars and the sun.

Of those in Leo, the two in the head act in the same way as Saturn and, to a less degree. as Mars; the three in the throat, the same as Saturis and to a less degree, as Mereury; the bright star upon the heart, called Regulus, the same as Mars and Jupiter ; those in the hip and the bright star in the tail, ${ }^{1}$ the same as Saturn and Venus; and those in the thighs, the same as Venus and, to a less degree. Mercury.

Of the stars in Virgo, ${ }^{5}$ those in the head and the one upon the tip of the southern wing have an effect like that of Mereury and. in less degree, of Mars; the other bright stars of the wing and thoze on the
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 $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ s, ~ к а \lambda о v ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Прот $\rho \gamma \eta \tau \tau \dot{\rho}, \tau \hat{\varphi}$ то仑


 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau o \hat{v}{ }^{`} E \rho \mu о \hat{v}^{2}$ каi $\eta \rho \epsilon \in \mu \alpha$ т $\hat{\varphi}$ то̂̂ " $A \rho \in \omega s$.
$T \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} X \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ тồ $\sum_{\kappa o \rho \pi i o v}{ }^{3}$ oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ є̀v äкраıs

 ท่ $\epsilon \epsilon \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \sigma \hat{v}$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s$.
$T \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \mu \tau \iota \tau o \hat{v} \Sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i o v$ oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 $\kappa \alpha i ~ \eta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ Kрóvov oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ढ̀v $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ $\tau \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} s, \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o s ~ \dot{v} \pi o ́ \kappa \iota \rho \rho o s ~ к а і ~ \lambda а \mu \pi \rho o ́ т є \rho о s, ~$


 $25 \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \tau о \hat{v}$ 'Eрцо仑 каi $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau о \hat{v}$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ ' $\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma о-$
 $\sigma \in \lambda \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta$.

 $\kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \eta$ - oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò тózov каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda a \beta \grave{\eta} \nu$

${ }^{1}$ Post ov́phati add. tov̂ hatiov NProc.Cam.; om. VPMADEFH.

${ }^{3}$ Kкортiov VPDProc., Zuvoû NCam., om. LN (lac. 6 litt.) AEFH.
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girdles like that of Mercury and, in a measure, of Venus; the bright star in the northern wing, called Vindemiator, like those of Saturn and Mercury ; the so-called Spica. like that of Venus and, in a less degree, that of Mars; those in the tips of the feet and the train ${ }^{1}$ like that of Mercury and, in a less degree, Mars.

Of those in the Claws of the Scorpion, ${ }^{2}$ the ones at their very extremities exercise the same influence as do Jupiter and Mercury; those in the middle parts the same as do Saturn and, to a less degree, Mars.

Of the stars in the body of Scorpio, the bright stars on the forehead act in the same way as does Mars and in some degree as does Saturn; the three in the body, the middle one of which is tawny and rather bright and is called Antares, the same as Mars and, in some degree, Jupiter; those in the joints, the same as Saturn and, in some degree, Venus; those in the sting, the same as Mercury and Mars; and the so-called cloud-like cluster, the same as Mars and the moon.

Of the stars in Sagittarius, ${ }^{3}$ those in the point of his arrow have an effect like that of Mars and the moon ; those in the bow and the grip of his hand. like that of Jupiter and Mars; the cluster in his forehead,
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$\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega$ $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \lambda i \varphi \omega$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega}$








 ढ̀v тоîs тобi каi $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ко৯入ía $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ то̂̀ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\tau \hat{\varphi}$


 о́ $\mu$ oíws $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \in \alpha \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \tau о \hat{v}$ Kpóvov каi $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau о \hat{v}$



 $\Delta$ ós.



 26 oủpâs каi $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ votiov hívov $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ tô̂ Kpóvou каi
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like that of the sun and Mars; those in the cloak and his back, like that of Jupiter and, to a less degree, of Mercury; those in his feet, like that of Jupiter and Saturn; the quadrangle upon the tail, like that of Venus and, to a less degree, of Saturn.

Of the stars in Capricorn, ${ }^{1}$ those in the horns act in the same way as Venus and, in some degree, as Mars; those in the mouth, as Saturn and, in some degree, as Venus; those in the feet and the belly, as Mars and Mercury ; and those in the tail, as Saturn and Jupiter.

Of the stars in Aquarius, those in the shoulders exert an influence like that of Saturn and Mercury, together with those in the left arm and the cloak; those in the thighs, like that of Mercury in a greater degree and like that of Saturn in a lesser degree ; those in the strean of water, like that of Saturn and, in some degree, like that of Jupiter.

Of the stars in Pisces, ${ }^{\text { }}$ those in the head of the southern Fish act in the same way as Mercury and somewhat as does Saturn; those in the body, as do Jupiter and Mercury; those in the tail and the southern cord, as do Saturn and, in some degree, Mercury; those in the body and backbone of the northern Fish, as do Jupiter and, in some degree,
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 то仑̂ 'E $E \mu$ о̂.


 $\dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \phi \rho o \delta i ́ \tau \eta S \cdot$ oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \nu$


 $\tau \hat{\omega}$ то仑 $K \rho o ́ v o v ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ то̂̀ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega$ каi $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ то̂ $\Delta$ tós. oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ то̂ $K \eta \eta^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \omega s \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon$ то̂ Kpóvov каi $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ то̂̂











 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau о \hat{v}$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \tau \hat{v}$ ' $E \rho \mu о \hat{v}$ ' оi $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{a}$
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Venus; those in the northern part of the cord, as do Saturn and Jupiter; and the bright star on the bond, as do Mars and, in some degree. Mereury.

Of the stars in the configurations north of the zodiae, the bright stars in Ursa Minor have a similar quality to that of Saturn and, to a less degree, to that of Venus; those in Ursa Major, to that of Mars; and the cluster of the Coma Berenices beneath the Bear's tail, to that of the moon and Venus; the bright stars in Draco, to that of Saturn, Mars, and Jupiter : those of Cepheus, to that of Saturn and Jupiter: those in Boötes, to that of Mercury and Saturn; the bright, tawny star, to that of Jupiter and Mars, the star called Arcturus; the star in Corona Septentrionalis. to that of Venus and Mereury: those in Geniculator, ${ }^{1}$ to that of Mereury; those in Lyra, ${ }^{2}$ to that of Venus and Mercury ; and likewise those in Cygnus. The stars in Cassiopeia have the effect of Saturn and Venus; those in Perseus, of Jupiter and Saturn; the cluster in the hilt of the sword, of Mars and Mercury; the bright stars in Auriga, ${ }^{3}$ of Mars and Mercury; those in Ophiuchus, of Saturn and, to some degree, of Venus; those in his serpent, of Saturn and Mars; those in Sagitta, of Mars and. to some degree, of
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'Aфроסíтךs. oi $\delta$ с̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' A \epsilon \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o \hat{v} " A \rho \epsilon \omega s$





 $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ є่v $\tau \hat{\omega}$ отó $\mu a \tau \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v}$ votiov 'I $\chi \theta$ vios









 $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \tau о \hat{v} \Delta$ Lòs каi $\eta \rho \epsilon \in \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau о \hat{v}$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ • ó

 $\lambda а \mu \pi \rho o i ̀ \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \tau о \hat{v}$ K $\rho o ́ v o v ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\eta}$ S 'A $^{\prime} \phi \rho о \delta i ́ \tau \eta s$.
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Venus; those in Aquila, ${ }^{1}$ of Mars and Jupiter; those in Delphinus, of Saturn and Mars ; the bright stars in the Hurse, ${ }^{2}$ of Mars and Mercury; those in Andromeda, of Venus; those in Triangulum, of Mereury.

Of the stars in the formations south of the zodiae the bright star in the mouth of Piscis Australis ${ }^{3}$ has an influence similar to that of Venus and Mercury ; those in Cetus, similar to that of Saturn ; of those in Orion, ${ }^{4}$ the stars on his shoulders similar to that of Mars and Mercury, and the other bright stars similar to that of Jupiter and Saturn; of the stars in Eridanus the last bright one ${ }^{5}$ has an influence like that of Jupiter and the others like that of Saturn; the star in Lepus, like that of Saturn and Mereury; of those in Canis, the others like that of Venus, and the bright star in the mouth, ${ }^{6}$ like that of Jupiter and, to a less degree. of Mars; the bright star Procyon, like that of Mercury and, in a less degree, that of Mars; the bright stars in Hydra, ${ }^{7}$ like that of Saturn and Venus; those in Crater, like that of Venus and, in a less degree, of Mercury; those in Corvus, like that of Mars and Saturn; the bright stars of Argo, ${ }^{8}$ like that of Saturn and Jupiter ; of those in Centaurus, the ones
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 $\Delta$ ıós • oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\Theta \eta \rho i o \nu ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \tau o \hat{v}$


 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \tau о \hat{v}$ K

 $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$.

## <i.> $\Pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \delta^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \in \omega s$

Kai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau o v s \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu, \tilde{\epsilon}^{2} a \rho o s$



 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ к о р и ф \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ́ \pi о \nu{ }^{4}$



 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ́ \pi о \nu$. $\delta \iota o ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$, каi $\tau о \hat{v} \zeta \omega \delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \hat{v} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \iota \hat{\alpha} S$
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in the human body, like that of Venus and Mercury, and the bright stars in the equine body like that of Venus and Jupiter; the bright stars in Lupus, like that of Saturn and, in less degree, of Mars; those in Ara, like that of Venus and, to a lesser degree, of Mercury ; and the bright stars in Corona Australis, like that of Saturn and Mercury.

Such, then, are the observations of the effects of the stars themselves as made by our predecessors.
10. Of the Effect of the Seasons and of the Four Angles.

Of the four seasons of the year, spring, summer, autumn, and winter, spring exceeds in moisture on account of its diffusion after the cold has passed and warmth is setting in ; the summer, in heat, because of the nearness of the sun to the zenith; autumı more in dryness, because of the sucking up of the moisture during the hot season just past ; and winter exceeds in cold, because the sun is farthest away from the zenith. For this reason, although there is no natural beginning of the zodiac, since it is a circle, they assume that the sign which begins with
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 каі ó $\chi є \iota \mu\left(\dot{\nu} .^{3}\right.$




 $\dot{v} \gamma \rho \alpha \nu \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \alpha$ то́тє $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о \nu$ ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \xi \eta \rho \alpha i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot$ oi


${ }^{2} \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \hat{\omega}$ VMADEF, $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu a i \cdot \epsilon \omega$ PLNCam.
 VADFProc.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Almagest, iii. 1 (p. 192, 19-22), where Ptolemy defines the year as the return of the sun to the points fixed by the equinoxes and solstices. The sign of Aries, defined as the $30^{\circ}$ begining with the vernal equinox, is, of course, very difforent from the sign considered as the actual constellation. This gave rise to an argument against astrology, first expressed by Origen. Cf. Boll-Bezold-Gundel, 60
the vernal cquinox, that of Aries, ${ }^{1}$ is the startingpoint of them all, making the excessive moisture of the spring the first part of the zodiac as though it were a living creature, and taking next in order the remaining seasons, because in all creatures the earliest ages, ${ }^{2}$ like the spring, have a larger share of moisture and are tender and still delicate. The second age, up to the prime of life, exceeds in heat, like summer; the third, which is now past the prime and on the verge of decline, has an excess of dryness, like autumn; and the last, which approaches dissolution, exceeds in its coldness, like winter.

Similarly, too, of the four regions and angles of the horizon, from which originate the winds from the cardinal points, ${ }^{3}$ the eastern one likewise excels in dryness because, when the sun is in that region, whatever has been moistened by the night then first begins to be dried; and the winds which blow from

PP. 131-132; Bouchó-Leclereq, p. I29, n. I: Ashmand, I'tolemy's Tetrabiblos, p. 32, n.
${ }^{2}$ Ptolomy here enumerates four ages of man, as do also many Pythagorizing arithmologists, when they praiso the number 4, as, for example, Theologoumena Arithmetica, p. 20 Ast, Diogenes Laertius, viii. 1. 10 Martianus Capella, vii. 734 , etc. Ptolemy later (iv. 10) speaks of seven ages, assigning one to each planot; the arithmologists have also a series of seven ages which they cite in praise of the number 7 ; e.g. Philo, De mundi opificio 36. There are also lists in which the ages are merely made up of hebdomadic groups of years.
 oi ка $\theta_{0} \lambda \iota к о a^{2} v \in \mu \circ \iota$, which is closer than the Latin tramslations, totas illas partes occupantes venti ((iogava), ank venti, qui totas illas partes occupamt (Melanchthon). Ptolemy means the winds from the cardinal points and around thom.
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 $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu о ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \delta \iota \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi v \rho \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ то̂̂ $\dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v$



 каi $\mu а \nu \omega \tau \iota к о i ́ . ~ o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau а i ̂ s ~ \delta v \sigma \mu a i ̂ s ~ \tau o ́ \pi т о s ~$




 ă $\rho \kappa \tau о \iota s$ тóтоs aùтós тє́ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \psi v \chi \rho o ́ \tau а т о s ~ \delta ı \grave{\alpha ~ \tau o ̀ ~}$




 каі тикขштєкоí．


 тоเаข́таs катабт $\alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ グто九 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \omega \nu$
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it, which we call in general Apeliotes, ${ }^{1}$ are without moisture and drying in effect. The region to the south is hottest because of the fiery heat of the sun"s passages through mid-heaven and because these passages, on account of the inclination of our inhabited world, diverge more to the south; and the winds which blow thence and are called by the general name Notus are hot and rarefying. The region to the west is itself moist, because when the sun is therein the things dried out during the day then first begin to become moistened; likewise the winds which blow from this part, which we call by the general name Zephyrus, are fresh and moist. The region to the north is the coldest, because through our inhabited world's inclination it is too far removed from the causes of heat arising from the sun's culmination, as it is also when the sun is at its lower culmination; and the winds which blow thence, which are called by the general name Boreas, are cold and condensing in effect.

The knowledge of these facts is useful to enable one to form a complete judgement of temperatures in individual instances. For it is easily reeognizable that, together with such conditions as these, of seasons, ages, or angles, there is a corresponding variation in the potency of the stars' faculties, and that in the conditions akin to them their quality is purer and their effectiveness stronger, those that are heating by nature, for instance, in heat, and those that
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 таîs छ$\ddagger \rho a i ̂ s ~ o i ~ v ं \gamma \rho a \nu \tau \iota к о i ~ к а i ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 боүкıрьацє́vŋ тоьóт $\eta \tau \iota$.
 $\kappa а i \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu^{1} \kappa \alpha i \delta \iota \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \omega \nu \zeta \omega \delta i \omega \nu$













${ }^{1}$ каì or $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$ om. MNECam. Titulum post 1. 19 סvváuєts ponunt VDProc.
${ }^{1}$ кра́бєєs, " mixtures": astrologically used to designate the resultant qualities derived from the mingling of various influences. Cf. The Life and Opinions of Tristram Shandy, Bk. I, Chapter 11, " who . . . seemed not to have had one single drop of Danish blood in his whole crasis."

## TETRABIBLOS I. 10-11

are moistening in the moist, while under opposite conditions their power is adulterated and weaker. Thus the heating stars in the cold periods and the moistening stars in the dry periods are weaker, and similarly in the other cases, according to the quality produced by the mixture.

## 11. Of Solstitial, Equinoctial, Solid, and Bicorporeal

 Signs.After the explanation of these matters the next subject to be added would be the natural characters of the zodiacal signs themselves, as they have been handed down by tradition. For although their more general temperaments ${ }^{1}$ are each analogous to the seasons that take place in them, ${ }^{2}$ certain peculiar qualitics of theirs arise from their kinship ${ }^{3}$ to the sun, moon, and planets, as we shall relate in what follows, putting first the unmingled powers of the signs themselves alone, regarded both absolutely and relatively to one another.

The first distinctions, then, are of the so-called solstitial, equinoctial, solid, and bicorporeal signs. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{2}$ That is, when the sun is in these signs.
${ }^{3}$ oikeícots, also translated "familiarity," is a common astrological term denoting the varions relationships of affinity derived from the positions of signs or planets with reference to the universe or to each other, as, for examplo, through the aspects (c. 13).

4 All but Virgo are represented as bicorporeal in fact. Ptolemy, as a learned writer, pays less attention to the fanciful and mythological classification of the signs into terrestrial, aquatic, four-footed, etc. (although he refers to them in i. 12), and gives greater prominonce to the astronomical classification.
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 $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ ката̀ $\pi \lambda a ́ \tau о s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \rho о \delta o v, ~ к а і ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} v \tau о ̀ v ~ K а \rho к і-~$


 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{o} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \mu \epsilon \tau о \pi \omega \rho \omega \eta \hat{s}$ тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu X \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, $32 \dot{\omega} \nu o ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каi $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta-$


 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ к а \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \alpha ́, ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \tau \alpha \rho a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ סí $\sigma \omega \mu a$. каi
 каi тоîs íŋ $\mu \epsilon \rho \imath v o i ̂ s, ~ T a v ̂ \rho o s, ~ М \epsilon ́ \omega \nu, ~ \Sigma к о р т i o s, ~$
 aì $\tau \epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \rho о ́ \tau \eta \tau \epsilon S$ каi $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu о ́ \tau \eta \tau \epsilon S$ каі $\xi \eta \rho о ́ \tau \eta \tau \epsilon S$ каi





 $\mu o \iota, ~ \Pi a \rho \theta \epsilon ́ v o s, ~ T o \xi o ́ \tau \eta s, ~ ' I \chi \theta \hat{v} s, \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi v ́ ~ \tau \epsilon$
 om. alii.
${ }^{2}$ Post iaxúos add. aùrồ NADECam.
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For there are two solstitial signs, the first interval of $30^{\circ}$ from the summer solstice, the sign of Cancer, and the first from the winter solstice. Capricorn; and they have received their name ${ }^{1}$ from what takes place in them. For the sun turns when he is at the beginning of these signs and reverses his latitudinal progress, causing summer in Cancer and winter in Capricorn. Two signs are called equinoctial, the one which is first from the spring equinox, Aries, and the one which begins with the autumnal equinox, Libra; and they too again are named from what happens there, because when the sun is at the beginning of these signs he makes the nights exactly equal to the days.

Of the remaining eight signs four are called solid and four bicorporeal. The solid signs, Taurus. Leo, Scorpio, and Aquarius, are those which follow the solstitial and equinoctial signs; and they are so called because when the sun is in them the moisture, heat, dryness, and cold of the seasons that begin in the preceding signs touch us more firmly, not that the weather is naturally any more intemperate at that time, but that we are by then inured to them and for that reason are more sensible of their power.

The bicorporeal signs, Gemini, Virgo. Sagittarius, and Pisces, are those which follow the solid signs,
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$\epsilon i v a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \rho о \pi \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ каi $і \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon-$ $\rho \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \alpha i \not{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ кєкошшшьךкє́vaı ката̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ каi
 idıoтротías.
$\langle\bar{\iota},\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \theta \eta \lambda \nu \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\zeta \omega \delta i \omega \nu$



 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \tau \tau \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \nu v \kappa \tau i$ каi $\tau \grave{o} \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda v$










$X \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon S \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i$
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and are so called because they are between the solid and the solstitial and equinoctial signs and share, as it were, at end and beginning, the natural properties of the two states of weather.

## 12. Of Masculine and Feminine Signs.

Again, in the same way they assigned six of the signs to the masculine and diurnal nature ${ }^{1}$ and an equal number to the feminine and nocturnal. An alternating order was assigned to them because day is always yoked to night and close to it, and female to male. Now as Aries is taken as the starting-point for the reasons we have mentioned, and as the male likewise rules and holds first place, since also the active is always superior to the passive in power, the signs of Aries and Libra were thought to be masculine and diurnal, an additional reason being that the equinoctial circle which is drawn through them completes the primary and most powerful movement of the whole universe. ${ }^{2}$ The signs in succession after them correspond, as we said, in alternating order.

Some, however, employ an order of masculine and feminine signs whereby the masculine begins with the sign that is rising, called the horoscope. ${ }^{3}$ For just as some begin the solstitial sigus with the moon's
${ }^{1}$ The signs of the zodiac, as well as the planets, are divided between the two scets (cf. i. 7).
${ }^{2}$ I.e. the general revolution of the heavens, carrying the fixed stars and the other heavonly bodies (according to the l'tolemaic and other ancient systerns).
${ }^{3}$ Obviously, in a system like this, a given sign would not always belong to the same suct.
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 ßávovalv ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \iota o \iota \delta \iota a ̀$ т̀̀ $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau a ́ \chi \iota o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a ̆ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

 $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ó $\mu o i \omega s \pi a \rho ' \hat{\epsilon} \nu \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \quad \chi \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau o s ~ к а i ~ \tau \grave{o} \kappa \alpha \tau ’ \dot{a} \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$




 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu \iota \dot{\alpha}$ каі тодv́бтора каì $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тоьаиิта.



 $\pi \rho о є \kappa \tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
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sign because the moon changes direction more swiftly than the rest, so they begin the masculine signs with the horoscope because it is further to the east, some as before making use of the alternate order of signs, and others dividing by entire quadrants, and designating as matutinal and masculine signs those of the quadrant from the horoscope to mid-heaven and those of the opposite quadrant from the occident to the lower mid-heaven, and as evening and feminine the other two quadrants. They have also attached other descriptions ${ }^{1}$ to the signs, derived from their shapes; I refer, for example, to "four-footed," " terrestrial," " commanding," " fecund," and similar appellations. These, since their reason and their significance are directly derived, we think it superfluous to enumerate, since the quality resulting from such conformations can be explained in connection with those predictions wherein it is obviously useful.
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##  $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa a \tau \eta \mu \circ \rho i \omega \nu$

 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$. таv̂та $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \sigma \tau i \nu \nu$ ö $\sigma a$


 үшліау каі трітоу каі $\delta^{\prime} \delta \omega \delta є к а т \eta \mu о ́ р ь а ~ к а і ~ \mu о і р а я ~$ $\rho \kappa^{\prime} \cdot \kappa \alpha i$ ö $\sigma \alpha$ $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \gamma \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ 入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi о \nu \tau \alpha$


 $\mu o i ́ p a s \xi^{\prime}$.




 ठıà $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu i ́ a s ~ \mu о р i ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а і ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi и н о р i ́ \omega \nu, ~ \mu о р i ́ \omega \nu ~$
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## 13. Of the Aspects of the Signs.

Of the parts of the zodiac those first are familiar ${ }^{1}$ one to another which are in aspect. ${ }^{2}$ These are the ones which are in opposition, enclosing two right angles, six signs, and 180 degrees; those which are in trine, enclosing one and one-third right angles, four signs, and 120 degrees; those which are said to be in quartile, enclosing one right angle, three signs, and 90 degrees, and finally those that occupy the sextile position, enclosing two-thirds of a right angle, two signs, and 60 degrees.

We may learn from the following why only these intervals have been taken into consideration. The explanation of opposition is immediately obvious, because it causes the signs to meet on one straight line. But if we take the two fractions and the two superparticulars ${ }^{3}$ most important in music, and if the fractions one-half and one-third be applied to

Kepler is said to have invented several others, based on other aliquot parts of $360^{\circ}$, the semiquadrate, quintile, sesquiquadrate, biquintile, etc. (cf. Ashmand, pp. 40-41, nn .) ; these have been employed by modern astrologers, but the Ptolemaie doetrines of this and the 16 th chapter are inconsistent with their use. The intervals between bodies in aspeet in the four ways here mentioned ean be measured in whole signs.
${ }^{3}$ Nicomachus of Gerasa, Introduction to Arithmetic, i. 19, defines the superparticular as "a number that contains within itself the whole of the number compared with it, and some one factor of it besides." The "two superparticulars most important to music " are the first two in the series, the sesquialter $\left(\begin{array}{l}\frac{3}{2}\end{array}\right)$ and the sesquitertian $\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)$. which correspond to the diapente and dintessaron respeetively (cf. Nicomachus, op. cit., ii. 26).
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 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \rho i \gamma \omega \nu o \iota ~ к \alpha i$



 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma$ v́бтабぃ $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta$ ávov $\sigma \iota$.

## 






 Cam. ${ }^{\text {; }}$ om. Cam. ${ }^{2}$
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opposition, composed of two right angles, the half makes the quartile and the third the sextile and trine. ${ }^{1}$ Of the superparticulars, if the sesquialter and sesquitertian be applied to the quartile interval of one right angle, which lies between them, the sesquialter makes the ratio of the quartile to the sextile and the sesquitertian that of trine to quartile. ${ }^{2}$ Of these aspects trine and sextile are called harmonious because they are composed of signs of the same kind, either entirely of feminine or entirely of masculine signs; while quartile and opposition are disharmonious because they are composed of signs of opposite kinds.

## 14. Of Commanding and Obeying Signs.

Similarly the names " commanding " and "obeying " ${ }^{3}$ are applied to the divisions of the zodiac which are disposed at an equal distance from the same equinoctial sign, whichever it may be, because they ascend ${ }^{4}$ in equal periods of time and are on equal parallels. Of these the ones in the summer
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Bouche-Leclereq, pp. 159.164, on this and the following chapter. The pairs which "command" and "obey" (the "commanding" sign first) are: Taurus. Pisces, Gemini-Aquarius, Cancer-Capricorn, Lco-Sagittarius, Virgo-Scorpio. Arics and Libra are left out of the scheme, being the equinoctial sigus from which the start is made; so Manilius, ii. 485, 501. The origisal notion seems to have been that these signs "heard" (а́кои́єь) each other, and the idea of "oboying" (úтакои́єєv) was a pseudo-scientific elaboration.
${ }^{4}$ Cf. the note on iii. 10 (pp. 286 fi .) for the ascension of the signs.
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36 〈 $\bar{\iota}.\rangle \quad \Pi \epsilon \rho i \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad i \sigma o \delta v \nu \alpha-$ $\mu o v{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$
$\Pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ iso $v \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ф $\alpha \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\lambda} \lambda o \iota s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v}$


 $\nu v ́ \kappa \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} S \nu v \xi i$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ оiкєí $\omega \nu$
 $\pi \epsilon \iota$ ar $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha$ дє́ $\gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ठıá $\tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \alpha$ каi



〈і̄．〉 $\Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \alpha \quad \sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \nu$







${ }^{1}$ In the summer hemisphere are the signs Aries，Taurus， Gemini，Cancer，Leo，and Virgo ；Libra，Scorpio，Sagittarius， 76
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hemisphere ${ }^{1}$ are called " commanding " and those in the winter hemisphere " obedient," because the sun makes the day longer than the night when he is in the summer hemisphere, and shorter in the winter.

## 15. Of Signs which Behold each other and Signs of Equal Power.

Again they say that the parts which are equally removed from the same tropical sign, whichever it may be, are of equal power, ${ }^{2}$ because when the sun comes into either of them the days are equal to the days, the nights to the nights, and the lengths of their own hours ${ }^{3}$ are the same. These also are said to "behold" one another both for the reasons stated and becanse each of the pair rises from the same part of the horizon and sets in the same part.
16. Of Disjunct Signs.
" Disjunct" and "alien" are the names applied to those divisions of the zodiac which have none whatever of the aforesaid familiarities with one another. These are the ones which belong neither to the elass of commanding or obeying, beholding or of equal power, and furthermore they are found l'apricorn, Aquarius, and Pisces are in the winter hemiphere; see the diagram in Bourhe-Leclercq, p. I61.
${ }^{2}$ These pairs are Gemini-Leo, 'Taurus-Virgo, Aries-Libra, lisces-Scorpio, and Aquarins-Sagitarios; Cancer and Capricorn are left withont mates (ä̧va).
" Their own hours" are "ordinary" or "civil" hours
 I welfth of the day (summse to sumset) or hight (sumset to smrise). Oi course, they are equal if the days and nights are equal.
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 $\zeta \omega \delta \iota \alpha к о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota$ ката́ $\tau \epsilon \tau$ то̀̀s ка入оинє́vous оїкоия


 $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \rho v \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ́ \pi о v$, Өєр $\mu a \sigma i ́ a s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ a ̀ \lambda \epsilon ́ a s ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ т о и ̂ т о ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ı \pi о \imath \eta \tau \iota к \grave{\alpha}$ тvүхávovта, тó тє то̂ Kapкivov є́ $\sigma \tau i ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v}$









${ }^{1}$ Titulum sic habent VADEProc.: om. є́ка́aтоv ảбтє́fos alii Cam.

[^128]
## TETRABIBLOS I. 16-17

to be entirely without share in the four aforesaid aspects, opposition, trine, quartile, and sextile, and are either one or five signs apart; for those which are one sign apart are as it were averted from one another and, though they are two, bound the angle of one, and those that are five signs apart divide the whole cirele into unequal parts, while the other aspects make an equal division of the perimeter.

## 17. Of the Houses of the Several Planets.

The planets also have familiarity with the parts of the zodiac, through what are called their houses, triangles, exaltations, terms, ${ }^{1}$ and the like. The system of houses is of the following nature. Since of the twelve signs the most northern, which are eloser than the others to our zenith and therefore most productive of heat and of warmth are Cancer and Leo, they assigned these to the greatest and most powerful heavenly bodies, that is, to the luminaries, as houses, Leo, which is masculine, to the sun and Cancer, feminine, to the moon. In keeping with this they assumed the semicircle from Leo to Capricorn to be solar and that from Aquarius to Cancer to be lunar, so that in each of the semieircles one sign might be assigued to each of the five planets as its own, one bearing aspect to the
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 $\kappa \iota \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \omega \nu$ av̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ бфаípaıs каi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$
 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu$ oैv $\tau \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ фúoıv кат' є’vavтıót $\eta \tau \alpha$ тоv̂ $\theta \in \rho \mu о \hat{v}$


 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i$ таи̂та $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \mu o ́ \rho \iota \alpha \psi v \chi \rho \dot{\alpha}$ каі











 $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \sigma v \mu \phi \dot{\omega} \nu \omega^{1} \pi \sigma$ ó $\tau \eta \tau \iota, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \gamma \omega v o \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{\alpha} \phi \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$
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sun and the other to the moon, consistently with the spheres of their motion ${ }^{1}$ and the peculiarities of their natures. ${ }^{2}$ For to Saturn, in whose nature cold prevails, as opposed to heat, and which occupies the orbit highest and farthest from the luminaries, were assigned the signs opposite Cancer and Leo, namely Capricorn and Aquarius, ${ }^{3}$ with the additional reason that these signs are cold and wintry, and further that their diametrical aspect is not consistent with beneficence. To Jupiter, which is moderate and below Saturn's sphere, were assigned the two signs next to the foregoing, windy and fecund, Sagittarius and Pisces, in triangular aspect ${ }^{4}$ to the luminaries, which is a harmonious and beneficent configuration. Next, to Mars, which is dry in nature and occupies a sphere under that of Jupiter, there were assigned again the two signs, contiguous to the former, Scorpio and Aries, having a similar nature, and, agreeably to Mars' destructive and inharmonious quality, in quartile aspect ${ }^{5}$ to the luminaries. To Venus, which is temperate and beneath Mars, were given the next two signs, which are extremely fertile, Libra and Taurus. These
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 $\mu \omega \nu$ каi тò $\tau \hat{\eta}$ П Парө́́vov.

## $\langle\overline{\imath \eta}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \rho \iota \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$










 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \alpha \kappa \eta ̀ \nu \quad o ̋ v \tau о{ }^{3}{ }^{3} \tau о \hat{v}$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s$. $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
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preserve the harmony of the sextile aspect ; ${ }^{1}$ another reason is that this planet at most is never more than two signs removed from the sum in either direction. Finally, there were given to Mercury. which never is farther removed from the sun than one sign in either direction and is beneath the others and closer in a way to both of the luminaries, the remaining signs, Gemini and Virgo, which are next to the houses of the luminaries.

## 18. Of the Triangles.

The familiarity by triangles is as follows. Inasmuch as the triangular and equilateral form is most harmonions with itself, ${ }^{2}$ the zodiac also is bounded by three circles, the equinoctial and the two tropies, and its twelve parts are divided into four equilateral triangles. The first of these, which passes through Aries, Leo, and Sagittarius, is composed of three masculine signs and includes the houses of the sun, of Mars, and of Jupiter. This triangle was assigned to the sun and Jupiter, since Mars is not of the solar sect. ${ }^{\circ}$ The sun assumes first movernance of it by day and Jupiter by might. Also. Aries is elose to the equinoctial circle, Leo to the summer solstice and
${ }^{1}$ 'Taurus is sextile to Cancer and Libra to Leo.
${ }^{2}$ This statement savours of Neo-lythagoreanism; cf., for example, the demonstration by Nicomachus (Introduction to Arithmetic, ii. 7. 4) of the proposition that the triangle is the most frementary plane figure, which is also Platonic doctrine (T'imacus 53 C ff.) ; note likewise the much repeated statrment that the mumber 3 is the first plane surface; 'Theon of Smyrua, p. 46, It (ed. Hitler), Macrobius, Somnium Scipionis, i. 6. 22, ete.
${ }^{3}$ Ser c. 7.
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 $\tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta s$ aï $\rho \sigma \iota \nu$ каi $\tau о ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta v \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \theta \eta \lambda v \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v$.











 $\pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \stackrel{\imath}{\xi} \iota \nu$ à $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau о v$ $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{o}$ тòv $\tau о \hat{v}$



 $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \alpha ̀ \nu a \tau o \lambda a i ̂ s ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \eta ̈ \lambda \iota o v ~ a i ̈ \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$.
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Sagittarius to the winter solstice. This triangle is preëminently northern because of Jupiter's share in its government, since Jupiter is fecund and windy, ${ }^{1}$ similarly to the winds from the north. However, because of the house of Mars it suflers an admixture of the south-west wind ${ }^{2}$ and is constituted Borrolibycon, because Mars causes such winds and also because of the sect of the moon and the feminine quality of the occident. ${ }^{3}$

The second triangle, which is the one drawn through Taurus, Virgo, and Capricorn, is composed of three feminine signs, and consequently was assigned to the moon and Venus; the moon governs it by night and Venus by day. Taurus lies toward the summer tropic, Virgo toward the equinox, and Capricorn toward the winter tropic. This triangle is made preëminently southern because of the dominance of Venus, since this star through the heat and moisture of its power produces similar winds; but as it receives an admixture of Apeliotes beeause the house of Saturn, Capricornus, is included within it, it is constituted Notapeliotes ${ }^{4}$ in contrast to the first triangle, since Saturn produces winds of this kind and is related to the east throagh sharing in the seet of the sun.
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 тои́тоьs à $\pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta,{ }^{2} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ оікобєбтотойvтоs $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ тô̂ K $\rho o ́ v o v ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a i ̈ \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu, \nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau о \hat{v}$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \mu o ́ p \iota o \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$, $\theta \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \hat{\varphi}$, , đò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu X \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s$


 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{a} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \hat{\imath} \xi \iota \nu \beta о \rho \rho \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ v \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \sigma \hat{v}$








 $\theta \in \rho \iota \nu \hat{\varphi} \kappa v ́ \kappa \lambda \omega$, ó $\delta є$ є̀ $\Sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \chi \chi \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \hat{\varphi}$ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} I_{\chi} \theta \hat{v} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ì $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \hat{\varphi}$. каi тои̂то


 $\tau \bar{\eta}$ ' $А 申 \rho о \delta і т \eta$ оікобєототіа .
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The third triangle is the one drawn through Gemini, Libra, and Aquarius, composed of three masculine signs, and having no relation to Mars but rather to Saturn and Mercury because of their houses. It was assigned in turn to these, with Saturn governing during the day on account of his sect and Mercury by night. The sign of Gemini lies toward the summer tropic, Libra toward the equinox, and Aquarius toward the winter tropic. This triangle also is primarily of eastern constitution, because of Saturn, but by admixture north-eastern, because the sect of Jupiter has familiarity with Saturn, inasmuch as it is diurnal.

The fourth triangle, which is the one drawn through Cancer, Scorpio, and Pisces, was left to the only remaining planet, Mars, which is related to it through his house, Scorpio ; and along with him, on account of the sect and the femininity of the signs, the moon by night and Venus by day are co-rulers. Cancer is near the summer cirele, Scorpio lies close to the winter one, and Pisces to the equinox. This triangle is constituted preëminently western, because it is dominated by Mars and the moon; but by admixture it becomes south-western through the domination of Venus.
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$\langle\bar{\theta}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{v} \psi \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$















 $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu \pi о є \epsilon i \tau \alpha \iota ~ \phi \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а i ~ a ̀ \rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \tau о \hat{v} \phi \omega \tau o ̀ s$


 $\Sigma_{\kappa о \rho \pi i o v ~}^{\text {т }} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \epsilon і \nu \omega \mu \alpha$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \quad \nu i ́ \mu \omega \nu \quad \pi \nu \epsilon v \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega v \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \nu$


```
\({ }^{1}\) єіко́тшs VMADE, оікєєшs (оікішs) PLNCam.
\({ }^{2}\) каi ötov aü乡єтаı NMAECam. (aủ̧ávє NECam.);
```
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## 19. Of Exaltations.

The so-called exaltations ${ }^{1}$ of the planets have the following explanation. Since the sun, when he is in Aries, is making his transition to the northern and higher semicircle, and in Libra is passing into the southern and lower one, they have fittingly assigned Aries to him as his exaltation, since there the length of the day and the heating power of his nature begin to increase, and Libra as his depression for the opposite reasons.

Saturn again, in order to have a position opposite to the sun, as also in the matter of their houses, ${ }^{2}$ took, contrariwise, Libra as his exaltation and Aries as his depression. For where heat increases there cold diminishes, and where the former diminishes cold on the contrary increases. And since the moon, coming to conjunction in the exaltation of the sun, in Aries, shows her first phase and begins to increase her light and, as it were, her height, in the first sign of her own triangle, Taurus, this was called her exaltation, and the diametrically opposite sign, Scorpio, her depression.

Then Jupiter, which produces the fecund north winds, reaches farthest north in Cancer and brings
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 тòv $\delta$ ѐ Aíүóкєр $\omega \nu \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \mu \alpha$.



 $\tau а \pi \epsilon і \nu \omega \mu a$ סє̀ тòv Kapкivov.



 $\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon i v \omega \mu a$ Є่v $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Пар $\theta_{\epsilon} \nu \omega$.
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$$
\langle\bar{\kappa} .\rangle \quad \Pi \in \rho i \quad \delta \rho i \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \in \varsigma^{4}
$$




 Aìvтт兀акòs ó т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ко九 $\nu \hat{\omega} s \phi \in \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ó $\rho i \omega \nu$ ov̉ $\pi \alpha ́ v v$
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his own power to fullness; they therefore made this sign his exaltation and Capricorn his depression.

Mars, which by nature is fiery and becomes all the more so in Capricorn because in it he is farthest south, naturally received Capricorn as his exaltation, in contrast to Jupiter, and Cancer as his depression.

Venus, however, as she is moist by nature and increases her own proper power all the more in Pisces, where the beginning of the moist spring is indicated, has her exaltation in Pisces and her depression in Virgo.

Mercury, on the contrary, since he is drier, by contrast naturally is exalted, as it were, in Virgo, in which the dry autumn is signified, and is depressed in Pisces.

## 20. Of the Disposition of Terms.

With regard to the terms two systems are most in circulation; the first is the Egyptian, ${ }^{1}$ which is chiefly based on the government of the houses, and the second the Chaldaean, resting upon the government of the triplicitics. Now the Egyptian system of the commonly accepted terms does not at all preserve the consistency either of order or of individual quantity. For in the first place, in the
${ }^{1}$ Probably the system of the mythical Nechepso and Petosiris; it is the system of Dorotheus of Sidon, Firmicus Maternus, and Paulus Alexandrinus. C'f. Bouché-Leclercq, PP. 206-210, who discusses Ptoleny's criticism of the Egyptian termini.
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matter of order, they have sometimes assigned the first place to the lords of the houses and again to those of the triplicities, and sometimes also to the lords of the exaltations. For example, if it is true that they have followed the houses, why have they assigned precedence to Saturn, say, in Libra, ${ }^{1}$ and not to Venus, and why to Jupiter in Aries and not to Mars? And if they follow the triplicities, why have they given Mercury, and not Venus, ${ }^{2}$ first place in Capricorn? Or if it be exaltations, why give Mars, and not Jupiter, precedence in Cancer ${ }^{3}$; and if they have regard for the plancts that have the greatest number of these qualifications, why have they given first place in Aquarius to Mercury, who has only his triplicity there, and not to Saturn, for it is both the house and the triplicity of Saturn? Or why have they given Mercury first place in Capricorn at all, since he has no relation of government to the sign? One would find the same kind of thing in the rest of the system.

Secondly, the number of the terms manifestly has no consistency; for the number derived for each planet from the addition of its terms in all the signs, in accordance with which they say the planets assign years of life, ${ }^{4}$ furnishes no suitable or acceptable argument. But even if we rely upon the
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 $\mu a \tau \epsilon i a, ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ́ \mu \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha} s ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho о \chi \dot{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ àva-




 $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau о \hat{v}$ Мє́ovtos каi то̂ $\Sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i o v$




 $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ тoîs $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ íवтoıs $\phi \in \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta ~ \pi о \sigma o ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu$
 $45 \sigma \theta a \iota$ каí тои каi $\mu$ оріоья $\mu о р i ́ \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau о, ~$


${ }^{1} \psi \epsilon \hat{v} \delta o s$ VMADEProc., $\psi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon \epsilon_{s}$ PLNCam.
${ }^{2}$ ŋ̆кодоиөи́кагь NCam.
${ }^{3}$ ката̀ PL, каі $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ VMIDE, каíто九 NACam.

## TETRABIBLOS I. 20

number derived from this summation, in accordance with the downright claim of the Egyptians, the sum would be found the same. even though the amounts, sign by sign, be frequently changed in various ways. And as for the specious and sophistic assertion ${ }^{1}$ about them that some attempt to make, namely that the times assigned to each single planet by the schedule of ascensions in all the climes add up to this same sum. it is false. For, in the first place, they follow the common method. based upon evenly progressing increases in the ascensions, which is not even close to the truth. By this scheme they would have each of the signs Virgo and Libra, on the parallel which passes through lower Egypt. ascend in $38 \frac{1}{3}$ times. ${ }^{2}$ and Leo and Scorpio each in 35, although it is shown by the tables ${ }^{3}$ that these latter ascend in more than 35 times and Virgo and Libra in less. Furthermore, those who have endeavoured to establish this theory even so do not seem to follow the usually accepted number of terms, and are compelled to make many false statements, and they have even made use of fractional parts of fractions in the effort to save their hypothesis, which, as we said, is itself not a true one.
${ }^{1}$ This perhaps means that the sum of the times of ascension of the two signs assigned as houses to each planct gave, according to the theory of those unnamed astrologers, the number of years of life which they assimed to those born under them; of. Bouche-Ledereq, p. 209.
${ }^{2}$ A "time" is the periorl raken by one degree of the equator to rise above the horizon.
${ }^{3}$ In Almagest, ii. 8.
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However，the terms most generally accepted on the authority of ancient tradition are given in the following fashion ：－

Terms according to the Egyptians．${ }^{1}$

| Aries | 46 | $\bigcirc 6$ | $\checkmark 8$ | 65 | \％ 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taurus | \＆ 8 | $\Varangle 6$ | 28 | ¢5 | $\bigcirc 3$ |
| Gemini | ¢6 | 26 | 85 | 87 | ち6 |
| Cancer | 87 | ？ 6 | ¢6 | $\geq 7$ | 3.4 |
| Leo | 46 | \％\％ | ¢ 7 | \％ 6 | 86 |
| Virgo | ¢ 7 | \％ 10 | 24 | 87 | ＋2 |
| Libra | ${ }_{2} 6$ | 学8 | 27 | 97 | ઠ2 |
| Scorpio | $\bigcirc 7$ | ¢ 4 | $\succcurlyeq 8$ | 4.5 | 126 |
| Sagittarius | 2f 12 | 95 | \％ 4 | ¢5 | 54 |
| Capricornus | ¢ 7 | 47 | ？ 8 | 12.4 | 64 |
| Aquarius | $\Varangle 7$ | \％ 6 | If 7 | 65 | 々 5 |
| Pisces | \＆ 12 | 44 | ¢ 3 | ¢ 9 | 12 |

${ }^{1}$ The Greek tables on p． 96 show also，within each sign． the cumulative totals up to 36 ：these have been omitterd in the translation．C＇f．p．107．n．1，and for the symbols $p . x x v$ ．
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## $\langle\overline{\kappa \alpha}\rangle \quad K. a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ Xadઈaíovs


















 ті̀v áкодоиөíav A.
${ }^{2}$ т $\rho$ ós $\tau \epsilon$ VMADE, $\tau \epsilon$ om. PLNCam.
${ }^{3}$ inv ảкodovtiau VMDE.
${ }^{4}$ fuad V MADE (post $\delta v^{\prime} \mathfrak{r a \sigma \theta a}$ ME): om. PLNCam.
${ }^{\delta}$ of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Delta \iota \delta u ́ \mu \omega \nu$ VPLDProc., oi $\tau$. $\Delta$. ME, of rove тpítou Cam.
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## 21. According to the Chaldapans.

The Chaldaean method ${ }^{1}$ involses a sequence, simple. to be sure, and more plausible. though not so self-sufficient with respect to the government of the triangles and the disposition of quantity, so that, nevertheless. one could easily understand them even without a diagram. ${ }^{2}$ For in the first triplicity, Aries, Leo, and Sagittarius, which has with them the same division by signs as with the Egyptians, the lord of the triplicity, Jupiter, ${ }^{3}$ is the first to receive terms, then the lord of the next triangle, Venus. next the lord of the triangle of Gemini, Saturn, and Mercury, and finally the lord of the remaining triplicity, Mars. In the second triplicity, Taurus, Virgo, and Capricorn. which agan has the same division by signs, Venus is first. then Saturn, and again Mereury, after these Mars, and finally
 interprets this sentence to mean that heranse of the lack oi self-sufficiency mentioned one cammot ra lily mulerstand the Chalrlaean system withont a diarram. Auainsi this vew two considerations are to be urered: (1) the Chalraean system actually is simplicity itselt fompated with those of the Edyptians and of Ptoleng: (2) the athorsative $\mu$ évot (" nevertheless," "in spite of all thw") and the mitrusive kai have no meaning in Prorlas interfretation of the passage. The $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \in$ clause is really dependent unon all that prereles, not merely a portion of it. Tie abonymoms rommentator (f). 4l, ed. W0oli) azrees with lise prestent interpretation. What Ptolemy misses in the Chaldaean system is the elaborate ancompanmment of justifying reasons, dear 10 his heart even in at pumdo-serenme.
${ }^{3}$ The sum is the diurnal ruler of than triplirity (seere. 18), but no terms are assigned to the lmm maries. simalarly the moon is disregarded in the seroml and fouth triangles.
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 Kрóvov $\lambda а \mu \beta \alpha ́ v є \iota, ~ v 七 к \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ o ́ ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ ' E \rho \mu о \hat{v}$. каi $\dot{\eta}$
 iva $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ vं $\pi o ́ \beta a \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon i \omega \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \varsigma$

 тávтотє $\delta \iota \delta o ́ \alpha \sigma \iota ~ \mu о i ́ \rho a s ~ \eta^{\prime}, \tau \hat{\varrho} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \zeta^{\prime}, \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \rho i \tau(\varphi) \varsigma^{\prime}, \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega \epsilon^{\prime}$, $\left.\tau \hat{\varphi}\right) \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha i(\varphi)$








 тious $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \in \hat{v} \sigma \iota \quad$ ஸ́s $\chi \rho \eta \sigma i \mu \eta \nu \quad$ àvapaфर̂s


 тои́т $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon \in \omega \nu \quad \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma v ́ v \tau \alpha \xi \iota \nu$
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Jupiter. This arrangement in general is observed also in the remaining two triplicities. ${ }^{1}$ Of the two lords of the same triplicity, however, Saturn and Mercury, by day ${ }^{2}$ Saturn takes the first place in the order of ownership, by night Mereury. The number assigned to each is also a simple matter. For in order that the number of terms of each planet may be less by one degree than the preceding, to correspond with the descending order in which first place is assigned, they always assign $8^{\circ}$ to the first, $7^{\circ}$ to the second, $6^{\circ}$ to the third, $5^{\circ}$ to the fourth, and $4^{\circ}$ to the last; thus the $30^{\circ}$ of a sign is made up. The sum of the number of degrees thus assigned to Saturn is 78 by day and 66 by night, to Jupiter 72, to Mars 69, to Venus 75, to Mercury 66 by day and 78 by night ; the total is 360 degrees.

Now of these terms those which are constituted by the Egyptian method are, as we said, more worthy of credence, both because in the form in which thes have been collected by the Egyptian writers they have for their utility been deemed worthy of record. and because for the most part the degrees of these terms are consistent with the nativities which have been recorded by them as examples. As these very writers, however, nowhere explain their arrangement or their number, their failure to agree in an account

[^146]
## PTOLEMY





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu^{3} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ ноьроүрафías каi тòv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảpı $\theta \mu \dot{o} \nu \quad \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \dot{\phi} \omega \nu \circ \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \rho i ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta}$. тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ катд̀ $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi \iota \nu \tau о \hat{v}$
 $\xi \epsilon \omega s, a ̉ \delta \iota a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o \nu{ }^{4} \delta \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \delta \iota a ̀$ тò $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a ́ \rho \theta a \iota,{ }^{5}$ каi $\mu o ́ \lambda \iota s$






 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \dot{v} \psi c ́ \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \rho i ́ \gamma \omega \nu \alpha$ каi оi






 Cam.

 L) ; om. A ; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \pi \rho о \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a s \mu \circ \iota \rho$. VD. Ll. 6-14om. Proc.
${ }^{4}$ á $\delta \iota a ́ \gamma v \omega a \tau o v ~ M A E, a ́ \delta \iota a ́ a \omega a \tau o v a l i i ~ C a m . ~$

## TETRABIBLOS I. 21

of the system might well become an object of suspicion and a subject for criticism. Recently, however, we have come upon an ancient manuscript. much damaged, which contains a natural and consistent explanation of their order and number, and at the same time the degrees reported in the aforesaid nativities and the numbers given in the summations were found to agree with the tabulation of the ancients. The book was very lengthy in expression and excessive in demonstration, and its damaged state made it hard to read, so that I could barely gain an idea of its general purport; that too, in spite of the help offered by the tabulations of the terms, better preserved because they were placed at the end of the book. ${ }^{1}$ At any rate the general scheme of assignment of the terms is as follows. For their arrangement within each sign, the exaltations, triplicities, and houses are taken into consideration. For, generally speaking, the star that has two rulerships of this sort in the same sign is placed first, even though it may be maleficent. But wherever this condition does not exist. the maleficent planets are always put last, and the lords of the exaltation first, the lords of the triplicity next, and then those of the
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 $\epsilon$ єт $\pi \epsilon i$ ov̉ $\delta i ́ \delta o \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \phi \omega \sigma i ~ o ̈ ~ \rho \iota a, ~ a ̉ \pi o \nu \epsilon ́ \mu о \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$ какотоююîs $\delta \iota \alpha$ тò $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \xi_{\epsilon \iota} \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a l$, ó




 $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu \circ \rho i o v, \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ả $\gamma \alpha$ Ooтoıoîs, тоvтє́б $\tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega}$

 тov̂ K
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house, following the order of the signs. ${ }^{1}$ And again in order, those that have two lordships each are preferred to the one which has but one in the same sign. Since terms are not allotted to the luminaries. however, Cancer and Leo, the houses of the sun and moon, are assigned to the maleficent planets because they were deprived of their share in the order, Cancer to Mars and Leo to Saturn; ${ }^{2}$ in these the order appropriate to them is preserved. As for the number of the terms, when no star is found with two prerogatives, either in the sign itself or in those which follow it within the quadrant, there are assigned to each of the beneficent planets, that is, to Jupiter and Venus, $7^{\circ}$; to the maleficent, Saturn and Mars, $5^{\circ}$ each ; and to Mercury, which is common, $6^{\circ}$; so that the total is $30^{\circ}$. But since some always have two pre-rogatives-for Venus alone becomes the ruler of the triplicity of Taurus, since the moon does not participate in the terms-there is given to each one of those in such condition, whether it be in the same sign or in the following signs within the quadrant, one extra degree; these were marked with dots. ${ }^{3}$ But the degrees added for double prerogatives are taken away from the others, which have but one, and, generally speaking, from Saturn and Jupiter
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 тои́т $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ óрí $\omega \nu$ є’к $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o \iota \alpha u ́ \tau \eta . ~$

${ }^{1}$ Tabulas quae in cod. Vat. gr. 1453 (Procli Paraphrasin continentis) inventae sunt sequor. Hae cum illis quae ab Camerario impressae sunt eongruunt solis ll. 4-5 sub Aiүóкєр $\omega$ exeeptis ubi ordo Camerarii est: $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$, Ђ $5^{\prime}$. Proclus autem non nullas notitias duplices habet, viz.: 1. 4 sub Taúpou, ๖ $\beta^{\prime}$ aut $\delta^{\prime}$; 1. 2 sub Kapkivou छ aut 2 , 106

## TETRABIBLOS I． 21

because of their slower motion．The tabulation ${ }^{1}$ of these terms is as follows：－

Terms according to Ptolemy．

| Aries | 46 | \＆ 8 | $\bigcirc 7$ | $\checkmark 5$ | h 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taurus | \％ 8 | $\square 7$ | 47 | ち2 | 36 |
| Gemini | $\bigcirc 7$ | 26 | 77 | ¢ 6 | b 4 |
| Cancer | d 6 | 47 | \％ 7 | ¢ 7 | ¢ 3 |
| Leo | 46 | $\bigcirc 7$ | ¢ 6 | \＆ 6 | $\checkmark 5$ |
| Virgo | \％ 7 | ¢ 6 | 45 | ¢ 6 | $\checkmark 6$ |
| Libra | 々 6 | 85 | ¢ 5 | 248 | $\checkmark 6$ |
| Scorpio | 86 | ¢ 7 | 48 | ¢ 6 | ！ 3 |
| Sagittarius | 48 | 96 | \％ 5 | ל 6 | उ5 |
| Capricornus | $\bigcirc 6$ | ¢6 | 47 | ¢ 6 | $\checkmark 5$ |
| Aquarius | ¢ 6 | $\bigcirc 6$ | \＆ 8 | 45 | $\checkmark 5$ |
| Pisces | ¢ 8 | 216 | ¢ 6 | 35 | 15 |

＇The Greek tables contain，under each sign，（1）the name of the planet，（2）the number of its terms in this sign，and （3）the cumulative totals of terms，up to the $30^{\circ}$ of the sign． The third detail has been omitted in the English tables． The anonymous commentator（pp．44－47，ed．Wolf）demon－ strates in detail how the assignment of terms is made．

 \＆aut $4, \zeta^{\prime}$ aut $\eta^{\prime}, 1.34$ aut $\circ, \eta^{\prime}$ aut $\zeta^{\prime} ; 1.4$ sub Aiүо́кєр $\omega$ 々 aut б，1． 5 б aut 々；1． 4 sub＇$I \chi \theta$ v́cuv б $\epsilon^{\prime}$ aut $\varsigma^{\prime}, 1.5$ ，々 $\epsilon^{\prime}$ aut $\delta^{\prime}$ ．
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## 

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ т $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha^{2} \tau \eta$ э оікобєбтотías, то́тоиs каi $\mu о i ́ p a s ~ o ̀ v o \mu a ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s, ~ к а і ~ т о ́ \pi о \nu ~ \mu є ̀ \nu ~ v i \pi о т \iota \theta є ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~$ то̀ той $\delta \omega \delta є к и \tau \eta \mu о р і ́ о и ~ \delta \omega \delta є к а т \eta \mu о ́ \rho \iota о \nu, ~ \tau о v \tau є ́ \sigma т \iota ~$ $50 \mu$ оірая $\beta^{\prime} \eta_{\eta}^{\mu \iota \sigma v,}{ }^{3}$ каі $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ а \nu ๋ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ т \grave{\eta \nu}$ кvрíav


 $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha ́ \xi \in \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu X \alpha \lambda \delta \alpha \ddot{\kappa} \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\rho i ́ \omega \nu . \quad \tau \alpha \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ỗv
 $\lambda о ́ \gamma о \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \eta ́ \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$. є’кєйо $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ a ̆ \xi \iota o \nu$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau\urcorner \not \mu о \rho i ́ \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{a} s$ dं $\pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad i \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau а ~ \delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota ~ \tau \grave{a} s$ фv́бєıs каi тàs $\delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota s ~ к а i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$



[^151][^152]
## TETRABIBLOS I. 22

## 22. Of Places and Degrees. ${ }^{1}$

Some have made even finer divisions of rulership. than these, using the terms " places" and " degrees. Defining "place" as the twelfth part of a sign, or $2 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$, they ${ }^{2}$ assign the domination over them to the signs in order. Others follow other illogical orders; and again they assign each "degree" from the beginning to each of the plancts of each sign in accordance with the Chaldaean order of terms. These matters. as they have only plausible and not natural, but, rather, unfounded. arguments in their favour, we shall omit. The following, however, upon which it is worth while to dwell, we shall not pass by, namely, that it is reasonable to reckon the beginnings of the signs also from the equinoxes and solstices, ${ }^{3}$ partly because the writers make this quite clear, and particularly beeause from our previons demonstrations we observe that their natures, powers, and familiarities take their cause from the solstitial
these, of Saturn, $57^{\circ}$; of Jupiter, $79^{\circ}$; of Mars, $66^{\circ}$; of Venus, $82^{\circ}$; of Mereury, $76^{\circ}$; the total is 360 ."
${ }^{2}$ One MS. and the printed editions insert here, "begin with the sigm in which the star is and"; of. the critical note.
${ }^{3}$ That is, Ptolemy's zodiae, made up of 12 divisions of $30^{\circ}$ each, measured on the ecliptic from one of the solstices or equinoxes, is entirely different from the zodiae made up of signs determined by the artual constellations. Berause of the precession of the equinoxes the two by no means coincide; and beeause the powers of the signs are derived from their relations to the solstitial and equinoctial points, says l'tolemy, the former definition of the zodiac is preferable. ('f.ec. 10-11, and the distinction between solstitial, equinortial. solicl, and bicorporeal signs, as an example of what he means.
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 $\alpha_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi о \tau \ell \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\eta} \quad \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota$ $\sigma v \gamma \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ av̀т $\hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i s ~ \tau \dot{\alpha} s \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon-$ $\sigma \epsilon \iota s$ àvaүкабӨ $\quad \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \eta \quad \sigma v \gamma \chi \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \delta \iota \alpha \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$,

 $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
 $\kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \circ \iota \circ v v^{\tau} \omega \nu$
 $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \mu о \rho i ́ \omega \nu \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \dot{o} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu \tau о \sigma \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \iota$. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma \nu \tau \alpha \iota$




 $\alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta ้ \lambda \iota o v ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ є́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota o s ~ \ddot{\omega} \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$




> ' ${ }^{\text {€ }}$ Хоитаs NCam.
> ${ }^{2} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \iota \omega \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VPLD ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho \iota \omega \theta \epsilon \in v \tau \omega \nu$ MNAECam. ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ - Cam.).
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and equinoctial starting－places．and from no other source．For if other starting－places are assumed．we shall either be fompelled no longer to use the natures of the signs for prognostications or，if we use them．to be in error since the spaces of the zodiac which implant their powers in the planets would then pass over to others ${ }^{1}$ and become alienated．

## 23．Of Faces，Chariots．and the Like．

Such．then，are the natural affinities of the stars and the signs of the zotiac．The planets are said to be in their＂proper face＂${ }^{2}$ when an individual planet keeps to the sun or moon the same aspect which its house has to their honses ：as．for example， when Venus is in sextile to the luminaries，provided that she is occidental to the sum and oricntal to the moon，in accordance with the original arrangement of their houses．${ }^{3}$＂They are said to be in their own ＂chariots＂and＂thrones＂${ }^{4}$ and the like when they
${ }^{3}$ Venus＇solar house，Libra，is sextile dexter（i．e．toward the west）to Leo，the sun＇s house，and her limar house， Taurus，is sextile sinister（i．e．toward the east）to the moon＇s house，Cancer．

4 Ptolemy pays little attention to the thrones and chariots，which were apparently，as Rourhé－Leclereq （p． $2 \not+4$ ）asserts，not to his taste as a seientifie astrologer． In the Michigan astrological roll（P．Mich．149，col．3A， $22-34$ ）the＂thrones＂are identified with the（astrologieal） exaltations and the depressions of the planets are called their＂prisons＂（фидакаi）；upon the thrones the planets have＂royal power，＂in their prisons they＂are abased and oppose their own powers．＂Sarapion（C＇CAG＇viii． $4, \mathrm{p} .228,25$, and $\mathrm{p}, 231,13$ ）and balbillus（ivid．p． 237, 8）use the word ionopoveiv．
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 каі $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \alpha к \tau \iota к o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota є \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha-$


 av่т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ aipє́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，є’к $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \hat{v} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu$ оӥт $\omega$ үルо $\mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$


 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \pi о \lambda \dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda v ́ є \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~ о i к є i ́ a s$

 そ $\omega \delta i ́ \omega \nu$ кра́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ ．

52 ＜к $\bar{\delta}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \sigma v v a \phi \in \iota \hat{\omega} v \kappa \alpha i \alpha \quad \pi о \rho \rho о \iota \hat{\omega} v$ $\kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega v \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \in \omega \nu$




${ }^{1} \tau о ́ \tau \epsilon \gamma$ à $\rho$ MNAECam．：$\gamma$ à $\rho$ om．VPLD．
 VPLD．
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happen to have familiarity in two or more of the aforesaid ways with the places in which they are found; for then their power is most increased in effeetiveness by the similarity and co-operation of the kindred property of the signs which contain them. They say they "rejoice" ${ }^{1}$ when, even though the containing signs have no familiarity with the stars themselves, nevertheless they have it with the stars of the same sect ; in this case the sympathy arises less directly. They share, however, in the similarity in the same way; just as, on the contrary, when they are found in alien regions belonging to the opposite sect. a great part of their proper power is paralysed. because the temperament which arises from the dissimilarity of the signs produces a different and adulterated nature.

## 24. Of Applications and Separations and the Other Pouers.

In general those which precede ${ }^{2}$ are said to "apply" ${ }^{3}$ to those which follow, and those that follow to " be separated" from those that precede, when the interval between them is not great. ${ }^{1}$ Such
ánóppoaa, defluxio, on the contrary, refers to the movement apart of two bodies after " application." ¿̇то́ppota is also used by astrologers to designate the "emanations" of the heavenly bodies which affect the earth and its inhabitants, as for example in Vettius Valens, p. 160, 6-7 ; 249, 3 ; $270,24 \mathrm{ff} . ; 330,19 \mathrm{ff}$.

4 Ashmand says this is generally understuod to mean, when the heavenly bodies are within each other's orbs (Saturn $10^{\circ}$, Jupiter 12, Mars $730^{\prime}$, sun 17 , Venus 8 , Mereury $7^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$, moon $123^{2} 3$ '). The anonymous commentator mentions 15 as the maximam distance ( 1 , 51 , ed. Wolf).
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 ovvaфàs каi àторроías каi т̀̀ $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ \pi а \rho а т \eta \rho \epsilon i v ~$

 $\theta a \iota$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} s \delta_{\alpha} \alpha_{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau o ́ v$











 NCam.
${ }^{1}$ That is, when the planets themselves come to the same meridian, as opposed to the conjunction of one planet with the ray projected by another from the sextile, quartile, or trine aspect.
${ }^{2}$ The ectiptic bisects the zodiac longitudinally. Planets, to "apply" in the " bodily" sense, must both be to the north, or the south, of it : that is, in the same latitude. $C f$. the anonymous commentator (pp. 50.51 , ed. Wolf).
${ }^{3}$ See the note on iii. 10 concerning the projection of rays (áктıoвodia). To judge from the remarks of the anonymous

## TETRABIBLOS I. $2 \downarrow$

a relation is taken to exist whether it happens by bodily conjunction ${ }^{1}$ or through one of the traditional aspects, except that with respect to the bodily applications and separations of the heavenly bodies it is of use also to observe their latitudes, in order that only those passages may be accepted which are found to be on the same side of the ecliptic. ${ }^{2}$ In the case of applications and separations by aspect, however, such a practice is superfluous, because all rays always fall and similarly converge from every direction upon the same point, that is, the centre of the earth. ${ }^{3}$

From all this, then, it is easy to see that the quality of each of the stars must be examined with reference both to its own natural character and that also of the signs that include it, or likewise from the character of its aspects to the sun and the angles, in the manner which we have explained. Their power must be determined, in the first place, from the fact that they are either oriental and adding to their proper motion ${ }^{4}$
commentator, the thought is that, while the rays of planets closely approaching each other but in different latitudes would miss each other, the rays of those in aspeet in any case mingle at their common meeting-place, the centre of the earth.
${ }^{4}$ The theory of epicycles assigns to each planet at least one epicycle, on which it moves from west to east, whilo the centre of the epicycle likewise moves from west to east on the orbit, or deferent. Thus when the planet is in the onter semicircle of its epicycle (away from the earth) both motions will be in the same direction and the planet will be "adding to its motion"; consersely on the imer semicircle (toward the earth) the motion on the epicyele is in the opposite direction to that on the deferent and the apparent speed of the planet is diminished.
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 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \sigma о v \rho \alpha \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota ~ \delta v v a \mu \iota к о i ́ \cdot ~ \delta \epsilon v^{\prime}-$


 $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu a \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \iota \tau o ́ \pi \omega \cdot \mu \dot{\eta}$ ov̋ $\omega \omega \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ є’ $\chi о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ảdúvapoı $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} s \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu$.

## BIB 1 ION $B^{\prime}$

$$
\langle\bar{a} .\rangle \text { Прооінєор }
$$

$T \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa v \rho \iota \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \iota \nu \alpha \kappa \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} s \pi \rho о \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota-$





 $\dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$.

Eis $\delta$ v́o $\tau о i v v \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ каi кvр七ผ́тата $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ ठıаı $\rho о v \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \delta i ’ ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau \rho о \nu о \mu i ́ a s ~ \pi \rho о \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \iota к о \hat{v}$,
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## TETRABIBLOS I. 24-II. 1

-for then they are most powerful-or oceidental and diminishing in speed, for then their energy is weaker. Second, it is to be determined from their position relative to the horizon; for they are most powerful when they are in mid-heaven or approaching it, and second when they are exactly on the horizon or in the succedent place; ${ }^{1}$ their power is greater when they are in the orient, and less when they culminate beneath the earth or are in some other aspect to the orient; if they bear no aspect ${ }^{2}$ at all to the orient they are entirely powerless.

## BOOK II.

## 1. Introduction.

Let it be considered that thus far we have furnished in brief the most important details of the tabular exposition needful for the inquiry into partieular prognostications. Let us now add in proper sequence the procedures for dealing in detail with those matters which lie within the limits of possibility of this kind of prognostication, holding everywhere to the natural method of exposition.

Since, then, prognostication by astronomical means is divided into two great and prineipal parts, and since the first and more universal is that which
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 $\kappa а \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda \iota \alpha \lambda о \gamma \iota \kappa o ́ v, \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ ท̀ $\gamma о$ и́ $\mu \epsilon \theta a \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$






 $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ò $\lambda о \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi \in ́ ⿱ ⺌ 兀 \iota$ ．
 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha s ~ o ̈ \lambda \alpha s ~ \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ v є \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$






 єن̉форías ${ }^{4} \tau \epsilon$ каi àфорías каì $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тољаvิта．$\pi \rho о$－ $\eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каi тои́т $\omega \nu$ єiко́т $\omega s$ є́катє́pov тó $\tau \epsilon{ }^{5}$


 каi on．libri alii．
${ }^{2} \ddot{\eta} \lambda_{\iota} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tilde{\eta}$ доє $\mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ VMD ；каі $\lambda о \iota \mu$ ．каі $\lambda \iota \mu$ ．Proc．；$\ddot{\eta} \lambda о \iota \mu$.
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## TETRABIBLOS II. l

relates to whole races, countries, and cities, which is called general, and the second and more specific is that which relates to individual men, which is called genethlialogical. we believe it fitting to treat first of the general division, because such matters are naturally swayed by greater and more powerful causes than are particular events. And since weaker natures always yield to the stronger, and the particular always falls under the general, ${ }^{1}$ it would by all means be necessary for those who purpose an inquiry about a single individual long before to have comprehended the more general considerations.

Of the general inquiry itself, a part, again, is found to concern whole countries, and a part to concern cities; ${ }^{2}$ and further, a part deals with the greater and more periodic conditions, such as wars, famines, pestilences, earthpuakes, deluges, and the like; and another with the lesser and more occasional, as for example the changes in temperature ${ }^{3}$ in the seasons of the year, and the variations of the intensity of storms, heat, and winds, or of good and bad crops, and so on. But in each of these cases, as is reasonable, procedure by entire countries and by more important conditions is preferred, for the same reason as before. And since in the examination
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$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тŋ̀̀ тои́т $\omega \nu$ є่ $\pi i ́ \sigma \kappa є \psi \iota \nu ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau а ~ \pi а \rho a-~$ $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ঠv́o $\tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu, \tau \widehat{\eta}_{S} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta-$
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 $\sigma \epsilon \omega$.
> $\langle\bar{\beta}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ő $\lambda \alpha \kappa \lambda i \mu \alpha \tau \alpha^{2}$ $i \delta \iota \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$
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of these questions these two things particularly are taken into consideration, the familiarity of the signs of the zodiac and also of the stars with the several climes, ${ }^{1}$ and the significances of heavenly bodies in their own proper regions ${ }^{2}$ at a given time, manifested through the ecliptical conjunctions of the sun and moon and the transits ${ }^{3}$ of the planets at rising and at their stationary periods, we shall first explain the natural reason for the aforesaid sympathies, and at the same time briefly survey the bodily and ethieal peculiarities generally observed to belong to whole nations, which are not alien to the natural character of the stars and sigus that are familiar to them.

## 2. Of the Characteristics of the Inhabitants of the General Climes.

The demareation of national characteristics ${ }^{4}$ is established in part by entire parallels and angles, ${ }^{5}$ through their position relative to the ecliptic and the sun. For while the region which we inhabit is in one of the northern quarters, the people who live under the more southern parallels, that is, those
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$\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \rho \iota \nu о \hat{v}$ т $\rho о \pi \iota к о \hat{v}, к а \tau \grave{\alpha}$ корифウ̀ $\nu \lambda а \mu-$


 $\tau \epsilon \tau \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota ~ к а i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \theta \epsilon р \mu о i ~ к а i ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \eta ้ ~ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~$

 Aitionas. кai oủ $\mu$ óvov av̉тoùs ó $\rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ oữ $\omega s$









 каi тєтаvoi $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \tau \rho i ́ \chi a s ~ \tau \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \omega ́ \mu а \tau а ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda о \iota ~ к а i ~$


 тои́то九s каi ó тоv̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in ́ \chi o \nu \tau о s ~ a u ̉ t o v ̀ s ~ d e ́ \rho о s ~ \chi є ц \mu \grave{\omega \nu}$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta \eta$ каi $\tau \grave{o} \delta \nu \sigma \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



${ }^{1} \kappa о \omega \omega \bar{s}$ VMADEProc., om. alii Cam.
${ }^{2} \delta \iota a \pi v ́ \rho \omega \sigma \iota \operatorname{VDP}(-\pi \iota \rho-) \mathrm{L}(-\pi \iota o v-)$, тò $\delta \iota a ́ \pi v \rho o \nu \mathrm{Proc} ., \delta \iota a ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ MNAECain.

## TETRABIBLOS II. 2

from the equator to the summer tropic, since they have the sun over their heads and are burned ly it, have black skins and thick, woolly hair, are contracted in form and shrunken in stature, are sanguine of nature, and in habits are for the most part savage because their homes are continually oppressed by heat ; we call them by the general name Ethiopians. Not only do we see them in this condition, but we likewise observe that their climate and the animals and plants of their region plainly give evidence of this baking by the sun.

Those who live under the more northern parallels, those, I mean, who have the Bears over their heads, since they are far removed from the zodiae and the heat of the sun, are therefore cooled; but because they have a richer share of moisture, which is most nourishing and is not there exhausted by heat, they are white in complexion, straight-haired, tall and well-nourished, and somewhat cold by nature; these too are savage in their habits because their dwellingplaces are continually cold. The wintry character of their climate, the size of their plants, and the wildness of their animals are in accord with these qualities. We call these men, too, by a general name, Scythians.

The inhabitants of the region between the summer tropic and the Bears, however, since the sun is
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$57 \tau o \hat{v} \dot{\eta} \lambda i o v \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi o \lambda \grave{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \nu \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho-$
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[^161]124

## TETRABIBLOS II. 2

neither directly over their heads nor far distant at its noon-day transits, share in the equable temperature of the air, which varies, to be sure, but has no violent changes from heat to cold. They are therefore medium in colouring, of moderate stature, in nature equable, live close together, and are civilized in their habits. The southernmost of them ${ }^{1}$ are in general more shrewd and inventive, and better versed in the knowledge of things divine because their zenith is close to the zodiac and to the planets revolving about it. Through this affinity the men themselves are characterized by an activity of the soul which is sagacious, investigative, and fitted for pursuing the sciences specifically called mathematical. Of them, again, the eastern group are more masculine, vigorous of soul, and frank in all things, ${ }^{2}$ because one would reasonably assume that the orient partakes of the nature of the sun. ${ }^{3}$ This region therefore is diurnal, masculine, and right-handed, even as we observe that among the animals too their right-hand parts are better fitted for strength and vigour. Those to the west are more feminine, softer of soul, and secretive, because this region, again, is lunar, for it is always in the west that the
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 $\dot{\alpha}^{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau о \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$.



 $\kappa а \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s$ $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu$ оîs $\ddot{\eta} \psi v \chi \rho \circ i ̂ s ~ \ddot{\eta}$ єủкра́тоьs каi





 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s ~ к \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \mu o ́ \rho \iota \alpha$ фvбєкท̂s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀$





${ }^{1}$ каi om. NAECam.

 N('am.
${ }^{4}$ roîs VD, aủroîs PMNAECam., om. L.
${ }^{5} \kappa \lambda \iota \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ VLMADE, $\lambda \eta \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ PNCam.
${ }^{6}$ Post $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ capitis titulum habent VMADProc.
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moon emerges and makes its appearance after conjunction. For this reason it appears to be a nocturnal clime, feminine, and, in contrast with the orient, lefthanded.

And now in each of these general regions certain special conditions of character and customs ${ }^{1}$ naturally ensue. For as likewise, in the case of the climate, even within the regions that in general are reckoned as hot, cold, or temperate, certain localities and countries have special peculiarities of excess or deficiency by reason of their situation, height, lowness, or adjacency; and again, as some peoples are more inclined to horsemanship becanse theirs is a plain country, or to seamanship because they live close to the sea, or to civilization because of the richness of their soil, so also would one discover special traits in each arising from the natural familiarity of their particular climes with the stars in the signs of the zodiac. These traits, too, would be found generally present, but not in every individual. We must, then, deal with the subject summarily, in so far as it might be of use for the purpose of particular investigations.
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 ขóтıо⿱－

 тоîs $\tau \rho \iota \gamma \omega ́ \nu o \iota s$ íápı $\theta \mu \alpha$ ，катà $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau о s$ víó $\tau \epsilon$
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## 3. Of the Familiarities between Countries and the Triplicities and Stars.

Now of the four triangular formations recognized in the zodiac, as we have shown above, ${ }^{1}$ the one which consists of Aries, Leo, and Sagittarius is northwestern, and is chiefly dominated by Jupiter on account of the north wind, but Mars joins in its government because of the south-west wind. That which is made up of Taurus, Virgo, and Capricornus is south-eastern, and again is governed primarily by Venus on account of the south wind, but conjointly by Saturn because of the east wind. The one consisting of Gemini, Libra, and Aquarius is north-eastern and is governed primarily by Saturn because of the east wind, and conjointly by Jupiter beeause of the north wind. The triangle of Cancer, Scorpio, and Pisces is south-western and is governed primarily, because of the west wind, by Mars, who is joined by Venus as co-ruler on account of the south wind.

As this is so, and since our inhabited world is divided into four quarters, ${ }^{2}$ equal in number to the triangles, and is divided latitudinally by our sea from the Straits of Hercules ${ }^{3}$ to the Gulf of Issus and the mountainous ridge adjacent on the cast, ${ }^{4}$

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Cf. i. } 18 .
$$

${ }^{2}$ Cardanus, p. 181, diagrammatically figures the "inhabited world" as a trapezium, narrower at the top (north) than the bottom, and bounded by ares; this is divided into quadrants by north-south and east-west lines. The " parts closer to the centre" are then marked off by lines joining the ends of the two lattor, dividing each quadrant and producing 4 right-angled triangles at the centre.
${ }^{3}$ Straits of Gibraltar. ${ }^{4}$ Probably the 'Taurus range.
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and by these its southern and northern portions are separated, and in longitude by the Arabian Gulf, the Aegean Sea, the Pontus, ${ }^{1}$ and the Lake Maeotis, whereby the eastern and western portions are separated, there arise four quarters, and these agree in position with the triangles. The first quarter lies in the north-west of the whole inhabited world; it embraces Celtic Gaul ${ }^{2}$ and we give it the general name Europe. Opposite this is the south-eastern quarter; this includes eastern Ethiopia, ${ }^{3}$ which would be called the southern part of Greater Asia. Again. the north-eastern quarter of the whole inhabited world is that which contains Scythia, which likewise is the northern part of Greater Asia ; and the quarter opposite this and toward the south-west wind, the quarter of western Ethiopia, is that which we call by the general term Libya.

Again, of each of the aforesaid quarters the parts which are placed closer to the centre of the inhabited world are placed in a contrary fashion with respect to the surrounding quarters, just as are the latter in comparison with the whole world; and since the European quarter lies in the northwest of the whole world, the parts about the centre, which are allied to the opposite angle, obviously are situated in the south-east part of the quarter. The
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 ن̇т $\alpha, \chi \in \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ai $\rho \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$.
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 Гєр $\mu \alpha \nu i \alpha, ~ B a \sigma \tau \alpha \rho \nu i a, ~ ' I \tau a \lambda i ́ a, ~ Г a \lambda \lambda i ́ a, ~ ' A \pi o v \lambda i ́ a, ~$
${ }^{1} \mu$ ór $\boldsymbol{w}$ VPLNE, -ov MADCam.
${ }^{2} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} S \kappa \tau \lambda$. VPLMADE ; cf. Proc.; $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$. NCam.
${ }^{3}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \eta s$ VMADEProc. ; on. PLNCam.
${ }^{1}$ Cardanus (p. 182) gives four reasons why Mercury governs these central portions; that he may have some dominion in the world; because the inhabitants of the central regions are more given to the arts and sciences, of which Mercury is the patron ; because they are addicted to commerce, likewise in Mercury's field; and because Mercury's nature lies midway between those of the other four planets.
${ }^{2}$ That Jupiter and Mars must be in the occidental 132
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same holds of the other quarters, so that each of them is related to two oppositely situated triangles; for while the other parts are in harmony with the general inclination of the quarter, the portions at the centre [of the world] share in familiarity with the opposite inclination, and, again, of the stars that govern in their own triangles, in all the other domiciles they alone govern, but in the parts about the centre of the world likewise the other group, and Mercury besides, ${ }^{1}$ because he is mid-way between and common to the two sects.

Under this arrangement, the remainder of the first quarter, by which I mean the European quarter, situated in the north-west of the inhabited world, is in familiarity with the north-western triangle, Aries, Leo, and Sagittarius, and is governed, as one would expect, by the lords of the triangle, Jupiter and Mars, occidental. ${ }^{2}$ In terms of whole nations these parts consist of Britain, (Transalpine) Gaul, Germany, Bastarnia, ${ }^{3}$ Italy, (Cisalpine) Gaul, Apulia, position is an additional requirement which does not appear in the original statement of the goverument of the triangles. Cardanus, P. 182, points out that in P'tolemy's schemo Jupiter governs the whole north, Venus the south, Saturn the east, and Mars the west, but in the first quadrant Mars and Jupiter dominate non simpliciter, sed occidentales, in the second, Saturn and Vonus, not absolutely, but in oriental aspeets, and so on. This, he says, is to display the variety of the eustoms of the nations, for a planet in oriontal aspeet is so different from the same planet occidental that practically it is two planets instead of one.
${ }^{3}$ 'The south-western part of Russia and southern Poland. Boll, op. cit., p. 197, n. 2, points out that Hephaestion, who follows Ptolemy closely, and Proclus do not mention Bastamia, and that the name may not have been in Ptolemy's original text.
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${ }^{2}$ ávvтота́ктоьs ктд．VMADE，－ous PLN Cam． ${ }^{3}$ avv＇́ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon(\nu)$ VADE，$\sigma \nu \nu \in ́ \pi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ PLN，om．MCam．

[^166]${ }^{2}$ Probably western Spain（Boll，op．cit．，p．205）． 131
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Sicily, Tyrrhenia, ${ }^{1}$ Celtica, ${ }^{2}$ and Spain. As one might expect, it is the general characteristic of these nations, by reason of the predominance of the triangle and the stars which join in its government, to be independent, liberty-loving, fond of arms, industrious, very warlike, with qualities of leadership, eleanly, and magnanimous. However, because of the occidental aspect of Jupiter and Mars, and furthermore because the first parts of the aforesaid triangle are masculine and the latter parts feminine, ${ }^{3}$ they are without passion for women ${ }^{4}$ and look down upon the pleasures of love, but are better satisfied with and more desirous of association with men. And they do not regard the act as a disgrace to the paramour, nor indeed do they actually become effeminate and soft thereby, because their disposition is not perverted, but they retain in their souls manliness, helpfulness, good faith, love of kinsmen, and benevolence. Of these same countries Britain, (Transalpine) Gaul, Germany, and Bastarnia are in closer familiarity with Aries and Mars. Therefore for the most part their inhabitants are fiercer, more headstrong, and bestial. But Italy, Apulia, (Cisalpine) Gaul, and Sicily have their familiarity with Leo and the

Cadatía is used to designate Gaul proper, between the Rhine and the Pyrenees, and Paddía for northern Italy.
${ }^{3}$ All the signs of this triangle are masculine; cf. i. 17. Perhaps P'toleny merely means that when Aries is rising Sagittarius will be oceidental and therefore fominine; so Ashmand.
${ }^{4}$ This preference of the northern barbarians is charged against them by Aristotlo and following him by Posidonius, Diodorus, Strabo, A thenneus, Soxtus Empirieus and others; $c f$. the instances collected by Bouché-Leclercq, p. 340, n. .2, and the discussion in Boll, Studien, pp. 207-208.
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sun; wherefore these peoples are more masterful, benevolent, and co-operative. Tyrrhenia, Celtica, and Spain are subject to Sagittarius and Jupiter, whence their independence, simplicity, and love of eleanliness. The parts of this quarter which are situated about the centre of the inhabited world, Thrace, Macedonia, Illyria, Hellas, Achaia, ${ }^{1}$ Crete, and likewise the Cyclades, and the coastal regions of Asia Minor and Cyprus, which are in the south-east portion of the whole quarter, have in addition familiarity with the south-east triangle, Taurus, Virgo, and Capricornus, and its co-rulers Venus, Saturn, and Mercury. As a result the inhabitants of those countries are brought into conformity with these planets and both in body and soul are of a more mingled constitution. They too have qualities of leadership and are noble and independent, because of Mars; they are liberty-loving and self-governing, democratic and framers of law, through Jupiter; lovers of music and of learning, fond of contests and clean livers, through Venus; social, friendly to strangers, justice-loving, fond of letters, and very effective in eloquence, through Mercury; and they are particularly addicted to the performance of mysteries, beeause of Venus's occidental aspect. And again, part by part, those of this group who live in the

[^167][^168]
## PTOLEMY











 vóroıs.
 ขóтเov $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta s^{\prime} A \sigma i ́ a s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda a ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta ~$
 Өiav, M ${ }^{\prime} \delta i a \nu, ~ M \epsilon \rho \sigma i \delta a, ~ B a \beta v \lambda \omega \nu i \alpha \nu, ~ M \epsilon \sigma о \pi о \tau \alpha-$
 $\eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \bar{\eta} s$ ö $\lambda \eta s$ оікоинє́vךs, єiко́тшs каi av̉zà
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Cyclades and on the shores of Asia Minor and Cyprus are more closely familiar to Taurus and Venus. For this reason they are, on the whole, luxurious, clean, and attentive to their bodies. The inhabitants of Hellas, Achaia, and Crete, however, have a familiarity with Virgo and Mercury, and are therefore better at reasoning, and fond of learning, and they exercise the soul in preference to the body. The Macedonians, Thracians, and Illyrians have familiarity with Capricorn and Saturn, so that, though they are acquisitive, they are not so mild of nature, nor social in their institutions.

Of the second quarter, which embraces the southern part of Greater Asia, the other parts, including India, Ariana, Gedrosia, ${ }^{1}$ Parthia, Media, Persia, Babylonia, Mesopotamia, and Assyria, which are situated in the south-east of the whole inhabited world, are, as we might presume, familiar to the south-eastern triangle, Taurus, Virgo, and Capricorn, and are governed by Venus and Saturn in oriental aspects. Therefore one would find that the natures of their inhabitants conform with the temperaments governed by such rulers; for they revere the star of Venus under the name of Isis, ${ }^{2}$ and that of Saturn as Mithras Helios. Most of them, too, divine future events ; and among
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them there exists the practice of consecrating the genital organs because of the aspeet of the aforesaid stars, which is by nature generative. Further, they are ardent, concupiscent, and inclined to the pleasures of love; through the influence of Venus they are dancers and leapers and fond of adornment, and through that of Saturn luxurious livers. They carry out their relations with women ${ }^{1}$ openly and not in secret, because of the planets' oriental aspect, but hold in detestation such relations with males. For these reasons most of them beget children by their own mothers, and they do obeisance to the breast, by reason of the morning rising of the planets and on account of the primaey of the heart, which is akin to the sun's power. As for the rest, they are gencrally lusurious and effeminate in dress, in adornment, and in all habits relating to the body, because of Venus. In their souls and by their predilection they are magnanimous, noble, and warlike, because of the familiarity of Saturn oriental. Part by part, again, Parthia, Media, and Persia are more closely familiar to Taurus and Venus; hence their inhabitants use embroidered elothing, which covers their entire body except the breast, and they are as a gencral thing luxurious and clean. Babylonia, Mesopotamia, and Assyria are familiar to Virgo and
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Mercury, and so the study of mathematics and the observation of the five planets are special traits of these peoples. India, Ariana, and Gedrosia have familiarity with Capricorn and Saturn; therefore the inhabitants of these countries are ugly, unclean, and bestial. The remaining parts of the quarter, situated about the centre of the inhabited world, Idumaea, Coelê Syria, Judaea, Phoenicia, Chaldaca, Orchinia, and Arabia Felix, ${ }^{1}$ which are situater toward the north-west of the whole quarter, have additional familiarity with the north-western triangle, Aries, Leo, and Sagittarius, and, furthermore, have as co-rulers Jupiter, Mars, and Mercury. Therefore these peoples are, in comparison with the others, more gifted in trade and exchange; they are more unscrupulous, despicable cowards, treacherous, servile, and in general fickle, on account of the aspect of the stars mentioned. Of these, again, the inhabitants of Coelê Syria, Idumaea, and Judaea are more closely familiar to Aries and Mars, and therefore these peoples are in general bold, godless, ${ }^{2}$ and scheming. The Phoenicians, Chaldaeans, and Orchinians have familiarity with Leo and the sun, so that
coast; Phoenicia the coastal strip north of Judaea and Samaria; Chaldaea, south-west of the Euphrates and north of the Arabian peninsula; what is meant by Orchinia is somewhat doubtful; and Arabia Felix is the south-western coastal region of the Arabian preninsula. In the (icography, v. 20, Chaldaea is treated merely as a part of Babylonia, not an entirely separate comntry, as here (cf. Boll, Studien, p. 205).
${ }^{2}$ The Jows, because of their monotheism and disregard of all pagan gods, were generally branded as atheists by their neighbours.
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they are simpler, kindly, addicted to astrology, ${ }^{1}$ and beyond all men worshippers of the sun. The inhabitants of Arabia Felix are familiar to Sagittarius and Jupiter; this accounts for the fertility of the country, in accordance with its name, and its multitudes of spices, and the grace of its inhabitants and their free spirit in daily life, in exchange, and in business.

Of the third quarter, which includes the northern part of Greater Asia, the other parts, embracing Hyrcania, Armenia, Matiana, Bactriana, Casperia, Serica, Sauromatica, Oxiana, Sogdiana, and the regions in the north-east of the inhabited world, ${ }^{2}$ are in familiarity with the north-eastern triangle, Gemini, Libra, and Aquarius, and are, as might be expected, governed by Saturn and Jupiter in oriental aspect. Therefore the inhabitants of these lands worship Jupiter and Saturn, have much riches and gold, and are cleanly and seemly in their living, learned and adepts in matters of religion, just and liberal in manners, lofty and noble in soul, haters of evil, and affectionate, and ready to die for their friends in a fair and holy cause. They are dignified and
${ }^{2}$ Of these Armenia lies south of the Caucasus between the Black Sea and the Caspian; Matiana and Hyreania are around the sonth end of the Caspian, the former to the east and the latter to the west ; Bactriana. Oxiana, and Sogdiana are still further east, around the npper courses of the Oxus: by Casperia is probably meant the region around the northern part of the Caspian Sea; Seriea is China, or its western portion, and Sauromatica (called Sarmatia by the Romans) is the general name for Russin, here used of its Asiatic part. In the Geography, vi. 12, Ptolemy treats Oxiana as but one part of Sogdiana (Boll, Studien, p. 20.5).
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pure in their sexual relations, lavish in dress, gracious and magnanimous; these things in general are brought about by Saturn and Jupiter in eastern aspects. Of these nations, again, Hyrcania, Armenia, and Matiana are more closely familiar to Gemini and Mercury; they are accordingly more easily stirred and inclined to rascality. Bactriana. Casperia, and Serica are akin to Libra and Venus, so that their peoples are rich and followers of the Muses, and more luxurious. The regions of Sauromatica, Oxiana, and Sogdiana are in familiarity with Aquarius and Saturn ; these nations therefore are more ungentle, stern, and bestial. The remaining parts of this quarter, which lie close to the centre of the inhabited world, Bithynia, Phrygia, Colchica, Syria, Commagenê, Cappadocia. Lydia, Lycia, Cilicia, and Pamplyylia, ${ }^{1}$ since they are situated in the south-west of the quarter, have in addition familiarity with the southwestern quarter, Cancer, Scorpio, and Pisees, and their co-rulers are Mars, Venus, and Mereury ; therefore those who live in these countries generally worship Venus as the mother of the gods, calling her by various local names, and Mars as Adonis, ${ }^{2}$ to whom again they give other names, and they celebrate in their honour certain mysterics accompanied
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by lamentations. They are exceedingly depraved servile, laborious, rascally, are to be found in mercenary expeditions, looting and taking captives, enslaving their own peoples, and engaging in destructive wars. And because of the junction of Mars and Venus in the Orient, since Mars is exalted in Capricorn, a sign of Venus's triangle, and Venus in Pisces, a sign of Mars's triangle, it comes about that their women display entire goodwill to their husbands; they are affectionate, home-keepers, diligent, helpful, and in every respect laborious and obedient. Of these peoples, again, those who live in Bithynia, Phrygia, and Colchica are more closely familiar to Cancer and the moon; therefore the men are in general cautious and obedient, and most of the women, through the influence of the moon's oriental and masculine aspect, are virile, ${ }^{1}$ commanding, and warlike, like the Amazons, who shun commerce with men, love arms, and from infancy make masculine all their female characteristics, by cutting off their right breasts for the sake of military needs and baring these parts in the line of battle, in order to display the absence of femininity in their natures. The people of Syria. Commagenê, and Cappadocia are

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Cf}$. the myth of Medea, the Colchian princess.

[^179]
## PTOLEMY






 ovvad入a ${ }^{\text {ás. }}$

Tôv $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ 入oıтô̂ $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu о \rho \iota o v ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ ката̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$













 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \hat{\varsigma} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha \dot{s} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ रvvaıк $\bar{\omega} \nu$ ovvovaias, $\dot{\omega}$ s


```
\({ }^{3}\) وugaviar NCam.
\({ }^{4}\) Post \(\gamma v{ }^{2}\) akós add. \(\bar{\eta}\) PLNCam., om. VMADEProc.
```

[^180]
## TETRABIBLOS II. 3

familiar to Scorpio and Mars; therefore much boldness, knavery, treachery, and laboriousness are found among them. The people of Lydia, Cilicia, and Pamphylia have familiarity with Pisces and Jupiter: these accordingly are more wealthy, commercial, social, free, and trustworthy in their compacts.

Of the remaining quarter, which includes what is called by the common name Libya, ${ }^{1}$ the other parts, including Numidia, Carthage, Africa, Phazania, Nasamonitis, Garamantica, Mauritania, Gaetulia, Metagonitis, ${ }^{2}$ and the regions situated in the south-west of the inhabited world, are related by familiarity to the south-western triangle, Cancer, Scorpio, and Pisces, and are accordingly ruled by Mars and Venus in occidental aspect. For this reason it befalls most of the inhabitants, because of the aforesaid junction of these planets, to be governed by a man and wife who are own brother and sister, ${ }^{3}$ the man ruling the men and the woman the women: and at succession of this sort is maintaincd. They are extremely ardent and disposed to commerce with women, so that even
${ }^{2}$ Along the Mediterranean coast, eastward from the Straits of Gibraltar, the regions are, first, Mauritania (of which Metagonitis is the portion east from the Straits), then Numidia, Africa (the Roman province, which includes Carthage), Tripolitana, Cyrenaica, Marmarica, and Egypt. The other nations mentioned are turther inland and sonth of these, Gaetulia in the west, Garamantica and Phazania sonth of Tripoli, and Natamonitis near Cyrenaica and Marmarica.
${ }^{3}$ Marriage between those of the sume blood was a common practice in Hellenistic Egypt, including the royal family of the Ptolemies. Cf. C'ur"nt, L'Ejypte des Astroloyues (Brussels, 1937), pp. 177-179.
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their marriages are brought about by violent abduction, and frequently their kings enjoy the jus primae noctis with the brides, and among some of them the women are common to all the men. They are fond of beautifying themselves and gird themselves with feminine adornments, through the influence of Venus; through that of Mars, however, they are virile of spirit, rascally, magicians, impostors, deceivers, and reekless. Of these people, again, the inhabitants of Numidia, Carthage, and Africa are more closely familiar to Cancer and the moon. They therefore are social, commercial, and live in great abundance. Those who inhabit Metagonitis, Mauritania, and Gaetulia are familiar to Scorpio and Mars; they are accordingly fiercer and very warlike, meat-eaters, very reckless, and contemptuous of life to such an extent as not even to spare one another. Those who live in Phazania, Nasamonitis, and Garamantica are familiar to Pisces and Jupiter; hence they are free and simple in their characters, willing to work, intelligent, cleanly, and independent, as a general rule, and they are worshippers of Jupiter as Ammon. The remaining parts of the quarter, which are situated near the eentre of the inhabited world, Cyrenaica, Marmariea, Egypt, Thebais, ${ }^{1}$ the Oasis,
${ }^{1}$ Upper Egypt. By "Egypt" he doubtless means Lower Egypt. Cyrenaica and Marmarica are to the west. Troglodytica lies along the west coast of the Red Sea and Azania about where is now French Somahland. By Aratia he may mean Arabia Petraea, the Sinai Peninsula and vicinity. Parts of Troglodytica, too, were sometimes called Arabia. The Greater and Lesser Oases lie west of the Thebais.
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 є́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho i o v^{5}$ б $\chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ \nu . ~ к а i ~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$
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Troglodytica, Arabia, Azania, and Middle Ethiopia, which face the north-east of the whole quarter, have an additional familiarity with the northeastern triangle Gemini, Libra, and Aquarius, and therefore have as co-rulers Saturn and Jupiter and, furthermore, Mercury. Accordingly those who live in these countries, because they all in common, as it were, are subject to the occidental rulership of the five planets, are worshippers of the gods, superstitious, given to religious ceremony and fond of lamentation ; they bury their dead in the earth, putting them out of sight, on account of the occidental aspect of the planets; and they practice all kinds of usages, customs, and rites in the service of all manner of gods. Under command they are humble, timid, penurious, and long-suffering, in leadership courageous and magnanimous; but they are polygamous and polyandrous and lecherous, marrying eveu their own sisters, and the men are potent in begetting, the women in conceiving, even as their land is fertile. Furthermore, many of the males are unsound and effeminate of soul, and some even hold in contempt the organs of generation, through the influence of the aspect of the maleficent planets in combination with Venus occidental. Of these peoples the inhabitants of Cyrenaica and Marmarica, and particularly of Lower Egypt, are more closely familiar to Gemini and Mercury; on this account they are thoughtful and
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 ßодоь $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v o v a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \pi a ́ v \tau а ~ к а i ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau а ~ \pi \epsilon р i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~$





 тàs $\delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ás oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ т $\grave{\nu} \nu$ 'Apaßiav каi 'Aらаviav
 Kро́vov, ${ }^{2}$ ठı̀ каi ои̂тоь крєофа́үо $\tau \epsilon$ каi iұӨvo-
 $\zeta \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.
$A \hat{i} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ои̂v $\sigma v \nu o \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{a} \sigma \tau \epsilon \prime \rho \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 єкки́бтоv тิ̂̀ $\delta \omega \delta є к а т \eta \mu о р i ́ \omega \nu ~ к а \tau а ̀ ~ \psi \iota \lambda \grave{\eta \nu ~ \pi а р а ́-~}$
 $\theta \omega s$ тоîs $\pi \rho о к а \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu$ тоиิтоข.
 тарvía $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v ~ K o i ̀ \lambda \eta ~ \Sigma u \rho i ́ a ~ П а \lambda a \iota \sigma \tau i ̀ \eta, ~$ 'İov $\mu$ aia, 'Iovסaía.

 'Arias.
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intelligent and facile in all things, especially in the search for wisdom and religion; they are magicians and performers of secret mysteries and in general skilled in mathematics. ${ }^{1}$ Those who live in Thebais, the Oasis, and Troglodytica are familiar to Libra and Venus; hence they are more ardent and lively of nature and live in plenty. The people of Arabia, Azania. and Middle Ethiopia are familiar to Aquarius and Saturn, ${ }^{2}$ for which reason they are flesh-eaters, fish-eaters, and nomads, living a rough, bestial life.

Let this be our brief exposition of the familiarities of the planets and the signs of the zodiac with the various nations, and of the general characteristics of the latter. We shall also set forth, for ready use, a list of the several nations which are in familiarity, merely noted against each of the signs, in accordance with what has just been said about them, thus :-

Aries: Britain, Gaul, Germania, Bastarnia; in the centre, Coelê Syria, Palestine, Idumaea, Judaea.

Taurus: Parthia, Media, Persia; in the centre, the Cyclades, Cyprus, the coastal region of Asia Minor.

1 "Mathematics " (literally, "the studies") here means astrology; cf. the title of Sextus Empiricus" book Mpos наөпиатєкои́s, "Against the Astrologers.
${ }^{2}$ Some MSS. and Camerarius' second edition have "Jupiter" in place of "Saturn."

[^185]
## PTOLEMY


 Aìútтov．

Kаркіроя．Novцүбía，Kархךбоvia，＇Aфрькך＇． $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ B \iota \theta v \nu i ́ a, ~ Ф \rho v \gamma i ́ a, ~ К о \lambda \chi \iota к \eta$ ．

Мє́ $\omega \nu$ ．＇Iта入ía，Гад入ía，$\Sigma_{\imath \kappa \in \lambda i ́ a, ~ ' A \pi о и \lambda i ́ a ~}^{\pi} \in р i$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\mu$＇́ $\sigma о \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ s, ~ ' A \chi a i a, ~ K \rho \eta ं \tau \eta . ~$
 тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i ̂ s, ~ " O a \sigma \iota s, ~ T \rho \omega \gamma \lambda о \delta v \tau \iota к \eta$ ŋ́．
$\Sigma к о \rho \pi i o s \cdot M \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu i ̂ \tau \iota s, M a v \rho \iota \tau a v i ́ a$, Гaı－ тоv入ía • $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ то̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v ~ \Sigma v \rho i ́ a, ~ K о \mu \mu а \gamma \eta \nu \eta ̀, ~ K a \pi-~$ табокі́а


 то́ $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v ~ \Theta \rho a ̣ ́ к \eta, ~ М а к є \delta о v i ́ a, ~ ' I \lambda \lambda \nu \rho i ́ s . ~$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho і$ то̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ ' A \rho \alpha \beta i \alpha, ~ ' A \zeta \alpha \nu i ́ a, ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta ~ A i \theta \iota o \pi i a . ~$


 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \alpha \iota, \delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota \quad \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$
 каi $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ то̂ $\zeta \omega \delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta, \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ஸ̂v $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi о v \sigma \iota v$ oi

${ }^{1} K v p \eta$ raïки́ libri, om. ('am.
${ }^{2}$ yivovtaı $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho a t$ o $\beta^{\prime}$ post haec add. VMProc.

Gemini: Hyrcania, Armenia, Matiana; in the centre, Cyrenaica, Marmarica, Lower Egypt.

Cancer: Numidia, Carthage, Africa; in the centre, Bithynia, Phrygia, Colchica.

Leo: Italy, Cisalpine Gaul, Sicily, Apulia; in the centre, Phoenicia, Chaldaea, Orchenia.

Virgo : Mesopotamia, Babylonia, Assyria ; in the centre, Hellas, Achaia, Crete.

Libra: Bactriana, Casperia, Serica; in the centre, Thebais, Oasis, Troglodytica.

Scorpio: Metagonitis, Mauritania, Gaetulia; in the centre, Syria, Commagenê, Cappadocia.

Sagittarius: Tyrrhenia, Celtica, Spain; in the centre, Arabia Felix.

Capricorn : India, Ariana, Gedrosia; in the centre, Thrace, Macedonia, Illyria.

Aquarius: Sauromatica, Oxiana, Sogdiana; in the centre, Arabia, Azania, Middle Ethiopia.

Pisces: Phazania, Nasamonitis, Garamantica; in the centre, Lydia, Cilicia, Pamphylia. ${ }^{1}$

Now that the subject at hand has been set forth, it is reasonable to attach to this section this further consideration-that each of the fixed stars has familiarity with the countrics with which the parts of the zodiac, which have the same inclinations as the fixed stars ${ }^{2}$ upon the circle drawn through its

1 "Total, 72 countries," is found in some MsS. and Proclus. There are actually 73 in the list as given here, but there is a certain amount of confusion in the MSs.
${ }^{2}$ These are the so-called mapavaté $\lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$ ata, stars which rise and set at the same time as the degrees or sections of the ecliptic, but to the north or south of thrm. See Boll-Bezold-Gundel, pp. 55, 141 ff .
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 є่кєî̀o七 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau а ~ \sigma v \mu \pi a \theta o \hat{v} \sigma \iota ~ o i ~ \tau o ́ \pi о \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \zeta \omega \delta \iota а к о \hat{v}$ $\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{2}$ '̀v $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \kappa a \tau \alpha \rho \chi a i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau i \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ cis




 $\mu \in \sigma o v \rho a ́ v \eta \mu a$.
$\langle\bar{\delta}$.$\rangle " E \phi o \delta o s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \dot{\alpha} s$ кatà $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s$
$\pi \rho o \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \in \iota S$




 $\pi \rho \dot{́} \tau \eta$ каi i $\sigma \chi v \rho о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоњо́́т $\omega v \sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$




${ }^{1}$ aư $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ NACam. $\quad{ }^{2}{ }_{\omega}^{\omega}$, PMAE, ồ VLNDCam.




 Proc.
${ }^{7} \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu o \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ VMIE, -ov D, -as NACam., $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v o \mu \epsilon \nu$ PL. 160

## TETRABIBLOS II. 3-1

poles, appear to exert sympathy; furthermorc, that, in the case of metropolitan cities, those regions of the zodiac are most sympathetic through which the sun and moon. and of the centres especially the horoscope, were passing at the first founding of the city, as in a nativity. But in cases in which the exact times of the foundations are not discovered, the regions are sympathetic in which falls the midheaven of the nativities of those who held office or were kings at the time. ${ }^{1}$

## 4. Method of Making Particular Predictions.

After this introductory examination it would be the next task to deal briclly with the procedure of the predictions, and first with those concerned with general conditions of countries or cities. The method of the induiry will be as follows: The first and most potent cause of such event: lies in the eonjunetions of the sun and moon at eelipse and the movements of the stars at the time. Of the prediction toclf, one portion is regional; ${ }^{2}$ therein we mut foresee
'The procedure, theretore is to trat a caty like a person and cast its nativity, using instiad of the time of birth the time of founding. If the la tor is not aronratily known, the astrologer shondd take the tatively oi the formeler. or other individnal promment in the enterprose, and observe where its midheavern talls.
${ }^{2}$ Itolemy divides inquirios about cities and countries into four heads: what place is aftected. the time and duration of the event, the generic clatsmiation of the event (i.e. what classes. genera, it will athert), and the quality, or nature, of the cevent itself. His termimolory is Aristotelian. The next tour chapters deal with the four phases of the inquiry.
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$\chi$ с́раıs $\ddot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ a i ~ к а \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \epsilon ’ к \lambda \epsilon i ́ \psi \epsilon \iota s ~ \ddot{\eta} ~ к а i ~$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ аі ката̀ каєроѝs $\notin \mu \mu о \nu о \iota{ }^{1} \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$. $\alpha \hat{ن} \tau \alpha \iota$ ठє́ єio九 K








$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\bar{\epsilon} .\rangle \quad \Pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \\
\chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} v \in \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \nLeftarrow \in \omega \varsigma
\end{gathered}
$$



 $\mu \dot{1} \lambda_{\iota \sigma \tau \alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \epsilon \dot{v a \iota \sigma \theta \eta \tau о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \kappa є \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a ~ \tau o ́ v ~} \tau \epsilon$


 $76 \kappa \tau i \sigma \iota \quad \dot{\omega} \rho о \sigma к о \pi i a s ~ к а і ~ \phi \omega \sigma \phi о р i a s ~ \ddot{\eta}$ є́к $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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for what countries or cities there is significance in the various eclipses or in the oceasional regular stations of the planets, that is, of Saturn, Jupiter, and Mars, whenever they halt, for then they are significant. Another division of the predietion is chronological; therein the need will be to foretell the time of the portents and their duration. A part, too, is generic; through this we ought to understand with what classes the event will be concerned. And finally there is the specific aspect, by which we shall discern the quality of the event itself.

## 5. Of the Examination of the Countries Affected.

We are to judge of the first portion of the inquiry, which is regional, in the following manner: In the eclipses of sun and moon ${ }^{1}$ as they occur, particularly those more casily observed, ${ }^{2}$ we shall examine the region of the zodiac in which they take place, and the countries in familiarity with its triangles, and in similar fashion ascertain which of the cities, either from their horoscope ${ }^{3}$ at the time of their founding and the position of the luminaries at the time, or
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$\langle\overline{5}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho \dot{i} \tau o \hat{v} \chi \rho o ́ v o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \epsilon \lambda o v-$ $\mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$




 $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a \dot{v} \tau \alpha \hat{s}$ каıрикаîs $\check{\omega} \rho \alpha \iota s ~ \dot{a} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda о v \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \omega \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$





${ }^{1}$ тácas] of. Proc. $\pi$ âoaı: taútas NCam.
${ }^{2}$ '́фаívєтo VADEProc., фaivetaı ${ }^{\prime}$ ( $\phi \in \nu_{-}$) LMNCam.


 ME.
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from the mid-heaven of the nativity ${ }^{1}$ of their then rulers, are sympathetic ${ }^{2}$ to the zodiacal sign of the eclipse. And in whatsoever countries or cities we discover a familiarity of this kind, we must suppose that some event will occur which applies, generally speaking, to all of them, particularly to those which bear a relation to the actual zodiacal sign of the eclipse and to those of them in which the eclipse, since it took place above the earth, was visible.

## 6. Of the Time of the Predicted Events.

The second and chronological heading, whereby we should learn the times of the events signified and the length of their duration, we shall consider as follows. Inasmuch as the eclipses which take place at the same time are not completed in the same number of ordinary hours ${ }^{3}$ in every locality, and since the same solar eclipses do not everywhere have the same degree of obscuration or the same time of duration, we shall first set down for the hour of the eclipse, in each of the related localities, and for the altitude of the pole, ${ }^{4}$ centres, as in a nativity;
${ }^{1}$ The mid-heaven was regarded by many, including I'tolemy, as the most important of the contres, or angles, even surpassing the horoscope itself in its significance in certain ways. Cf. Bouché-Leclercq, p. 271 (with 11. 2).
a That is, bear an aspect to.
${ }^{3}$ Civil hours, twelfth parts of tho clay-time or the nighttime. 'They vary in length according to the latitude amd the time of the year. C'f. the note on horary periods, iii. 10 (1. 292, n. 2).

4'That is, the latitude; from this the contres or angles can be determined.
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 тooov́тovs द̀vlavтov̀s $\pi \alpha \rho a \mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ viтovoท́бo $\mu \epsilon \nu$ тò
 $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha s, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\lambda о \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́-$
 тєко仑̂ тóто⿱ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ кє́v $\nu \rho \alpha$ б $\chi \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \sigma v \mu \pi \tau \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau о$ к $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \alpha^{-}$





 $\tau \rho \iota \tau \eta \mu о ́ \rho \iota о \nu . \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ кат̀̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s$ à $\nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каi $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ d̀ $\pi o ́{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \grave{\alpha} \nu \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \sigma v \zeta ̧ v \gamma \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \alpha i ̈ \tau \iota o \nu ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \pi о \iota o v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau о ́ \pi \omega \nu ~ \dddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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secondly, how many equinoctial hours ${ }^{1}$ the obscuration of the eclipse lasts in each. For when these data are examined, if it is a solar eclipse, we shall understand that the predicted event lasts as many years ${ }^{2}$ as the equinoctial hours which we discover, and if a lunar eclipse, as many months. The nature of the beginnings ${ }^{3}$ and of the more important intensifications ${ }^{4}$ of the events, however, are deduced from the position of the place of the eclipse relative to the centres. For if the place of the eclipse falls on the eastern horizon. this signifies that the beginning of the predicted event is in the first period of four months from the time of the eclipse and that its important intensifications lie in the first third of the entire period of its duration; if on the mid-heaven, in the second four months and the middle third; if upon the western horizon, in the third four months and the final third. The beginnings of the particular abatements and intensifications of the event we deduce from the conjunctions which take place in the meantime, ${ }^{5}$ if they occur in the significant regions or
${ }^{1}$ An equinoctial hour is the time measured by the passage of $15^{\circ}$ of the equator ( $\frac{1}{2}$ of $360^{\circ}$ ) past the horizon or other fixed point.
${ }^{2}$ A distinction is made because solar and lunar eclipses are of very different lengths; a total hanar echipse may last nenrly two hours, compared with eight minutes in the case of the sun.
${ }^{3}$ кarap<ai, that is, when the predieted event is due.
" '̇лเтáoєเs, "intensifications," as opposed to "relaxations"; a metaphor drawn from the tightening and loosening of the strings of a musical instrmment.
${ }^{3}$ During the poriod of the predicted effect (BouehóLectereq, p. 351).
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$\langle\bar{\zeta}\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i$ тồ $\gamma \epsilon ́ v o v s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \tau \iota \theta \in \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$

 $\sigma v ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$, $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ каi то̂̂то $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 оікобєототі́av $\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon S ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \in \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega-$






 каi фаıvoнév'as ovvaфѝs $\ddot{\eta}$ àторроías каi тov̀s




[^189] ('alli. 163

## TETRABIBLOS II. 6-7

the regions in some aspect to them, and also from the other movements of the planets, if those that effect the predicted event are either rising or setting or stationary or at evening rising, and are at the same time in some aspect to the zodiaeal signs that hold the cause; for planets when they are rising or stationary produce intensifieations in the events, but when setting, and under the rays of the sun, ${ }^{1}$ or advancing at evening, they bring about an abatement.

## 7. Of the Class of those Affected.

The third heading is that of generic classification, whereby one must determine what classes the event will affect. This is ascertained from the special nature and form of the zodiacal signs in which happen to be the places of the eclipses and in which are the heavenly bodies, planets and fixed stars alike, that govern both the sign of the eclipse and that of the angle preceding the eclipse. In the case of the planets we discover the rulership of these regions thus: The one which has the greatest number of relationships to both the regions aforesaid, that of the eelipse and that of the angle which follows it, both by virtue of the nearest visible applications or recessions, and by those of the aspeets which bear a rclation, and furthermore by rulership of the houses, triangles, exaltations, and terms, that planet

[^190][^191]
## PTOLEMY








 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi a \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ тóv $\tau \epsilon$ av่ $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega}$ є́к $\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \chi \rho о ́ \nu \omega^{2} \sigma v \gamma \kappa є \chi \rho \eta \mu а \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 тò̀s $\delta \iota \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$ ì $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \in \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \sigma \nu \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon \prime \rho \omega \nu, \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \pi \iota-$



 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v . ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ả $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o ́ \mu о \rho \phi a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


[^192]${ }^{1}$ The anonymous commentator on Ptolemy gives as examples of reasons for preferring one to another that it is
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alone will hold the dominance. However, if the same planet is not found to be both lord of the eclipse and of the angle, we must take together the two which have the greatest number of familiarities, as aforesaid, to either one of the regions, giving preference to the lord of the eclipse. And if several rivals be found on either count, we shall prefer for the domination the one which is closest to an angle, or is more significant, or is more closely allied by sect. ${ }^{1}$ In the case of the fixed stars, we shall take the first one of the brilliant stars which signifies upon the preceding angle at the actual time of the eclipse, according to the nine kinds of visible aspects defined in our first compilation, ${ }^{2}$ and the star which of the group visible at the time of the eclipse has either risen or reached meridian with the angle following the place of the eclipse.

When we have thus reckoned the stars that share in causing the event, let us also consider the forms of the signs of the zodiac in which the eclipse and the dominating stars as well happened to be, since from their character the quality of the classes affected is generally discerned. Constellations of human form, both in the zodiac and among the
in the superior hemisphere, or is " adding to its motion," or rising, or if these characteristies appear in all the rivals, that it is of the proper seet.
${ }^{2}$ The reference is to the Almagest, viii. 4. They are $\pi \rho \omega t v o ̛ s ~ a ̈ \pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ т \eta s$ (matutine subsolar), $\pi \rho \omega t \nu o ̀ v ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma о \nu \rho a ́ v \eta \mu a$ (matutine culmination), $\pi \rho \omega \iota \nu$ òs dí (matutine setting), $\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \nu o ̀ s$ ảmŋ $\lambda_{\iota} \omega ́ т \eta s$ (meridianal subsolar), $\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota o \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \sigma о \nu \rho a ́ \nu \eta \mu a \quad$ (meridianal culmination), $\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \nu o ̀ s ~ \lambda i ́ \psi$
 solar), ó $\psi \iota$ òv $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a ́ r \eta \mu a$ (vespertine culmination), and óucoos $\lambda i \not \psi \psi$ (vespertine setting).
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 тov̀s ő $\phi \epsilon \iota s$ каi $\tau \grave{a}$ тоıầта. каi $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ $\theta \eta \rho \iota \omega ́ \delta \eta \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тà à $\nu \eta \nmid \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ каi $\beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha}$









 то̂̂s тoเov́тoเs, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \tau \eta \nu \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \epsilon i s$





${ }^{1}$ каі катахрךотєка̀ post $\chi \epsilon \rho о \eta ̊ \theta \eta$ add. PLNCam.; on. VMADE.<br>2 "Opıөl VMADE, -os PNCam., 'Opv́́ $\omega v$ L.<br>${ }^{3}$ 'Aєт $\hat{\omega}$ VMADE, cf. Proc. ; тoîs 'Opvéo七s PLNCam.<br> Cam. ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{5} \Delta_{\epsilon} \lambda \phi \hat{i} \iota$ VMADE, $-\imath \omega$ PL, $-\nu \alpha$ NCam.
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fixed stars, cause the event to concern the human race. ${ }^{1}$ Of the other terrestrial signs, ${ }^{2}$ the fourfooted ${ }^{3}$ are concerned with the four-footed dumb animals, and the signs formed like creeping things ${ }^{4}$ with serpents and the like. Again, the animal ${ }^{5}$ signs have significance for the wild animals and those which injure the human race; the tame signs concern the useful and domesticated animals, and those which help to gain prosperity, in consistency with their several forms; for example, horses, oxen, sheep, and the like. Again, of the terrestrial signs, the northern tend to signify sudden earthquakes and the southern unexpected rains from the sky. Yet again, those dominant regions that are in the form of winged creatures, ${ }^{6}$ such as Virgo, Sagittarius, Cygnus, Aquila, and the like, exercise an effect upon winged creatures, particularly those which are used for human food, and if they are in the form of swimming things, upon water animals and fish. And of these, in the constellations pertaining to the sea, ${ }^{7}$ such as Cancer, Capricorn, and the Dolphin, they influence the

Among the extra-zodiacal constellations might be cited Orion, Perseus, Andromeda, etc.
${ }^{2}$ Rhetorius, loc. cit., names Aries, Taurus, Gemini, Leo, Virgo, Libra, Scorpio.
${ }^{3}$ Aries, Thurus, Leo, Sagittarius (Rhetorius, loc. cit.).
${ }^{4}$ To be sought among extra-zodiacal constellations, such as Draco, rather than the zodiac.
${ }^{5} \theta \eta p \not \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$; 'Taurus, Leo, and Scorpio, according to Rhetorins, loc. cit.
${ }^{6}$ Rhetorius, loc. cit., names Virgo, Sagittarias, Pisces.
${ }^{7}$ Rhetorius, loc. cil., designates as watery (ëvodoa) Pisces, Cancor, Capricorn, Aquarius, and Sagittarius, of the zodiac.

## PTOLEMY

 ${ }^{\prime} I \chi \theta v ́ \sigma \iota, \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi о \tau \alpha ́ \mu \iota \alpha$ каi $\tau \grave{a} \pi \eta \gamma \alpha i ̂ a \cdot \kappa а \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$





 oै $\nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тoùs $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu ~ к \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$,









 $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \in i \theta \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu^{3}$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ одаîs $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ठє̀ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{\alpha}$ тоîs $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda i ́ o \iota s$ каi тоîs






 $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a \nu$. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \delta v \sigma \mu a i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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creatures of the sea and the sailing of fleets. In the constellations pertaining to rivers, such as Aquarius and Pisces, they concern the creatures of rivers and springs, and in Argo they affect both classes alike. Likewise stars in the solstitial ${ }^{1}$ or equinoctial signs have significance in general for the conditions of the air and the seasons related to each of these signs, and in particular they concern the spring and things which grow from the earth. For when they are at the spring equinox they affect the new shoots of the arboreal crops, such as grapes and figs, and whatever matures with them; at the summer solstice, the gathering and storing of the crops, and in Egypt, peculiarly, the rising of the Nile; at the autumn solstice they concern the sowing, the hay crops, and such; and at the winter equinox the vegetables and the kinds of birds and fish most common at this season. Further, the equinoctial signs have significance for sacred rites and the worship of the gods: the solstitial signs, for changes in the air and in political customs; the solid signs. ${ }^{2}$ for foundations and the construction of houses; the bicorporeal, for men and kings. Similarly, those which are closer to the orient at the time of the eclipse signify what is to be concerning the crops, youth, and foundations; those near the mid-heaven above the earth, concerning sacred rites, kings, and middle age; and

$$
{ }^{1} C f . \text { i. } 11 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { Ibid. }
$$
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$\nu о \mu i ́ \mu \omega \nu \quad \mu \in \tau \alpha \tau \rho о \pi \dot{\alpha} s$ каì $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ \alpha \nu$ каi









 $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta$ йака̀s є̇ $\pi i$ тò $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} о \nu$
 матоs то८óт亩тоs






 тóтоиs каӨ' $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ä้ $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon s$. ó $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$

ка) ${ }^{\text { }}$ (-токк-) LN ('am.
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those near the occident, concerning change of customs, old age, and those who have passed away.

To the question, how large a portion of the class involved will the event affect, the answer is supplied by the extent of the obscuration of the eclipses, and by the positions relative to the place of the eclipse held by the stars which furnish the cause. For when they are occidental to solar eclipses, ${ }^{1}$ or oriental to lunar, they usually affect a minority ; in opposition, a half; and the majority, if they are oriental to solar eclipses or occidental to lunar.

## 8. Of the Quality of the Predicted Event.

The fourth heading concerns the 'quality of the predicted event, that is, whether it is productive of good or the opposite, ${ }^{2}$ and of what sort is its effect in either direction, in accordance with the peculiar character of the species. This is apprehended from the nature of the activity of the planets which rule the dominant places and from their combination both with one another and with the places in which they happen to be. For the sun and the moon are the marshals and, as it were,
they are masculinized and oppose the moon. Hence the effect is minimized. When, however, they work with the sun (in oriental position and masculine) or with the moon, the eclipse has a greater effect. (If. Bouche-Leclereq, p. 353 , n. 3.
${ }^{2}$ As Bouché-Leclereq ( $\mathrm{p}, 355$ ) points out, the natural tendency in antiquity would be to assume that any eclipse portends evil. P'tolemy's predilection for classification causes him to examine the quastion m the light of the nature and characters of the planets (cf. i. 5) .
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 $\ddot{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho a \nu \epsilon i a s . \quad \grave{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ кvрíav $\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ бv $\kappa \rho а \tau \iota к \grave{\eta} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ a ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon i \kappa v v \sigma \iota$ тоьóт $\eta \tau$ а.







 $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota \cdot \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ äv $\epsilon i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 ai $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \gamma о \rho i ́ a \iota ~ к а і ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau а i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \gamma к \rho a ́ \sigma є \sigma \iota ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ov̉ $\mu$ óvol $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o u s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda a \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu i ̂ \xi \iota$

 тótovs то̂ $\zeta \omega \delta \iota a \kappa о \hat{v}$ катà $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ àто $\delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a s$
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leaders of the others; for they are themselves responsible for the entirety of the power, and are the causes of the rulership of the planets, and, moreover, the causes of the strength or weakness of the ruling planets. ${ }^{1}$ The comprehensive observation of the ruling stars shows the quality of the predicted events.

We shall begin with the characteristic active powers of the planets, one by one, first, however, making this general observation, as a summary reminder, that in general whenever we speak of any temperament of the five planets one must understand that whatever produces the like nature is also meant, ${ }^{2}$ whether it be the planet itself in its own proper condition, or one of the fixed stars, or one of the signs of the zodiac, considered with reference to the temperament proper to it, just as though the characterizations were applied to the natures or the qualities themselves and not to the planets; and let us remember that in the combinations, again, we must consider not only the mixture of the planets one with another, but also their combination with the others that share in the same nature, whether they be fixed stars or signs of the zodiac, by virtue of their affinities with the planets, already set forth. ${ }^{3}$

Saturn, ${ }^{4}$ when he gains sole dominance, is in general the cause of destruction by cold, and in
that Saturn does this or that, he understands this to refer not only to Saturn but to any star, even a fixed star, that may be of Saturn's nature; as those in Cetus and some in Orion " (cf. i. 9). Similarly signs of the zodiac, or terms, could thus substitute for the planets.
${ }^{3}$ I.e. in i. 9.

- Cf. i. 5. Saturn is one of the maleficent planets (ibid.).
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 Sєías $\tau \epsilon$ каі àторías каі бvvодàs каі $\pi \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ каi



 $\hat{\omega} \nu$ каi oi $\chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \sigma v \nu \delta \iota a \tau \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$


 $\nu \iota \phi \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os ov่к $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta о \rho о \pi о \iota \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \phi '$ $\dot{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ какоиิvта $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i v \eta \nu \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho i \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha l$. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ б̀̀ $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu o v ̀ s ~ \ddot{\eta} \theta a-$





 ảvaүкаías $\chi \rho \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \gamma \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu ~ \eta ้ \tau о \iota ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ к а ́ \mu \pi \eta s ~ \ddot{\eta}$
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particular, when the event concerns men, causes long illnesses, consumptions, withering, disturbances caused by fluids, rheumatisms, and quartan fevers, exile, poverty, imprisonment, mourning, fears, and deaths, especially among those advanced in age. ${ }^{1}$ He is usually significant with regard to those dumb animals that are of use to man, and brings about scarcity of them, and the bodily destruction by disease of such as exist, so that the men who use them are similarly affected and perish. With regard to weather, he causes fearful cold, freezing, misty, and pestilential ; corruption of the air, clouds, and gloom; furthermore, multitudes of snowstorms, not beneficial but destructive, from which are produced the reptiles ${ }^{2}$ harmful to man. As for the rivers and seas, in general he causes storms, the wreck of fleets, disastrous voyages, and the scarcity and death of fish, and in particular the high and ebb tides of the seas and in rivers excessive floods and pollution of their waters. As for the crops of the earth, he brings about want, scarcity, and loss, especially of those grown for necessary uses, either through worms or locusts or floods or cloud-burst or hail or the like, so that famine and the destruction of men thereby result.
> ${ }^{1}$ Saturn (Kronos) is pictured as an old man.
> ${ }^{2}$ For rains of fish, frogs, and other things of. E. S. MeCartney, Trans. Am. Phil. Assn., 51, 112 ff., and Classical Weekly, 24, 27 ; also A. S. Pease, ed. of Cicero, De divinatione, p. 274. Mice, frogs, insects, and the like were thought to be spontaneonsly generated from earth, mud, or rain; of. Thomalike, History of Magic and E.cperimental Science, i. 325,491.
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 $\tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ à̉ $\eta_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha s$ каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о-$

 $\pi i \nu \eta \nu \delta a \not \psi^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ каi $\pi о \lambda \nu \pi \lambda \eta \theta_{i}^{\prime} a \nu \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon i s$

 $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu а \tau \omega ́ \delta \eta$ каi $\dot{v} \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$ каì $\theta \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Є̇ $\pi \iota \gamma \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ a’ $\pi \epsilon \rho \gamma a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \dot{v} \pi \lambda о$ óas каi $\pi о \tau а \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$




 то̂ $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v s ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каi $\sigma \tau а ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~$
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When Jupiter ${ }^{1}$ rules alone he produces increase in general, and, in particular, when the prediction is concerned with men, he makes fame and prosperity, abundance, peaceful existence, the increase of the necessities of life, bodily and spiritual health, and, furthermore, benefits and gifts from rulers, and the increase, greatness, and magnanimity of these latter ; and in general he is the cause of happiness. With reference to dumb animals he causes a multitude and abundance of those that are useful to men and the diminution and destruction of the opposite kind. He makes the condition of the air temperate and healthful, windy, moist, and favourable to the growth of what the earth bears; he brings about the fortunate sailing of fleets, the moderate rise of rivers, abundance of crops, and everything similar.

Mars, when he assumes the rulership alone, is in general the cause of destruction through dryness and in particular, when the eveut concerns men, brings about wars, civil faction, capture, enslavement, uprisings, the wrath of leaders, and sudden deaths arising from such causes; moreover, fevers, tertian agues, raising of blood, swift and violent deaths, especially in the prime of life; similarly, violence,

## ${ }^{1}$ A beneficent planet.
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 $\lambda \epsilon \iota \psi v \delta \rho i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ a ̀ \nu \alpha \xi ̈ \eta \rho a ́ v \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \pi \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\phi \theta o \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi о \tau i \mu \omega \nu{ }^{2}$ ن́ $\delta a ́ \tau \omega \nu \cdot \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \epsilon \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} S^{3}$ $\epsilon$ є $\pi \iota \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \iota a \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \quad$ ả $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i v \eta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$ ả $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$



 бvүкаи́бєшs.










 סıv́ $\rho \omega \nu$ каi $\theta \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ катабта́бєєs єv̉aєрías
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assaults, lawlessness, arson and murder. robbery and piracy. With regard to the condition of the air he causes hot weather, warm, pestilential. and withering winds, the loosing of lightning and hurricanes, and drought. Again, at sea he causes sudden shipwreck of fleets through changeable winds or lightning or the like; the failure of the water of rivers, the drying up of springs, and the tainting of potable waters. With reference to the necessities produced upon the earth for human use, he causes a scarcity and loss of dumb animals and of things which grow from the earth, and the loss of crops by drying as the result of hot weather, or by locusts, or by the beating of the winds, or by burning in places of storage.

Venus, when she becomes sole ruler of the event, in general brings about results similar to those of Jupiter, but with the addition of a certain agrecable quality; in particular, where men are concenned, she causes fame, honour, happiness, abundance, happy marriage, many children, satisfaction in every mutual relationship, the increase of property, a neat and well conducted manner of life, paying honour to those things which are to be revered; further, she is the cause of bodily health, alliances with the leaders, and elegance of rulers; as to the winds of the air, of temperateness and settled conditions of moist and
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'O $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau о \hat{v}$ ' $E \rho \mu о \hat{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оікобєототі́av $\lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta$ '








 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \phi \theta_{i}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ • $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$ тòv
 т̀̀s $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \delta o v s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma v \mu \beta a \iota \nu o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~$

 бvүкра́бєє. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тò $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi o v ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \xi \eta \rho o ̀ s ~ « ̈ \nu \nu$
 тò $\tau \alpha ́ \chi o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ à $\nu \alpha \kappa v \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \pi \nu \epsilon v \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ á $\tau \alpha ́ \kappa \tau \omega \nu$

 $\chi a \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma-$
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very nourishing winds, of good air, clear weather, and generous showers of fertilizing waters; she brings about the fortunate sailing of fleets, successes, profits, and the full rising of rivers; of useful animals and the fruits of the earth she is the preëminent cause of abundance, good yields, and profit.

Mercury, if he gains the rulership, is, generally speaking, in nature like whatever of the other planets may be associated with him. In particular, he is above all stimulating, and in predictions concerning men is keen and very practical, ingenious in any situation; but he causes robbery, theft, piracy, and assault, and furthermore, brings about unsuccessful voyaging when he is in aspect with the maleficent planets, and occasions diseases of dryness, quotidian agues, coughs, raising, and consumption. He is the cause of events taking place which concern the priestly code, the worship of the gods, the royal revenues, and of change in customs and laws, from time to time, in consistency with his association with the other planets on each oceasion. With reference to the air, since he is very dry and swift on account of his nearness to the sun, and the speed of his revolution, he is particularly apt to arouse irregular, fierce, and changeable winds, and, as might be expected, thunder, hurricancs, chasms in the earth. earthquakes, and lightning; sometimes by these
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 S⿺̀ таîs ảvaтo入aîs $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$.
 є'кабтоs т̀̀ тоцаv̄та $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂, ~ \sigma v \gamma к \iota \rho \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$


 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \omega \nu$, каi $\mu \epsilon \mu \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \eta \nu$ є’к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кєкоьv $\omega \nu \eta \kappa \nu \iota \omega ิ \nu$



 $\kappa а \theta^{\prime}$ о́тоьоขоv $\delta \eta \dot{\eta} \pi о т є ~ \tau \rho о ́ \pi о \nu ~ \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu о$ ѝs $\delta \iota-$

 $\mu а Ө \eta \mu а т \iota к о \hat{v}$ трòs тàs кат̀̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ \delta \iota а к р i ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~$

 oi $\tau о \hat{v} \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \mu a \tau о s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ к и р i ́ a \nu ~ \lambda a \beta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon s ~$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \ddot{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma u ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$





[^205]${ }^{1}$ That is, exchange of houses.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. i. 8 .
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means he causes the destruction of useful animals and plants. At setting he diminishes waters and rivers, at rising fills them.

Such are the effects produced by the several planets, each by itself and in command of its own nature. Associated, however, now with one and now with another, in the different aspects, by the exchange of signs, ${ }^{1}$ and by their phases with reference to the sun, ${ }^{2}$ and experiencing a corresponding tempering of their powers, each produces a character, in its effect, which is the result of the mixture of the natures that have participated, and is complicated. It is of course a hopeless and impossible task to mention the proper outcome of every combination and to enumerate absolutely all the aspects of whatever kind, since we can conceive of such a variety of them. Consequently questions of this kind would reasonably be left to the enterprise and ingenuity of the mathematician, ${ }^{3}$ in order to make the particular distinctions.

It is needful to obscrve what affinity exists between the planets which govern the prediction and the countries or the cities for which the event is signified. For if the ruling plancts are bencficent, and have familiarity with the subjects affected, and are not overcome ${ }^{4}$ by planets of the opposite sect, they more powerfully produce the benefits natural to them ;
 for example at the very end of the Tetrabiblos (p. 458, 1. 21).
${ }^{4} \kappa \alpha \theta v \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$, supereminentio, exists when one planet is superior to another, or is to the right of another in the astrological sense (i.e. preceding it in the direction of the diurnal movement of the heavens). Cf. Bouché-Leclereq, p. 250.
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 $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta \iota a \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~ \tau u ́ \chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} к \alpha \theta v \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \eta$ -

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta v \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ vi тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ оікєі'шs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu, \sigma ф о \delta \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ к р а ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$







 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ к а і ~ \delta v \sigma ф u ́ \lambda а к т о \iota ~ \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ a i ~ \mu о ь р ı к а i ~$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ́ \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\langle\bar{\theta}.\rangle \quad \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \chi \rho \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \psi \epsilon \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha i \kappa о \mu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$



${ }^{1} \pi о \tau^{\prime}$ ầ om. PLNCam.
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even as, when they bear no familiarity, or are overcome by their opposites, they are less helpful. But when they are of the injurious temperament and govern the prediction, if they have familiarity with the subjects affected or are overcome by the opposite sect, they do less harm; but if they are neither lords of the countries nor are overcome by the planets that have familiarity with those countries, they exert all the more intensely the destructiveness of their temperament. Usually, however, those men are affected by the more universal ills who in their own genitures happen to have the most essential places, ${ }^{1}$ by which I mean those of the luminaries or of the angles, ${ }^{2}$ the same as those that furnish the cause of the general misfortunes, that is, the places of the eclipses or the places directly opposite. Of these the positions most dangerous and hardest to avoid are those in which either of their luminaries is in possession of the very degree of the place of the eclipse, or the degree opposite.

## 9. Of the Colours of Eclipses, Comets, and the Like.

For the prediction of general conditions we must also observe the colours at the time of the eclipses,
above the horizon (in the ascendant) at the moment. This point determines a series of divisions of the ecliptic of $30^{\circ}$ each, a duodecimal system superimposed upon that of the zodiacal signs and differing therefiom. These divisions are the "places" (also called "houses," somewhat ambiguously) of the geniture.
${ }^{2}$ The angles, or centres, of a geniture are the horoscope or orient, the superior mid-heaven (upper culmination), the occident, and the inferior mid-heaven (lowor culmmation). See Bouché-Leclereq, pp. 257-259.
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 $\tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ K $\rho o ́ v o u ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \cdot \lambda \epsilon \cup к a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$




 $\nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota, \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{i} \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \rho \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̈ $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota \tau \grave{o}$

 $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \nu \in v \sigma \iota s ~ \tau o \hat{v} i \delta \iota \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о s ~ \gamma i ́ \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.

 Є̇тıфаvєías $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ к а \theta^{\prime}$ ö̀ov $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$, oiov $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \delta о \kappa i \delta \omega \nu \geqslant \ddot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \lambda \pi i \gamma \gamma \omega \nu \ddot{\eta} \pi i \theta \omega \nu$ каi






${ }^{1} \mu$ óvov VMADGProc., $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ o̊v PL, om. NECam.
${ }^{2}$ каvoćó $\omega \nu$ VMADE; cf. Proc.; каvoஸ́v $\omega v$ alii Cam.
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either those of the luminaries themselves, or those of the formations that occur near them, such as rods, ${ }^{1}$ halos, and the like. For if they appear black or livid they signify the effects which were mentioned in connection with Saturn`s nature; ${ }^{2}$ if white, those of Jupiter ; if reddish, those of Mars ; if yellow, those of Venus; and if variegated, those of Mercury. If the characteristic colour appears to cover the whole body of the luminary or the whole region surrounding it, the predicted event will affect most of the parts of the countries; but if it is in any one part, it will affect only that part against which the phenomenon is inclined.

We must observe. further, for the prediction of general conditions, the comets ${ }^{3}$ which appear either at the time of the eclipse or at any time whatever; for instance, the so-called " beams." " trumpets," " jars," and the like, ${ }^{4}$ for these naturally produce the effects peculiar to Mars and to Mercury-wars, hot weather, disturbed conditions, and the accompaniments of these; and they show. through the parts of the zodiac in which their heads appear and through the directions in which the shapes of their tails point,
${ }^{2} C f$. i. 4 , for the powers of Saturn and the other planets.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Boll-Bezold-Gundel, pp. 5I, 129: who quote Julius Caesar, ii. 2, "When beggars die, then are no comets seen; the heavens themselves blaze forth the death of princes."
${ }^{4}$ Other astrologers and non-astrological writers elassified the comets much more elaborately by their shapes and their associations with the plancts, of which they were supposed to be the fiery missiles; Ptolemy is much more conservative in what he says. Sce Bouche-Leclereq, pp. $35 \times-359$, and for a more detailed anciont aroount Hephaestion of Thebes, pp. 97, 31-99, 22 (ed. Engelbrecht).
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 $\sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma \stackrel{\omega}{\sigma} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \circ \rho \phi \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \grave{o} \epsilon \mathfrak{i} \delta o s \tau o v ̂ a ̉ \pi o \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma-$

 $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \cdot \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} S \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \eta ̈ \lambda \iota o \nu ~ \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$

 $\beta \rho a ́ \delta \iota o v$.

## 


 $\epsilon i \not \eta$ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} v \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau о \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \tau i-$




 à $\pi о к а \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s, \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o ́ v ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~$
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the regions upon which the misfortunes impend. Through the formations, as it were, of their heads they indicate the kind of the event and the class upon which the misfortune will take effect ; through the time which they last, the duration of the events ; and through their position relative to the sun likewise their beginning; for in general their appearance in the orient betokens rapidly approaching events and in the occident those that approach more slowly.

## 10. Concerning the New Moon of the Year.

Now that we have described the procedure of prediction about the general states of countries and cities, it would remain to mention matters of greater detail; I refer to events that happen yearly in connection with the seasons. In the investigation of this subject it would be appropriate first to define the so-called new moon of the year. ${ }^{1}$ That this should properly be the beginning of the sun's circular course in each of his revolutions is plain from the thing itself, both from its power and from its name. To be sure, one could not conceive what starting-point to assume in a circle, as a general proposition; but in the circle through the middle of the zodiac one would properly take as the only beginnings the points determined by the equator and the tropics, that is, the two equinoxes and the two solstices. Even then, however, one would still
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 тò $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega s$ тóтє $\mu \epsilon i ́ \zeta o v a ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s$


 Sє̀ $\theta \epsilon \rho \iota \nu o ̀ v ~ \tau \rho о \pi \iota \kappa o ̀ v ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а \tau ’ ~ a v ̇ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ $\grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$ à $\pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Aìvu$\tau \tau i o \iota s ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ карт $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma \kappa о \mu \iota \delta \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$,
 $\kappa а \tau \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \cdot \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu o ̀ \nu \tau \rho о \pi \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~$





$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \text { аv } \mu \pi a \theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ V P L M A D E G, ~ \not ́ \mu \pi a \theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ N C a m . ~ \\
& { }^{3} \text { ionuєpivòr om. NCam. } \\
& { }^{4} \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о \nu \text { VPLG, } \pi \rho \dot{u} \tau \omega s \text { alii Cam. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## TETRABIBLOS II. 10

be at a loss which of the four to prefer. Indeed, in a circle, absolutely considered, no one of them takes the lead, as would be the case if there were one starting-point, but those who have written on these matters have made use of each of the four, ${ }^{1}$ in various ways assuming some one as the startingpoint, as they were led by their own arguments and by the natural characteristics of the four points. This is not strange, for each of these parts has some special claim to being reasonably considered the starting-point and the new year. The spring equinox might be preferred because first at that time the day begins to be longer than the night and because it belongs to the moist season, and this element, as we said before, ${ }^{2}$ is chiefly present at the beginning of nativities; the summer solstice because the longest day occurs at that time and because to the Egyptians it signifies the flooding of the Nile and the rising of the dog star; the fall equinox because all the crops have by then been harvested, and a fresh start is then made with the sowing of the seed of future crops; and the winter solstice because then, after diminishing, the day first begins to lengthen. It seems more proper and natural to me, however, to employ the four starting-points for investigations which deal with the year, observing the syzygies
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 $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\lambda$ оv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ поьóт $\eta \tau \alpha s$ каi катабта́бєıs ó グ入ıos $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ âs каi oi $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} s \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \iota \mu \alpha \theta \eta-$





 oi $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̀ \tau a ̀ s ~ \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o i ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v ́-$


 aүopєúou．${ }^{3}$
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of the sun and moon at new and full moon which most nearly precede them, and among these in particular the conjunctions at which eclipses take place, so that from the starting-point in Aries we may conjecture what the spring will be like, from that in Cancer the summer, from that in Libra the autumn, and from that in Capricorn the winter. For the sun creates the general qualities and conditions of the seasons, by means of which even those who are totally ignorant of astrology can foretell the future. ${ }^{1}$

Furthermore, we must take into consideration the special qualities of the signs of the zodiac to obtain prognostications of the winds and of the more general natures; ${ }^{2}$ and the variations of degree from time to time are in general again shown by the conjunctions which take place at the aforesaid points and by the aspects of the planets to them, and in particular also by the conjunctions and full moons in the several signs and by the course of the planets. This might be called monthly investigation.

As it is proper that for this purpose there be enumerated the peculiar natural powers of the several signs to influence annual conditions, as well as those
${ }^{1}$ Cf. i. 2.
${ }^{2}$ The Latin versions interpret this sentence in substantially the way here shown. The Paraphrase of Proclus, however, understands it to mean that the sun geverns the qualities of the signs, the winds, and "certain other general matters" ; and the anonymous commentator also (p. 79, ed. Wolf) says, проӥтакоиat'ov ó $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \iota a s$ тоє $\hat{i}$. By " the more general natures" doubtless are meant temperature and other things, besides the winds, that go to make up the weather.
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 $\kappa а т \eta \mu о р i \omega v$ тро́s $\tau \epsilon$ тоѝs àvє́ $\mu$ оиs каi $\tau$ às $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \rho a s$,

 $\phi v ́ \sigma \in \omega s$ єiтєiv.

〈ia.〉 $\Pi є \rho i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \in \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \dot{a} \kappa \alpha \tau a-$ $\sigma \tau \eta \mu a \tau \alpha \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \tau \omega \nu \zeta \omega \delta i \omega \nu$






 $\phi \theta а \rho \tau \iota \alpha a ́, \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~ v o ́ т \iota a ~ к \rho v \sigma \tau а \lambda \lambda \omega ́ \delta \eta ~ к а i ~ v i \pi o ́ \psi v \chi \rho a . ~}$







```
'єкаaтa VMADE, mm. alii Cam.
```

 LN('an.

## TETRABIBLOS II. 10-11

of the several planets, we have already, in what precedes, explained the familiarity of the planets, ${ }^{1}$ and of the fixed stars of like temperament, ${ }^{2}$ with the air and the winds, as well as that of the signs, as wholes, ${ }^{3}$ with the winds and seasons. It would remain to speak of the nature of the signs, part by part.

## 11. Of the Nature of the Signs, Part by Part, and their Effect upon the Weather.

Now the sign of Aries as a whole, because it marks the equinox, is characterized by thunder or hail, but, taken part by part, through the variation in degree that is due to the special quality of the fixed stars, its leading ${ }^{4}$ portion is rainy and windy, its middle temperate, and the following part hot and pestilential. Its northern parts are hot and destructive, its southern frosty and chilly.

The sign of Taurus as a whole is indicative of both temperatures and is somewhat hot ; but taken part by part, its leading portion, particularly near the Pleiades, is marked by earthquakes, winds, and mists; its middle moist and cold, and its following

$$
{ }^{1} \text { i. } 4 \text { and } 18 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { i. } 9 .
$$

${ }^{3} \mathrm{Cf}$. the chapter on the triangles, i. 18.

- Ptolemy characterizes three parts of each sign, leading, middle, and following, besides the portions north and south of the ecliptic. The "leading" portion is so-called because it is the part which first rises above the horizon in the apparent diurnal movement of the heavens; the "following" portion is the last of the sign to appear. "Leading" degrees, or signs, are regarded as being to the right of the "middle" and the "following."
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'Yáda $\pi v \rho \omega ́ \delta \eta ~ к а i ~ к є \rho a v \nu \omega ́ \delta \eta ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau \rho а \pi \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi о \iota \eta-~$
 каі äтакта.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ єن̉крабías то८ךтıкóv, кат̀̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha}$


 vо́тєа $\xi \eta \rho a ̀ ~ к а i ~ к а v \sigma \omega ́ \delta \eta ~ . ~$




 ıо́тьа є้ктира ${ }^{1}$ каі каvбш́ঠŋ $\eta$.




 vótıa סívүpa.
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portion. near the Hyades, fiery and productive of thunder and lightning. Its northern parts are temperate, its southern unstable and irregular.

The sign of Gemini as a whole is productive of an equable temperature, but taken part by part its leading portion is wet and destructive, its middle temperate, and its following portion mixed and irregular. Its northern parts are windy and cause earthquakes; its southern parts dry and parching.

The sign of Cancer as a whole is one of fair, warm weather; but, part by part, its leading portion and the region of Praesepe is stifling, productive of earthquakes, and misty; its middle temperate, and its following parts windy. Its northern and southern parts are fiery and parching. ${ }^{1}$

The sign of Leo as a whole is hot and stifling; but, part by part, its leading portion is stifling and pestilential, its middle part temperate, and its following portion wet and destructive. Its northern parts are unstable and fiery, its southern parts moist.

The sign of Virgo as a whole is moist and marked by thunder-storms; but, taken part by part, its leading portion is rather warm and destructive, its middle temperate, and its following part watery. Its northern parts are windy and its southern parts temperate.

1"Fiery, destructive, and parching," according to certain MSS. See the critical note.
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 є́бтє $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \kappa$ о̀ каі $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta о$ дєко́v, ${ }^{1}$ ката̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha}$




Tò $\delta$ є̀ тои $\Sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i o v ~ \delta \omega \delta є к а т \eta \mu o ́ \rho ı о \nu ~ к а \theta ’ ~ o ̋ \lambda о v ~$




 є่бт兀 $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \epsilon \varsigma$, катà $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho о \eta \gamma о v^{-}$

 ขо́тıа ка́Өиүра каі $\mu \in \tau а ß о \lambda \iota к а ́ . ~$



 ßо́рєıа каì тà vóтьа кáӨvүра каì фөартєка́.



 $\kappa а v \sigma \omega ́ \delta \eta$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ v o ́ \tau \iota a ~ \nu 申 \epsilon \tau \omega ́ \delta \eta$.



 $\pi \nu \epsilon v \mu a \tau \omega \dot{\delta} \eta \eta$, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \frac{1}{\tau} \tau \alpha$ vi $\delta a \tau \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$.
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The sign of Libra as a whole is changeable and variable; but, taken part by part, its leading and middle portions are temperate and its following portion watery. Its northern parts are windy and its southern moist and pestilential.

The sign of Scorpio as a whole is marked by thunder and fire, but, taken part by part, its leading portion is snowy, its middle temperate, and its following portion causes earthquakes. Its northern parts are hot and its southern moist.

The sign of Sagittarius as a whole is windy; but, taken part by part, its leading portion is wet, its middle temperate, and its following part fiery. Its northern parts are windy, its southern moist and changeable.

The sign of Capricorn as a whole is moist ; but, taken part by part, its leading portion is marked by hot weather and is destructive, its middle temperate, and its following part raises rain-storms. Its northern and southern portions are wet and destructive.

The sign of Aquarius as a whole is cold and watery ; but, taken part by part, its leading portion is moist, its middle temperate, its following part windy. Its northern portion brings hot weather and its southern clouds.

The sign of Pisces as a whole is cold and windy; but. taken part by part, its leading portion is temperate, its middlle moist, and its following portion hot. Its northern parts are windy and its southern watery.
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$\langle\bar{\beta}.\rangle \quad \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \psi \in \omega s$

Tov́ $\tau \omega \nu$ סє́ oui $\tau \omega s$ проєктє $\theta \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ai катà $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s$



 $\tau \rho о \pi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i$ i $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \not \omega \nu{ }^{2} \sigma v \nu o ́ \delta o v s ~ \ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha i$


 є̈ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ тò̀s oiккобєбто́таs $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ то仑 $\tau \epsilon \sigma v \nu-$




 A, om. VD.
${ }^{2} \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \omega \nu$ VDMEProc., $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ A, $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \nu$ ali Cam.
${ }^{3} \mu o i ̂ p a \nu$ GMEProc., om. in lacuna fere 3 list. VD, ov̧̧uriav A, om. ali Cam.
${ }^{4} \sigma \nu \zeta \nu \gamma i a \nu$ post $\kappa \lambda \iota \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ add. SCam.
${ }^{1}$ In the latter part of ii. 10. Cardanus, pp. 228-229, commenting on this chapter, says, after admiring the genius of Ptolemy, " For in this chapter he does five things. In the first place, he has declared the proper nature of each part of the year in general, which is predicted from the new moon or full moon preceding the ingress of tho sun to the cardinal point. In the second ...., the quality of each month from the new or full moon, following the ingress of the sun to the cardinal point. In the third 206
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## 12. Of the Investigation of Weather in Detail.

Now that these facts have been stated in introduction, the method of dealing with the significations in detail involves the following procedure. For one method is that which is more generally conceived, with relation to the quarters, which will demand, as we have said, ${ }^{1}$ that we observe the new moons ${ }^{2}$ or full moons which most nearly preced ${ }^{2}$ the solstitial and equinoctial signs, and that, as the degree of the new moon or of the full moon may fall in each latitude investigated, we dispose the angles as in a nativity. ${ }^{3}$ It will then be necessary to determine the rulers of the place of the new moon or full moon and of the angle that follows it, after the fashion explained by us in the preceding sections dealing with eclipses, ${ }^{4}$ and thus to judge of the general situation from the special nature of the
place, he tells us how to know the nature of the weather of the fourth part of each month . . and this is discovered not only from now moons and full mons but also from the quarters. . . . In the fourth place, he shows us how to recognize each day the quality of the air . . . from the rising or setting of the bright stars. In the fifth hes teaches us to learn that same thing hour by hour from the passage of the luminaries through the angles at the time." The "quarters" mentioned by Ptolemy are the quarters of the year, or of the zotliac.
${ }^{2}$ Literally "conjumetions" (ovvódous), but with special reference to those of the sun and moon; hence, "new moons."
${ }^{3}$ That is, determine the horoscopie point, mid-heaven, ocrident, ete., at the time of the conjunction and construct the horoscope for the ovent as though it were a birth.
${ }^{4}$ The reference is to ii. 4-8, especially c. 5 , where the method of procedure is explained.
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 тàs каӨ' є’кабто⿱ $\delta \omega \delta є к а т \eta \mu о ́ \rho \iota o \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \iota \nu о \mu є ́ v a s ~$




 $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\nu}$ кє́vтра каі тоѝs оікобєбто́таs à $\mu ф т є ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $98 \tau \epsilon$ каi ảторроías $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$, $\tau a ́ s$
 àvé $\mu \omega \nu$ єioi кıvךтıкоi av̉тоí $\tau \epsilon$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \tau \omega ิ \nu$



 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ каі $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho о \gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$.

${ }^{1} \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda o v$ NAECam.. $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu ~ o m . ~ V P L M D G . ~$
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quarters, and determine the question of degree of intensification and relaxation from the nature of the ruling planets, their qualities, and the kinds of weather which they produce.

The second mode of procedure is based on the month. In this it will be necessary for us to examine in the same way the new moons or full moons that take place, in the several signs, ${ }^{1}$ observing only this, that, if a new moon occurs nearest to the solstitial or equinoctial sign just past, we should use the new moons which take place as far as the next quadrant, and in the case of a full moon the full moons. It will be needful similarly that we observe the angles and the rulers of both the places, and especially the nearest appearances ${ }^{2}$ of the planets, and their applications ${ }^{3}$ and recessions, the peculiar properties of the planets and of their places, and the winds which are aroused both by the planets themselves and by the parts of the signs in which they chance to be; still further, to what wind the latitude of the moon is inclined through the obliquity of the ecliptic. From all these facts, by means of the principle of prevalence, we may predict the general conditions of weather and the winds of the months.

The third step is to observe the even more minutely
the sign, as Cardanus says) are to be observed. However, if, for example, in predieting the weather for the first quarter (spring), a now monn had precerled the first of Aries and had been used in determining the prediction in the way just deseribed, wo aro to use the new moons in Aries, Taurus, and Gemini for the inonthly predictions of this quadrant ; if a full moon, the full moons.
${ }^{2}$ Or apparitione.
${ }^{3}$ See i. 24.
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 $\grave{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \zeta$ $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, ov̉ $\mu o ́ v o v$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бvvoঠєк $\hat{\omega} \nu$ クे $\pi a \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu \iota \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$












 о̋тау $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega ิ \nu$ оі $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \iota ~ к а і ~ \delta \rho а \sigma \tau \iota к \omega ́-~$

 $\pi o \lambda \grave{v} \tau \alpha \dot{s} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ к а \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ a v \tau \epsilon ิ \nu$
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ є̇ $\pi \iota \pi о \rho \epsilon \dot{\prime} \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.
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detailed indications of relaxation and intensification. ${ }^{1}$ This observation is based upon the configurations of the sun and the moon suecessively, not merely the new moons and full moons, but also the half moons, in which case the change signified generally has its beginning three days before, and sometimes three days after, the moon's progress matches that of the sun. ${ }^{2}$ It is based also upon their aspects to the planets, when they are at each of the positions of this kind, or likewise others, such as trine and sextile. For it is in accordance with the nature of these that the special quality of the change is apprehended, in harmony with the natural affinities of the attending planets and of the signs of the zodiac for the ambient and the winds.

The day by day intensifications of these particular qualities are brought about chiefly when the more brilliant and powerful of the fixed stars make appearances, matutine or vespertine, at rising or setting, with respect to the sun. ${ }^{3}$ For ordinarily they modulate the particular conditions to accord with their own natures, and none the less too when the luminaries are passing over one of the angles.

For the hour by hour intensifications and relaxations of the weather vary in response to such positions of the stars as these, in the same way that the ebb
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 $\kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \rho \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda о \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s$ ov̂s äv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ àvє́ $\mu \omega \nu$






 $\tau \eta \prime \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ à $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon s$ каi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ~ \tau u ́ \chi \omega \sigma \iota ~$ $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta$ о́ $\epsilon \in \nu о \iota$.
$100\langle\bar{\imath}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \rho \omega \nu \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \omega \prime$ $\sigma \in \omega s$
 є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu a \sigma \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \rho \sigma \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ каi ai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$
 à $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \pi а р а \tau \eta \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s . ~$

Tòv $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv ${ }^{\eta} \lambda \iota o \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} S$ ท́ $\mu \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma i ́ o v s ~ к а \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ a ̀ v a \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \alpha, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha ̀ s$ $\nu \cup к \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ \delta u ́ v o \nu \tau a, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ таратєıvov́ras $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu o v ́ s, ~ \dot{\omega}$
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and flow of the tide respond to the phases of the moon, and the changes in the air-currents are brought about especially at such appearances of the luminaries at the angles, in the direction of those winds towards which the latitude of the moon is found to be inclining. In every case, however, one should draw his conclusions on the principle that the universal and primary underlying cause takes precedence and that the cause of particular events is sccondary to it, and that the force is most ensured and strengthened when the stars which are the lords of the universal natures are configurated with the particular causes.

## 13. Of the Significance of Atmospheric Signs.

Observations of the signs that are to be seen around the sun, moon, and planets would also be useful for a foreknowledge of the particular events signified.

We must, then, observe the sun at rising to determine the weather by day and at setting for the weather at night, and its aspects to the moon for weather conditions of longer extent, on the assumption that each aspect, in general, foretells the condition up to the next. For when the sun rises or sets clear, unobscured, steady, and unclouded, it signifies fair weather; but if its disk is variegated or reddish or sends out ruddy rays, either directly outward or turned back upon itself, or if it has the
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 101 каi ن́ $\epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є’ $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \delta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$.
$T \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \nu \nu \quad \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau \epsilon \in \nu$ Є่ $\nu$ таîs $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \rho \iota \omega \nu \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \ddot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \in \hat{\imath} \varsigma$ таро́סoьs $\tau \hat{\omega} v \tau \epsilon \sigma v v o ́ \delta \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$

















${ }^{1}{ }^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}$ om. AECam.
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so-called parheliac clouds on one side, or yellowish formations of clouds, and as it were emits long rays, it indicates heavy winds and such as come from the angles to which the aforesaid signs point. If at rising or setting it is dark or livid, being aceompanied by clouds, or if it has halos about it on one side, or the parheliac clouds on both sides. and gives forth either livid or dusky rays, it signifies storms and rain.

We must observe the moon in its course three days before or three days after new moon, full moon, and the quarters. For when it appears thin and clear and has nothing around it. it signifies clear weather. If it is thin and red, and the whole disk of the unlighted portion is visible and somewhat disturbed, it indicates winds, in that direction in which it is particularly inclined. If it is observed to be dark, or pale, and thick. it signifies storms and rains.

We must also observe the halos around the moon. For if there is one, and this is clear. and gradually fading, it signifies fair weather; if there are two or three, storms; if they are yellowish, and broken, as it were. storms accompanied by heavy winds; if they are thick and misty, snowstorms ; pale, or dusky, and broken, storms with both winds and snow;
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 бvขıбта́ $\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu a i \nu o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ oiкєîa $\tau 0 \hat{\jmath} \tau \epsilon$ $\chi \rho \omega ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ є́avт $\hat{\omega} \nu^{2}$ каi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ v a \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota$.

Kai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda a \nu \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \tau \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \gamma-$



 $\mu \alpha i ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu . \quad$ ở $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $i_{i} i \omega s \nu \in \phi \in \lambda o \epsilon i \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ oîov $\tau \hat{\eta} S \Phi_{\alpha} \tau \nu \eta s$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\mu \circ i \omega \omega \nu$, $\epsilon \pi \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu$

 єíai $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha i ́ \cdot ~ к а Ө a \rho \alpha i ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu а \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon-~$ $\chi \hat{\omega} s ~ \sigma \phi o \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \nu \epsilon \cup \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \cdot \epsilon ่ \pi \dot{a} \nu ~ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$



 $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho о \iota s$ ai $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кон $\tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бvбтрофаi $\dot{\omega}$




${ }^{1}$ rov̀s ảatépas $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ VMADE; om. alii Cam.
${ }^{2}$ є́aut $\hat{\omega} \nu$ VMAD, à̀ $\omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ alii Cam.
${ }^{3}$ డ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ VMADEProc., $\pi \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ PLNCam.
${ }^{4}$ émàv . . . vórov soli habent VDN(mg.)Cam.; om. PLNMAEProc.

## TETRABIBLOS II. 13

and the more of them there are the more severe the storms. And the halos that gather about the stars, both the planets and the brilliant fixed stars, signify what is appropriate to their colours and to the natures of the luminaries which they surround.

As for the fixed stars which are elose together in some number, we must observe their colours and magnitudes. For if they appear brighter and larger than usual, in whatever part of the sky they may be, they indicate the winds that blow from their own region. As for the clusters in the proper sense, however, such as Praesepe and the like, whenever in a clear sky their clusters appear to be dim, and, as it were, invisible, or thickened, they signify a downpour of water, but if they are clear and constantly twinkle, heavy winds. Whenever, of the stars called the Asses on each side of Pracsepe, the one to the north becomes invisible, it means that the north wind will blow, and the one to the south, the south wind. ${ }^{1}$

Of occasional phenomena in the upper atmosphere, comets generally foretell droughts or winds, and the larger the number of parts that are found in their heads and the greater their size, the more severe the winds.

Rushing and shooting stars, if they come from one
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 $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha \nu \pi \nu \epsilon v \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \cdot \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \grave{a ̀} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu, \pi \alpha \nu-$ toíovs $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu a s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \beta \rho о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к а i ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к а i$
 є’рícv oै $\nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \prime \sigma \iota \alpha \pi \rho o \delta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ̀$ є’vio $\tau \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \tau \alpha \iota$ $103 \chi \in \iota \mu \omega \prime \nu \omega \nu$. аï $\tau \in \sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \iota \rho o v ̀ s ~ i ́ \rho \iota \delta \epsilon s$


 табíaı $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ő $\mu о \iota \alpha$ $\delta \eta \lambda о \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ тоîs viтò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oikєíшv $\sigma v \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ т̀̀ $\pi \rho о \delta \epsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \alpha$ $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\mu \alpha \tau i ́ \sigma \theta \omega . \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$ бє ката̀ тò $\gamma є \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda \iota \alpha \lambda о \gamma \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \delta o s$


${ }^{1}$ Post vé $\phi \eta$ add. $\dot{\epsilon} v$ ómoious äv $\hat{\omega} \sigma u v$ ópious NCam. ; om. alii Proc.
${ }^{2}$ iotó $\chi \rho o o \iota$ MA, idróxpwor VPLD, ioıóxpovor NECam. (* notatum) ; cf. $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \cdot \ldots \chi \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ Proc.
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angle, denote the wind from that direction, but if from opposite angles, a confusion of winds, and if from all four angles, storms of all kinds, including thunder, lightning, and the like. Similarly clouds resembling floeks of wool are sometimes significant of storms. And the rainbows that appear from time to time signify storms after clear weather and clear weather after storms. To sum up the whole matter, the visible phenomena, which appear with peculiar colours of their own in the atmosphere in general, indicate results similar to those brought about by their own proper occurrences, in the manner already explained in the foregoing. ${ }^{1}$

Let us, then, consider that thus far, in outline, there has been given an account of the investigation of general questions, both in their more universal aspects and in particular detail. In the following we shall supply in due order the procedure for the prediction which follows the genethlialogieal form.
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## BIBAION $\Gamma^{\prime}$


 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$ каі $\tau \grave{a} \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ катакратєìv $\delta v v a \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$





 є̈кабтоข $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ aiтía $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda a \nu \omega-$

 $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \rho о \pi \hat{\eta} s^{4}$ кат $\dot{\alpha}$ т̀̀s ó $\mu о \iota \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu о \nu a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̀pavicu $\pi$ apóסous $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi o v \tau o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta-~$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s$ oủ $\chi$ ó $\mu o i ́ \omega s$. à $\rho \chi \grave{\alpha} s \delta^{\prime}$ oủкє́тᄂ тàs aủ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$
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## BOOK III.

## 1. Introduction.

As in what precedes we have presented the theory of universal events, because this comes first and for the most part has power to control the predictions which concern the special nature of any individual, the prognostic part of which we call the genethlialogical art, we must believe that the two divisions have one and the same power both practically and theoretically. For the cause both of universal and of particular events is the motion of the planets, sun, and moon; and the prognostic art is the scientific observation of precisely the change in the subjeet natures which corresponds to parallel movements of the heavenly bodies through the surrounding heavens, except that universal conditions are greater and independent, and particular ones not similarly so. We must not, however, consider that both divisions ${ }^{1}$ employ the same starting-points, from which, by reckoning the disposition of the heavenly bodies, we attempt to foretell the events signified by their aspects at that time. On the contrary, in the ease of the universals we have to take many startingpoints, since we have no single one for the universe;

$$
{ }^{1} \text { I.e. general astrology and genethlialogical astrology. }
$$
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 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ aiтías

 oठєvóv $\tau \omega \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ p \omega \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \cdot{ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ढ้̈

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu$ à $\rho \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ढ̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \eta$ -



 $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho i ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ i $\delta \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha$, $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$








 '่ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a)$.
 є $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \pi \tau о ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ MNCam. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{3}$ каi таúrךи VPLD : каi om. alii Cam.
4 ou $\beta$ aiveiv VPLMDE, -ovaas NACam.
 $\dot{\eta} \delta \iota \hat{\eta} \mathrm{P}$, є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \mathrm{L}) \mathrm{PL}$ NCam. ; om. VMADEProc.
 VMADProc.; om. E (spatio relicto) PLNCam.
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and these too are not always taken from the subjects themselves, but also from the elements that attend them and carry with them the causes; for we investigate practically all the starting-points presented by the more complete eclipses and the significant passages of the planets. In predictions affecting individual men, however, we have both one and many starting-points. The one is the beginning of the temperament itself, ${ }^{1}$ for this we have; and the many are the successive significances of the ambients which are relative to this first beginning, though to be sure the single starting-point is naturally in this case of greatest importance because it produces the others. As this is so, the general characteristics of the temperament are determined from the first startingpoint, while by means of the others we predict events that will come about at specific times and vary in degree, following the so-called ages of life. ${ }^{2}$

Since the chronological starting-point of human nativities is naturally the very time of conception, but potentially and accidentally the moment of birth, in cases in which the very time of conception is known either by chance or by observation, it is more fitting that we should follow it in determining the special
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 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v} \quad \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \mu \epsilon \prime \nu o v s . \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \xi$





 iठьот $о \pi i ́ a$.
 $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha i \nu \epsilon \iota, \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \rho о \pi \eta ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$ каì $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \eta^{2}$







 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \gamma$ à $\rho$ тóтє $\pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \phi o s ~ a ̂ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$
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nature of body and soul, examining the effective power of the configuration of the stars at that time. For to the seed is given once and for all at the beginning such and such qualities by the endowment of the ambient; and even though it may change as the body subsequently grows, since by natural process it mingles with itself in the process of growth only matter which is akin to itself, thus it resembles even more elosely the type of its initial quality.

But if they do not know the time of conception, which is usually the ease, we must follow the startingpoint furnished by the moment of birth and give to this our attention, for it too is of great importance and falls short of the former only in this respectthat by the former it is possible to have foreknowledge also of events preceding birth. For if one should call the one " source" and the other, as it were," beginning," its importance in time, indeed, is secondary, but it is equal or rather even more perfect in potentiality, and with reasonable propricty would the former be called the genesis of human seed and the latter the genesis of a man. For the child at birth and his bodily form take on many additional attributes which he did not have before, when he was in the woml, those very ones indeed which belong to human nature alone; and even if it seems that the ambient at the time of birth contributes nothing toward his quality, at least his very coming forth into the light under the appropriate conformation of the heavens contributes,
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 $\lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ф $\quad \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{o}$

 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega s$ каi $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ тоьоúт $\omega \nu$ ทं $\gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \eta \lambda \omega-$
 $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ \nu$, où $\chi$ ผ́s $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \tau o \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ '
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ т о \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \nu$.
 то仑̂тo тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \epsilon ́ \phi o \delta \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu a \pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \kappa а \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau o ̀ v$

 $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \varsigma$, $\tau \grave{v} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \alpha \hat{\imath} о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \rho \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \omega \nu, \pi o \lambda v ́ \chi o v \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$ ơv $\nu \alpha$ каi


 $\pi a \rho a \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ a ̀ \nu a \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta v \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$, $\pi \alpha \rho a \iota \tau \eta \sigma o ́-$ $\mu \epsilon \theta a \delta \iota \alpha$ тє тò $\delta$ v́б $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \nu$ каi тò $\delta v \sigma \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \xi о \delta o \nu$.
 $\epsilon i \delta \omega \bar{\omega}$ ката̀ $\tau \grave{\nu}$ є่ $\pi \iota \beta \lambda \eta \mu a \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ v ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \sigma v \nu о \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$




[^227] Proc.; оиукрітько̀ MNDCam., -кротєко̀ PL.
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since nature, after the child is perfectly formed, gives the impulse to its birth under a configuration of similar type to that which governed the child's formation in detail in the first place. ${ }^{1}$ Accordingly one may with good reason believe that the position of the stars at the time of birth is significant of things of this sort, not, however, for the reason that it is causative in the full sense, but that of necessity and by nature it has potentially very similar causative power.

Since it is our present purpose to treat of this division likewise systematically on the basis of the discussion, introduced at the beginning of this compendium, of the possibility of prediction of this kind, we shall decline to present the ancient method of prediction, which brings into combination all or most of the stars, because it is manifold and well-nigh infinite, if one wishes to recount it with accuracy. Besides, it depends much more upon the particular attempts of those who make their inquiries directly from nature than of those who can theorize on the basis of the traditions; and furthermore we shall omit it on account of the difficulty in using it and following it. Those very procedures through which each kind of thing is apprehended by the practical methorl, and the active influences of the stars, both special and general, we sliall, as far as possible, consistently and bricfly, in accordance
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 $\mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \cdot$ тov̀s $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ тồ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \tau o s ~ \tau o ́ \pi o v s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o u ̂ s ~$
 $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ бкото̀v о仑̂ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau о \chi \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota,{ }^{1} \pi \rho о v \pi о-$ $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota, \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоîs тóто七s кат＇Є̇тькра́－ $\tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu о \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ то七ךтєка̀s




 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ öخov $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} S \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa о v \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s$ áкодovӨíav．




 v̇тo $\pi \iota \pi \tau o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ì $\iota \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ．

 то仑 $\mu$ орíov $\tau \hat{\jmath} \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho о \pi \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{̈}{\omega} \rho \alpha s, \dot{a} \pi о \rho i ́ a$
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with natural conjecture, set forth. Our preface shall be an account of the places in the heavens to which reference is made when particular human events are theoretically considered, a kind of mark at which one must aim before proceeding further ; to this we shall add a general discussion of the active powers of the heavenly bodies that gain kinship with these places by dominating them-the loosing of the arrow, as it were; but the predicted result, summed up by the combination of many elements applied to the underlying form, we shall leave, as to a skilful archer, to the calculation of him who conducts the investigation. First, then, we shall diseuss in proper sequence the general matters the consideration of which is accomplished through the time of birth taken as the starting-point, for, as we have said, this furnishes an explanation of all natural events, but, if one is willing to take the additional trouble, by the same reasoning the properties that fall at the time of conception will also be of aid toward ascertaining the peculiar qualities that apply directly to the combination.

## 2. Of the Degree of the Horoscopic Point.

Difficulty often arises with regard to the first and most important fact, that is, the fraction of the hour of the birth; for in general only observation by means of horoseopic astrolabes ${ }^{1}$ at the time of birth
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ '่ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ т $\pi о \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi o v \sigma \iota, \pi о \lambda \lambda a \chi \hat{\eta}^{2}$ ठıa-
 $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega \mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ Є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma v \mu-$

 каi ठıà тò $\tau v \chi o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \pi о \chi a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ a ̉ \nu \omega \mu а 入 i ́ a s, ~ a ̉ \nu а \gamma-~$ каîov äv є̈̈ $\pi \rho о \pi a \rho a \delta o \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau i v a ~ a ̆ \nu ~ \tau \iota s ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~$ $109 \epsilon \dot{v} \rho i ́ \sigma \kappa о \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ỏ $\phi \epsilon i ̉ \lambda o v \sigma \alpha \nu$ ảvaтє́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ но̂̂pav $\tau о \hat{v}$

 $\stackrel{\ddot{\omega}}{ } \rho \alpha \nu \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} S \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu a \phi о \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon i a s$ є $\dot{v} \rho \iota \sigma \kappa о-$






[^231]${ }^{1}$ The " solar instruments" are sun-dials, the gnomons of which cast shadows, the position and length of which
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can for scientific observers give the minute of the hour, while practically all other horoscopic instruments on which the majority of the more careful practitioners rely are frequently capable of error, the solar instruments by the occasional shifting of their positions or of their gnomons, ${ }^{1}$ and the water clocks by stoppages and irregularities in the flow of the water from different causes and by mere chance. It would therefore be necessary that an account first be given how one might, by natural and consistent reasoning, discover the degree of the zodiac which should be rising, given the degree of the known hour nearest the event, which is discovered by the method of ascensions. ${ }^{2}$ We must, then, take the syzygy ${ }^{3}$ most recently preceding the birth, whether it be a new moon or a full moon; and, likewise having ascertained the degree accurately, of both the luminaries if it is a new moon, and if it is a full moon that of the one of them that is above the carth, we must see what stars rule it at the
are significant. Clepsydrae, or water-clocks, operated on the principlo of the hour-glass, exeept that water was used instead of sand. In addition to these instruments the practitioner would undoubtedly have tables of various sorts, ineluding ephemerides, which gave the position of the sun, moon, and planets from day to day, tables of ascensions, ete. Examples of them are preserved among the papyri.
${ }^{2}$ The "ascensions" are tho times, moasured in ares of the equator, in which the signs of the zodiac (which do not lie on the equator, but along the echiptie, which is oblique to the equator) rise ubove the horizon. They will vary for the individual signs, and for the latitudes (Greek, "climes," к $\left.\lambda_{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha\right)$ at which olsservations are made.
${ }^{3}$ A conjunction or an opposition.
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ о і к о \delta є \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ̈ \chi о \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \ddot{\eta} \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}$ ，тоvтє́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ ढ̈v $\tau \iota \ddot{\eta}$

 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \nu \alpha$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ そ ̈ ~ \tau \grave{~} \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i ̂} \sigma \tau \alpha$


 aủ $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \rho \iota \nu о v \mu \epsilon \nu$ ảvaтє́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ढ่v $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ठià $\tau \hat{\eta} S \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{a} \tau a ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu a \phi o \rho a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu a \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda o v ́ \sigma \eta, ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi о \sigma o ́-$

入ó $\frac{1}{} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \kappa \epsilon ́ v \tau \rho a ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ aí $\rho \in \sigma \iota \nu$ ката－

 ó入обұєрє̀s $\dot{\omega} \rho о \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \iota o \nu ~ \eta ้ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀$
${ }^{1} \tau \epsilon$ VPD ；cf．Anon．p．91，Wolf ；om．libri ceteri Proc． Cam．（sed＊notat Cam．${ }^{2}$ et in mg．add．videtur redundure）．
 MNCam．，ধ́ $\gamma \gamma$ ús єiou Proc．
${ }^{3} \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{\omega}$ VPLAD，$\tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ ápı $\theta \mu o ́ \nu$ MNECam．
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time of the birth. ${ }^{1}$ In general the mode of domination is considered as falling under these five forms: when it is trine, house, exaltation, term, and phase or aspect; that is, whenever the place in question is related in one or several or all of these ways to the star that is to be the ruler. If, then, we discover that one star is familiar with the degree in all or most of these respects, whatever degree this star by aecurate reckoning occupies in the sign through which it is passing, we shall judge that the correspouding degree is rising at the time of the nativity in the sign which is found to be closest by the method of ascensions. ${ }^{2}$ But if we discover two or more corulers, we shall use the number of degrees shown by whichever of them is, at the time of birth, passing through the degree that is closer to that which is rising according to the ascensions. But if two or more are close in the number of degrees, we shall follow the one which is most nearly related to the centres and the sect. If, however, the distance of the degree occupied by the ruler from that of the general horoscope is greater than its distance
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 $\kappa \alpha i \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{0 \iota \pi \grave{a}}^{\tau \omega} \nu \kappa \epsilon \in \nu \tau \rho \omega \nu^{2} \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$.

## $\left\langle\widetilde{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\rangle \Delta \iota \alpha i \rho \in \sigma \iota s \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \theta \lambda \iota \alpha \lambda o \gamma i a s$

Tov́т $\omega \nu$ ס̀̀ $\pi \rho о є \kappa \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$, єï $\tau \iota S$ aủ $\bar{\eta} S ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$

 $\delta v \nu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\psi} \psi \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega S$
入ó $\sigma o v, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$

 ov̂ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ каi $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \bar{\eta}^{\prime} \cdot \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha i a \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ö̀ $\tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i$ à $\rho \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ каі ${ }^{\prime} \eta \lambda \nu \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ дó $о$ оs каi
 $111 \tau \epsilon \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi ó $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ à $\tau \rho o ́ \phi \omega \nu$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta$ غ̀ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 बvv $\hat{\eta} \pi \tau \alpha \iota \quad \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \alpha \quad \alpha \rho o ́ \phi \omega \nu, ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ ó $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \mu о \rho \phi \hat{\eta} S$ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu а т о s ~ к а i ~ o ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{4}$ ク̈ $\sigma \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a ́ v \eta \mu a \text { VMDEProc., }-\iota \sigma \mu \text { PL, }-\eta \mu a \tau \iota \text { NACam. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{3} \chi$ рóvev V PLMDEProc., oo NACam.
${ }^{4} \dot{\delta} \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \alpha \theta \omega \nu$ VPLD, om. $\dot{o} \mathrm{E}$, om. $\begin{gathered}\text { o } \pi \epsilon \rho . ~ M N A C a m . ~\end{gathered}$
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from that of the corresponding mid-heaven, we shall use this same number to constitute the midheaven and thereby establish the other angles. ${ }^{1}$

## 3. The Subdivision of the Science of Nativities.

After this preface, should any one simply for the sake of order attempt to subdivide the whole field of genethlialogical science, he would find that, of all the natural and possible predictions, one division concerns solely events preceding the birth, such as the account of the parents; another deals with events both before and after the birth, such as the account of brothers and sisters; another, with events at the very time of the birth, a subject which is no longer so unitary and simple; and finally that which treats of post-natal matters, which is likewise more complex in its theoretical development. ${ }^{2}$ Among the subjects contemporary with the birth into which inquiry is made are those of sex, of twins or multiple births, of monsters, and of children that cannot be reared. To those dealing with post-natal events belong the account of the length of life, for this is not attached to the account of children that cannot be reared; second, that of the form of the body and that of bodily
established. In this case the mid-heaven is made the point of reference. The "general" (ódooхєpés; Proclus paraphrases with кат то̀ ка $\theta^{\prime}$ ödou) horoseope seems to be the "presumable" one.
${ }^{2}$ What follows is practically a list of ehapters in Books iii and iv. Sinee the subject of the last chapter of Book iv (the divisions of time and the ages of man) is not inclurled, its genuineness has been questioned, but not seriously doubted.
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 бvvap $\mu \gamma \gamma \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \phi i \lambda \omega \nu{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \epsilon \xi \xi \hat{\eta} s \delta^{\prime}$ ó $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \xi \epsilon \nu \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \Omega$






 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \omega s$ ن́тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$ ф $\lambda \nu a \rho о \cup ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ каi $\mu \eta ̀$ $\pi \iota \theta a \nu o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ’ \chi o \nu \tau a ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta s^{2}$








 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ тòv $\tau о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \sigma о v \rho a \nu \eta \prime \mu \alpha \tau о s, \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{ }$ $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \eta ̀ \lambda \iota a \kappa o ́ v \cdot ~ " ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~$
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illnesses and injuries; next, that of the quality of the mind and illnesses of the mind ; then that which concerns fortune, both in the matter of possessions and in that of dignities; and after this the account of the quality of action; then that of marriage and of the begetting of children, and that of associations, agreements, and friends; following comes the account of journeys, and finally that of the quality of death, which is potentially akin to the inquiry about the length of life, but in order is rcasonably placed at the end of all these subjects. We shall sketch each of these subjects briefly, explaining, as we said before, together with the effective powers by themselves, the actual procedure of investigation; as for the nonsense on which many waste their labour and of which not even a plausible account can be given, this we shall dismiss in favour of the primary natural causes. What, however, admits of prediction we shall investigate, not by means of lots and numbers of which no reasonable explanation can be given, but mercly through the science of the aspects of the stars to the places with which they have familiarity, in general terms, however, which are applicable to absolutely all cases, that we may avoid the repetition involved in the discussion of particular cases.

In the first place, we should examine that place of the zodiac which is pertinent to the specific heading of the geniture which is subject to query; for example, the mid-heaven, for the query about action, or the place of the sun for the question about the father; then we must observe those phanets which have the relation of rulership to the place in question
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 $\tau o \hat{v}$ ảтотє入є́ซ $\mu \alpha \tau о s$ бкотєîv $\tau a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \mu о р i ́ \omega \nu \epsilon \in \nu$ ois $\epsilon i \sigma \iota v$ av̇тoí $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ oi





 $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \omega \sigma \iota$ каi т $о о \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa о i$ тоîs ả $\rho \iota \theta \mu \circ$ îs $\cdot \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀$
 $\pi а \rho о \delta \epsilon ́ v \omega \sigma \iota$ каi $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu, \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} s \dot{a} \nu a \phi o \rho a ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} s \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$.




${ }^{1}$ пáv $\alpha a s$ VPMDEProc., пávтa LNACam.

 Cam.





 $\rho є \tau \iota к о$ ').
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by the five ways aforesaid; ${ }^{1}$ and if one planet is lord in all these ways, we must assign to him the rulership of that prediction; if two or three, we must assign it to those which have the more claims. After this, to determine the quality of the prediction, we must consider the natures of the ruling planets themselves and of the signs in which are the planets themselves, and the places familiar to them. For the magnitude of the event we must examine their power ${ }^{2}$ and observe whether they are actively situated both in the cosmos itself and in the nativity, ${ }^{3}$ or the reverse; for they are most effective when, with respect to the cosmos, they are in their own or in familiar regions, and again when they are rising and are increasing in their numbers; ${ }^{4}$ and, with respect to the nativity, whenever they are passing through the angles or signs that rise after them, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and especially the principal of these, by which I mean the signs ascendant and culminating. They are weakest, with respect to the universe, when they are in places belonging to others or those unrelated to them, and when they are occidental or retreating in their course; and, with respect to the nativity, when they are declining from the angles. For the time of
${ }^{1}$ See c. 2, p. 233.
${ }^{2}$ The power of the ruling planets.
${ }^{3}$ The horoscopic point and other angles change for each nativity ; the signs of the zodiac, houses of the planets, terms, etc., are cosmic, as being related to the universo itself and therefore fixed.
${ }^{4}$ I.e. when their movement in the zodiac is direet, not retrograde. The theory of epicycles was used to explain the stations and changes of direction in the movement of the phanets.
${ }^{6}$ Or, the signs sncecdent ('̇mavadopai) to the angles.
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \rho \omega \nu \quad \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu, \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \pi \alpha \nu a \phi \circ \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$.




## $\langle\bar{\delta}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \gamma о \nu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$








 $\nu \omega \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \grave{a} \lambda \lambda \eta$ ク́גovs каi трòs тov̀s ä入入ous，


 є่ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \mu \in ̀ \nu$ vinò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\gamma a \theta$ о $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$
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the predicted event in general we must observe whether they are oriental or occidental to the sun and to the horoscope; for the quadrants which precede cach of them and those which are diametrically opposite are oriental, and the others, which follow, are occidental. Also we must observe whether they are at the angles or in the succedent signs; for if they are oriental or at the angles they are more effective at the beginning; if they are occidental or in the succeeding signs they are slower to take action.

## 4. Of Parents.

The guiding style of the specific inquiry, to which we should adhere throughout, runs after this fashion. We shall now, therefore, begin, following the order just stated, with the account of parents, which comes first. Now the sun and Saturn are by nature associated with the person of the father and the moon and Venus with that of the mother, and as these may be disposed with respect to each other and the other stars, such must we suppose to be the affairs of the parents. Now the question of their fortme and wealth must be investigated by means of the attendance ${ }^{1}$ upon the luminaries; for when they are surrounded by planets that ean be of benefit and by planets of their own sect, either in the same signs or in the next following, they signify that the circumstances of the parents will be conspicuously brilliant, particularly if morning stars attend the
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 ó тои̂ K












 бv́ $\mu \phi \omega \nu$ os $\hat{\eta}$ ó $\delta \iota a \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \nu \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \nu$ os $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \cup ́ \chi \eta s$ к $\hat{\eta} \rho o s$




 $\epsilon \not \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$.


 $115 \tau \eta \hat{S}$ ' $A \phi \rho \circ \delta i ́ \tau \eta s$ $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \quad$ ó $\pi \omega \sigma \delta \eta$ ' $\pi о \tau \epsilon$

${ }^{1} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ òv . . . т то́тог om. NCam.<br>${ }^{2} \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ MNAECam.Proc., как $\bar{\omega}$ VD, om. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ PL.<br>${ }^{3}{ }^{3} \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$ VPLADProc., 'Aфробíт $\eta$ MNECam.<br>
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sun and evening stars the moon, while the luminaries themselves are favourably placed in the way already described. ${ }^{1}$ But if both Saturn and Venus, likewise, happen to be in the orient and in their proper faces, ${ }^{2}$ or at the angles, we must understand it to be a prediction of conspicuous happiness, in accordance with what is proper and fitting for each parent. But, on the other hand, if the luminaries are proceeding alone and without attendants, they are indicative of low station and obscurity for the parents, particularly whenever Venus or Saturn do not appear in a favourable position. If, however, they are attended, but not by planets of the same sect, as when Mars rises close after the sun or Saturn after the moon, or if they are attended by beneficent planets which are in an unfavourable position and not of the same sect, we must understand that a moderate station and changing fortunes in life are predicted for them. And if the Lot of Fortune, ${ }^{3}$ of which we shall make an explanation, is in agreement in the nativity with the planets which in favourable position attend the sun or the moon, the children will receive the patrimony intact; if, however, it is in disagreement or opposition, and if no planet attends, or the maleficent planets are in attendance, the estate of the parents will be useless to the children and even harmful.

With regard to the length or the shortness of their life one must inquire from the other configurations. For in the father's ease, if Jupiter or Venus is in any
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 то仑 $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к а \tau а \sigma \tau о \chi а \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ o \nu \cdot ~ a ̉ \delta v v a \mu о и ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oủ久



 $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v ́ \mu \phi \omega \nu o s$ ท̉ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \eta ̈ \lambda \iota o v ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \eta ้ \tau o \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha ́-~$











 тoıs $\ddot{\eta} \sigma i v \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau о \mu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к а і ~ к а v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon р \iota к и \lambda i \epsilon \iota . ~$ ó $\delta$ è то̂ K Kóvov каi av̇тòs как $\omega$ s $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \eta ̊ \lambda \iota o v ~ к а i ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \theta a \nu a ́ t o v s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho є к о ̀ ̀ s ~$ Є่ $\pi \iota \nu o ́ \sigma o v s ~ к а \tau а \sigma к \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota ~ к а i ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{v} \gamma \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ ò $\chi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ．
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aspect whatever to the sun and to Saturn, or if Saturn himself is in an harmonious aspect to the sun, either conjunction, sextile, or trine, both being in power, we must conjecture long life for the father ; if they are weak, however, the significance is not the same, though it does not indicate a short life. If, however, this condition is not present, but Mars overcomes ${ }^{1}$ the sun or Saturn, or rises in succession to them, or when again Saturn is not in accord with the sun but is either in quartile or in opposition, if they are declining from the angles, they merely make the fathers weak, but if they are at the angles or rising after them, they make them short-lived or liable to injury: short-lived when they are upon the first two angles. the orient and the mid-heaven, and the succedent signs, and liable to injury or disease when they are in the other two angles, the occident and lower mid-heaven, or their succedent signs. For Mars, regarding the sun in the way described, ${ }^{2}$ destroys the father suddenly or causes injuries to his sight; if he thus regards Saturn he puts him in peril of death or of chills and fever or of injury by cutting and cauterizing. Saturn himself in an unfavourable aspect to the sun brings about the father's death by disease and illnesses caused by gatherings of humours.
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 $\mu a \tau \tau \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta$ каi $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ' $A \phi \rho о \delta i ́ \tau \eta s$







 $\mu a \sigma \iota \mu o ́ v o \nu \ddot{\eta}$ ả $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \alpha \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota к v \lambda i ́ o v \sigma \iota \cdot \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \iota к о i$




 ov̂́ $\alpha \nu$, тoús $\tau \epsilon$ Өaváтous тov̀s $\mu \eta \tau \rho \iota \kappa o u ̀ s ~ a i \phi \nu i \delta i o v s ~$



 каi $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota '$ à $\pi о к р и ́ \phi \omega \nu ~ к а і ~ \sigma к о т \iota \sigma \mu \omega ิ \nu ~ к а і ~ \pi \rho о \sigma-~$




 $\sigma \omega \omega \nu \nu \ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\eta}$ каì $\theta a \nu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \omega \delta \epsilon-$
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In the case of the mother, if Jupiter is in any aspect whatever to the moon and to Venus, or if Venus herself is concordant with the moon, in sextile, trine, or conjunction, when they are in power, they signify long life for the mother. If, however, Mars regards the moon or Venus, rising after her or in quartile or in opposition, or if Saturn similarly regards the moon by herself, when they are diminishing or declining, again they merely threaten with misfortune or sickness; but if they are increasing or angular, they make the mothers short-lived or subject to injury. They make them short-lived similarly when they are at the eastern angles or the signs that rise after them, and liable to iujury when they are at the western angles. For when Mars in this way regards the waxing moon, it brings about sudden death and injury of the eyesight for the mothers; but if the moon is waning, death from abortions or the like, and injury from cutting and cauterizing. If he regards Venus, he causes death by fever, mysterious and obscure illnesses, and sudden attacks of disease. Saturn regarding the moon causes death and illnesses, when the moon is in the orient, by chills and fever; when she is in the occident, by uterine ulcers and cancers.

We must take into consideration, also, with reference to the particular kinds of injuries, diseases, or deaths, the special characters of the signs in which are the planets which produce the cause, with which
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 $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$.




 $\delta \epsilon \iota \chi \theta \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ò $\lambda о \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ єi $\delta \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho а к-$





 $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa о ́ \tau \omega \nu{ }^{8}$ тоòs $\delta v ́ \nu \alpha \mu \nu \nu \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, iva $\ddot{\eta} \tau \alpha \hat{i} s$



 Cam.; sententiam om. Proc.
$2 ; \xi \in \epsilon \gamma a \sigma \iota \omega \nu$ VPLD, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \in \rho \gamma a \alpha \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ MNAECam.


${ }^{4}$ окотєì NCam.


 MNAECam.
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we shall find more appropriate occasion to deal in the discussion of the nativity itself, ${ }^{1}$ and furthermore we must observe by day particularly the sun and Venus, and by night Saturn and the moon.

For the rest, in carrying out these particular inquiries, it would be fitting and consistent to set up the paternal or maternal place of the sect as a horoscope ${ }^{2}$ and investigate the remaining topics as though it were a nativity of the parents themselves, following the procedure for the investigation of the general classifications, both practical and casual, the headings of which will be set forth in the following. However, both here and everywhere it is well to recall the mode of mixture of the planets, and, if it happens that the planets which rule the places under inquiry are not of one kind but different, or bring about opposite effects, we should aim to discover which ones have most claims, from the ways in which they happen to exceed in power in a particular case, to the rulership of the predicted events. This is in order that we may either guide our inquiry by the natures of these planets, or, if the claims of more than one are of equal weight, when the rulers are together, we may successfully calculate the combined result of the

> '('f. ii. I2, iv. 9 .
> 2 'The anonymous commentator, on this passage, says that the signifinat planet is to be takon as the horoscope. Cf. a similar statement at the rud of e. 5 and BonchoLeclereg, p. $39 t$.
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 каıроѝs $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ оікєі̂a $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ à $\pi о \mu \epsilon \rho i-$


 $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{i}$ av̉ $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{a} \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$, каi $\tau о v ́ \tau о \nu$


 є่кßа́бєє
 тàs $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ кóб $\mu о v$ ү $\omega v i ́ a s ~ \delta \iota a ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s . ~$

$$
\langle\bar{\epsilon} .\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho \imath \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu
$$






 то仑̂ $\mu \epsilon \sigma о$ ораขо仑̂vтоs $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \mu о \rho i o v ~ \tau о \hat{v} \mu \eta \tau \rho є к о \hat{v}$


${ }^{1}$ ёкалтоя codd. Cam.

 MNECam. ${ }^{1}$, tov Cam. ${ }^{2}$
 Cam.
${ }^{5}$ ката̀ VPLD ; $\pi \epsilon \rho$ inde. ${ }^{6} \pi \epsilon \in \rho a s ~ C a m . ~{ }^{2}$ 250
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mixture of their different natures; but when they are separated, that we may assign to each in turn at their proper times the events which belong to them, first to the more oriental among them and then to the occidental. For a planet must from the beginning have familiarity with the place about which the inquiry is made, if it is going to exercise any effect upon it, and in general, if this is not the case, a planet which had no share whatsoever in the beginning can exert no great influence; of the time of the occurrence of the event, however, the original dominance is no longer the cause, but the distance of the planet which dominates in any way from the sun and from the angles of the universe.

## 5. Of Brothers and Sisters.

The preceding may perhaps have made clear the topic of the parents. As for that of brethren, if here too one examines only the general subject and does not carry beyond the bounds of possibility his inquiry as to the exact number and other particulars, it is more naturally to be taken, when it is a question of blood-brethren alone, from the culminating sign, the place of the mother, ${ }^{1}$ that is, that which contains by day Venus and by night the

[^242]
## PTOLEMIY




 $\delta \alpha \psi i ̀ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ’ $\rho \circ \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$ 119 av่ $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ тòv $\sigma \tau о \chi \alpha \sigma \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \pi о \iota o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$,
 $\delta \iota \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu о \iota \varsigma \cdot$ є́à $\nu$ ' oi какотоьоі каӨvтєртєр $\bar{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$

 $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \cdot \epsilon i$ ठ̇̀ каi є̇ $\pi i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \rho \omega \nu$


 $\lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{1} \sigma \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu . \quad \epsilon_{\epsilon} \tau \iota{ }^{2} \mu \epsilon \in \nu \tau o \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \delta o ́ \nu-$






 oi $\tau \epsilon \theta \eta \lambda \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu о \iota, ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ т о v s ~ o i ~$


${ }^{1} \lambda_{o \iota \pi}^{\omega} \nu$ VPLD, ö้vt $\omega \nu$ MNAECam.
${ }^{2}$ є $\epsilon \tau \iota$ VPLDProc., $\mathfrak{c}^{\prime} \pi i$ MNAECam.

## TETRABIBLOS III. 5

moon; for in this sign and that which succeeds it is the place of the children of the mother, which should be the same as the place of the brethren of the offspring. If, then, beneficent planets bear an aspect to this place, we shall predict an abundance of brethren, basing our conjecture upon the number of the planets and whether they are in signs of a simple or of a bicorporeal form. But if the malevolent planets overcome them or oppose them in opposition, they signify a dearth of brethren, especially if they have the sun anong them. If the opposition is at the angles, and especially at the horoscope, ${ }^{1}$ in case Saturn is in the ascendant, they are the first-born or the first to be reared; in case it is Mars, there is a small number of brethren by reason of the death of the others. If the planets which give brethren are in a favourable mundane ${ }^{2}$ position, we must believe that the brethren thereby given will be elegant and distinguished; if the reverse is the case, humble and inconspicuous. But if the maleficent planets overcome those that give brethren, or rise after them, the brethren will also be short-lived; and the male planets in the mundane sense ${ }^{3}$ will give males, the female females; again, those farther to the east the first and those farther to the west the later-born. Besides this, if the planets that give brethren are in harmonious aspect with the
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 $\tau о \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \mu о \rho i o v, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} s$










〈亏َ．〉 $\Pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{a} \rho \rho \in \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \theta \eta \lambda v \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$





 $\phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каi $\tau о \hat{v}$ ஸ́робко́тоv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$







${ }^{1}$ тoùs VPLADE，$\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ MNCam．<br><br>${ }^{3} \sigma \nu \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \circ \cup \mu \epsilon \in ้ \omega \nu \nu$ om．NCam．

planet that rules the place of brethren, ${ }^{1}$ they will make the given brethren friendly, and will also make them live together, if they are in harmonious aspect with the Lot of Fortune; ${ }^{2}$ but if they are in disjunct signs or in opposition, they will produce quarrelsome, jealous, and for the most part, scheming brethren. Finally, if one would busy himself with further inquiries about details concerning individuals, he might in this case again make his conjecture by taking the planet which gives brethren as the horoscope and dealing with the rest as in a nativity.

## 6. Of Males and Females.

Now that the topic of brethren has been brought before our eyes in suitable and natural fashion, the next step would be to begin the discussion of matters directly concerned with the birth, and first to treat of the reckoning of males and females. This is determined ly no simple theory based upon some one thing, but it depends upon the two luminaries, the horoscope, and the stars which bear some relation to them, particularly by their disposition at the time of conception, but more generally also by that at the time of the birth. The whole situation must be observed, whether the aforesaid three places and the planets which rule them are either all or the most of them masculine, to produce males, or feminine,
${ }^{1}$ I.e. the place (literally, "twelfth part" of the zodiac) which governs the inquiry about brethren; soo the beginning of this chapter.
${ }^{2}$ For the Lot of Fortane see iii. 10.
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रoviav ク̈ $\tau \epsilon \theta \eta \lambda v \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ A \eta \lambda v \gamma o v i a v, ~ к а i ~ о и ̈ \tau \omega s$






 Ondúvovzal $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o \iota s ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$


 катабтоұа́цєбӨає.

$$
\langle\bar{\zeta} .\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \iota \delta v \mu o \gamma o ́ v \omega v
$$


 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota$, тоvтє́бть $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \delta$ र́o $\phi \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ каi $\tau \grave{\nu} \dot{\omega} \rho о \sigma-$







 Proc.; тò тoıồтov $\sigma v ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu a$ libri alii Cam.
${ }^{2} \pi a \rho a ̀$ VD, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ libri alii Cam.
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to produce females, and on this basis the decision must be made. We must however distinguish the male and the female plancts in the way set forth by us in the tabular serics in the beginning of this compilation, ${ }^{1}$ from the nature of the signs in which they are, and from the nature of the planets themselves, and furthermore from their position with reference to the universe, since thcy become masculine when they are in the east and feminine in the west ; and besides, from their relation to the sun. for again when they rise in the morning they are made masculine, and feminine when they rise in the evening. By means of all these criteria one must conjecture what planet excrcises preponderating control over the sex.

## 7. Of Tuins.

Likewise with regard to the births of two or even more, it is fitting to observe the same two places, that is, the two luminaries and the horoscope. For such an event is apt to attend the intermixture ${ }^{2}$ when cither two or the three places ${ }^{3}$ cover bicorporcal signs, and particularly when the same is true of the planets that rule them, or when some are in bicorporcal signs, and some are disposed in pairs or in larger groups. But when both the dominant places are in bicorporeal signs and most of the planets are similarly
${ }^{1}$ Sce i. 6.
${ }^{2}$ 'That is, of the influmeres of lmminarios, signs, ete.
${ }^{3}$ The places or honses in which the luminaries and the horoscope are found.
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$\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o v \epsilon{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu o \iota$, тóтє


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \cdot \omega \nu$ à $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \lambda i(\varphi) \kappa \alpha i$


 $122 \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ v \eta$ тоîs ф $\omega \sigma i$ тò то̂̀ $\dot{\omega} \rho о \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi о v ~ к є ́ v \tau \rho о \nu, ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тò ${ }^{3}$ то仑 $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a i \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau o s, ~ a i ~ \tau o \iota a \hat{v} \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu X a \rho i \tau \omega \nu$ 'Aф ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \delta i \tau \eta, \sigma \in \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta \mu \in \theta^{\prime}{ }^{~} E \rho \mu о \hat{v} \tau \in \theta \eta-$
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Дוоккои́ $\rho \omega \nu$ K
 каi Kóp $\eta s^{4}$ 'Aфробíт,$\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \eta$, " $A \rho \eta s$ • ' ' $\phi$ ' $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ف́s
 форєîotal $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ रıvó $\mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ каi тò $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \eta ́ \mu \omega \nu$
${ }^{1} \pi \lambda \epsilon i o v \epsilon s$ VP (-ovals) LDProc., $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ éool MNAECam.


${ }^{4}$ каi Sıovv́oov post Kóp ${ }^{2}$ sadd. NCam., om. libri alii Proc.
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configurated, then it befalls that even more than two are conceived, for the number is conjectured from the star that causes the peculiar property of the number, ${ }^{1}$ and the sex from the aspects which the planets have with respect to the sun and the moon and the horoscope for the production of males or of females, in accordance with the ways stated above. ${ }^{2}$ But whenever such an arrangement of the planets does not include the horoseopic angle with the luminaries, but rather that of the mid-heaven, mothers with such genitures generally conceive twins or even more; and in particular, they give multiple birth, to three males, by the geniture of the Kings, ${ }^{3}$ when Saturn, Jupiter, and Mars are in bicorporeal signs and bear some aspect to the aforesaid places; and to three females, by the geniture of the Graces, when Venus and the moon, with Mercury made feminine, are so arranged; to two males and one female, by the geniture of the Dioscuri, when Saturn, Jupiter, and Venus are so ordered, and to two females and a male, by the geniture of Demeter and Korê, ${ }^{4}$ when Venus, the moon, and Mars are thus ordered. In these cases it generally happens that the children are not completely developed and are born with certain bodily
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu \sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ є̇ $\pi \iota \phi a \nu \epsilon i ́ a s$.

$$
\langle\bar{\eta} .\rangle \Pi \in \rho i \quad \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu
$$

 ó $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu{ }^{1}$ 入óyos. $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o \nu$ رє̇̀v $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$







 $\kappa \alpha i$ тòv оікоо $\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s ~ \tau \epsilon к а i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho о \pi \hat{\eta} S \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu .{ }^{4} \quad \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oi $\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \tau \rho \pi \hat{\eta} s$ aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ ク̈ oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v \epsilon S$ à $\sigma v v^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \tau o \iota ~ \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ öv $\nu \epsilon S$




 тò $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta \delta \in \nu o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho o v ̂ \nu \tau o s ~$
${ }^{1} \tau \epsilon \rho a \tau \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega \nu$ VD.
${ }^{2} \ddot{\eta}$ VPLMNDProc.; каі AECam.
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marks, and again the governing places may bear certain unusual and surprising marks ly reason of the divine manifestation, as it were, of such portents.

## 8. Of Monsters.

The subject of monsters is not foreign to the present inquiry; for, in the first place, in such cases the luminaries are found to be as far as possible removed from the horoscope or in no way related to it, and the angles ${ }^{1}$ are separated by the maleficent planets. Whenever, then, such a disposition is observed, for it frecuuently oceurs in humble and unlucky nativities, even though they are not the genitures of monsters, one should at once look for the last preceding new or full moon, and the lord of this and of the luminaries of the birth. For if the places of the birth, of the moon, and of the horoscope, all or the majority of them, happen to be unrelated to the place of the preceding syzygy, it must be supposed that the child will be nondescript. Now if, under such conditions, the luminaries are found in four-footed or animal-shaped signs, ${ }^{2}$ and the two maleficent planets are centred, the child will not even belong to the human race, but if no beneficent planet witnesses to

[^247][^248]PTOLEMY
 $\tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon о \nu \quad \mathfrak{a} \nu \eta ́ \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảүрíav каi какштıкท̀ $\nu$

 $\rho \omega \nu{ }^{3}$ そ̈ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoıov́ $\tau \omega \nu$ ．＇E $E \mu \circ \hat{v} \delta \epsilon$ ，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i s \chi \rho \epsilon i ́ a \nu$

 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ ката入а $\beta$ 人́v $\eta \tau \alpha \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha v ́ \tau \omega s$



 $\delta \iota \epsilon \iota \lambda \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon s \tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ グ та кє́vтра какотоьоi $\tau v \gamma-$
 $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \gamma \alpha$ о $\pi о \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \hat{\eta}^{5} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ тó $\pi \omega \nu$ ，ä入о $\alpha$ каi $\dot{\omega} s$ ả $\eta \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ aivı $\gamma-$








 ảya $\theta o \pi o \iota \omega \nu$ A ：pos．post $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ MNECam．

 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ какотоь $\hat{\nu}$ Proc．
${ }^{3}$ Post aỉoúp $\omega \nu$ add．$\tilde{\eta} \pi \iota \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \omega \nu$ MNAECam．，om．VPLD．
${ }^{4}$ §́̀ om．MNECam．
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the luminaries, but the maleficent planets do so, it will be completely savage, an animal with wild and harmful nature ; but if Jupiter or Venus witness, it will be one of the kinds regarded as sacred, as for example dogs, cats, ${ }^{1}$ and the like; if Mercury witnesses, one of those that are of use to man, such as birds, swine, oxen, goats, and the like. If the luminaries are found in signs of human form, but the other planets are disposed in the same way, what is born will be, indeed, of the human race or to be classed with humans, but monsters and nondescript in qualitative character, and their qualities in this case too are to be observed from the form of the signs in which the maleficent planets which separate the luminaries or the angles happen to be. Now if even in this case not one of the beneficent planets bears witness to any of the places mentioned, the offspring are entirely irrational and in the true sense of the word nondescript; but if Jupiter or Venus bears witness, the type of monster will be honoured and seemly, such as is usually the ease with hermaphrodites or the so-called harpocratiacs, ${ }^{2}$ and the like. If Mercury should bear witness, along with the foregoing, this disposition produces prophets who also make money thereby; but when alone, Mercury

[^249][^250]PTOLEMY
 $a ̉ \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$
$\langle\bar{\theta}.\rangle \Pi \in \rho i \quad \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho o ́ \phi \omega \nu$






 є́ $\chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ र $о$ óvovs ain $\theta \eta \tau o u ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \tau o v \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota ~$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ є́入áттоvas $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota a \kappa \eta$ s $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \delta o v ~ \mu \iota a ̂ s . ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o s ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$










${ }^{2}$ hórov om. MNECam.
${ }^{1}$ Either because they do not survive or because they are exposed; Ptolemy treats both classes in the same 264
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makes them toothless and deaf and dumb, though otherwise clever and cunning.

## 9. Of Children that are not Reared.

As the account of children that are not reared ${ }^{1}$ is still lacking in the discussion of matters related to the birth itself, it is fitting to see that in one way this procedure is connected with the inquiry concerning length of life, for the question in each case is of the same kind; but in another way they are distinct, because there is a certain difference in the actual meaning of the inquiry. For the question of length of life considers those who in general endure for perceptible lengths of time, that is, not less than one circuit of the sun, and such a space is properly understood to be a year; lut potentially also lesser periods than this, months and days and hours, are perceptible lengths of time. But the inquiry concerning children that are not reared refers to those who do not attain at all to "time" thas defmed. but perish in something less than " time" through excess of the evil influence. For this reason the investigation of the former question is more complex ; but this is simpler. For it is merely the case that if one of the luminaries is angular ${ }^{2}$ and one of the maleficent planets is in conjunction with it, or in
clapter, as does Firmicus Maternus, vii. - (De expositis et non mutritis). Cumont, L'Égypte des astrologues, p. 186, remarks that whereas the ancient Egyptian custom had been to bring up all (dildren born, the Greeks introduced the practice of exposing umwanted babes.
${ }^{2}$ I.e. at one of the angles - rising, setting, or colminating.
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 $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ a ̀ \gamma a \theta o \pi o \iota o \hat{v} ~ \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v, ~ \tau о \hat{v}$




 ai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ какотоь $\bar{\prime}$ ' $\beta$ одаí, $\delta$ v́o $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \sigma \iota v$ оі какотоьоí,







 $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s, \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \eta \nu$ ס白 oo $\tau o \hat{v} K \rho o ́ v o v, \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha} s$

 $126 \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ є่ $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ ката́б $\chi \omega \sigma \iota \tau о \pi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s \eta^{\eta} \tau о \iota{ }^{3} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ф $\hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$

${ }^{1} \delta^{\prime}$ om. NCam. $\quad{ }^{2} \tau \eta \eta_{s} \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ om. NCam.<br>${ }^{3}$ ท̈тоє VD, cf. Proc. ; т $\omega \downarrow$ MNAECam. ; 5' L.
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opposition, both in degrees and with equality of distance, ${ }^{1}$ while no beneficent planet bears any aspect. and if the lord of the luminaries ${ }^{2}$ is found in the places of the maleficent planets, the child that is born will not be reared, but will at once come to its end. But if this comes about without the equality of distance, but the shafts of the maleficent planets succeed closcly upon the places of the luminaries, and there are two maleficent planets, and if they afflict ${ }^{3}$ either one or both of the luminarics either by succeeding them or by opposition, or if one afflicts one luminary and the other the other in turn, or if one afflicts by opposition and the other by succeeding the luminary, in this way too children are born that do not live; for the number of afflictions dispels all that is favourable to length of life because of the distance of the maleficent planet through its succession. Mars especially afflicts the sun by succeeding it, and Saturn the moon ; but conversely in opposition or in superior position Saturn afllicts the sun and Mars the moon, most of all if they occupy as rulers the

[^252]
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ какотоьิิv кат' ібобкє $\epsilon \epsilon i \alpha \nu$,





 $\tau о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ' $\gamma \gamma v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu{ }^{5}$



 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о \eta \gamma o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ фє́ $\rho \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ai $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \prime \nu \tau \epsilon s \quad \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta о \pi о ь o i \quad \kappa \alpha \theta v \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$




 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ v ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o ̀ \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu{ }^{7}$ каi є̀ $\pi i \tau \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau о-$
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places of the luminaries or of the horoseope. But if there chance to be two oppositions, when the luminaries are at the angles and the malefieent planets are in an isosceles configuration, then the infants are born dead or half-dead. And in such circumstances, if the luminaries should chance to be removing from conjunction with one of the beneficent planets, or are in some other aspect to them. but nevertheless cast their rays in the parts that precede them, the child that is born will live a number of months or days, or even hours, equal to the number of degrees between the prorogator ${ }^{1}$ and the nearest rays of the maleficent planets, in proportion to the greatness of the affliction and the power of the planets ruling the cause. But if the rays of the maleficent planets fall before the luminaries, and those of the benefieent behind them, the child that has been exposed will be taken up and will live. And again, if the maleficent planets overcome ${ }^{2}$ the beneficent ones that bear an aspect upon the geniture, they will live to afliction and snbjection; but if the hencficent planets overcome, they will hive but as sup, no-ititious children of other parents ; and if one of the beneficent planets should either be rising or applying ${ }^{3}$ to the moon, while one of the maleficent planets is setting, they will be reared by their own parents. Ant the same methods of juigement are to be used
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 Sv́o ぞ каi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o v s ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ảoтє́p $\omega \nu$ ，


 aủ兀ò̀ aǐias $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$.

## ＜i．〉 Пєрi х $\quad$ óv $\omega \nu \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \varsigma$

$T \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \gamma \mathcal{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \bar{i} \tau \alpha \iota$
















```
\({ }^{2}\) oữos MNAEProc.; oũ̃cus V1'LD, om. Cam.
\({ }^{3}\) то́т \(\boldsymbol{\pi} \nu\) PLAEProc., om. VMNDCam.
\({ }^{4}\) av่ \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \bar{\eta}_{S}\) VPLD ; \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \bar{\eta} s\) av่ \(\hat{\eta}_{S}\) MNAECam.
```
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also in cases of multiple births. But if one of the planets that two by two or in larger groups bear an aspect to the geniture is at setting, the child will be born half-dead, or a mere lump of flesh, and imperfect. But if the maleficent planets overcome them, the infant born subject to this influence will not be reared or will not survive.

## 10. Of Length of Life.

The consideration of the length of life takes the leading place among inquiries about events following birth, for, as the ancient ${ }^{1}$ says, it is ridiculous to attach particular predictions to one who, by the constitution of the years of his life, will never attain at all to the time of the predicted events. This doctrine is no simple matter, nor unrelated to others, but in complex fashion derived from the domination of the places of greatest authority. The method most pleasing to us and, besides, in harmony with nature is the following. For it depends entirely upon the determination of the prorogative ${ }^{2}$ places and the stars that rule the prorogation, and upon the determination of the destructive ${ }^{3}$ places or stars. ${ }^{4}$ Each of these is determined in the following fashion:
${ }^{3} \mathrm{Or}$ anaeretic.
${ }^{4}$ Bouché-Leclercq's (p. 411) summary of Ptolony's system of prorogations is helpful: "His theory rests essentially upon the likening of the zodiac to a wheel upon which the life of the individuals is cast with a greater or less force from a certain place of departure (тótos àфetiкós) and finds itself arrested, or in danger of being arrested, by
 being ablo in any case to go beyond a quarter of the circle. The number of degrees traversed, converted into degrees of right ascension, gives the number of the years of life."
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 $\delta \omega \delta є к а \tau \eta \mu о ́ \rho ь о \nu$ àтò $\pi \epsilon ́ v \tau \epsilon \quad \mu о \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho о а \nu а-$






 $\tau o \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu^{2} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a ́ \nu \eta \mu a$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ \delta v ́ v o \nu, ~ \epsilon \hat{i} \tau \alpha \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \circ \eta \gamma o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ то̂ $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta ́ \mu a \tau o s . ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ víò $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \pi \hat{a} \nu$ єiко́тшs $\dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \tau \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma v$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta \lambda є к \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ к и р i ́ a \nu, ~$

 $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ảvaтє́ $\lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \iota \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \mu o ́ \rho \iota o \nu{ }^{4}$ á $\rho \mu o ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$

${ }^{1}$ Hic titulum habent $\Pi_{\epsilon \rho i} \tau о ́ \pi \omega \nu \dot{a} \phi \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ NCam.; om. V PLMADEProc.<br>$\because \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \mathrm{AE}$, om. PL; $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ NCam., тò VMD.<br>${ }^{3}$ є́от $\dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ \PLD, om. MNAECam.<br>
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In the first place we must consider those places prorogative in which by all means the planet must be that is to receive the lordship of the prorogation ; namely, the twelfth part of the zodiac surrounding the horoscope, from $5^{\circ}$ above the actual horizon up to the $25^{\circ}$ that remains, which is rising in succession to the horizon; the part sextile dexter to these thirty degrees, called the House of the Good Daemon; the part in quartile, the mid-heaven : the part in trine, called the House of the God; and the part opposite, the Occident. Among these there are to be preferred, with reference to power of domination, first those ${ }^{1}$ which are in the midbeaven, then those in the orient, then those in the sign succedent to the mid-heaven, then those in the occident, ${ }^{2}$ then those in the sign rising before mid-heaven; for the whole region below the earth must, as is reasonable, be disregarded when a domination of such importance is concerned, except only those parts which in the ascendant sign itself are coming into the light. Of the part above the earth it is not fitting to consider either the sign that
the actual easting of nativities, Ptolemy here deals with four besides the horoscope itself. Their usual names are: I, Horoseope, ф́оокко́тоs; II, Gate of Hades, 'Aloov пúd $\eta$; 1II, Goddess, $\Theta \in \alpha ́$ (i.e. moon); [V, lower millheaven, ímoүєiov; V', Good Fortune, áa日̇̀ тúx ; VI, Bad Fortme, какخ̀ тúxך; VII, Oecident, 反úaıs: VIII, Begimming of Death, à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ Өavátov; IX, God, $\Theta \epsilon$ ós (i.c. sun): X, mid-hnaven, $\mu \in о o u p a ́ v \eta \mu a ;$ XI, Good Dae-
 Cf. P. Mich. 149. col. ix, 13-19, where slightly different names are given. In this passage l'tolemy has mentioned numbers I, XI, X, IX, VII.
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$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ оӥтє тò $\pi \rho о \alpha \nu \alpha \tau \epsilon i ̂ \lambda \alpha \nu$, ô каi ка入єîтає








 बav $\tau \alpha$. ${ }^{4}$





${ }^{1}$ какоі̂ V ; какоі D ; cf. $\beta \lambda a ́ \pi т \tau є$ Proc.; ілтєркак $\hat{\eta}$ ( $=$ є́тєє$\delta \eta ं \pi \epsilon \rho к а к о \hat{\imath}) \mathrm{P}$; $\boldsymbol{\circ} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ка́кє̂̀ L ; om. MNAECam.
${ }^{2} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{VD} ; \mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{PL} ;$ om. MNAECam.

${ }^{4}$ Post hoe verbum inser. titulum Пєрi то仑 к $\lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho o u ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ тúx $\eta$ s NACam. ; om. libri alii.





${ }^{1}$ The cighth house. "Sign," of course, in this passage means not the fixed signs of the zodiac, but the places or honses of the nativity. One MS. adds here, "which is 274
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is disjunct from the ascendant, ${ }^{1}$ nor that which rose before it, called the House of the Evil Daemon, ${ }^{2}$ because it injures the emanation from the stars in it to the earth and is also declining, and the thick, misty exhalation from the moisture of the earth creates such a turbidity and, as it were, obscurity, that the stars do not appear in either their true colours or magnitudes.

After this again we must take as prorogatives the four regions of greatest authority, sun, moon, horoscope, the Lot of Fortune, and the rulers of these regions.

Take as the Lot of Fortune ${ }^{3}$ always the amount of the number of degrees, both by night and by day, which is the distance from the sun to the moon, and which extends to an equal distance from the horoscope in the order of the following signs, ${ }^{4}$ in order that, whatever relation and aspect the sun
called the Inactive Place," probably a scholion whieh has entered the text. See the critical note.
${ }^{2}$ The twelfth house.
${ }^{3}$ The directions given amount to this: Tako the angular distance from sun to moon in the order of the following signs, i.e. in the direction in which the zodiae is graduated; then lay out the same distance, in the same sense, from the horoseope. The point reached is the Lot of Fortune, and it will be located with respect to the moon as the horoscope is with respect to the sun; hence it can be called a "lunar horoscope." With the older MSS. and Prochus we read $\phi$ épovtos instead of áфaupoûvtes in this passago. On the various accounts of the Lot of F'ortune sce BoucheLeckercq, pp. $289 \cdot 296$ (who, however, read ả $\phi$ aıpoûrtes here).
${ }^{4}$ Here two MSS. and Camerarius (see the critical note) add: "and wherever the number falls, we may say that the Lot of Fortune falls upon that degree of the sign and occupies that place."
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 бко́тоз. ${ }^{2}$



 $\eta_{\eta} \lambda \iota o v$ каi т $̀ \nu \quad \pi \rho о \gamma \epsilon \nu^{\prime} о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ бúvodov каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s{ }^{5}$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \cdot \epsilon i$ ठ̀̀ $\mu \eta$, $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha i ̂ o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \grave{\omega \rho o-~}$



${ }^{2}$ Hic add. NACam. : $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\phi \in i ́ \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu$ ópâv $\pi o$ ôo $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$





 Proc. Deinde pergunt VPLMNDCam. : đ̈ $\sigma \omega s$ §è aùtò toûto





 $\tau \epsilon$ pro $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota$ MNCam., $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ pro $\epsilon \in \beta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ MNACam. Titulum capitis Пóбo九 d́ф́́тає post haee add. NCam., om. VPLMADE.
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bears to the horoscope, the moon also may bear to the Lot of Fortune, and that it may be as it were a lunar horoscope. ${ }^{1}$

Of these, ${ }^{2}$ by day we must give first place to the sun, if it is in the prorogative places; if not, to the moon; and if the moon is not so placed, to the planet ${ }^{3}$ that has most relations of domination to the sun, to the preceding conjunction, and to the horoscope; that is, when, of the five methods of domination ${ }^{4}$ that exist, it has thrce to one, or even more; but if this cannot be, then finally we give preference to the horoscope. By night prefer the moon first,
${ }^{1}$ Camerarius and certain MSS. add here: "We ought, however, to observe which of the lominaries is found following the other. For if the mon is found following the sun, we must lay ont the number which intervnes between the horoseope and the Lot of Fortune in the order of following sigus; but if the moon is foumel preceding the sun, we must set forth this same number from the horoseope in the order of leading signs. Perhaps this is what he means, and the writer's intention is to comt from moon to sum in the vase of those bom at night, and to make the interval in the other direction from the horoscope, that is in the order of hading signs; for thus it will turn out to be the same place for the Lot of Fortune and the same relation of aspect which he mentions." The first part of this passage can hardly be gemme becanse it is at variance with the general directions just qiven by Ptolemy; the introchectory phrase of the last part clearly shows that it originated as a selolion.
${ }^{2}$ I.e. sun, moon, horoscope, Lot of Fortane, and the rulers (sec above),
${ }^{3}$ th an aphatice (prorogative) phace, says Cardanus (p. 169).
${ }^{4}$ Ser iii. O (1, 233).
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 ठєбтотías $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \nu$ каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о$－ $\gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \pi a \nu \sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \nu \circ \nu \kappa \alpha i \not \tau o ̀ v \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \circ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau v ́ \chi \eta s \cdot \epsilon i$





 то̀v оікобєбто́тך $\nu$ ả $\mu ф о т є ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \pi р о к р и т є ́ о \nu, ~ o ̈ \tau а \nu ~ к а i ~$ $\kappa \nu \rho \iota(́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \eta ~ \tau о ́ \pi о \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \mu ф о т \epsilon ́ \rho а s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s$

 131 бv́o $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o v s ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \eta \pi \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu$ ，тóv $\tau \epsilon \epsilon$ Єंs $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ є̀ $\pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$

 ёхо⿱二小欠а MNAECam．
 á ${ }^{\prime}$ ína $\tau$ тov̀s $\chi$ рóvous；om．VPLADProc．

${ }^{4} \tau о ́ \pi \mu$ VMADEProc．，om．PL，$\tau \rho о ́ \pi ш$ NCam．
 NCam．；on．VPLMADEProc．
${ }^{1}$＂But otherwise finally the horoscope is the proro－ gator＇＂is added here in certain MSS．
${ }^{2}$ I．e．a planet which may be the prorogator．The ＂proper sect＂will be diurnal in diurnal genitures，noc－ turnal in nocturnal．
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next the sun, next the planets having the greater number of relations of domination to the moon, to the preceding full moon, and to the Lot of Fortune; otherwise, finally, if the preceding syzygy was a new moon, the horoscope, but if it was a full moon the Lot of Fortune. ${ }^{1}$ But if both the luminaries or the ruler of the proper sect ${ }^{2}$ should be in the prorogative places, we must take the one of the luminaries that is in the place of greatest authority. And we should prefer the ruling planet to both of the luminaries only when it both occupies a position of greater authority and bears a relation of domination to both the sects.

When the prorogator has been distinguished, we must still further adopt two methods of prorogation. ${ }^{3}$ The one, that which follows the order of the following signs, must be used only in the case of what is called
${ }^{3}$ Bouché-Leclercq's (pp. 418-419) exposition may bo quoted: "The prorogator once determined . . . it is necessary to determine the sense in which it launches the life from its prorogative place ; the direct sense, that is, in accordance with the proper movement of the planets, when it follows the series of [following] signs . . .; retrograde . . . when it follows the diurnal movement. . . . At all ovents there is in both cases umity of measurement, the diurnal movement. In the sense here called direct the diurnal movement brings the anaeretic planet or 'following place ' to meet the ' preceding place' where the prorogator is lodged. In the contrary sense it is the prorogator which is carriod to the anaeretic place, which is always the occidont. By either mamer the length of life was equal to the number of degrees of right ascension between the prorogative phace and the anacretic place, at the rate of one year to a degree." Ho proceeds to point ont that it therefore becomes necessary to convert degrees of the zodiae into degrees of right ascension mensured on the equator.
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 какотоьоí，то仑＇$E \rho \mu о \hat{v}^{3} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ó $\pi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о \iota \varsigma ~ a ̈ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega े \nu ~$ $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v .{ }^{4}$ ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ảpı $\theta \mu o ̀ s \tau \hat{\eta} S$



${ }^{1} \tau \dot{\partial} \nu$ VAD，om．PLIINECam．
${ }^{2}$ Post roîs add．v́nò rov̂ áфétov quvarouévots MNAE ；haec omittunt et avvaүopévors post áф́́ $\quad$ ov inser．VPLD．
 MNECam．
${ }^{4} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \imath o v$ VP（ $-\tau \eta \theta^{-}$）LMADE，－os NCam．
${ }^{1}$ On projection of rays（áкт七оßо入ía）see Bouché－ Leclereq，pp． $247-250$ ．The planets，by their rotation in their orbits moving，as the astrologer＇s said，＂from 200
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the projection of rays, ${ }^{1}$ when the prorogator is in the orient, that is, between mid-heaven and the horoscope. We must use not only the method that follows the order of following signs. but also that which follows the order of leading signs, in the socalled horimaea, when the prorogator is in places that dechine from mid-heaven. ${ }^{2}$

This being the case, the destructive degrees in the prorogation that follows the order of leading signs are only the degree of the western horizon, because it eauses the lord of life ${ }^{3}$ to vanish; and the degrees of the planets that thus approach or bear witness ${ }^{4}$ merely take away and add years to the sum of those as far as the setting of the prorogator, and they do not destroy because they do not move toward the prorogative place, but it moves toward them. ${ }^{5}$ The benefieent stars add and the maleficent subtract. Mercury, again. is reckoned with the group to which he bears an aspect. The number of the addition or subtraction is calculated by means of the location in degrees in each case. For the entire number of years is the same as the number of hourly periods of each
right to left," "in the order of the following signs," "regard" those that precede them and " cast rays." liko missiles, at those that follow them; always, however, it the action is to be effective, at the angle of one of the recognized aspects (opposition, quartile, ete., these two having the greatest offensive foree ).
${ }^{2}$ That is, in such cases cither method may be used.
${ }^{3}$ The prorogator, which in this catse moves toward the anseretic place.
${ }^{4}$ Planets in aspect to ono another are said to "bear witurss."
${ }^{5}$ In this case the rays of the planets are cast away from the prorogator; Bouche-Lecleraf, 1. 120.
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta v \sigma \mu a ̀ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{̀ v}$ катаעт $\eta$ ' $\eta$.

Ka $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ єis $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ є $\pi о о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta \omega \delta i \omega \nu$ ä $\phi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$













${ }^{1}$ ӧлє VPLADE; оот $\omega$ MNCam.
$2 \epsilon \pi i$ VPLMADEProc. : $\epsilon \ll$ NCam.
${ }^{3}$ ödov post öv $\nu \omega \nu$ add. MNAECam., om. VPLD.
${ }^{4} \epsilon \in \pi i$ VPLADProc.; dàò MNECam.
${ }^{5}$ є̇ $\xi a \gamma \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ V PDProc., -ov MLNAECam.
${ }^{6} \epsilon \in \pi i$ VADEProc. ; є̇ $\pi i \mu \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ PL; à $\pi \grave{o}$ MNCam.
${ }^{3}$ Post é $\ddagger \dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu o s$ ins. à áa $\rho \epsilon \mathfrak{\imath}$ NACam. ; om. VPLMDEProc.

 VPLADProc.
${ }^{9}$ P’ost tótoos ins. ávąpєî MNAECam. ; om. VPLDProc.
${ }^{10}$ toútous toùs tótous VPLDA (add. каi A) ; toюoútous tov̀s
 тotov́тous каi Cam.
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degree, hours of the day ${ }^{1}$ when it is day and hours of the night when it is night ; this must be our reckoning when they are in the orient, and subtraction must be made in proportion to their departure therefrom, until at their setting it becomes zero.
In the prorogation which follows the order of following signs, the places of the maleficent planets, Saturn and Mars, destroy, whether they are approaching bodily, or project their rays from any place whatever in quartile or in opposition, and sometimes too in sextile, upon the signs called "hearing" or " seeing " ${ }^{2}$ on grounds of equality of power; and the sign that is quartile to the prorogative sign in the order of following signs likewise destroys. Aud sometimes, also, among the signs that ascend slowly the sextile aspect destroys, when it is afflicted, ${ }^{3}$ and again among the signs that ascend rapidly the trine. When the moon is the prorogator, the place of the sun also destroys. For in a prorogation of this kind the approaches of planets avail both to destroy and to preserve, since these are

1 "Hours" were merely twelfth parts of the day (sunrise to sunset) or of the night, and hence "hours of the day " are not of the same length as " hours of the night" except when day and night are equal.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. i. 15.
${ }^{3}$ Seo above, p. 267, concerning "aftliction." Aries, Taurus, Gemini, Pisces, Aquarius, and Capricorn wero classed as rapidly ascending signs; the others, as slowly ascending signs.

## PTOLEMY

 $\kappa є к а к \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota . ~ т а р а т о \delta i ́ ̧ o v \tau \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \grave{\rho} \rho$ є’áv тє єis




 є́áv $\tau \epsilon \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ő $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ ả $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$ ảф $\epsilon \in \nu \tau o s$



 $\epsilon i \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$, кат $\alpha$ т $\tau \epsilon$ тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta о s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$




 Sè $\delta v \tau \iota \kappa о i$. ка $\theta^{\prime}$ ödov $\gamma$ à $\rho$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ vimò $\tau a ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \gamma a ̀ s ~$
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in the direction of the prorogative place. ${ }^{1}$ However, it must not be thonght that these places always inevitably destroy, but only when they are afflicted. For they are prevented both if they fall within the term ${ }^{2}$ of a beneficent planet and if one of the beneficent planets projects its ray from quartile, trine, or opposition either upon the destructive degree itself or upon the parts that follow it, in the case of Jupiter not more than $12^{\circ}$, and in that of Venus not over $8^{\circ}$; also if, when both the prorogator and the approaching planet are present bodily, the latitude of both is not the same. ${ }^{3}$ Thus when there are two or more on each side, assisting and, vice versa, destroying, we must consider which of them prevails, both by the number of those that co-operate and by power ; by number when one group is perceptibly more numerous than the other, and with regard to power when some of the assisting or of the destroying planets are in their own proper places, and some are not, and particularly when some are rising and others setting. For in general we must not admit any planct, either to destroy or to aid, that is under the rays of the sum, except that when the moon is prorogator the place of the sum itself is destructive, when it is changed about by the presence
place: of. Bourhé-Leclereq, pp. 420-421 (esp. 421). He points out the complexity of the catculation and the multitude of choices that lay opon to an astrologer in his interpretation of a geniture.
${ }^{2}$ see i . 20.-21.
${ }^{3}$ This would be true only in cases of the bodily aprioach of plancts, mot in aspert. The motion is that the ray will not hit its mark if the two bodies are not in the same latitule.

## PTOLEMY

 $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \theta o \pi o t \omega \nu \dot{a} \nu a \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s .{ }^{1}$
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v} \delta \iota a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ то̂̂ $\tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa о \hat{v}$ тóтоv каi то仑

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ àvафорєк $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau о \tau \epsilon \chi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu{ }^{2}$ єкка́бтךs $\mu о i ́ \rho \alpha s,{ }^{3}$ $\epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta} \mu o ́ v o \nu$ ö́та⿱ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \sigma \iota$ аúròs ó ảvaто入七кòs ópí̧ $\omega \nu$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ä $\phi \in \sigma \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \grave{\omega}{ }^{\eta} \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \tau$＇aù $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o \iota o v-$



${ }^{1} \beta o \eta \theta$ ó́ $\mu \in \nu o s$ каi à $\nu \alpha \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v o s ~ M A C a m ., ~ \beta o . ~ \hat{\eta}$ àv．NE； ßоך $\theta$ ó́ $\epsilon$ еvos каi om．VPLDProc．<br>${ }_{2}$ каi post $\chi$ рóv $\omega \nu$ add．MCam．；om．alii．<br> PLMNAECam．
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of a maleficent planet ${ }^{1}$ and is not released ${ }^{2}$ by any of the beneficent ones.

However, the number of years, determined by the distances between the prorogative place and the destructive planet, ought not to be taken simply or offhand, in accordance with the usual traditions, from the times of ascension of each degree, except only when the eastern horizon itself is the prorogator, or some one of the planets that are rising in that region. For one method alone ${ }^{3}$ is available for him who is
be (b) north of the equator or (c) south of it. The vernal and autumnal equinoxes, the beginnings of Aries and Libra, are the only points of the celiptie which ean occupy position (a) ; if, however, P is one of these, since it is also a point on the equator, it will pass, like all points on the equator, from horizon to meridian in 6 hours, at the rate of $15^{\circ}$ in I hour (this is the hour called "equinoetial hour" by the Greeks). If P is to the north of the equator, in a north latitude, its ascension from horizon to meridian will be along a path parallel to the equator and longer than the distance from horizon to meridian on the equator; hence it takes longer than 6 equinoctial hours. Conversely, points south of the equator take a shorter course and ascend in times correspondingly shorter than 6 equinoctial hours. Nevertheless, since the Grecks defined " day " as the period from sunrise to sunset and divided it into 12 hours, similarly dividing the night, the aseension of P from rising to eulmination, wherever it is situated on the ecliptic and whatever the latitude, takes place in 6 hours of the day, that is, ordinary or eivil (каьькаi) hours, which may bo longer or shorter than equinoctial hours, and equal to them only when P oceupies position (a), deseribod abovo. The " horary magnitude " or "period" of a point on the eeliptie is the expression in terms of equinoctial times (see p. $95, \mathrm{n} .2$ ) of the length of the civil hour when the sun is at that point ; in morth latitudes, horary magnitudes are greater than 15 for points north of the equator and less [ For continuation of footno'e, see pages $\because 88$ and "s9.

## PTOLEMY





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o v ̀ s ~ a ̀ \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ a i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \pi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ ar $\pi о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu{ }^{3}$




 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ кєє $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{u} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тобov́тоvs $\gamma$ à $\rho$ io $\eta$ -






```
\({ }^{1}\) бкотєіи VPLD, то̂̀ бкотєiv MNAECam.
\({ }^{2} \tau o ̀ v\) V'DProe. ; \(\tau \grave{\eta} v\) bali Cam.
```



```
\({ }^{4}\) io \(\chi \dot{v} \epsilon \iota\) VPND, of. Proc. ; io \(\sigma v \in \epsilon\) LMAECam.
```

for points south, 15 being the horary magnitude of the two equinoctial points. All that has been said about $P$ applies of course to S , which is another point on the ecliptic. The problem of prorogation is simply to discover after how many equinoctial periods or times $S$ comes to the position originally occupied by $\mathbf{P}$ with relation to the meridian (or other centre, such as the western horizon). This position is defined as the one in whieh S is just as many civil hours removed from the meridian (or the point of reference) as was P in its original position. 238
considering this subject in a natural manner-to calculate after how many equinoctial periods ${ }^{1}$ the place of the following body or aspeet comes to the place of the one preceding at the actual time of birth, because the equinoctial periods pass evenly ${ }^{2}$ through both the horizon and the mid-heaven, to both of which are referred the proportions of spatial distances, and, as is reasonable, each one of the periods has the value of one solar year. ${ }^{3}$ Whenever the prorogative and preceding place is actually on the eastern horizon, we should take the times of ascension of the degrees up to the meeting-place; for after this number of equinoctial periods the destructive planet comes to the place of the prorogator, that is, to the eastern horizon. But when it ${ }^{4}$ is actually at the mid-heaven, we should take the ascensions on the right sphere in which the segment ${ }^{5}$ in each ease passes mid-heaven ; and when it is on

One therefore determines how far S was originally removed, how far it is removed when it comes to the position of $P$, and takes the difference, in equinoctial times, as the answer.
${ }^{1}$ An "equinoctial period" or "time" is the length of time which it takes one degree on the equator to pass a fixed point, i.e. $1 / 360$ of $2+$ hours. An "equinoetial hour" is 15 "equinoctial times." For the definition $c f$. Heliodorus (?) in CCAG, vii. 122, 20 ff.
${ }^{2}$ At the rate of 15 per hour. in eontrast to the varying horary periods of degrees on the ecliptic.
${ }^{3}$ In predicting the life of the subject of the horoscope. Cf. P. Mich. 149, col. xii. 11. 10-11.
${ }^{4}$ The prorogator.
${ }^{5}$ The " segment" is the arc (of the ecliptic) between the two places, but the ascension of the following body is to be neasurel on the right sphere; that is, it is right ascension which is measured on the equator.
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 єкка́ $\sigma \tau \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ роьр $\hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ，



 $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi о \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \ddot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho \alpha \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \nu^{2}$ oi $\chi \rho o ́ v o l ~ \tau o u ̀ s$










 $\beta \rho \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$ ，тoùs $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} S$ ठ $\delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ то仑 $\zeta \omega \delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \hat{v}$
 тро́тоv каі кат⿳亠口冋 $\tau \dot{\alpha} s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$

```
\({ }^{1}\) taútas VDMLE; cf. Proc.: taútas PNACam.
\({ }^{2} \sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \omega \nu\) NCam.
\({ }^{3}\) каєрькэ̀ om. MNCam. \({ }^{4} \delta(\dot{\epsilon})\) om. MNCam.
\({ }^{5}\) є́ка́тєрог VD ; -ov cett. Cam.; om. Proc.
```

${ }^{1}$ Comes to the meridian in the same time，and is on the same side of the equator（＂in the same direction＂）． Ptolemy introduces this characterization of＂same and 290
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the western horizon, the number in which each of the degrees of the interval descends, that is, the number in which those directly opposite them ascend. But if the precedent place is not on these three limits but in the intervals between them, in that case the times of the aforesaid ascensions, descensions, or culminations will not carry the following places to the places of the preceding, but the periods will be different. For a place is similar and the same if it has the same position ${ }^{1}$ in the same direction with reference both to the horizon and to the meridian. This is most nearly truc of those which hie upon one of those semicircles ${ }^{2}$ which are described through the sections of the meridian and the horizon, each of which at the same position makes nearly the same temporal hour. Even as, if the revolution is upon the aforesaid arcs, it reaches the same position with reference to both the meridian and horizon, but makes the periods of the passage of the zodiac unequal with respect to either, in the same way also at the positions of the other distances it makes their
similar places" because the whole system of prorogation depends on determining the period after which a subsequent body will come to the same place as, or a similar place to, that occupied by a precedont body. It cannot come to exactly the same place, because both bodies are on the ecliptic, oblique to the equator. Hence it is necessary to define " similar places."
${ }^{2}$ He refers to the arcs of circles, parallel to the equator, passing through the degree of the ectiptic in question, and cutting both horizon and meridian, which are intercoptod between the horizon and the meridian.

## PTOLEMY




 $\pi \rho о \eta \gamma o v ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~ \tau o ́ \pi o s, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̀ v a ́ \lambda o \gamma o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon \in \pi ' ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~$ $\phi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ र $\rho о ́ v \omega \nu$ тòv є́ $\pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \tau o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \lambda \eta \phi \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha L . ~$ $\pi \rho o \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon S$ रà $\rho$ т̀̀v $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho \alpha \nu o v \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \tau o \hat{v}$
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu, \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \quad \sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \tau \bar{\eta} S$ $\pi \rho о \eta \gamma о v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ $\theta$ '́ $\sigma \nu$, то́баs каıрька̀s $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \rho \alpha s ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota$ $\tau о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \nu o \hat{v}, \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ т̀̀s $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \dot{v}$ au $\bar{\eta} S$




${ }^{1}$ є̈ $\sigma \tau \omega$ VDProc. ; є̄бта८ PLMNAECam.
${ }^{2}$ каi om. LCam.
${ }^{3} \mu \mathrm{oipas}$ MAE, $\mu o i ̂ p a v V P D, \mu^{o i} \mathrm{~N}, \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a v o i ̂ ~ C a m . ~$
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passages in times mequal to the former. ${ }^{1}$ We shall therefore adopt one method only, as follows, whereby, whether the preceding place occupies the orient, the mid-heaven, the occident, or any other position, the proportionate number of equinoctial times that bring the following place to it will be apprehended. For after we have first determined the culminating degree of the zodiac and furthermore the degree of the precedent and that of the subsequent, in the first place we shall investigate the position of the precedent, how many ordinary hours it is removed from the meridian, counting the ascensions that properly intervene up to the very degree of mid-heaven, whether over or under the earth, on the right sphere, and dividing them by the amount of the horary periods ${ }^{2}$ of the precedent degree, diurnal if it is
by the use of this table. His directions are, in brief, to take the sum of the ascensions for the degree of the sun by day (or the opposite degree by night) both in the right sphere and in the given latitude; to ascertain the difference between the two and take $\frac{1}{6}$ of it; and then, if the degree was in the northern hemisphere, to add this fraction to the 15 "times" of one equinoctial hour, or, for a southern position, to subtract it. This will give the length of the ordinary or civil hour for the latitude and time of the year in question, in terms of the ascension of degrees of the equator, or "equinoctial times," or as Ptolemy puts it, " the number of (equinoctial) times of the civil hour under consideration." The eivil day-time hour was ${ }^{\frac{1}{18}}$ of the period from sunrise to smiset, or, of course, $\frac{1}{3}$ of the time from sumrise to noon. In Almagest, ii. 9, 1'tolemy gives the same directions for reducing periods expressed in equinoctial times to ordinary or "ivil hours ; multiply the given equinoctial hours by 15 (in order to express then in "equinoctial times," as are the ascensions doalt with in the present passage) and divide by the horary period.

## PTOLEMY

$\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon i ̈ \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma i \omega \nu, \epsilon i \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ نं $\pi \grave{o} \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S$

 $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ є̀vòs каi то仑̂ aủzov̂ $\gamma i v \in \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$
 $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu o v ̀ s ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v s{ }^{2}$ каi тò є́ є́ó $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu ~ \tau \mu \hat{\eta} \mu a$ тàs




 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ офаípas àvaфор $\omega \nu$, каi по́боvs öтє $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$
 $\pi \lambda a \sigma \iota a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \prime s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \tau a v ́ \tau a s ~ \epsilon ’ \pi i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S$
 vitèp $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ єï $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a ́ v \eta \mu a \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \dot{\eta}$ ov́ $\gamma \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu, \tau \dot{o}^{3} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma i \omega \nu, \epsilon i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{o}$





 $\Delta i \delta v ́ \mu \omega \nu$, к入ípa $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ö öov $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$
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above the earth and nocturnal if it is below. But since the sections of the zodiae which are an equal number of ordinary hours removed from the meridian lie upon one and the same of the aforesaid semicircles, it will also be necessary to find after how many equinoctial periods the subsequent section will be removed from the same meridian by the same number of ordinary hours as the precedent. ${ }^{1}$ When we have determined these, we shall inquire how many equinoctial hours at its original position the degree of the subsequent was removed from the degree at mid-heaven, again by means of ascensions in the right sphere, and how many when it made the same number of ordinary hours as the precedent, multiplying these into the number of the horary periods ${ }^{2}$ of the degree of the subsequent; if again the comparison of the ordinary hours relates to the mid-heaven above the earth, multiplying into the number of diurnal hours, but if it relates to that below the earth, the number of nocturnal hours. And taking the results from the difference of the two distances, we shall have the number of years for which the inquiry was made.

To make this clearer, suppose that the precedent place is the begimning of Aries, for example, and the subsequent the beginning of Gemini, and the latitude that where the longest day is fourteen hours long, ${ }^{3}$ and the horary magnitude of the beginning of Gemini
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 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta \prime \mu a \tau o s ~ \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Delta i \delta v ́ \mu \omega \nu \chi \rho o ́ v o v s$


 oï $\pi \epsilon \rho$ єioi тô $\dot{\omega} \rho\llcorner a i o v ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta o v s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ a ̉ \rho \chi \hat{\eta} S ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$






 ข̇токєїтає ஸ́робкотஸ̂ข.




 $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \chi \eta \nu^{2}$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu^{8} \nu \eta^{\prime 9} \chi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu, \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$
${ }^{1}, \zeta^{\prime}$ VPLMDEProc., $\zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$ NACam. Sic et infra.
 MNECam.
${ }^{3} \rho \mu \eta^{\prime}$ VPLMDEProc., $\rho \mu \eta^{\prime} \mu \zeta^{\prime}$ NACam. ${ }^{1}$, $\rho \mu \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ Cam. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{4} \rho \beta^{\prime}$ VPLMDEProc., $\rho \beta^{\prime} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ NACam.
${ }^{5} \mu 5^{\prime}$ libri omnes Proc. Cam. ${ }^{1}, \mu \epsilon^{\prime}$ Cam. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{6} \dot{\eta}$ d $\rho \chi \dot{\eta} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \Delta \star \delta \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ Proc. ; $\Delta \iota \delta \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ om. VD ; om. PLME; ó à фєт兀ко̀s тótos NACam.
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is approximately 17 equinoctial times. ${ }^{1}$ Assume first that the beginning of Aries is rising, so that the beginning of Caprieorn is at mid-heaven, and let the beginning of Gemini be removed from the mid-heaven above the earth 148 equinoetial times. ${ }^{2}$ Now since the beginning of Aries is six ordinary hours ${ }^{3}$ removed from the diurnal mid-heaven, multiplying these into the 17 equinoctial times, which are the times of the horary magnitude of the beginning of Gemini, since the distance of 148 times relates to the mid-heaven above the earth, we shall have for this interval also 102 times. Hence, after 46 times, which is the difference, the subsequent place will pass to the position of the precedent. These are very nearly the equinoctial times of the ascension of Aries and Taurus, since it is assumed that the prorogative sign is the horoscope.

Similarly, let the beginning of Aries be at midheaven, so that at its original position the beginning of Gemini may be 58 equinoctial times ${ }^{4}$ removed from the mid-heaven above the earth. Therefore, since at its seeond position the beginning of Gemini should he at mid-heaven, we shall have for the difference of the distances precisely this amount of 58 times,

[^262][^263]
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 $\epsilon$ is $\tau$ à $\pi \rho о \eta \gamma o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ र $\rho o ́ v o v s ~ i ́ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \iota v o u ̀ s ~ \lambda \beta^{\prime} .{ }^{1} \quad \epsilon \quad \epsilon \pi \epsilon i$
 $\dot{\eta}$ àp $\chi \grave{\eta}$ то仑 $K \rho \iota o \hat{v} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta v \sigma \mu a ́ s, ~ \epsilon ่ \grave{\alpha} \nu$ є $\pi \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon к \alpha ́ к \iota s$
 то仑̂ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \nu о \hat{v}$ каi $\dot{\eta}$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Delta \iota \delta \dot{u} \mu \omega \nu$ ö $\tau \alpha \nu$




 X $\bar{\lambda} \bar{\omega} \nu$ каi то̀ то̂ $\Sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i o v . ~$




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Delta \iota \delta \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \tau 0 \hat{v} \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \sigma$ s

${ }^{1} \lambda \beta^{\prime}$ VPMDEProc., $\lambda \eta^{\prime} \mathrm{L}, \lambda \beta^{\prime}$ เ5 ${ }^{\prime}$ NACam. ${ }^{1}, \lambda \beta^{\prime}$ ॰ $\beta^{\prime}$ Cam. ${ }^{2}$ ${ }^{2} \rho \beta^{\prime}$ VPLMDEProc., $\rho \beta^{\prime} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ NACam.
${ }^{3} \lambda \beta^{\prime}$ VPLMDEProc., $\lambda \beta^{\prime}$ '15' NACam. ${ }^{1}, \lambda \beta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ Cam. ${ }^{2}$


 ${ }^{\circ} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ VPLDE, ímó MNACam., èv Proc.
${ }^{6} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \cdot \frac{s}{s}$ VPLMDE, $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ NACam.
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in which again, because the prorogative sign is at mid-heaven, Aries and Taurus ${ }^{1}$ pass through the meridian.

In the same way let the beginning of Aries be setting, so that the beginning of Cancer may be at mid-heaven and the beginning of Gemini may be removed from the mid-heaven above the earth in the direction of the leading signs ${ }^{2}$ by 32 equinoctial periods. Since, then, again the beginning of Aries is six ordinary hours removed from the meridian in the direction of the occident, if we multiply this by 17 we shall have 102 times, which will be the distance of the beginning of Gemini from the meridian when it sets. At its first position also it was distant from the same point 32 times; hence it moved to the occident in the 70 times of the difference, in which period also Aries and Taurus descend and the opposite signs Libra and Scorpio ascend. ${ }^{3}$

Now let it be assumed that the beginning of Aries is not on any of the angles, but removed, for example, three ordinary hours from the meridian in the direction of the leading signs, so that the 18 th degree of Taurus is at mid-heaven, and in its first position the beginning of Gemini is 13 equinoctial times removed from the mid-heaven above the earth in the order of
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 $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} s \mu \in ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ e ́ \pi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s ~ a ̀ v a \phi o \rho a i ̂ s ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$,





```
\({ }^{1}\) し \({ }^{\prime}\) VPLMDEProc. ; \(\zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}\) NACam.
\({ }^{2} \nu a^{\prime}\) VPLMDEProc. ; \(\nu a^{\prime} \kappa \delta^{\prime}\) ACam.; \(v a^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\).
\({ }^{8} \xi \delta^{\prime}\) VPLMDE ; \(\xi \delta^{\prime} \kappa \delta^{\prime}\) NACam. \({ }^{2}\), \(\xi \delta^{\prime} \kappa \zeta^{\prime}\) Cam. \({ }^{1}\)
\({ }^{4} \mu \varsigma^{\prime}\) libri Proc. Cam. \({ }^{1}\); \(\mu \epsilon^{\prime}\) Cam. \({ }^{2}\)
\({ }^{5} o^{\prime}\) VPLMDEL’roc.; ó \(\lambda \beta^{\prime}\) NACam. \({ }^{1}, \lambda 5^{\prime \prime}\) Cam. \({ }^{2}\)
\({ }^{6}\) aüт \(\eta\) VAD, av̇т̀̀ PL, av̇тòs MNECam.
\({ }^{7} \alpha ̆ \lambda l \omega v\) VPLADProc., ö̀ \(\lambda \omega \nu\) MNECam.
```
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the following signs. ${ }^{1}$ If, then, again we multiply 17 equinoctial times into the three hours, the beginning of Gemini will at its second position be distant from mid-heaven in the direction of the leading signs 51 equinoctial times, and it will make in all 64 times. ${ }^{2}$ But it made 46 times by the same procedure when the prorogative place was rising, 38 when it was in mid-heaven, and 70 when it was setting. Hence the number of equinoctial times at the position between mid-heaven and the occident differs from each of the others. For it is 64, and the difference is proportional to the excess of three hours, ${ }^{3}$ since this was 12 equinoctial times in the case of the other quarlrants at the centres, but 6 equinoctial times in the case of the distance of three bours. And inasmuch as in all cases approximately the same propertion is observed, it will be possible to use the method in this simpler way. For again, when the precedent degree is at rising, we shall employ the ascensions up to the subsequent; if it is at mid-heaven, the degrees on the right sphere ; and if it is setting, the descensions. But when it is between these points, for cxample, at the aforesaid interval from Aries, we shall take
${ }^{1}$ Thus, the first of Aries is west of the meridian and the first of Gemini east of it.
2.e. 13 times to reach the meridian, plus in times beyond it.
${ }^{3}$ I.e. the centres are 6 hours remowed irom one another, and a difference of 12 times is observed when the move. ment of the subsequent place up, to one of the centres is compared with its mevement to the next enntre in order. Hence when the prorogative place does not move between centre amd mentre, 6 hours, bat only half of that time, this differential alse will be only $\frac{1}{2}$ of its full amount. 6 times instead of 12 times.

## PTOLEMY

 кє́v $\tau \rho \omega \nu, \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon ́, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \delta \delta \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀$ тò $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a ́ \nu \eta \mu a$ тò $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ v́тє́кєєьто $\dot{\eta}$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \tau о \hat{v} K \rho \iota o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \dot{v}$ то̂ $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma о \nu \rho a \nu o \hat{v} \nu \tau o s ~ \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \rho o v ~ \kappa a i ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta u ́ v o \nu \tau o s, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~$
 $140 \delta v v^{\prime} \mu \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \nu \eta^{\prime}, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\sigma v \gamma \kappa a \tau a \delta \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu o^{\prime}$. $\neq \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \tau a \quad \mu a \theta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma,{ }^{4}$ ís $\pi \rho o-$

 äv $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ a \hat{u} \tau \alpha l ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тồ $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu о \rho i o v$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu \ddot{\eta} \dot{a} \phi \epsilon \lambda o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$



 $\dot{\omega} \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \ddot{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \mu \iota \sigma v \mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s, \lambda a \beta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{8}$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \iota \beta^{\prime} \tau \grave{o}{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \mu \iota \sigma v$


 $\epsilon i \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \varsigma^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \rho i ́ \tau o \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s,{ }^{10} \tau \grave{~ \tau} \tau \rho i \tau o \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ viт $\epsilon \rho \circ \chi \hat{\eta} S ~ \iota \beta^{\prime} \chi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu$, тоv $\tau \in \sigma \tau \iota$ тov̀s $\delta^{\prime}, \epsilon i \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta}$
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first the equinoctial times corresponding to each of the surrounding angles, and we shail find, since the beginning of Aries was assumed to be beyond the mid-heaven above the earth, between mid-heaven and the oecident, that the corresponding equinoctial times up to the first of Gemini from mid-heaven are 58 and from the occident 70 . Next let us ascertain, as was set forth above, ${ }^{1}$ how many ordinary hours the precedent section is removed from either of the angles, and whatever fraction they may be of the six ordinary hours of the quadrant, that fraction of the difference between both sums we shall add to or subtract from the angle with which comparison is made. For example, since the difference between the above mentioned 70 and 58 is 12 times, and it was assumed that the precedent place was removed by an equal number of ordinary hours, three, from each of the angles, which are one half of the six hours, then taking also one-half of the 12 equinoctial times and either adding them to the 58 or subtracting them from the 70 , we shall find the result to be 64 times. But if it was removed two ordinary hours from either one of the angles, which are one-third of the six hours, again we shall take one-third of the 12 times of the excess, that is, 4. and if the removal by two hours had been assumed to be from the mid-heaven, we would have added

$$
\text { 'See p. } 297 .
$$
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 $\kappa \alpha ́ s, \delta \iota a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau о \hat{v} \ddot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \quad \ddot{\eta}$











 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} v \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ àvaı $\rho \in \tau \iota \grave{\eta} \nu$ кvрíav $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ ó $\phi \epsilon \iota-$


[^267][^268]304
them to the 58 times, but if it was measured from the occident we would have subtracted them from 70.

The method of ascertaining the amount of the temporal intervals ought in this way consistently to be followed. For the rest, we shall determine in each of the aforesaid cases of approach or setting, ${ }^{1}$ in the order of those that ascend more rapidly, those which are destructive, climacteric, or otherwise transitional, ${ }^{2}$ according as the meeting is aflicted or assisted in the way we have already explained, ${ }^{3}$ and hy means of the particular significance of the predictions made from the temporal ingresses of the meeting. ${ }^{4}$ For when at the same time the places are afflicted and the transit of the stars relative to the ingress of the years of life afflicts the governing places, we must understand that death is definitely signified; if one of them is benignamt, great and dangerous crises; if both are benignant, only sluggishness, injuries, or transitory disasters. In these matters the special quality is ascertained from the familiarity of the oecurrent places with the circumstances of the nativity. Sometimes, when it is doubtful which ought to take over the destroying
${ }^{2}$ I.e. we shall discover whether the periods determined by such prorogations as have been described are torminated by actual death, some important crisis, or an event of less importanco. Cf. Hephaestion ap. $C C A G$, viii. 2, p. 81 , 1 ff .
${ }^{3}$ The reference is to what was said carlier in the chapter about the influence of the various planets; see pp. 281 ff .
${ }^{1}$ Cf. what is said about the chronocrators in the later part of iv. 10.

## PTOLEMY


 катакодоv $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \eta \geqslant \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ́ \pi a ́ \sigma а s ~ ผ ́ s ~ к а \tau ’ ~ i \sigma o ́ \tau \eta \tau а ~ \tau \eta ̄ s ~$

 $\mu$ ย́vous.
> $\langle\bar{\imath}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \mu о \rho \phi \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\kappa \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \rho$ $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$


 $\kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\eta} S \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} S \delta \iota a \tau v \pi \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau о \hat{v}$










 $\tau \omega s$. ठıà $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau o ́ \pi \omega \nu \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ả $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$


 Cam.
${ }^{2}$ viatє 0 VP ( $\left.\epsilon i \sigma \tau-\right)$ LDProc. ; om. MNAECam.
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power, there is nothing to prevent our calculating the occourses of each and then either following, in predicting the future, the occourses which most agree with past events, or observing them all, as having equal power, determining as before the question of their degree.

## 11. Of Bodily Form and Temperament.

Now that the procedure in the matter of the length of life has been explained, we speak about the form and character of the body, beginning the detailed discussion in the proper order, inasmuch as naturally, too, the bodily parts are formed prior to the soul; for the body, because it is more material, carries almost from birth the outward appearances of its idiosyncrasies, while the soul shows forth the characters conferred upon it by the first cause only afterwards and little by little, and external accidental qualities come about still later in time.

We must, then, in general observe the eastern horizon and the planets that are upon it or assume its rulership in the way already explained; ${ }^{1}$ and in particular also the moon as well ; for it is through the formative power of these two places ${ }^{2}$ and of their rulers and through the mixture of the two kinds, ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ See iii. 2 (p. 233).
${ }^{2}$ The eastern horizon and the place where the moon is found.
${ }^{3}$ Apparently, the influence of the places and that of their rulers are the two "kinds" to which reference is mado.
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 iठıотротías.

 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є̀ $\pi i \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ó $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ тô K Kóvov àvaтo$143 \lambda$ ८ко̀s $\check{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \mu о \rho \phi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda i ́ \chi \rho о а s ~ \pi о є \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каі



 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu о \rho \phi \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a v a s ~ к \alpha i \quad \sigma \pi \iota \nu \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota s ~ к а i ~ \mu \iota к \rho о и ̀ s ~ к а i ~$

 $\epsilon \quad \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \xi \eta \rho \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha i \not \psi v \chi \rho \hat{\omega}$.

 тò $\epsilon$ ひ̈ $\chi \rho о v \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ \tau \rho \imath \chi a s ~ к а i ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о ф \theta a ́ \lambda \mu о v s{ }^{4}$
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and furthermore through the forms of the fixed stars that are rising at the same time, that the conformation of the body is ascertained ; the ruling planets have most power in this matter and the special characters of their places aid them.

The detailed account, then, as one might report it in simple terms, is this: First, among the planets, Saturn, if he is in the orient, makes his subjects in appearance dark-skinned, robust, black-haired, curly-haired, hairy-chested, with eyes of moderate size, of middling stature, and in temperament having an excess of the moist and cold. If Saturn is setting, in appearance he makes them dark, slender, small, straight-haired, with little hair on the body, rather graceful, and black-eyed; in temperament, sharing most in the cold and dry.

Jupiter, as the ruler of the aforesaid regions, when he is rising. makes his subjects in appearance light of skin, but in such a way as to have a good colour, with moderately eurling hair and large eyes, tall, and commanding respect; in temperament they exceed in the hot and the moist. When Jupiter is setting, he makes his suljects light, to be sure, but not as before, in such a way ats to give them a good colour, and with lank hair or even bald in front and on the
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 $\dot{v} \gamma \rho \hat{\omega}$.

 $\gamma \lambda a v \kappa о ф \theta a ́ \lambda \mu$ оvs каi $\delta a \sigma \epsilon i ̂ s ~ к а i ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ \tau \rho \iota \chi a s, ~ \tau \hat{\eta} ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho i o u s$ тоîs $\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ каi $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о ф \theta$ á $\lambda \mu о$ s $^{2}$




 $\chi \alpha \rho \iota \tau \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каі $\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa о \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \omega \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каі | $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \nu-$ |
| :---: | $\mu о \rho \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu^{3}$ каi $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi v \mu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каi т $\tau v \phi \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$.

 viтoұapoтoús.
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda i ́ \chi \rho o a s$ каi $\sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o v s ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ к а i ~ \epsilon v ̉ \rho v ́ \theta-~$ цovs каi $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о \phi \theta a ́ \lambda \mu о v s ~ к а i ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ \tau \rho ı \chi a s, ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\kappa \rho а ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ о \nu ~ \grave{\epsilon} \chi о \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \hat{\varphi} \cdot \delta v \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 каi $\sigma \pi \iota v o \grave{s}{ }^{6}$ каi ioxvò̀s каi $\lambda о \xi$ о $\phi \theta a ́ \lambda \mu$ оиs $\tau \epsilon$ ?
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crown, and of average stature ; in temperament they have an excess of the moist.

Similarly, Mars, when rising, makes his subjects in appearance red and white of complexion, tall and robust, gray-eyed, with thick hair, somewhat curly, and in temperament showing an excess of the warm and dry. When he is setting, he makes them in appearance simply ruddy, of middle height, with small eyes, not much hair on the body, and straight yellow hair ; their temperament exceeds in the dry.

Venus has effects similar to Jupiter's, but is apt to make her subjects more shapely, graceful, womanish, effeminate in figure, plump, and luxurious. On her own proper account she makes the eyes bright as well as beautiful.

Mercury, in the orient, makes his subjects in appearance sallow, of moderate height, graceful, with small eyes and moderately curling hair; in temperament, showing an excess of the warm. In the occident he makes them, in appearance, of light but not of good colouring, with straight hair and olive complexion, lean and spare, with glancing, brilliant eyes, ${ }^{1}$ and somewhat ruddy; in temperament they exceed in the dry.
${ }^{1}$ The text is perhaps corrupt ; aiyonós seems to be other. wise unknown.
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 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} S \quad \sigma \nu \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \omega \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu \kappa \rho \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu .{ }^{1}$
 тоьךба́ $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о \pi о \iota o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \zeta о \nu-$


 какоих七 $\omega \nu$ каі бv $о \chi \hat{\omega} \nu .{ }^{2}$
 $\mu o v ̀ s ~ \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau а ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \iota a \tau v \pi \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каì $\tau \dot{\alpha} s ~ к р \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s, ~$





 $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ MNAECam.
${ }^{2}$ каi ovvo $\neq \omega \downarrow$ libri, cf. Proc.: om. Cam.


${ }^{1}$ See i. 24.
${ }^{2}$ Probably a reference to the last paragraph of i. 10, but the anonymous commentator (p.136, ed. Wolf) seems to think it refers to i. 8.
${ }^{3}$ The commentator's (l.c.) explanation of this phrase
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The luminaries assist each of these when they bear an aspect to them, the sun tending to a more impressive and robust effect, and the moon, especially when she is separating ${ }^{1}$ from the planets, in general tending toward better proportion and greater slenderness, and toward a more moist temperament : but in particular cases her effect is proportioned to the special quality of her illumination, in accordance with the system of intermixture explained in the beginning of the treatise. ${ }^{2}$

Again, generally, when the planets are morning stars and make an appearance, ${ }^{3}$ they make the body large; at their first station, powerful and muscular; when they are moving forward, ${ }^{3}$ not well-proportioned; at their second station, rather weak; and at setting, entirely without repute bit able to bear hardship and oppression.

Likewise their places. as we have said, ${ }^{5}$ take an important part in the formation of the bodily characters and temperaments. In general terms, once more, the quadrant from the spring equinox to the summer solstice makes the subjects well-favoured in complexion, stature, robnstness, and eyes, and exceeding
"appearances," "phases," are the positions of the planets with resperet to the sum.
${ }^{4}$ Strangely enough, according to the ancient terminology, when the planets are " moving forward" (in the clirection of the diurnal movement, " in the direction of the leading signs," or cast to west) they are "retreating " (ávamodiGoves) with respect to their (west to east) motion in their own orbits; cf. Bonché-Leclircq, p. 429, 1 (on this passage) and p. 117, 1. The commentator (l.c.) here says, toutéotiv, áфєтєкоí (probably áфаирєтєк oí should be read).
${ }^{5} \mathrm{H}_{0}$. refirs to places in the zodiac and to i. 10.
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$\kappa \alpha i \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \hat{\varphi} \cdot \tau o ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \rho о \pi \hat{\eta} S ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~$







 pous $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu o ́ \tau \rho \iota \chi a s ~ \dot{v} \pi o \psi i ́ \lambda o u s ~ v i \pi o \rho-~$


Kaт̀̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta \omega \delta i ́ \omega \nu$
 $\sigma v ́ \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha$ тоîs $\sigma \chi \eta ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ катабкєvá乌єь. тà $\delta$ ' є́тєро́ $о \rho \phi а$ $\mu є \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \eta \mu а \tau і \zeta є \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ iठias $\mu о \rho \phi \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ оiкєîov $\tau \grave{s}$ то̂ $\sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu$ атоs $\sigma v \mu-$ $146 \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ к а \tau \alpha ́ ~ \tau \iota v a ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ a ̀ \phi о \mu о ь ̂ \hat{\imath ̂ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ о i ̂ к є i ̂ a ~}$

 $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho v \theta \mu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каi à $\rho \rho v \theta \mu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu{ }^{\cdot 5}$ є̀ $\pi i$ тò $\mu \epsilon i ̂ \zeta о \nu$


 каi той Taúpov каi тоv̂ Мє́òтоs тà $\mu \grave{v}$ ăv $\omega$ каi
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in the moist and warm. The quadrant from the summer solstice to the autumn equinox produces individuals with moderately good complexion and moderate height, robust, with large eyes and thick and curly hair, exceeding in the warm and dry. The quadrant from the autumn cquinox to the winter solstice makes them sallow, spare, slender, sickly, with moderately curling hair and good eyes, exceeding in the dry and cold. The quadrant from the winter solstice to the spring equinox produces individuals of dark complexion, moderate height, straight hair, with little hair on their bodies, somewhat graceful, and exceeding in the cold and moist.

In particular, the constellations both within and outside of the zodiac which are of human shape produce bodies which are harmonious of movement and well-proportioned ; those however which are of other than human shape modify the bodily proportions to correspond to their own peculiarities, and after a fashion make the corresponding parts like their own, larger and smaller, or stronger and weaker, or more and less graceful. For example, Leo, Virgo, and Sagittarius make them larger; others, as Pisces, Cancer, and Capricorn, smaller. And again, as in the case of Aries, Taurus, and Leo, the upper and fore parts make them more robust and the lower and hind parts weaker. Conversely the fore parts of
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тô Toگ́óтоv каi то̂ $\Sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i ́ o v ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \Delta i \delta v ́ \mu \omega \nu$




 $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \alpha \not \partial \lambda \omega \nu$ ó $\mu \circ i \not \omega s$. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ä $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \phi о \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha s$

 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s \tau \omega ิ \nu \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau о \chi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
$\langle\bar{\beta}.\rangle \quad \Pi \in \rho \hat{i} \sigma \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ö入ov $\delta \iota a ́ \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu$ à $\pi о \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \rho o ̀ s$
 147 каi тò Súvov, $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ Sè $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta u ́ v o \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~$



 $\mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \mu o i ́ p a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ тó $\pi \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon s$

[^275]Sagittarius, Scorpio, and Gemini cause slenderness and the hind parts robustness. Similarly too Virgo, Libra, and Sagittarius tend to make them wellproportioned and graceful, while Scorpio, Pisces, and Taurus bring about awkwardness and disproportion. So it is with the rest, and it is fitting that we should observe and combine all these things and make a conjecture as to the character which results from the mixture, with regard both to the form and to the temperament of the body.

## 12. Of Bodily Injuries and Diseases.

Since the subject which comes next is that which treats of the injuries and diseases of the body, we shall attach here in regular order the method of investigation devised for this form of query. It is as follows. In this case also, to gain a general comprehension, it is necessary to look to the two angles of the horizon, that is, the orient and the occident, and esperially to the occident itself and the sign preceding it, which is disjunct ${ }^{1}$ from the oriental angle. We must also observe what aspect the maleficent planets bear to them. For if they, one or both of them, are stationed against the ascending degrees of the aforesaid
> ${ }^{1}$ See i. 16; this sign is the fifth from the aseendant and is the so-called sixth house.
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 каi $\pi a ́ \theta \eta \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa$ à $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тov̀s $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \mu \epsilon$ 'vous vimovo $\eta$ -







 à $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ фv́бєєs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa а к о и ̆ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ какоv-




 $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon ́ \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta v v a \tau o ́ v, ~ a i ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$
 $\pi \tau \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ тoぃov̂ $\sigma \nu,{ }^{1} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \nu \rho \iota \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\tau o \hat{v}$





 каi карঠías каi $\nu \epsilon \cup ̛ \rho \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \omega \nu \quad \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$.
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places, either bodily on them or quartile or in opposition to them, we must conclude that the subjects born will suffer bodily injuries and disease, especially if either one or both of the luminaries as well chance to be angular in the manner described, ${ }^{1}$ or in opposition. For in that case not only if one of the maleficent planets is rising after the luminaries, but even if it is rising before them and is itself angular, it has power to produce one of the aforesaid injuries or diseases of such kind as the places of the horizon and of the signs may indicate, likewise what is indicated by the natures of the afflicting and the afflicted ${ }^{2}$ planets, and moreover by those that bear an aspect toward them. For the parts of the individual signs of the zodiac which surround the afflicted portion of the horizon will indicate the part of the body which the portent will concern, and whether the part indicated can suffer an injury or a disease or both, and the natures of the planets produce the kinds and causes of the events that are to occur. For, of the most important parts of the human body, Saturn is lord ${ }^{3}$ of the right car, the spleen, the bladder, the phlegm, and the bones; Jupiter is lord of touch, the lungs, arteries, and scmen; Mars of the left ear, kidneys, veins, and genitals; the sun of the sight, the brain, heart, sinews and all the right-hand parts; Venus of

[^278]
## PTOLEMY

 $\gamma \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$ каі $\chi о \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ каі $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \rho \alpha s \cdot \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu}$ $\gamma \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega^{\prime}$ я $\tau \epsilon$ каi катато́бєढs каi $\sigma \tau о \mu \alpha ́ \chi о v ~ к а і ~$







 $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \kappa \emptyset \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$.




 $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \grave{o} \nu \stackrel{\ddot{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\tau \alpha \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta}$






 той $\Sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i ́ o v ~ к а i ~ \tau о і ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ П \lambda о ́ к а \mu о \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho є \sigma \iota ~$

 MNCam.; pust haec verba add. є́ктоопі̀v Cam., om. Libri Proc.
320
smell, the liver, and the flesh; Mercury of speech and thought, the tongue, the bile, and the buttocks; the moon of taste and drinking, the stomach, belly, womb, and all the left-hand parts.

For the most part it is a general principle that injuries occur when the significant maleficent planets are oriental, and diseases, conversely, when they are setting. The reason for this is that these two things are distinguished thus-an injury affects the subject once for all and does not involve lasting pain, while disease bears upon the patient either continuously or in sudden attacks.

For the purpose of ascertaining particulars, certain configurations significant of injury or sickness have been specially observed, by means of the events which generally accompany such positions of the stars. For blindness in one eye is brought about when the moon by itself is upon the aforesaid angles, or is in conjunction, or is full, and when it is in another aspect that bears a relation to the sun, but applics to one of the star clusters in the zodiac, as for example to the cluster in Cancer. and to the Pleiades of Taurus, to the arrow point of Sagittarius, to the sting of Scorpio, to the parts of Leo around the Coma Berenices, or to the pitcher of Aquarius;
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 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\eta} \lambda \iota \varphi$ őv $\tau \epsilon S, \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu \eta} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota o \iota, \pi \epsilon \rho i$ аं $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ o ́ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o u ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a i ̈ \tau \iota o \nu ~ \pi o \iota \eta ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu . ~$





 $\tau \iota \nu o s \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ кє́v $\tau \rho \omega \nu, \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ бє̀







 $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ то̂ ' $E \rho \mu о \hat{v}$ катà $\tau \grave{o}$ av่т̀̀ $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$ $\tau v ้ \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ тô̂ K

${ }^{1} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \rho \mathrm{VD}, \mu \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\nu}$ oưv PLProc., $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{V}$ MNAECam.

 PL.

322

## TETRABIBLOS III. 12

and whenever Mars or Saturn moves toward the moon, when it is angular and waning and they are rising, or again when they ascend before the sun, being themselves angular. But if they are in aspect with both luminaries at once, either in the same sign or in opposition, as we said, morning stars with respect to the sun and evening stars to the moon, they will affect both eyes; for Mars brings about blindness from a blow, a thrust, iron, or burning; when he has Mercury in aspect, in palaestras and gymnasiums or by felonious attack. Saturn causes it by suffusion, cold, glaucoma, and the like. Again if Venus is upon one of the aforesaid angles, particularly the occident, if she is joined with Saturn or is in aspect with him or has exchanged houses, and is inferior to Mars or has him in opposition, the men who are born are sterile, and the women are subject to miscarriages, premature births, or even to embryotomics, particularly in Cancer, Virgo, and Capricorn. ${ }^{1}$ And if the moon at rising applies to Mars, and if she also bears the same aspect to Mercury that Saturn does, while Mars again is elevated above her or is in opposition, the children born are eunuchs or
${ }^{1}$ Certain MSS. here add, "when the moon applies to the star clusters she incapacitates the eyes," which, as Camerarius notes in the margin of the second odition, is redundant here.
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 $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota ~ \gamma i ́ v o \nu \tau a \iota ~ к а і ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ K \rho \iota \hat{̣}$ каi



 $\ddot{\eta} \mu о \gamma \iota \lambda a ́ \lambda o \iota ~ o ̋ \sigma o \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ K \rho o ́ v o v ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v}$







 $\grave{\eta}$ є่àv тоїs $\phi \omega \sigma i \nu$ оі какотоьоí, каi $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$

 Kаркívov, इкортiov, Aiүо́кєрш, үívоутаı $\lambda \omega \beta$ خ́бєєs $\tau о \hat{v} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ к v \rho \tau \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \ddot{\eta}$ кv $\lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ ク̈ $\chi \omega \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$
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hermaphrodites or have no ducts and vents. ${ }^{1}$ Since this is so, when the sun also is in aspect, if the luminaries and Venus are made masculine, the moon is waning, and the malefieent planets are approaching in the succeeding degrees, the males that are born will be deprived of their sexual organs or injured therein, particularly in Aries, Leo, Scorpio, Capricorn, and Aquarius, and the females will be childless and sterile. Sometimes those who have such genitures continue not without injury to the sight also; but those suffer impediment of speech, lisp, or have difficulty in enunciation who have Saturn and Mereury joined with the sun at the aforesaid angles, particularly if Mercury is also setting and both bear some aspect to the moon. When Mars is present with them he is generally apt to loosen the impediment to the tongue, after the moon meets him. Again, if the luminaries, together or in opposition, move toward the malefieent planets upon the angles, or if the malefieent planets move toward the luminaries, particularly when the moon is at the nodes ${ }^{2}$ or her bendings, or in the injurious signs such as Aries, Taurus, Cancer, Scorpio, or Capricorn, there come about deformations of the body such as hunchback,
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 $\mu \eta \kappa i \zeta o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ à $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda o v s \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta v ́ v \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$,


 Kpóvov $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \sigma v \mu \pi \tau \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu \ddot{\eta}$ vavayí $\omega \nu$ ク̈ $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.








 $\kappa а \theta^{\prime}$ ö̀ov $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ó $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ тoú K каi тодvфлєүна́тоvs каi $\rho \in \cup \mu a \tau \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota s, ~ к а \tau i ́ \sigma \chi \nu о v s$ $\tau \epsilon$ каї ảбӨєขıко̀̀s каі іктєрькоѝs ${ }^{2}$ каі $\delta v \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \iota-$ коѝs каi $\beta \eta \chi$ єко̀̀s каi àvaфорıкоѝs каi кш入ıкоѝs каi






```
\({ }^{1} \ddot{\eta} \chi о \lambda \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu\) VP ( \(\left.\chi \omega \lambda о \iota \kappa-\right)\) LADProc.; о́ \(\chi \lambda \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu\) MNECam.
\({ }^{2}\) каі іктєрєкоѝs om. Cam.
\({ }^{3} \mu \epsilon \lambda а \gamma \chi о \lambda\) єкоч̀ om. Cam.
```
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crookedness, lameness, or paralysis, congenital if the maleficent planets are joined with the luminaries, but if they are at the mid-heaven points, elevated above the luminaries or in opposition one to the other, the deformations will result from serious dangers, such as falls from a height, the collapse of houses, or the attacks of robbers or animals. If Mars prevails, the danger is from fire, wounds, bilious attacks, or robberies; if it is Saturn, through collapse of buildings, shipwreck, or spasms.

For the most part injuries come about when the moon is near the solstitial or equinoctial signs, particularly at the spring equinox, injuries by white leprosy; at the summer solstice, by lichens; at the fall equinox, by leprosy; at the winter solstice, by moles and the like. Diseases are likely to result when at the positions already described the maleficent planets are in aspect, but in the opposite sense, that is, evening stars with respect to the sun and morning stars to the moon. For in general Saturn causes his subjects to have cold bellies, increases the phlegnm, makes them rheumatic, meagre, weak, jaundiced, and pronc to dysentery, coughing, raising, colic, and elephantiasis; the females he makes also subject to diseases of the womb. Mars causes men to spit blood, makes them melaucholy, weakens their lungs, and causes the itch or scurvy; and furthermore he causes them to be constantly irritated by cutting or cautery of the secret parts because of fistulas, hæmorrhoids,

[^284]
## PTOLEMY



 $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}^{2}$ т̀̀s $\pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha s$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$
 iठı $\omega$ ر $\alpha \tau \alpha \pi о \iota o \hat{\sigma} \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\sum v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta^{\prime}$ aủroîs $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota S$



 $\theta \omega ́ \rho а к а ~ к а і ~ ф а ́ \rho v \gamma \gamma а ~ к а і ~ о \tau о ́ \mu а \chi о \nu . ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\delta$ є̀ то仑 " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ тро̀s $\tau \grave{o ̀} \xi \eta \rho \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi v ́ \omega \nu$, $\omega$
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каі є’ $\rho v \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\lambda \epsilon \iota \chi \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\nu} \omega \nu$
 i $\in \rho \hat{\alpha} s \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$.


 idíws $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ó $\mu$ ѐv Kаркivos каi ó Aiүóкєршs каi оi
 $153 \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu о \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \eta \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каі $\lambda \epsilon \iota \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \ddot{\eta}$



[^285]- ${ }^{n} \mu$ ravias VPLMADProc., om. NECam.
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or tumours, or also burning uleers, or eating sores; he is apt to afflict women furthermore with miscarriages, embryotomies, or corrosive diseases. Of themselves, they also bring about the properties of disease in agreement with the natures, which have been already discussed, of the planets in aspect, as they relate to the parts of the body. ${ }^{1}$

Mercury assists them ${ }^{2}$ chiefly to prolong the evil effects, when he is allied with Saturn inelining toward cold and continually stirring into activity rheumatisms and gatherings of fluid, particularly about the ehest, throat, and stomach. When he is allied with Mars he adds his force to produce greater dryness, as in cases of uleerous sore eyes, eschars, ${ }^{3}$ abscesses, erysipelas, savage lichens or skin eruptions, black bile, insanity, the sacred disease, ${ }^{4}$ or the like.

Certain qualities of disease are determined by changes among the zodiacal signs which surround the aforesaid configurations on the two angles. For in particular Cancer, Capricorn, and Pisees, and in general the terrestrial and piscine signs, cause diseases involving eating sores, lichens, seales, scrofula, fistulas, elephantiasis, and the like. Sagittarius and Gemini are responsible for those that conse
${ }^{1}$ The reference is to the planetary molothesia, earlier in the chapter (p. 319). Aeting in their own proper charac. ters (idiws), the maleficent planots will affoct those parts of the body of which, in the melothesia, they wero said to be the "lords".
${ }^{2}$ Saturn and Mars, the maleficont planets.
${ }^{3}$ Dry sloughs, erusts, or scabs. ${ }^{4}$ Epilepsy.
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \mu о \rho i ́ \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha}$ äкра $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$





 $\tau \epsilon \sigma i ́ v \eta$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \in \sigma \epsilon \alpha \iota \cdot \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha v ́ \tau \omega s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa a ̈ \nu$ $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \in \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta v \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon ̀$ ن́ $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$





 үàp $\tau$ о̂ै $\Delta \iota o ̀ s \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ \alpha \iota s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i v a \iota s ~ \delta i \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta \pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ • $\sigma u ̀ \nu$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \tau о \hat{v}^{~} E_{\rho \mu о \hat{v}}^{\kappa \alpha i} \phi \alpha \rho-$
 'Aфробíтךs $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho о \phi \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega \nu}$ каі $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota, \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta$ таîs ảmò $\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \quad i \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha \iota s$ $\epsilon v ่ \pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma o ́ \rho \eta \tau \alpha \cdot \tau о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ́ v \tau о \iota K \rho o ́ v o v \pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \nu \tau о s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі $\epsilon \in \xi \alpha о \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu^{2}$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоьои́-
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about with falling fits or epileptic seizures. And when the planets are in the last degrees of the signs they eause diseases and injuries especially in the extremities, through lesions or rheumatism, from which elephantiasis and, in general, gout in the feet and hands result. Sinee this is the case, if no beneficent planet bears an aspect to the maleficent ones which furnish the cause, or to the luminaries on the centres, the injuries and diseases will be incurable and painful; so also, if they bear an aspeet but the maleficent planets are in power and overcome them. But if the beneficent planets are themselves in the authoritative positions and overeome the maleficent planets that bear the responsibility for the evil, then the injuries are not disfiguring and do not entail reproach and the diseases are moderate and yield to treatment, and sometimes they may be easily cured, if the beneficent planets are rising. For Jupiter generally causes the injuries to be concealed by human aid through riches or honours, and the diseases to be mitigated; and in company with Mereury he brings this about by drugs and the aid of good physicians. And Venus contrives that through pronouncements of the gods and oracles the blemishes shall be, in a way, comely and attraetive, ${ }^{1}$ and that the diseases shall be readily moderated by divine healing; if however Saturn is by, the healing will be accompanied by exhibition and
${ }^{1} C f$. the famous passage of the Republic (474DE) in which Plato tolls how lovers praise the irregular features and the complexions of their favourites.
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## $\langle\bar{\gamma}.\rangle \Pi \in \rho i \quad \pi$ о८óт $\eta \tau$ os $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$

Пєрi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ô̂v $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ó

 ขоєро̀v $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ к а \tau а \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ \nu о \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta ı \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ к а т a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$ $\tau о \hat{v}$ ' $E \rho \mu о \hat{u}$ à $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$ $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau о \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$
 $\tau \circ \hat{v} \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \omega \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \prime \rho \circ v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu,{ }^{2} \tau o u \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \eta s, \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \pi о \rho \rho о i ́ a s ~ \ddot{\eta}$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ $\sigma v \nu a \phi \dot{\alpha} s$ aủ $\hat{\eta} S$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$. $\pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho о \pi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v \delta^{\prime}$ oै $\nu \tau о \varsigma ~ \tau о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \psi v \chi \iota \kappa a ̀ s ~$


 $\zeta \omega \delta i ́ \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тóv $\tau \epsilon \tau о \hat{v}$ ' $E \rho \mu о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i$
 таs ả𧰨тє́pas Sıaфopai mo入̀̀ $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$
 є’ $\chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho о к є і ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \delta o s ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \sigma \chi \eta-$


[^288]
## TETRABIBLOS III. 12-13

confession of the discase, ${ }^{1}$ and such like, but if Mercury ${ }^{2}$ is joined with her it will be with the accrual of use and gain, through the injuries and diseases themselves, to those that have them.

## 13. Of the Quality of the Soul.

The character, then, of the inquiry into bodily affections would be of this sort. Of the qualities of the soul, those which concern the reason and the mind are apprehended by means of the condition of Mercury observed on the particular occasion; and the qualities of the sensory and irrational part are discovered from the one of the luminaries which is the more corporeal, that is, the moon, and from the planets which are configurated with her in her separations and applications. ${ }^{3}$ But since the variety of the impulses of the soul is great, it stands to reason that we would make such an inquiry in no simple or offhand manner, but by means of many complicated observations. For indeed the differcnces between the signs which contain Mercury and the moon, or the planets that dominate them, can contribute much to the character of the soul; so likewise do the aspects to the sun and the angles shown by the planets that are related to the class of qualities under consideration, and, furthermore,
${ }^{1}$ Tho commontator (p. 141, od. Wolf) says that this refers to the custom of taking the sick to temples for healing. So the disease would be openly exhibited and spoken of. Proclus indicates that the cure is through desplay and confession.
${ }^{2}$ Hormes (Mercury) was tho god of commerce and gain. - Gf. i. 2t.
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 тàs $\psi v \chi \iota \kappa \alpha ̀ s ~ к \iota v \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon ı s ~ i \delta \iota o ́ т \rho о \pi о \nu . ~$







 $\mu o v ́ \sigma o v s, ~ \rho ̣ a \theta v ́ \mu o v s, ~ \epsilon u ̛ \pi o \rho i ́ \sigma \tau o v s, ~ \mu \epsilon \tau а \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa a ́ s . ~$



 ảтокоо́тоия, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau а \theta$ є́тоиs.




 є̇ $\pi \iota \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́ s, ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \mu o ́ v o v s, ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu є v \tau \iota \kappa a ́ s, ~ \beta \epsilon \beta a i a s$, $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau a ́ s, \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \phi \rho o v a s$, àтотє $\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \kappa a ̀ s ~ \hat{\omega} \nu$ ßoúdov-
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that peculiar natural quality of each one of the planets which relates to the movements of the soul.

Of the signs of the zodiac in general, then, the solstitial signs produce souls fitted for dealing with the people, fond of turbulence and political activity, glory-seeking, moreover, and attentive to the gods, noble, mobile, inquisitive, inventive, good at conjecture, and fitted for astrology and divination. The bicorporeal signs make souls complex, changeable, hard to apprehend, light, unstable, fickle, amorous, versatile, fond of music, lazy, casily aequisitive, prone to change their minds. The solid signs make them just, unaffected by flattery, persistent, firm, intelligent, patient, industrious, stern, selfcontrolled, tenacious of grudges, extortionate, contentious, ambitious, factious, grasping, hard, inflexible.

Of configurations, positions in the orient and at the horoscope, and in particular those which are in proper face, ${ }^{1}$ produce liberal, simple, self-willed, strong, noble, keen, open souls. Morning stations and culminations make them calculating, patient, of good memory, firm, intelligent, magnanimous, accomplishing what they desire, inflexible, robust, rough, not readily deceived, critical, practical, prone to inflict punishment, gifted with understanding. Precessions ${ }^{2}$ and settings make them easily changed,
${ }^{1}$ See i. 23.
${ }^{2}$ The advances of a planet, as opposed to its retrograde movoment (ảvamodıбرós) or its stations ( $\sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \gamma \mu o i$ ); cf. Bouché-Leclercq, p. 111. The tern can be ambiguous; see on c. 11 above (p. 313).

## PTOLEMY

 $\nu$ ás, $\delta \epsilon i \lambda \alpha{ }_{s}$, ả $\mu \phi \iota \beta o ́ \lambda o u s, ~ \theta \rho a \sigma v \delta \epsilon i ̉ d o v s, ~ a ̀ \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon i a s$,




 каi фıдотóvovs, $\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon v \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} s \delta_{\epsilon} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ à $\pi о \kappa \rho v ́ \phi \omega \nu$
 тпрьака́s, $\mu є \tau \epsilon \omega \rho о д о ү \iota \kappa а ́ s, ~ о ’ \rho \gamma а \nu \iota к а ́ s, ~ \mu \eta \chi а \nu \iota к а ́ s, ~$
 бкотька́s, òvєєрокрıтıка̀s каi тàs óдоías.













$\left.{ }^{1} \phi \rho \in \nu \eta \rho \leqslant \iota s\right]$ ф $\rho o v i \mu o u s$ NCam. Proc.
 MNACam.

 övtes add. NCam.).
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unstable, weak, unable to bear labour, emotional, humble, cowardly, deceitful, bullying, dull, slowwitted, hard to arouse. Evening stations and position at mid-heaven beneath the earth, and furthermore, in the ease of Mercury and Venus, by day evening settings and by night morning settings, produce souls noble and wise, but with mediocre memory, not painstaking nor fond of labour, but investigators of hidden things and seekers after the unknown, as for example magieians, adepts in the mysteries, meteorologists, makers of instruments and machines, conjurors, astrologers, philosophers, readers of omens, interpreters of dreams, and the like.

When, in addition, the governors of the soul, as we explained at the beginning, are in their own or familiar houses or sects, ${ }^{1}$ they make the characters of the soul open, unimpeded, spontaneous, and effective, especially when the same planets rule the two places at once, that is, when they are configurated to Mereury in any aspeet whatever, and hold the separation or application of the moon; ${ }^{2}$ if they are not so disposed, however, but are in places alien to them, it renders the properties of their own natures obscure, indistinct, imperfect, and ineffective with respect to the active quality of the soul. The powers, however, of the nature of the planets that dominate
${ }^{1}$ The governors of the soul are Mercury and the moon. For the houses, soe i. 17, and for the sects, i. 7 and 12.
${ }^{2}$ 'That is, whon the moon is separatiug from thom or applying to them.
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 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \pi i \tau \epsilon v \kappa \tau о \iota ~ к а i ~ \epsilon v ่ \tau \iota \mu \omega ́ \rho \eta \tau о \iota ~ \gamma i v o \nu \tau a \iota . ~ о i ~ \delta ' ~ \alpha \hat{v}$ $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \theta_{0} \pi о \iota \frac{v}{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o \grave{s} \epsilon i \rho \eta-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ v o u s ~ o ̋ \rho o v s ~ \sigma v \nu о \iota к є i ́ \omega \sigma \iota v ~ a ̀ \gamma а \theta о i ~ к а і ~ \delta і к к а \iota о \iota, ~$






 тข $\chi \propto$ ข́ $о v \sigma \iota \nu$.










${ }^{3} \dot{\eta} \theta_{\iota \kappa} \hat{\eta} s$ VP ( $\left.\grave{\eta} \theta \eta \kappa-\right)$ MADE, cf. Proc.; $\epsilon i \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ MNCam., iઠィкท̂s L.
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or overcome ${ }^{1}$ them are vigorous and injurious to the subjects. Thus men who, by reason of the familiarity of the maleficent planets, are unjust and evil, find their impulse to injure one another easy, unimpeded, secure, and honourable, if those planets are in power; but if they are overcome by planets of the opposite sect, the men are lethargic, ineffective, and easily punished. And those again that through the familiarity of the beneficent planets to the aforesaid boundaries are good and just, if these planets are not overcome, are themselves happy and bear a good repute for their kindness to others, and, injured by none, continue to benefit from their own justice ; if, however, the good planets are dominated by opposites, simply because of their gentleness, kindness, and compassion, they suffer from contempt and reproach or even may easily be wronged by most people.

This, then, is the general method of inquiry as to character. We shall next briefly consider, in due order, the particular traits resulting from the very nature of the planets, in this kind of domination, until the theory of mixture has been treated in its most important aspects.

If Saturn alone is ruler of the soul and dominates Mercury and the moon, if he has a dignified position
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 тıкои́s, фıдохрךна́тоvs, $\beta \iota a i ́ o v s, ~ Ө \eta \sigma a v \rho ı \sigma т ı к о и ́ s, ~$


 $\rho \eta к о ́ т а s, ~ к а к о \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~ \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \rho \eta ́ \mu о и s, ~ \phi \iota \lambda o \theta \rho \eta ́ v o u s, ~$

 $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ т o v s$.

 a’ $\alpha \theta$ ои́s, $\tau \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa о$ ป̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$,
 vas, $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \psi v ́ \chi o v s, ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta o \tau \iota \kappa o v ́ s, ~ \epsilon v ่ \pi \rho o \alpha \iota \rho є ́ \tau o v s, ~$ фıлоıкєíovs, $\pi \rho a ́ o v s, ~ \sigma v v є \tau о v ́ s, ~ a ̀ \nu є к \tau \iota к о v ́ s, ~ е ’ \mu-~$
 $\mu a \nu \iota \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota s, \psi о ф о \delta \epsilon \epsilon i ̂ s, \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta \alpha i \mu о \nu а s, i \in \rho о ф о \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha s$,

${ }^{1} \mu$ нкрочи́xous om. MECam.<br><br>

${ }^{1}$ Bouché-Leclercq, p. 309, enumerates the conditions which should exist if a planct is to act effectively, classifying them as relations to the circles of the nativity, to the zodiac, to the other planets, and to the planet's own movement and the sun. With reference to the zodiac, the planet should be in a "solid" sign, in a quadrant and a sign of the same sex as itself, in one of its own proper domains (house, triangle, exaltation, terms, decans), and 340
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with reference to the universe and the angles, ${ }^{1}$ he makes his subjects lovers of the body, ${ }^{2}$ strongminded, deep thinkers, austere, of a single purpose, laborious, dictatorial, ready to punish, lovers of property, avarieious, violent, amassing treasure, and jealous; but if his position is the opposite and without dignity, he makes them sordid, petty, mean-spirited, indifferent, mean-minded, malignant, cowardly, diffident, evil-speakers, solitary, tearful, shameless, superstitious, fond of toil, unfeeling, devisers of plots against their friends, gloomy, taking no eare of the body.

Saturn, allied with Jupiter in the way described, again in dignified positions, makes his subjects good, respectful to elders, sedate, noble-minded, helpful, ${ }^{3}$ eritical, fond of possessions, magnanimous, generous, of good intentions, lovers of their friends, gentle, wise, patient, philosophical ; but in the opposite positions, he makes them uncultured, mad, easily frightened, superstitious, frequenters of shrines,
not in its place of depression. With regard to the circlo of the nativity, the planet should be upon an angle (especially mid-heaven) or in a favourable aspect (trine or sextile) to an angle, and not in a place which bears no aspect to the horoscope (is disjunct). This will explain what, in general, are "dignified" or "honourable " positions, and their opposites.
${ }^{2}$ 'tolemy's lists of characters and qualities attaching to the various plancts, which occupy the rest of this chapter, are remarkably useful in reconstructing a picturo of life in Egypt under the Roman Empire. F. Cumont, L'Egypte des astroloyues (Brusseds, 1937), makes constant use of them for this purpose.
${ }^{3}$ Certain MsS. add "without sharphess" here; see the critical noto.
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 ả $\nu \in \xi$ єка́коия.

 $\tau \iota \kappa \circ$ v́s, ò $\chi \lambda \eta \rho \circ$ v́s, $\theta \rho a \sigma v \delta \epsilon i ̉ \lambda o v s, ~ a v ̇ \sigma \tau \eta \rho \circ \pi \rho a ́ \xi o v s$,



 $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota к \alpha ́ к о v s, \beta a \theta v \pi о \nu \eta$ роиs, $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \tau a s$, ảvvтоíotovs, ооßарои́s, фортıкои́s, каvұұматіая, какшта́s,



 $\gamma \alpha s, \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s, v o \theta \epsilon v \tau \alpha ́ s$, какота $\theta \epsilon i \hat{\iota}$, aí $\chi \rho о к \in \rho \delta є i ̂ s$,




 MECam.
 VADEProc.
${ }^{3}$ á $\delta \eta \eta_{\kappa} \kappa \tau o u s$ codd. Cam. ${ }^{1}$; fortasse á $\delta \epsilon \eta \dot{\eta} \tau o v s$ legendum est ut coniecit Cam. ${ }^{2}$ : om. Proc.
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public confessors of ailments, suspicious, hating their own children, friendless, hiding within doors, without judgement, faithless, knavishly foolish, venomous, hypocritical, ineffective, unambitious, prone to change their minds, stern, hard to speak with or to approach, cautious, but nevertheless foolish and submissive to abuse.

Saturn, allied with Mars, in honourable positions makes his subjects neither good nor bad, industrious, outspoken, nuisances, cowardly braggarts, harsh in conduct, without pity, contemptuous, rough, contentious, rash, disorderly, deceitful, layers of ambushes, tenacious of anger, unmoved by pleading, courting the mob, tyrannical, grasping, haters of the citizenry, fond of strife, malignant, evil through and through, active, impatient, blustering, vulgar, boastful, injurious, unjust, not to be despised, haters of mankind, inflexible, unchangeable, busy-bodies, but at the same time adroit and practical, not to be overborne by rivals, and in general successful in achieving their ends. In the opposite positions he makes his subjects robbers, pirates, adulterators, submissive to disgraceful treatment, takers of base profits, godless, without affection, insulting, crafty, thieves, perjurers, murderers, eaters of forbidden foods, evildoers, homicides, poisoners, impious, robbers of temples and of tombs, and utterly depraved.
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 цovs, $\mu \iota \sigma о к a ́ \lambda o v s, ~ \phi \theta o v \epsilon \rho o v ́ s, ~ a v ̌ a \tau \eta \rho o v ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s$ ovvovoías, à $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi o ́ \rho o v s, ~ \mu о v o \gamma v \omega ́ \mu о \nu a s, ~ ф о \iota \beta a-~$


 160 є’ $\mu \phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o ́ \phi o v s, \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma v \mu \beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma,{ }^{2} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon i \varsigma$,

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ̇vavtíwv $\lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma \nu o u s, \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \gamma \epsilon i s, ~ a i \sigma \chi \rho o \pi o \iota o v ́ s$,


 катафєрєîs, $\mu \iota \sigma о к а ́ \lambda о v s, ~ \mu \omega \mu \eta \tau \iota к о$ v́s, какодóүovs, $\mu \epsilon \theta$ v́oovs, $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa o$ ús, ímovo$\theta \epsilon v \tau a ́ s, ~ a ̀ \theta \epsilon \mu i \tau o v s{ }^{4}$
 ov̉ $\mu$ óvov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ катà фv́oıv à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~$ фv́бıv $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каі а̉ті́ $\omega \nu$ каі $\pi \alpha \rho а \nu о ́ \mu \omega \nu$ каi $\theta \eta \rho \iota \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \mu i \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \theta v \mu \eta \tau \alpha ́ s, \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} s, \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ката-

 motov́s.



[^293]Allied with Venus in honourable positions Saturn makes his subjects haters of women, lovers of antiquity, solitary, unpleasant to meet, unambitious, hating the beautiful, envious, stern in social relations, not companionable, of fixed opinions, prophetic, given to the practice of religious rites, lovers of mysteries and initiations, performers of sacrificial rites, mystics, religious addicts, but dignified and reverent, modest, philosophical, faithful in marriage, ${ }^{1}$ self-controlled, calculating, cautious, quick to take offence, and casily led by jealousy to be suspicious of their wives. In positions of the opposite kind he makes them loose, lascivious, doers of base acts, undiscriminating and unclean in sexual relations, impure, deceivers of women and particularly their own kin, unsound, censorious, depraved, hating the beantiful, fault-finders, evil-speakers, drunken, servile, adulterators, lawless in sexual relations, both active and passive, both natural and unnatural, and willing to seek them with those barred by age, station, or law, or with animals, impious, contemptuous of the gods, deriding mysteries and sacred rites, entircly faithless, slanderons, poisoners, rogues who will stop at nothing.

Saturn, in familiarity with Mercury, in honourable positions makes his subjects meddlers, inquisitive,
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 кри́ф $\omega \nu$ каі а̉торр $\quad \tau \omega \nu, \tau \epsilon \rho a \tau о v \rho \gamma о$ и́s, $\pi а \rho а \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma-$



 161 таîs $\psi v \chi \alpha i ̂ s, ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \mu o ́ \chi \theta o v s, \mu \iota \sigma o i ̈ \delta i ́ o v s, ~ \phi ı \lambda o \beta a \sigma \alpha ́ v o v s,{ }^{2}$
 $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ к \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \alpha s, ~ \mu а ү \iota к о \cup ́ s, ~ ф а \rho \mu а к є ข \tau \alpha ́ s, ~$
 $\epsilon \dot{v} \in \kappa \pi \tau \dot{\omega} \tau 0 \cup s$.

 $\mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о \psi v ́ \chi o v s, \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa о$ и́s, $\theta \epsilon о \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i ̂ s, \tau \iota \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa о$ '́s,




 $\pi о \iota \epsilon i{ }^{5} \tau \alpha i ̂ s \psi v \chi a i ̂ s, \epsilon \in \pi i$ тò $\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau о \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i$
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inquirers into matters of law and custom, fond of the art of medicine, mystics, partakers in concealed and secret rites, miracle-workers, cheaters, living only for the day, facile, able to direct business, shrewd, bitter, accurate, sober, friendly, fond of practical affairs, capable of gaining their ends. In dishonourable positions he makes them frivolous talkers, malignant, with no pity in their souls, given to toil, hating their own kin, fond of torment, gloomy, night-prowlers, layers of ambushes, traitors, unsympathetic, thieves, magicians, poisoners, forgers, unscrupulous, unfortunate, and usually unsuccessful.

If Jupiter alone has the domination of the soul, in honourable positions he makes his subjects magnanimous, generous, god-fearing, honourable, pleasureloving, kind, magnificent, liberal, just, high-minded, dignified, minding their own business, compassionate, fond of discussion, beneficent, affectionate, with qualities of leadership. If he chances to be in the opposite kind of position, he makes their souls seem similar, to be sure, but with a difference in the direction of greater humility, less conspicuousness, and poorer judgement. ${ }^{1}$ For example, instead of magnanimity, he endows them with prodigality ; instead
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 ö $\sigma \alpha$ тoúroıs $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta$ ๆı $\sigma$.


 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta o ́ \lambda o u s, \pi \rho а к \tau \iota к о и ́ s, \pi а \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \iota к о и ́ s, ~ е ̀ \lambda є \gamma к-$ $162 \tau \iota \kappa о$ ús, àvvбтıкои́s, фідоขєікоvs, ả $\rho \chi \iota \kappa о$ и́s, $\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \pi \iota-$




 $\tau \alpha s$, á $\rho \pi a \gamma a s, \tau \alpha \chi v \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ ódovs, коv́фovs, $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$ -


 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к є к \iota \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s$.
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of reverence for the gods, with superstition; instead of modesty, with cowardice; instead of dignity, with conceit ; instead of kindness, with foolish simplicity; instead of the love of beauty, with love of pleasure ; instead of high-mindedness, with stupidity; instead of liberality, with indifference, and the like.

Jupiter allied with Mars in honourable positions makes his subjects rough, pugnacious, military, managerial, restless, unruly, ardent, reckless, practical, outspoken, critical, effective, contentious, commanding, given to plotting, respectable, virile, fond of victory, but magnanimous, ambitious, passionate, judicious, successful. In the opposite position he makes then iusolent, undiscriminating, savage, implacable, seditious, contentious, stubborn, slanderous, conceited, avaricious, rapacious, quickly changeable, light, readily changing their minds, unstable, headstrong, untrustworthy, of poor judgement, unfeeling, excitable, active, querulous, prodigal, gossipy, and in all ways uneven and easily excited.

Jupiter, allied with Venus, in honourable positions makes his subjects pure, pleasure-loving, lovers of the beautiful, of children, of spectacles, and of the domain of the Muses, singers, fond of those who reared them, of good character, ${ }^{1}$ beneficent, com-
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 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\varphi}, \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \circ \psi v ́ \chi o v s, \quad \epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu o v a s, \quad \mu \in \tau \alpha-$



 тás，ท่ $\delta \cup \beta i o u s, ~ \theta \eta \lambda u \psi v ́ \chi o v s, ~ \grave{\rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \iota к о u ́ s, ~ \gamma v v a \iota к о-~}$



 iєр $\omega \nu$ є́ $\gamma к а \tau о ́ \chi o v s, ~ \pi \rho о а \gamma \omega \gamma \iota к о и ̆ s, ~ \mu и \sigma т \eta р ı а к о и ́ s, ~$

 $\rho a ̀ s{ }^{9}{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \iota \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s . ~}^{\text {．}}$

 $\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho a s,{ }^{11} \mu a \theta \eta \mu a \tau \iota \kappa о$ и́s，поьךтıкоข́s，$\delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma о \rho \iota-$
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passionate, guileless, religious, prone to athletic training, fond of competition, wise, affectionate, charming in a dignified way, magnanimous, fair, charitable, fond of learning, of good judgement, moderate and decorous in matters of love, fond of their kinsfolk, pious, just, ambitious, seekers after glory, and in general gentlemanly. In the opposite positions he renders them luxurious, soft-livers, effeminate, fond of the dance, womanly in spirit, lavish in expenditure, evil in relations with women, erotic, lascivious, lecherous, slanderous, adulterous, lovers of ornament, rather soft, lazy, profligate, given to fault-finding, passionate, adorners of their persons, womanly minded, infatuated by religious rites, panderers, frequenters of the mysteries, trustworthy however and not rascally, but gracious, easy of approach, and cheerful, and inclined to liberality in misfortune.

Jupiter allied with Mercury in honourable positions makes his subjects learned, fond of discussion, geometricians, mathematicians, poets, orators, gifted, sober, of good intellect, good in counsel, statesmen, benefactors, managers, good-natured, generous, lovers
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 $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i ́ \sigma \tau o v s, \phi \iota \lambda о \sigma \tau o ́ \rho \gamma o v s, \phi \iota \lambda o \iota \epsilon \epsilon i o v s, ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \pi \alpha \iota-$





 ка入єкоѝs каі ка日apíous таîs є̀ $\pi \iota \theta$ идíaıs.



 тáктоvs, ảdıaфópovs, $\mu$ оขотóvovs, ò $\xi \in i ̂ s, ~ a v ̀ \theta a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota s$,

 $164 \phi \iota \lambda a i ́ \mu o v s, \phi \iota \lambda o \theta_{0} \rho v ́ \beta o v s, \delta a \pi \alpha \dot{v o v s}, \kappa \rho a v \gamma a \sigma \tau a ́ s,{ }^{7}$

 кєíous, à $\theta$ є́ous.

 $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ \rho o v s, \dot{\eta} \delta v \beta i o u s, ~ \epsilon u ̛ \phi \rho o \sigma u ́ v o v s, ~ \pi a \iota \gamma v \iota \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota s$,
 om. Proc.
 кous VD, $\theta є \frac{\text { кооото́dous NCam. }}{}$


${ }^{4} \mu \nu \eta \mu$ оикоия каi om. Cam. ${ }^{2}$
of the mob, shrewd, successful, leaders, reverent, religious, skilful in business, affectionate, lovers of their own kin, well brought up, philosophical, dignified. In the opposite positions he makes them simple, garrulous, prone to make mistakes, contemptible, fanatical, religious enthusiasts, speakers of folly, inclined to bitterness. pretenders to wisdom, fools, boasters, students. magicians, somewhat deranged, but well informed, of good memory, teachers, and pure in their desires.

Mars alone, given the domination of the soul, in an honourable position makes his subjects noble, commanding, spirited, military, versatile, ${ }^{1}$ powerful, venturesome, rash, uaruly, indifferent, stubborn, keen, headstrong, contemptuous, tyrannical, active, easily angered, with the qualities of leadership. In a position of the opposite kind he makes them savage, insolent, bloodthirsty, makers of disturbances, spendthrifts, loud-mouthed, quick-fisted, impetuous, drunken, rapacious, evil-doers, pitiless, unsettled, mad, haters of their own kin, impious.

Allied with Venus, in honourable positions, Mars makes his subjects pleasing, cheerful, friendly, softliving, happy, playful, artless, graceful, fond of
${ }^{1}$ The epithet constantly used to describe Odysseus by Homer.
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$\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ \epsilon \cup ̉ \rho u ́ \theta \mu o u s, \phi ı \lambda о \rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s,{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \rho \omega \tau є \kappa о$ ús, $\phi \iota \lambda о-$






 à $\delta \iota a \phi o ́ \rho o v s, ~ \delta ı a \sigma v ́ \rho \tau \alpha s, ~ \mu о \iota \chi ı к о u ́ s, ~ v i \beta \rho ı \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s, ~ \psi \epsilon v ́ \sigma-~$





 $\kappa \alpha i$ à $\sigma \epsilon \lambda$ уаívovтаs. ${ }^{5}$





 є̀vє $\delta \rho \epsilon v \tau \alpha ́ s, ~ к а к о \tau \rho o ́ т о v s, ~ \pi о \lambda u \pi \rho а ́ \gamma \mu о \nu а s, ~ ф ı \lambda о-~$


${ }^{1}$ ф́лдотє́ $\chi$ vous PLProc.. фıлотéкдоve cett. Cam.
${ }^{2}$ дıакрıтıкойs VPLADProc., ádıакрітоиs MNECam.
${ }^{3}$ áтáктоvs libri ; áтótous Cam.
4 ảaćtovs VADProc., aùt $\hat{\omega}$ тov̀s PL, aủzoùs MNECam.
 VPLDProc.
354
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dancing, erotic, artistic, imitative, pleasure-loving, able to secure themselves property, ${ }^{1}$ masculine, and given to misconduct in matters of love, but still successful, circumspect, and sensible, difficult to convict and discreet, furthermore passionate for both young men and young women, spendthrifts, quicktempered, and jealous. In contrary positions he makes them leering, lascivious, profligate, indifferent, slanderers, adulterers, insolent, liars, deceivers, seducers of those both in their own families and in those of others, at the same time keen and insatiate of pleasure, corrupters of women and maidens, venturesome, ardent, unruly, treacherous, perjurers, easily influenced and of unsound mind, but sometimes likewise profligate, fond of adornment, bold, disposed to base practices, and shameless.

Allied with Mercury, in honourable positions Mars makes his subjects leaders of armies, skilful, vigorous, active, not to be despised, resourceful, inventive, sophistic, painstaking, rascally, talkative, pugnacious, tricky, unstable, systematic workers, practising evil arts, keen-witted, deceitful, hypocritical, insidious, of bad character, meddlers, inclined to rascality but nevertheless successful and capable of keeping contract and faith with persons like themselves, and in
${ }^{1}$ Staoкєvaorís also means one who arranges a text, "editor," but here a less specialised meaning scems to be called for. The verb ঠıa⿱кєยá̧єє has, in general, the activo meaning "set in order," and in the middle voice " "quip one's self." Proclus omits this word in the Paraphrase.
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 є́ $\mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s, ~ \theta \epsilon а \tau \rho о к о ́ \pi о v s, ~ \epsilon ’ \phi v \beta \rho i ́ \sigma \tau о \nu s,{ }^{2} \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho \iota-$

 vous．


 píous，єủфpooúvovs，фı入орхךбтás，ка入о弓グ入ovs，${ }^{7}$ $\mu \iota \sigma o \pi o \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} o v s, \phi \iota \lambda o \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu o v s, \phi \iota \lambda o \theta \epsilon \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s,{ }^{8} \epsilon v ่ \sigma \chi \eta \eta^{-}$



 $\gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ，ảтó入 $\mu$ оvs，ảdıaфópovs，катафєрєīs，





 Cam．；om．Proc．Fortasse legendum est єủrapáктous．
${ }^{2}$ éфvßpíarous VMNDE，om．PLProc．，白 $\phi \cup \beta \rho i ́ a \tau a s ~ A C a m . ~$
 Cam．
${ }^{4} \tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\psi} \psi v \chi \eta \eta_{\mathrm{p}}$ om．VDProc．
${ }^{5}$ кouvoús post $\tau \rho \cup \phi \eta \tau a ́ s ~ a d d . ~ M N E C a m ., ~ o m . ~ V P L A D P r o o . ~$

## TETRABIBLOS III． 13

general injurious to their enemies and helpful to their friends．In opposite positions he makes them spendthrifts，avaricious，savage，venturesome，daring， prone to change their minds，excitable，easily aroused， liars，thieves，impious，perjurers，ready to take the offensive，seditious，kindlers of fires，creators of dis－ turbances in the theatre，insolent，piratical，burglars， murderers，forgers，villains，wizards，magicians，sor－ cerers，homicides．

If Venus alone takes the domination of the soul， in an honourable position she makes her subjects pleasant，good，luxurious，${ }^{1}$ eloquent，neat，cheerful， fond of dancing，eager for beauty，haters of evil， lovers of the arts，fond of spectacles，decorous， healthy，dreamers of pleasant dreams，affectionate， beneficent，compassionate，fastidious，easily con－ ciliated，successful，and，in general，charming．In the opposite position she makes them careless，erotic， effeminate，womanish，timid，indifferent，depraved， censorious，insignificant，meriting reproach．

Joined with Mercury，in honourable positions Venus makes them artistic，philosophical，gifted with understanding，talented，poetic，lovers of the muses，lovers of beauty，of worthy character，

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Certain texts add here "affable " (кowvoús). }
$$
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 $\lambda \omega \tau \grave{a} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ápíq$\tau \omega \nu, \mu \mu \eta \tau \grave{a} s^{5} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu, \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \tau o ́-$
 $\mu o ́ \sigma t o v s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \eta ̈ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota, ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta a i o v s, ~ \phi ı \lambda a ́ \theta \lambda o u s, ~$



 $\mu \eta \chi a ́ v o v s, \kappa а к о \sigma \tau o ́ \mu o v s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda о \pi \rho о \sigma a ́ \lambda \lambda o v s, \kappa а к о \gamma v \omega^{-}$ $\mu o v a s, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \xi a \pi \alpha \tau \eta \tau a ́ s, ~ к v к \eta \tau a ́ s, \psi \epsilon v ́ \sigma \tau \alpha s, \delta ı \beta \beta o ́ \lambda o v s$,
 $\theta \epsilon ́ \tau o v s, a ̉ \delta \epsilon \xi \iota a ́ \sigma \tau o v s, v o \theta \epsilon v \tau a ́ s, \gamma v v a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \phi \theta \circ \rho \epsilon ́ a s$




 $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \zeta$ о $\mu$ є́vovs.






${ }^{2} \phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o ́ \phi o u s ~ p o s t ~ \epsilon u ̉ \phi p o \sigma u ́ v o u s ~ a d d . ~ M N C a m ., ~ o m . ~ c e t t . ~$ Proc.
${ }^{3} \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \pi \iota \beta o ́ \lambda o u s$ NACam., $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \epsilon \pi \eta \beta o ́ \lambda o u s ~ M E, ~ \epsilon v ่ є \pi \iota \beta o u ́ \lambda o u s ~$ VPLD ; om. Proc.
${ }^{4} \phi \iota \lambda о \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon i$ is post $\tau a \chi \nu \mu \alpha \theta$ îs ald. NCam., om. VPLADProc.; тахvфıлодаөєis ME.
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seekers after enjoyment, luxurious, happy, ${ }^{1}$ fond of friends, pious, sagacious, resourceful, intellectual, intelligent, successful, quick to learn, ${ }^{2}$ self-taught, seekers after the best, imitators of beauty, eloquent and pleasing in speech, commanding affection, of well-ordered character, earnest, fond of athletics, upright, of good judgement, magnanimous; in affairs of love, restrained in their relations with women but more passionate for boys, and jealous. In the contrary position she makes them pugnacious, resourceful, evil-speakers, unstable, of bad intentions, deceivers, agitators, liars, slanderers, perjurers, thorough rascals, plotters, faithless, unreliable, adulterators, corrupters of women and children; furthermore, adorners of their persons, rather effeminate, malicious in censure and in gossip, garrulous, villains, sometimes ${ }^{3}$ feigning such acts with a view to corruption and sometimes performing them in earnest, lending themselves to base acts and performing them, and subjected to all sorts of base treatment.

Mercury, by himself taking the domination of the soul, in an honourable position makes those who are born under him wise, shrewd, thoughtful, learned, inventive, experienced, good calculators, inquirers
 certain MSS.
${ }^{2}$ Certain MSS. add "fond of learning" at this point.
${ }^{3}$ Proclus omits the rest of this paragraph.
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 $\mu a \tau i \alpha s,{ }^{1}$ кои́фovs, єن̇ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ ólovs, $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa о$ и's,
 фópous, à $\sigma \tau a ́ \tau o v s, \dot{a} \pi i \sigma \tau o v s, \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \alpha s, ~ a ̉ \delta i ́ к о v s ~$
 $\tau 0 i ̂ s \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \iota$.



 $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇ $\pi i$ тò $\pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho о \pi \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{̀} \pi о \lambda \nu \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \dot{\prime}-$ $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \kappa \alpha i \epsilon \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \cdot \epsilon \in \pi i$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \mu \omega \nu$


 $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каі $\beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каі $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \cdot \epsilon \in \nu$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota{ }^{3} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \ddot{\eta} \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \varsigma \kappa \rho v ́ \psi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇ $\pi i$
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into nature, speculative, gifted, emulous, beneficent, prudent, good at conjecture, mathematicians, partakers in mysteries, successful in attaining their ends. In the opposite position he makes them utter rascals, precipitate, forgetful, impetuous, lightminded, fickle, prone to change their minds, foolish rogues, witless, sinful, liars, undiscriminating, unstable, undependable, avaricious, unjust, and, in general, unsteady in judgement and inclined to evil deeds.

While the foregoing is true as stated, nevertheless the condition of the moon itself also makes a certain contribution. For when the moon happens to be at the bendings of its northern and southern limits, ${ }^{1}$ it helps, ${ }^{2}$ with respect to the character of the soul, in the direction of greater versatility, resourcefulness, and capacity for change : at the nodes, in the direction of greater keenness, activity, and excitability; again, at rising and in the increases of its illumination, towards greater natural endowments, renown, firmness, and frankness; and in the waning of its illumination, or its occultations, towards greater sluggishness and dullness, less fixity of purpose, greater cautiousness, and less renown.

The sun also aids, when it is familiar with the planet that governs the temperament of the soul, in an honourable position modifying it in the
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 $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каì $\theta \epsilon о \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \tau \grave{\prime}$ є̀vavтíov каі

 $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каі айбтךро́тєроу каi $\delta v \sigma \delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каi ö $\lambda \omega s$ є̇ті тò $\delta v \sigma \kappa а \tau о \rho \theta \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$.

## $\langle\bar{\iota}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \psi v \chi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$


 öخov $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu a i ̀ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а i ~ \pi а р а т \eta \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$





 $\kappa \alpha i \beta \lambda a \pi \tau \iota \kappa \hat{s} s$ '่ $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, \pi о \iota \kappa i \lambda \omega \nu \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$




169 Tà $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv $\pi \lambda \epsilon \overparen{\imath} \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$
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direction of justice, success, honour, dignity, and reverence for the gods, but in the contrary and alien position making it humbler, more industrious, less conspicuous, more savage, more obstinate, harsher, with a harder life, and in general less successful.

## 14. Of Diseases of the Soul.

Since the account of the principal diseases of the soul, in a sense, follows upon that of the soul's characteristics, it is in general needful to note and observe the positions of Mercury and the moon relative to each other, to the angles, and to the planets whose nature it is to do injury; for if, while they themselves are unrelated to each other, or to the eastern horizon, they are overcome, or surrounded, or held in opposition by unfamiliar stars in injurious aspect, they cause the incidence of various diseases which affect the soul's character. Their interpretation again is to be calculated from the previously described qualities of the planets which are familiar to the places ${ }^{1}$ in the sky.

Indeed, most of the more moderate diseases have, in a way, already been distinguished in what has been said about the character of the soul, and their increase can be discerned from the excess of injurious influences; for one might now with propriety call

$$
{ }^{1} \text { I.e. of the moon and Mercury. }
$$
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 $\pi a \rho ’$ ö $\lambda \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ фv́бıv каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon a v ̉ \tau o ̀{ }^{3}$ тò $\delta \iota a \nu o \eta-$ $\tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta}_{S} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} S \mu \epsilon \prime \rho о s ~ к а і ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v, ~ \omega ́ s ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~$





 $\kappa а т о \pi \tau \epsilon$ v́ovта тò $\pi \rho о к \epsilon і ُ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \cdot \mu a \nu \iota \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \iota S$







 оі какотоьоі кат̀̀ тòv троєє $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ v о \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


${ }^{1}$ ăкра MNECam., äкрата VADProc., ảкрáтŋта $\mathbf{P}$, ảкрóтата L.

 Proc. ; $\pi a \rho$ ' ö $\lambda o v$ MNAECam.
 $\phi \hat{s}$ MNACam.
 Proc.
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" diseases" those extremes of character which either fall short of or exceed the mean. Those affections, however, which are utterly disproportionate and as it were pathological, which relate to the whole nature, and which concern both the intelligent part of the soul and its passive part, are, in brief, to be discerned as follows.

In most cases those are epileptic ${ }^{1}$ in whose genitures the moon and Mercury are, as we said above, unrelated to each other or to the eastern horizon, while Saturn by day or Mars by night is angular and in the aspect previously described. ${ }^{2}$ They are violently insane when, again under the same conditions, Saturn by night and Mars by day rules the position, particularly in Cancer, Virgo, or Pisces. They are afflicted by demons ${ }^{3}$ and have water on the brain when the maleficent planets are in this position and control the moon in phase, Saturn when she is at conjunction, Mar when she is full, and particularly in Sagittarius and Pisces. When the maleficent planets are by themselves and rule the configuration in the manner stated, the diseases of the rational part of the soul which we have mentioned as being
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 $\mu a \tau \alpha$. оvvoıкєє $\omega \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \cdot \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\gamma a \theta$ о $\pi о \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \Delta l o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon$

 $\tau \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$, iá $\alpha \iota \mu a \quad \mu \epsilon ́ v, ~ \epsilon v ่ \pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau a^{3}$ §̀̀





 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu о \sigma \eta \mu a \tau a$, кат $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \eta \psi i a s ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \epsilon i a \iota s$


 оікєíwv каi $\gamma v \mu \nu \eta \tau \epsilon i a \iota s$ каi $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i ́ a \iota s$ каi тоîs

 аікíaıs каi тоîs $\delta \mu о i o \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi а р а \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 ŋ̀díou каi oi $\tau о \hat{v}$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu a v i ́ a s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~$
 є̇ $\pi \iota \lambda \eta \psi i a s$, oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'A ${ }^{\prime} \rho \frac{\delta i ́ \tau \eta s ~}{\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~} \theta \epsilon o \phi o \rho i a s$ каi є́ '̧aүopías, oi ठè $\tau о \hat{v}$ K 171 тàs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \gamma \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\chi \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta a \iota \mu о \nu ı о-~$ $\pi \lambda \eta \xi i a s$.
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caused by them are, to be sure, incurable, but latent and obscure. But if the beneficent planets Jupiter and Venus have some familiarity to them when they are themselves in the western parts and the beneficent planets are angular ${ }^{1}$ in the east, they make the diseases curable, but noticeable; if it be Jupiter, curable by medical treatments, a diet, or drugs; if Venus, by oracles and the aid of the gods. When the maleficent planets themselves are angular in the east and the bencficent planets are setting, the diseases which they cause are both incurable, the subject of talk, and conspicuous; in epilepsy they involve the victims in continuous attacks, notoricty, and deadly peril ; in madness and seizures, they cause instability, alienation of friends, tearing off clothes, abusive language, and the like; in demonic seizures, or water on the brain, possession, confession, torments, and similar manifestations. In detail, of the places that possess the configuration, those of the sun and Mars aid in causing madness, those of Jupiter and Mercury, epilepsy; those of Venus, divine possession and public confession; and those of Saturn and the moon, gatherings of water and demonic seizures.
> ${ }^{1}$ I.e. at the angle, in this case the orient.
 tiata MNACam.
 Proc.; iarpıкйs MNAECam.
 cett. Cam.
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${ }^{'} H \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\pi o \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ка $\theta$ '
















 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota$, oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ă $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \sigma v \nu o v \sigma i a s ~$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ́ \mu \iota \lambda i ́ a s ~ \lambda a ́ \gamma \nu a \iota ~ к а i ~ \rho ீ ~ и \psi o ́ \phi \theta a \lambda-~$
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The morbid perversion of the active part of the soul in its general nature, therefore, is produced in some such forms as these and is produced by these configurations of the planets. The corresponding perversion of the passive portion, as in the former instance viewed in its extreme cases, is most apparent in excesses and deficiencies in matters of sex, male and female, as compared with what is natural, and in inquiry is apprehended in the same fashion as before, though the sun is taken, together with the moon, instead of Mercury, and the relation to them of Mars, together with Venus, is observed. For when these thus fall under observation, if the luminaries are unattended in masculine signs, males exceed in the natural, and females exceed in the unnatural quality, so as merely to increase the virility and activity of the soul. But if likewise Mars or Venus as well, either one or both of them, is made masculine, ${ }^{1}$ the males become addicted to natural sexual intercourse, and are adulterous, insatiate, and ready on every occasion for base and lawless acts of sexual passion, while the females are lustful for unnatural congresses, cast inviting glances of the eye, and are what we call tribades; ${ }^{2}$ for they deal with females and perform the functions of males. If Venus alone is constituted in a masculine manner, they do these things sccretly and not openly. But if Mars likewise is so constituted, without

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Cf. i. } 6 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { Cf. p. } 405, \text { n. } 1 .
$$
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 $\mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ a ̀ v a \delta \in \iota \kappa \nu v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$.


 $\kappa а \tau \grave{\alpha}$ фv́aıv, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ă $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ то̂ $\pi a \rho \dot{a} \phi v ́ \sigma \iota \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s$






 $\tau \epsilon$ каi $\sigma a \theta \rho o i{ }^{3}$ трòs $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ т тарà фv́бıv ovvovoías каi









 каi є $\cup \delta \iota \alpha \beta о \eta т о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$, оі $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ठутєкоі каі є́бтє́рьоь
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reserve, so that sometimes they even designate the women with whom they are on such terms as their lawful " wives."

But on the other hand, when the luminaries in the aforesaid confguration are unattended in feminine signs, the females exceed in the natural, and the males in unnatural practice, with the result that their souls become soft and effeminate. If Venus too is made feminine, the women become depraved, adulterous, and lustful, with the result that they may be dealt with in the natural manner on any occasion and by any one soever, and so that they refuse absolutely no sexual act, though it be base or unlawful. The men, on the contrary, become effeminate and unsound with respect to unnatural congresses and the functions of women, and are dealt with as pathics, though privately and secretly. But if Mars also is constituted in a feminine manner, their shamelessness is outright and frank and they perform the aforesaid acts of either kind, assuming the guise of common bawds who submit to general abuse and to every baseness until they are stamped with the reproach and insult that attend such usages. And the rising and morning positions of both Mars and Venus have a contributory effect, to make them more virile and notorious, while setting and evening positions increase femininity and sedateness. Similarly, if Saturn is present, his influence joins with each of the foregoing to produce more licentiousness,
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$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тôv $\Delta$ Lòs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon u ́ \sigma \chi \eta \mu o \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~$
 ${ }^{〔} E \rho \mu о \hat{v}$ тро́s $\tau \epsilon$ то̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta о \eta \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каі тò $\tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ $\pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ єن่кıขךто́тєроข каі $\pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho о \pi \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ к а і ~$ $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \rho о \sigma к о \pi \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho о$.

## BIBAION $\Delta^{\prime}$

## 









 $\psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s$.

## $\langle\bar{\beta} .>\Pi \in \rho i \tau \dot{v} \chi \eta s \kappa \tau \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$






 ${ }^{1} \pi a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ VPLMADEProc., $\dot{\eta}^{2} \hat{\omega} \nu$ NCam.

## TETRABIBLOS III. l\&-IV. l-2

impurity, and disgrace, while Jupiter aids in the direction of greater decorum, restraint, and modesty, and Mercury tends to increase notoriety, instability of the emotions, versatility, and foresight.

## BOOK IV.

## [1. Introduction.]

The foregoing may be taken as what can be learned by investigation of matters antecedent to the nativity and contemporary with it, together with such of those posterior to the nativity as properly apply to the constitution of the subject by disclosing the general quality of his temperament. Among external accidentals, which should be treated next in order, the discussion of the fortune of both riches and honour comes first; and as material fortune is associated with the properties of the body, so honour belongs to those of the soul.

## 2. Of Material Fortune.

What the subject's material acquisitions will be is to be gained from the so-called "Lot of Fortune "; ${ }^{1}$ that one alone, however, to discover which we measure from the horoscope the distance from the sun to the moon, in both diurnal and nocturnal nativities, for the reasons which we stated in the
${ }^{1}$ See iii. 10, pp. 275-77. The authenticity of the following clanse (to " nativitios") is doultful, since it appears to refer to the sentence in iii. $10(\mathrm{p} .277, \mathrm{n} .1)$ which is cloarly an interpolation.
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 т $\mu$ орiov $\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau а s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оiкобєбтотiav, каi $\pi \omega ิ s$








 ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o \hat{u}$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ ठıà $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu \iota \omega \nu$, ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$








 $175 \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ о i к о \delta є \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \omega ิ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̀ ~$
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discussion of the length of life. As it is constituted in this way, we shall be obliged therefore to take the lordship of the sign, and observe what is the condition of these planets with regard to power and familiarity, in the way whieh we specified at the beginning. ${ }^{1}$ Further, we must consider the planets in aspect with them, or those of their own or of the opposite sect that overcome them. For when the planets which govern the Lot of Fortune are in power, they make the subjeets rieh, particularly when they chance to have the proper testimony ${ }^{2}$ of the luminaries; thus Saturn brings riches through building, or agriculture, or shipping ventures, Jupiter through fiduciary relationships, guardianships, or priesthoods, Mars through military operations and command, Venus through gifts from friends or women, and Mercury through eloquence and trade. And in a special way, when Saturn is associated with material fortune, if he is in aspect with Jupiter, he is the cause of inheritances, particularly when this comes about upon the upper angles and Jupiter is in a bicorporeal sign or holds the application of the moon. For in that case they are adopted and inherit the possessions of others; and if the planets of the same sect as the ruling planets happen themselves to witness to the rulership, they retain their possessions without loss; but if the planets of the opposite sect overcome the governing places or rise after them, they bring

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { Cf. ii. } 7 \text { (pp). 169-71), and iii. } 2 \text { (p. 233). } \\
& { }^{2} \text { Cf. p. } 379 \text {, n. } 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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 aïтıov $\pi о \iota o v ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \kappa \epsilon ́ v \tau \rho a ~ к а i ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \alpha \nu \alpha-~$ форàs $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \nu \epsilon$ v́rє $\omega$.

## $\langle\bar{\gamma}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\imath} \tau \dot{v} \chi \eta s \dot{a} \xi \iota \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$


 $\kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סорифорои́v $\tau \omega \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu^{3}$ оікєє $\omega \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega{ }^{4}$
 ả $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon ์ \nu \tau \rho \omega \nu \eta$ グтоı ả $\mu \phi-$ $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ŋ̀ каì $\tau о \hat{v}$ є́ $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho \circ v, \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \hat{v} \tau \hat{\eta} S$

 рi $\omega \nu,{ }^{8}$ oi $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ о८ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha \iota . ~ к а ̈ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~$

 $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda о \iota ~ к а і ~ \delta v \nu а \mu \iota к о і ~ к а і ~ к о б \mu о к р а ́ т о р є s ~ \delta \iota а-~$
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about loss of possessions, and the general time ${ }^{1}$ is discovered by means of the approach of the causative planets to the angles and the succedent signs.

## 3. Of the Fortune of Dignity.

It will be needful to determine the questions of dignity and happiness resulting therefrom from the position of the luminaries and the familiarity to them of their attendant planets. ${ }^{2}$ For if both the luminaries are in masculine signs and either both of them, or even one of the two, angular, and particularly if the luminary of the sect ${ }^{3}$ is also attended by the five planets, matutine to the sun and vespertine to the moon, the children will be kings. And if the attendant planets are either themselves angular or bear an aspect to the superior angle, the children born will continue to be great, powerful, and worldrulers, ${ }^{4}$ and they will be even more fortunate if the
${ }^{1}$ When the inheritance falls due; Bouché-Leclereq, p. 437. Ashmand, p. 173, would have the expression refer to the duration of the wealth.

2 "Attendance" is deseribed by Porphyry, Introduction, pp. 190-191, ed. Wolf, whom Hephaestion i. 17, pp. 74.75, cd. Engelbrecht, follows. The seeond of the three varieties of attendance mentioned applies to the luminaries. If one of these is at the horoscope or mid-heaven, whether or not it is in its own house, it will have as attendant any planet of its own sect which projects its ray upon the luminary, those of the sun's (diurnal) seet in the direction of the diurnal movement of the heavens, those of the moon's sect in the other direction.
${ }^{3}$ The sect of the geniture, diurnal or noeturnal.
${ }^{4}$ I'tolemy doubtless meant Roman emperors, but the epithet was used of kings by the astrologers before it appeared in the inseriptions of the omperors (Cumont, L'E'gypte des astrologues, p. 27).
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 $\theta \eta \lambda \cup \kappa \hat{\omega}, \epsilon \in \pi i \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \rho \circ \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau o ̀ ~ \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon-$









 каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тàs ката̀ тòv $\beta i o v ~ \pi \rho о \lambda \eta ́ \psi \epsilon \iota s . ~$ $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סopvфopoúv $\tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \tau o \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ к \epsilon ́ v \tau \rho o ı s ~ \sigma v \nu-~$

 $\mu o \nu \epsilon s$ ү'ivov $\alpha \alpha \iota \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau u ́ \chi \alpha \iota s ~ o ̋ \tau \alpha \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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attendant planets are in dexter aspect ${ }^{1}$ to the superior angles. But if, while the others are in this position, the sun alone is in a masculine sign, and the moon is in a feminine one, and one of the luminaries is angular, they will merely be generals, ${ }^{2}$ with power of life and death. If, however, besides this the attendant planets are neither angular nor witnessing ${ }^{3}$ to the angles, they will be merely great and will enjoy partial dignities, those which involve the wearing of chaplets, ${ }^{4}$ or those of superintendence ${ }^{5}$ or of military command, ${ }^{6}$ and not those of first rauk. But if the luminaries are not angular, and most of the attendant planets are either angular or in aspect with the angles, they will not attain the more conspicuous honours but rather civil leadership and moderate advancement in their careers. If, however, the attendant planets are not associated with the angles, they are rendered obscure in their actions and without preferment, and they are entirely humble and miserable in their fortunes when neither of the luminarics is angular, or in a masculine sign, or attended by the beneficent planets. The general outline, then, of the investigation before us involves a gradation of dignities of this sort. Since there are very many
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 av̉兀ò $\tau \grave{o ̀} \epsilon$ โioos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ סopuфорías












入aбíaıs каi víкаıs каi фóßо七s $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \nu \dot{v} \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau а \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o \hat{v}$＇$E \rho \mu о \hat{v} \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \sigma v ́ v \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \eta ̈ ̀ ~ \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́-~$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ каї оіккороді́а $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho а \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.

## 

＇O $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \varsigma \tau \eta ̀ \nu \kappa v \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ката̀ тоóтоиs $\delta$ v́o，àmò $\tau о \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v ~ к а i ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma-~$





${ }^{1} \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ NCam. $\quad{ }^{2} \dot{\delta}$ om. Cam.
${ }^{2}$ 亿ी . . . $\epsilon_{\chi \omega \nu}$ VPLD, $\epsilon_{\chi \eta}$ MNAECam.

## TETRABIBLOS IV. 3-ィ

conditions intermediate between these grades, one must estimate them from the specific qualities of the luminaries themselves, and the particular variations in the manner in which they are attended, and the government of the attendance. For if their attendance consists of planets of the same sect, or of the beneficent planets, greater independence and security will attend the dignities; but if it involves the opposite sect, or the maleficent planets, there will be dependency and less security. The kind of future honour is to be divined from the quality of the attending planets; for if Saturn governs the attendance, he brings about power based on wealth and the amassing of riches, but Jupiter or Venus that which rests upon favours, gifts, honours, and magnanimity; Mars brings power founded on generalships, victories, and the fears of subordinates, and Mercury that which depends upon intelligence, education, and the care and management of affairs.

## 4. Of the Quality of Action.

The lord of action is apprehended by two methods, from the sun and from the culminating sign. For it will be ncedful to look both for the planet that has made its morning appearance closest to the sun, and that which is at mid-heaven, particularly when it occupies the application of the moon; and if the same star occupies both the aforesaid positions, this alone must be employed, and similarly if none
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 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon \sigma о v \rho \alpha \nu \eta \prime \mu a \tau \iota$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu \eta$ бvขoıкєเоv́ $\mu \in \nu \circ s$ ，

 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о т i ́ a s ~ к а \theta^{\prime}$ ôv $\pi \rho о є \kappa \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ т $о$ ó $\pi о \nu . ~ \epsilon ่ a ̀ \nu$
 $\mu \eta ́ \tau \epsilon$ Є่ $\pi i$ тô̂ $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta ́ \mu a \tau o s, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к v ́ \rho ı o \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~$

 үívovтає．
＇O $\mu \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ oûv $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s$ тウ̀ $\nu$ оіко $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau i a \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$


 $\zeta \omega \delta i ́ \omega \nu$ Є̀v oîs äv $\tau u ́ \chi \omega \sigma \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi о \rho \in v o ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota$ ．ó $\mu \in ̀ \nu$
 є＂̈тоь $\tau v \pi \omega \delta \hat{\omega} s, \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ र $\rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon ́ a s, \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon v-$ тєкои́s，${ }^{4}$ 入oүıбтás，$\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a ́ \lambda o v s, ~ є ’ \mu \pi o ́ \rho o v s, ~ \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon-~$ らíтаs，$\mu a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \iota S, ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \rho о \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~ \theta v ́ \tau a s ~ к а i ~ o ̋ \lambda \omega s ~ \tau о u ̀ s ~$ a’тò $\gamma \rho а \mu \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ каi є́є $\mu \eta \nu \in i ́ a s ~ к а i ~ \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ к а i ~$




${ }^{1} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ om．MNCam．$\quad{ }^{2} \pi \rho \circ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu$ NCam．${ }^{2}$

${ }^{4} \pi \rho а \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon v \tau \iota к о$ ús VP（ $-\tau \eta \kappa$－）D，$\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \iota к о$ и́s $\mathrm{L}, \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$


382
occupies one of these places, we must use only the one which occupies the other of the places. And if one planet has made the nearest morning appearance and another is associated with the mid-heaven, and with the moon, we must employ them both, giving preference to the one which by reason of its strength has the greater number of claims to domination according to the scheme which we have already set forth. ${ }^{1}$ But if not one is found which either has made an appearance ${ }^{2}$ or is at mid-heaven, we must take the lord of the latter region, with reference however to the oceasional pursuits of the subject, for persons with such genitures are for the most part inactive.

Thus, then, we shall determine the planet that governs action. The quality of the action, however, is to be discerned from the eharacter of the three planets, Mars, Venus, and Mercury, and from that of the signs through which they happen to be passing. For if Mercury governs action, to speak generally, he makes his subjects seribes, men of business, calculators, teaehers, merehants, bankers, soothsayers, astrologers, saerificers, and in general those who perform their functions by means of documents, interpretation, and giving and taking. And if Saturn testifies to him, they will be managers of the property of others, interpreters of dreams, or frequenters of temples for the purpose of propheeies and inspiration. If it is Jupiter that witnesses, they will be law-makers,
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ \lambda a v \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а i ~ к o ́ \sigma \mu о \nu, ~ \gamma o ́ \eta \tau а s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ к а i ~ ф а \rho-~$

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \eta \phi o ́ \rho o v s, \tau \mu \omega \bar{\nu} \kappa a \tau \alpha \xi \iota o v \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ \theta \eta \lambda v-$ $\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \pi \rho о \beta \imath \beta a \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$.
'O $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau o v$ " $A \rho \epsilon \omega s \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau o v ̂ \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v ~ \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma-$ $\theta \epsilon i s$ тoùs $\delta i a ̀ ~ \pi u \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \rho \gamma а \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂, ~ o i o v ~ \mu a \gamma \epsilon i ́-~$





 $\mu a \gamma \epsilon i ́ \rho o v s, \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s \cdot{ }^{6}{ }^{6} \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu$ סє̀ ó $\tau 0 \hat{v} \Delta ı o ́ s, \sigma \tau \rho a-$
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orators, sophists, who enjoy familiarity with great persons.

If Venus rules action, she makes her subjects persons whose activities lie among the perfumes of flowers or of unguents, in wine, colours, dyes, spices, or adornments, as, for example, sellers of unguents, weavers of chaplets, innkeepers, wine-merchants, druggists, weavers, dealers in spices, painters, dyers, sellers of clothing. And if Saturn testifies to her, she makes them dealers in goods used for pleasure or adornment, sorcerers, poisoners, panders, and those who make their living from similar occupations. If Jupiter testifies, they will be athletes, wearers of the wreath, persons deemed worthy of honours, and men who derive advancement from women.

Mars, in aspect with the sun, makes his subjects those who use fire in their crafts, such as cooks, moulders, cauterizers, smiths, workers in mines; if he is not with the sun, those who work with iron, such as shipbuilders, carpenters, farmors, quarrymen, stone-dressers, jewellers, splitters of wood, and their subordinate workers. If Saturn testifies to him, he produces seamen, drawers of water, tunnelers, painters, gamekeepers, ${ }^{1}$ cooks, embalmers. ${ }^{2}$ If Jupiter testifies, he produces soldiers,
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 Avalovproús.

 $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оіккобєбтотíav, àтò Mov́aŋs каi ó $\rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime}-$ $\nu \omega \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \delta \iota \omega \bar{\nu} \ddot{\eta} \pi о \iota \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\rho v \theta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ поьov̂бє тàs $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$, каi $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ öта⿱ $\tau$ то̀̀ тóтоvs $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$







 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda o v s, \stackrel{o}{ } \neq \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho о є \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha s$.
 рíav $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s, \pi o เ o \hat{v} \sigma \imath$ à $\nu \delta \rho \iota \alpha \nu \tau o \pi o \iota o v ́ s$,






${ }^{1} \chi o \rho \epsilon \cup \tau a ́ s$ Proc., $\chi \omega \rho \in \cup \tau a ́ s$ PL, om. VMNADECam.


${ }^{3}$ aùvoîs VMDEProc., ò P , -òs L , - $\hat{\omega}$ NACam.
 єip $\quad$ нévoıs Proc.; om. MNECam.
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servants, publicans, innkeepers, ferrymen, assistants at sacrifice.

Again, when two plancts are found to rule action, if Mercury and Venus take the rulership, they bring about action expressed by the arts of the Muses, musical instruments, melodies, or poems, and rhythm, particularly when they have exchanged places. For they produce workers in the theatre, actors, dealers in slaves, makers of musical instruments, members of the chorus, makers of strings, painters, dancers, weavers, and wax-moulders. And again, if Saturn testifies to them, he produces those in the aforesaid callings, as well as dealers in feminine finery. If Jupiter testifies, he produces lawyers, supervisors of counting houses, ${ }^{1}$ public officers, teachers of children, leaders of the populace. ${ }^{2}$

If Mercury and Mars together assume the lordship of action, they produce sculptors, armourers, makers of sacred monuments, modellers, wrestlers, physicians, surgeons, accusers, adulterers, evil-doers, forgers. If Saturn testifies to them, they produce murdercrs, sneak-thieves, burglars, pirates, eattlethieves, villains. If Jupiter testifies, they produce men-at-arms, duellists, energetic, clever persons,
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 $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ торí̧ovтаs.
 тウ̀ $\nu$ оіко $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau i \alpha \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s$, $\pi о \iota о \bar{v} \sigma \iota$
 х $\rho v \sigma о \chi o ́ o v s, ~ a ̀ \rho \gamma v \rho о к о ́ т о v s, \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o v ́ s, o ̊ \pi \lambda о \rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s$,
 таîs $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i a \iota s ~ \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s \cdot$ кäv $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ò $\tau$ ои̃ K Kóvov




 $\gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu \pi \rho о і ̈ \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, \gamma \alpha ́ \mu \omega \nu$ каi $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \pi \lambda о \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi о \nu \tau \epsilon S$ ai кат' єíסоs iठьотротia $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau а i$
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о ́ \mu о \rho \phi a \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \omega s$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s$



 каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \iota \kappa \grave{s}$ каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{s}{ }^{4}$ каi $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} s$
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busybodies, who meddle in others' affairs and thereby gain their living.

But if Venus and Mars together dominate action, they produce dyers, perfumers, workers in tin, lead, gold, and silver, farmers, dancers in armour, druggists, physicians who employ drugs in their treatments. If Saturn testifies to them, they produce attendants of sacred animals, those who bury men, mourners, pipers at funerals, fanatics, who resort to wherever there are mysteries, laments, and bloody rites. But if Jupiter testifies, frequenters of temples, interpreters of omens, bearers of the sacred instruments, supervisors of women, interpreters of marriages ${ }^{1}$ and matches, making their living by such occupations, and at the same time devoted to pleasure, and reckless.

Likewise the specific natures of the signs in which are the rulers of action contribute to the variety of the action. For anthropomorphic signs ${ }^{2}$ are of some assistance to all scientific pursuits or those useful to man; the quadrupedal ${ }^{3}$ assist in those that concern mines, commerce, building, and carpentry; the solstitial and equinoctial, ${ }^{4}$ those that are interpretative, involve barter, or concern measuring,
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 àлcías.





 $182 \mu a ́ \gamma o u s, ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \rho o \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~ a ̀ \pi o ф \theta \epsilon \gamma \gamma o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s, \quad \pi \rho o-$



Tò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv aủ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ єídos $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоюо́т $\omega \nu$ катà тò $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ̂ \delta o s ~ \delta є \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ к а \tau а-~$
 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s$. àvaтодєкоі $\mu$ є̀ $\nu$
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agriculture, and religion; the terrestrial ${ }^{1}$ and aquatic, ${ }^{2}$ activities in or with liquids, or those that are botanical, or concern shipbuilding, and furthermore burial, or pickling, or salting. ${ }^{3}$

In a special way, again, if the moon holds the place of action, and is moving away from conjunction, together with Mercury, in Taurus, Capricornus, and Cancer, she produces soothsayers, makers of sacrifices, and adepts in lekanomancy; ${ }^{4}$ in Sagittarius and Pisces necromancers and those who can arouse daemons; in Virgo and Scorpio magicians, astrologers, prophets, those who have second sight ; in Libra, Aries, and Leo persons inspired by the gods, interpreters of dreams, and exorcists.

So, then, the particular species of action will have to be conjectured by such means, through combinations; its amplitude must be discovered from the power of the dominating planets. For when they are rising or angular the actions which they cause are independent, but if they are setting or declining from the angles, subordinate; when beneficent planets overcome them, great, glorious, profitable, uncrring, and gracious; but if maleficent planets overcome them, mean, inglorious, profitless, and fallible. With Saturn in opposition, they bring
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$\chi \rho \omega \mu а т о к \rho а \sigma і а я, ~ " A \rho \epsilon \omega s$ бє катаррı廿окıгбvvías






$$
\langle\bar{\epsilon},\rangle \quad \Pi \in \rho i \quad \sigma v \nu a \rho \mu o \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu
$$





 $183 \tau v \chi \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ тєтартŋцорioıs vєoүá $\mu$ оvs $\pi \circ \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тov̀s
 є’v $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тоîs $\lambda \iota \beta$ ккоîs, $\beta \rho a \delta v \gamma a ́ \mu o v s ~ \ddot{\eta} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ı s$
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cold and mixtures of colours; ${ }^{1}$ with Mars, temerity and notoriety; with both together, utter ruin of action. In gencral the period of increase or diminution, again, is calculated by means of the position, from time to time, of the planets responsible for the effect relative to the eastern and western angles. ${ }^{2}$

## 5. Of Marriage.

As the subject of marriage comes next in order to these matters, the following is the method whereby the lawful association of man and wife must be investigated. For men it is necessary to observe the position of the moon in their genitures. ${ }^{3}$ For, in the first place, if she chances to be in the eastern quadrants, she makes men marry young or marry women younger than themselves; but if she is in the western quadrants they marry late or marry older women. And if she is under the rays of the sun ${ }^{4}$ and in aspect with Saturn, they do not marry at all. Then again, if the moon is in a sign of a single
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 $\phi \iota \lambda a ́ v \delta \rho o u s ~ к а i ~ \phi \iota \lambda о \tau \epsilon ́ к v o u s \cdot ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ " A \rho \epsilon \omega s ~$








 MNECam.



${ }^{1}$ The "bicorporeal" signs ( $\delta i \sigma \omega \mu a$ ) precede the solstitial and equinoctial signs and follow the "solid" signs; see i. ll. Ptolemy explains the name on the ground that they 394

## TETRABIBLOS IV. 5

figure, or is applying to one of the planets, ${ }^{1}$ she makes them men of one marriage; but if she is in a bicorporeal or multiform sign, or applies to several planets in the same sign, she makes them marry more than once. And if the planets to which she applies, either by propinquity, or by testimony, ${ }^{2}$ are beneficent, the men get good wives; but if they are maleficent planets, the opposite. If she applies to Saturn, he makes the wives hardworking and stern; Jupiter, dignified and good managers; Mars, bold and unruly; Venus, cheerful, beautiful, and charming; Mercury, intelligent and keen. Further, Venus with Jupiter, Saturn, or Mercury makes them thrifty and affectionate to their husbands and children, but with Mars, easily roused to wrath, unstable, and unfecling.

In the case of the wives one must observe the sun in their genitures; for if he, again, chances to be in the castern quadrants, he makes those who have him in this position in their genitures either marry young or marry men younger than themselves, but in the western quadrants, he makes them marry late or marry husbands older than themselves. And if the sun is in a sign of a single figure, or applies to one of
share in two kinds of weather, rather than that the constellations represent more than one figure (e.g. Gemini, Pisces), or a figure of a mixed nature ( $\delta$ o $\phi$ й's; e.g. Sagittarius, Capricorn); it is characteristic of him to prefer scientific explanations to those based on mythology or fancy. The anonymous commentator says that he mbuns by "signs of a single figure" the tropical and solid signs, with the exception of the focund ( $\pi$ odvo $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ ), which are akin to the bicorporeal. For "application," $c f$. i. 2.4.
${ }^{2}$ Synonymous with "aspect."

## PTOLEMY

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ €́ $\varphi \omega \nu$ à $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \pi \tau \tau \omega \nu,{ }^{1} \mu o v o \gamma a ́ \mu o u s \cdot ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ $\delta \iota \sigma \omega ́ \mu \omega$ бє̀ $\ddot{\eta} \pi о \lambda \nu \mu o ́ \rho \phi \omega \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ $\ddot{\eta}$ каi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$






 $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 立 $\nu$ Kpóvov, $v \omega \chi \in \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ a ̉ \sigma \theta \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s$





 $\pi \alpha \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} о v \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \chi о \tau о ́ \mu \omega \nu \cdot{ }^{2} \lambda_{\iota} \beta v \kappa \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тоîs єip $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o t s ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \iota \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$.
$\triangle \iota a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \mu \grave{\iota} \nu$ oủv $\dot{\omega} s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ai $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ á $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ф $\hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta-$




 бvра́тт( $\omega \nu$ ) MNECam.
 MNECam.
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the oriental planets, he makes them marry but once; but, again, if he is in a bicorporeal or multiform sign, or in aspect with several planets in the east, they marry more than once. If Saturn is similarly in aspect with the sun, they marry sedate, useful, industricus husbands; if Jupiter is in aspect, dignified and magnanimous; Mars, men of action, lacking in affection, and unruly; Venus, neat and handsome; Mercury, thrifty and practical; Venus with Saturn, sluggish and rather weak in sexual relations; Venus with Mars, ardent, impetuous, and adulterous; Venus with Mercury, infatuated with boys. In this connction we mean by eastern quadrants, in the case of the sun, the signs which precede the rising sign of the zodiac, and those which precede the setting sign ; with reference to the moon, the signs from new and full moon to the quarters; and by western quadrants the signs opposite these.

Marriages for the most part are lasting when in both the genitures the luminaries happen to be in harmonious aspect, that is, in trine or in sextile with one another, and particularly when this eomes about by exchange; ${ }^{1}$ and even more when the
oither both the luminaries (sc. are in aspect), or in both the genitures, or one with the other; and if one (sc. with the other), either sun with sun, or moon with moon, or alteruately ( $\dot{\prime} \eta \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu \epsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}$ ) tho sun with the moon and the innon with the sun." By the expression "one with the other " he soems to mean " the luminaries in one geniture with those in the other," and this would be his interpretation of P'tolemy's є́vaddág (Proclus, кaт' '̇vallarq́v). This is more likely to be correct than Bonché-Lectereq's assumption (p. 449) of an "xchange of houses, enpecially as the housis of the sun ant moon, Leo and Cancer, aro disjunct (¿َवúrסєт $\alpha$ ).

## PTOLEMY

öтav $\dot{\eta}$ тồ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta ́ \nu \eta ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \gamma v \nu a<\kappa o ̀ s$



 $\phi \omega ́ v o u s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бva $\chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ ov̀s oi à $\gamma a \theta_{0} \pi о \iota o i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$＇̇ $\pi \iota \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ，$\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ к а і ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~$







 $\pi o \iota o \hat{v} \sigma \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a \lambda v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ ．$\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv ${ }^{3}$ тồ＇$E \rho \mu o \hat{v}$



 тро́то⿱ $\gamma \in \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \sigma v v a \rho \mu о \gamma a ̀ s ~ \delta ı а к р ı \tau \epsilon ́ о \nu ~ a ̀ \phi-~$
 тò̀ то仑̂＂$A \rho \epsilon \omega$ к каi тòv то仑̂ K Kóvov．$\sigma v \nu o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$
 oiкєías каi voцípovs тàs $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in i ́ a s \cdot ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota a \nu{ }^{10}$


 MNACam．
${ }^{2}$ ü $\beta \rho \epsilon \omega s$ VLADEProc．，ӥ $\beta \rho \iota o s \mathrm{P}$ ，йpas MN，žpus Cam． 398

## TETRABIBLOS IV. 5

husband's moon is in such aspect with the wife's sun. Divorces on slight pretexts and complete alienations occur when the aforesaid positions of the luminaries are in disjunct signs. or in opposition or in quartile. And if the beneficent planets regard the luminaries when the latter are in harmonious aspect, they keep the marriage pleasant, agrecable, and profitable, but if the maleficent planets so regard the luminaries. the marriage will be quarrelsome, unpleasant, and unprofitable. Similarly, when the luminaries are in inharmonious positions, the beneficent planets testifying to the luminaries do not completely terminate the marriages, but bring about renewals and recollections, which preserve kindness and affection; but the maleficent planets cause divorces with abuse and violence. If Mercury alone is with them, they are involved in notoriety and recriminations; and along with Venus, in adultery, poisonings, and the like. Marriages which come about in any other manner whatsoever must be judged by looking to Venus, Mars, and Saturn. For if they are with the luminaries in familiarity, we must decide that the marriages also will be domestic and the relationship lawful. For the marriage relationship will follow the relation which Venus holds to each of the planets mentioned,
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"A $A \epsilon \omega s$ к $\alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тò $\sigma v \nu \alpha \kappa \mu a ́ \zeta o \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu, ~ \epsilon ’ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta ́ \pi \epsilon \rho ~$
 ن́ $\psi \omega ́ \mu \mu \tau \alpha, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{~}$ тòv $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ K $\rho o ́ v o v ~ к а \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma-~$ $\beta \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ढ̉v $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \tau \rho \iota-$





 $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu \eta \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \alpha ́ \rho \eta, \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$

 $\ddot{\eta} \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \nu$.

 $\sigma v \mu \beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ • $\pi \rho о \sigma o ́ v \tau о s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau о \hat{v}$ той 'E $\rho \mu о \hat{v}$, каì

 $\kappa a ̈ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ o ́ \mu о \iota о \sigma \chi \eta \mu о \nu \eta^{1}$ av̉тoîs, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ́ \mu \eta ́ \lambda \iota к а s ~$
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toward Mars, that of persons of the same age, since they have their exaltations in signs that are in trine to one another ; ${ }^{1}$ toward Saturn, that of the older person, since again they have their houses in signs which are in trine to each other. ${ }^{2}$

Therefore Vcnus, with Mars, produces merely amorous dispositions, but if Mercury is present, notoriety also ; in the common and familiar signs, ${ }^{3}$ Capricorn and Pisces, unions with brethren or kindred. If in the case of men Venus is with the moon, she makes them unite with two sisters or kinsfolk, and if in the case of women Venus is with Jupiter, with two brothers, or kinsfolk.

Again, if Venus happens to be with Saturn, she produces merely pleasant and firm unions, but if Mcrcury is prescnt, they are also beneficial. But if Mars also is present the marriage will be unstable, harmful, and full of jealousy. And if she is in the same aspect to them, she brings about marriages with equals in age; but if she is further to the east than they, marriages with younger men or women, and if she is further to the west, with older women or men. But if Venus and Saturn are also in the common sigus, that is, in Capricorn or Libra, ${ }^{4}$ they
with the house of Venus (Taurus), not with her exaltation (Pisces). The lattor is in trine with Scorpio, the house of Mars.
${ }^{2}$ This is literally so ; Taurus, the house of Vonus, and Capricorn, the house of Saturn, both belong to the second or south-eastern triangle.
${ }^{3}$ Capricorn is the house of Saturn and Pisces the exaltation of Venus.
${ }^{4}$ Capricorn is the house of Saturn ; Libra tho house of Venus and the oxaltation of Suturn.

## PTOLEMY




 $\ddot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho v \iota a i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \delta \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \lambda \epsilon i a s$ vioìs $\ddot{\eta}$
 $\delta v \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ő $\nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ $\tau o \grave{v} \mu \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu$


 $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \grave{\nu} \quad \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \zeta \omega \delta i \omega \omega \nu \quad \mu \grave{\eta}$

 $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota{ }^{6} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a \quad \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu \pi \rho \circ \chi \epsilon i ́ \rho o v s, \epsilon \in \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu i ́ \omega \nu$




 $\tau о \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$ à $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \nu o \hat{v}, \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} s$
 $\pi \rho \circ a ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \cdot \kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ द́ $\sigma \chi \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ रío $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$


${ }^{2}$ à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ aîs VPADE, -ois cett. Cam. ; ( $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ ) ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ás $^{2}$ Proc.
${ }^{3} v i o i ̀ s ~ d ं \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \bar{\omega} \nu$ VMDE, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v i o u ̀ s ~ a ́ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ Proc., vî̂̀ dं $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ois PLNCam., om. A.
${ }^{4}$ Ovyaré $\rho \omega \nu$ ảv $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ PLProc.; $\pi a \tau \rho \omega o i ̂ s ~ c e t t . ~ C a m . ~$
 $\left.{ }^{6} \delta{ }_{l} a \tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} v a l\right]$ desinit N.
${ }^{7}$ каi $\tau \hat{\rho}$ S Ka入жi'סos om. MECam.

## TETRABIBLOS IV. 5

portend marriages of kin. If the moon is present with this aforesaid combination when it is at the horoscope or at mid-heaven, she makes men wed their mothers, or with their mother's sisters, or their stepmothers, and women wed their sons, their brothers' sons, or their daughters' husbands. The sun, particularly if the planets are setting, makes men wed their daughters, daughters' sisters, or sons' wives, and the women wed their fathers, fathers' brothers, or stepfathers. But if the aforesaid aspects chance not to be composed of signs of the same gender, but are in feminine places, ${ }^{1}$ thus they produce depraved individuals, ready in every way for both active and passive participation, and in some formations utterly obscene, as for instance in the forward and hinder parts of Aries, the Hyades, and the Pitcher, and the hind parts of Leo, and the face of Capricorn. But if the configuration is angular, on the first two angles, the eastern and mid-heaven, they make a complete display of their abnormalities and bring them forward even in public places; on the last two, that is, the western and northern, they produce spades and eunuchs or sterile women and
${ }^{\text {I }} \mathrm{Cf}$. i. 6 , according to which positions following tho sun,
or in tho two quadrants from mid-heaven to oceident
and from lower mid-heaven to orient are feminine. The
anonymous commentator in his explnuation (p. 157 , ed.
Wolf) anparently has i. 6 in mind, but his account seems
somewhat confused.
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \alpha \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \rho \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota к i ́ a \nu . ~ к \dddot{\alpha} \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ่ \nu ~ o ́ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'Aф
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those without passages; if Mars is present, men who have lost their genitals, or the so-called tribades. ${ }^{1}$

In general we shall, in the case of men, investigate through Mars what will be their disposition with respect to matters of love. For if Mars is separated from Venus and Saturn, but has the testimony of Jupiter, he produces men who are cleanly and decorous in love and who aim only at its natural use. But if he is accompanied by Saturn alone, he produces men cautious, hesitant, and frigid. If Venus and Jupiter are in aspect with him, he will produce men easily roused and passionate, who are, however, continent, hold themselves in eheck, and avoid unseemliness. With Venus alone, or if Jupiter also is with her, but Saturn is not present, he produces lustful, careless men, who seek their pleasures from every quarter; and if one of the planets is an evening and the other a morning star, men who have relations with both males and females, but no more than moderately inclined to either. But if both are evening stars, they will be inclined toward the fernales alone, and if the signs of the zodiac are feminine, they themselves will be pathies. If both are morning stars, they will be infected only with love of boys, and if the signs of the zodiac are masculine, with inales of any age. If Venus is further to the west, they will have to do with women of low degree, slaves, or
${ }^{1}$ Female perverts ; seo Curnont, pp. I82-183.
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 $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu$. $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \zeta$ ó $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\varphi}$ тồ $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \eta ᄁ ~$





 'Aфробíт $\pi$ тоєєî $\lambda a ́ \gamma v o v s ~ к а i ~ к а т а ф є \rho є i ̄ s ~ к а i ~$













> ${ }^{1}$ Kpóvou VPLDProc., $\Delta$ เòs MAECam.
> ${ }^{2}$ тoû $\dot{\eta} \lambda i o u$ PLProc. ; om. cett. Cam.
> ${ }^{3}$ є́avт $\bar{\omega} \nu$ post $\delta є \sigma \pi o ́ \tau a \iota s$ add. MECam. ${ }^{4} \mu o ́ v o s$ VPLMAE, $\mu o ́ v o \nu ~ D C a m . ~$
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foreigners; if Mars is further west, with superiors, or married women, or ladies of high station.

In the genitures of women one must examine Venus. For if Venus is in aspect with Jupiter or likewise with Mercury, she makes them temperate and pure in love. If Saturn ${ }^{1}$ is not present, but she is associated with Mercury, she makes them easily aroused and full of desire, but generally cautions, hesitant, and avoiding turpitude. But if Venus is together with Mars only, or is in some aspect to him, she makes them lustful and depraved and more heedless. If Jupiter too is present with them, and if Mars is under the sun's rays, they have commerce with slaves, men of lower classes, or foreigners; but if Venus is in this position, they consort with men of superior rank or masters, playing the part of mistresses or adulteresses; if the planets are made feminine by their places or aspects, ${ }^{2}$ they are inclined only to take the passive part, but if the planets are made masculine they are so depraved as actively to have commerce with women. However, when Saturn is brought into association with the aforesaid confygurations, if he is himself made feminine, he is by himself the cause of licentiousuess, but if he is rising and is in a masculine position, he makes them the objects of censure or lovers of such; but combination with
some plausibility, to be sure, because Jupiter and Mercury have been associated with Venus in the preceding sentence; but this very plausibility would have been a good reason for substituting "Jupitor" for an original "Saturn." Furthermore, the effect of the absence of Saturn, in this sentence, is not unlike what it is said to bo in tho precoding paragraph, that i , to make the subjects more lustful.
${ }^{2}$ C'f. i. 6.

## PTOLEMY

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu o \mu \epsilon \prime \nu o v, \tau o \hat{v}$ ठє̀ $\tau о \hat{v}$ ${ }^{\bullet} E \rho \mu o \hat{v} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha \beta о \eta \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \epsilon v ่ \pi \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu . ~$

$$
\langle\overline{5} .\rangle \quad \Pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu
$$



 סаípovos, $\pi \rho о \sigma o ́ v \tau a s ~ \eta ̈ ~ \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s \cdot ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 каі Дía каі 'Aфроঠíт $\nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta o ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ́ к \nu \omega \nu \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ \nu є є \nu$,




 $\kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \mu o ́ v a s ~ o ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \mu о \nu а \chi a ̀ ~ \delta \iota \delta o ́ a \sigma \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ́ к \nu а \cdot ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \delta \iota \sigma \omega ́$ -






 ${ }^{1}$ ápреликоîs PL; cf. Proc.; om. cett. Cam.
 ${ }^{3} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ VDProc., om. cett. Cam.
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Jupiter, again, always gives a more seemly appearance to these faults, and with Mereury makes them more notorious and unsafe.

## 6. Of Children.

As the topic of children follows upon that of marriage, we shall have to observe the planets that are in the mid-heaven or in aspeet with it or with its succedant, that is, the house of the Good Daemon, ${ }^{1}$ or, in default of such planets, those connected with the diametrically opposite places; and we must take the moon, Jupiter, and Venus to portend the giving of children, the sun, Mars, and Saturn to indicate few or no children. Mercury must be taken as common, with whichever group of planets he ehances to be in aspect, and to give children when he is a morning star, and to take them away when he is an evening star.

Now, the donative plancts, when they are merely in such a position and are by themselves, give single offspring, but if they are in bicorporeal and feminine signs, and similarly if they are in the fecund signs, such as Pisces, Scorpio, and Cancer, they give two or even more. If they are of a masculine nature, because they are in masculine signs or in aspect to the sum, they give male chidren; but female, if they are of a feminine nature. If the maleficent planets overeome them, or if they are found in sterile places, ${ }^{2}$ such as Leo or Virgo,
the critical note. Probably the less usual term, "places" (тónots), is the more origmal; "signs" (弓eoioos) was addod as a gloss, and thus came into the text.
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 $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \grave{\alpha} s^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ є́катє́ $\rho \alpha \nu$ аї $\rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \quad \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \eta-$









 $\kappa а i ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a ф \rho o ́ \delta ı \tau а ~ к а i ~ к \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu о и ิ v \tau а ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ o v ̉ \sigma i ́ a s ~$
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they give children, but for no good nor for any length of time. When the sun and the maleficent planets govern the aforesaid regions, if they are in masculine signs or in sterile signs, and if they are not overcome by the beneficent plancts, they signify complete childlessness, but if they are in feminine or fecund signs or have the testimony of the beneficent planets, they give offspring, but it will suffer injury and be short-lived. If both the sects ${ }^{1}$ bear some relation to the signs which signify the begetting of children, there will be losses among the children given, either of all of them or of a few, depending upon the superiority of the planets of either sect that bear witness, whichever we find to be more in number, or greater in power, because they are further to the east, or are closer to the angles, or are superior, or are succedant. If, then, the planets which rule the aforesaid signs are rising, and are givers of children, if they are in their own places, they will make famous and illustrious the children which are given; but if they are setting and are in places belonging to the other sect, the children will be humble and obscure. And if they are found to be in harmony with the horoscope and with the Lot of Fortunc, the children will be dear to their parents, they will be attractive, and will inherit their parents' estates; if however they are disjunct or opposed, they will be
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$\langle\bar{\zeta}.\rangle \Pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \phi i \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{i} \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$




 $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha^{8} \quad \sigma v \mu \pi \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \imath ้ \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \eta \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ тov̀s à $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ кข $\rho \iota \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau о \nu s$
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quarrelsome, trouble-makers, and injurious, and will not succeed to their patrimony. And similarly, if also the planets which give children are in larmonious aspect one to another, the children which they give continue in brotherly affection and mutual respect ; but if they are disjunct or in opposition to one another, the disposition of the children will be quarrelsome and scheming. Particular details, again, one could conjecture by using in each case as a horoscope the planet which gives children, and making his investigation of the more important questions from the rest of the configuration, as in a geniture.

## 7. Of Friends and Enemies.

With regard to friendly dispositions and the opposite, the deeper and more lasting of which we call sympathies and hostilities, and the lesser and occasional acruaintances ${ }^{1}$ and quarrels, our investigation will follow this course. In inquiries regarding matters of importance we must observe the places in both nativities which have the greatest authority, that is, those of the sun, the moon, the horoscope, and the Lot of Fortune; for if they chance to fall in the same signs of the zodiac, or if they exchange

[^343][^344]
## PTOLEMY

oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v s, ~ к \alpha i ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \theta$ ' ő $\tau \alpha \nu$ oi $\dot{\omega} \rho о \sigma к о \pi о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} s ~ \iota \zeta ' ~ \mu o i \rho a s ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \chi \chi \sigma \iota$, $\pi о \iota o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ $\sigma v \mu \pi a \theta \epsilon i a s$ à $\pi \tau \alpha i ́ \sigma \tau o v s ~ к \alpha i ~ a ̀ \delta ı a \lambda v ́ \tau o v s ~ к а i ~ a ̀ v є-~$ $\pi \eta \rho \epsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau 0 v s \cdot \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ - $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon \in \tau \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 $\ddot{\eta} \tau \tau o v a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \iota \pi a \theta \epsilon i a s, ~ \omega_{s}{ }^{2} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a i ́ ~ \tau ı \nu a s$
 каі $\mu$ ккродобіая ${ }^{3}$ öтау oi какотоьоі тòv $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta$ -
 $\sigma \pi о \nu \delta \grave{\alpha} s$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к а \tau а \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta о \pi о \iota \omega \nu \quad \tau o i ̂ s ~ \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ i ̂ s{ }^{5} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \beta$ á $\sigma \epsilon \iota s$.
 $\pi \rho \circ \alpha i \rho \in \sigma \iota \nu$ oű $\tau \omega s$ є’ $\chi o v \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o u s ~ \ddot{\eta} \delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$



 oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 号


 ${ }^{2} \dot{\omega}$ V VLAD, $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ Proc., oia MECam.
 Cam. ${ }^{2}$
 $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ MECam.
${ }^{5}$ тois ( $\sigma v$ ) $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ i s$ VPLAD, $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o v ̀ s ~ M E,-\omega ิ \nu$ Cam.

## TETRABIBLOS IV. 7

places, ${ }^{1}$ either all or most of them, and particularly if the horoscopic regions are about $17^{\circ}$ apart, they bring about secure and indissoluble sympathy, unbroken by any quarrel. However, if they are in disjunct signs or opposite signs, they produce the deepest enmities and lasting contentions. If they chance to be situated in neither of these ways, but merely in signs which bear an aspeet to one another, if they are in trine or in sextile, they make the sympathies less, and in quartile, the antipathies less. Thus there come about occasional spells of silence and of disparaging talk in friendships, whenever the maleficent planets are passing through these configurations, and truces and reconciliations in enmities at the ingress of the beneficent planets upon them. For there are three classes of friendship and enmity, since men are so disposed to one another either by preference or by need or through pleasure and pain; when all or most of the aforesaid places have familiarity with each other, the friendship is compounded of all three kinds, even as the enmity is, when they are dissociated. But when the places of the luminaries only are in familiarity, the friendship will result from choice, which is the best and surest kind, and in the ease of enmity the worst and faithless; similarly, when the places of the
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 ஸробко́т $\omega v$, $\delta \iota$ ’ $\dot{\eta} \delta o v a ̀ s ~ \ddot{\eta} \lambda u ́ \pi a s . ~$

Паратךрךтє́ov $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau o ́ \pi \omega \nu$



 $\alpha \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каi $\epsilon \pi \iota \iota \tau \tau \alpha \tau \iota \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \rho \circ \nu^{5} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ фı入ías


 $\mu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ каі тò є’к $\tau \hat{\eta} s \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi^{\prime} \theta \rho a s$ катор $\theta \omega \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ àтодотє́оข. ${ }^{7}$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \alpha i \rho \omega \nu$ $\sigma \nu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho เ \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \omega \prime \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma-$



 MEACam., тòv $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho о \nu \mathrm{VPD}, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu \mathrm{L}$.
${ }^{2}$ ràs om. Cam.
${ }^{3} \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta{ }^{2} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ libri Cam. ${ }^{1}$ (cf. Proc.) ; v́ $\pi o \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Cam. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{4} \gamma$ àp om. MECam.

${ }^{6}$ е́кєivats VPAD, -as $\mathbf{L},-\eta s$ MECam.


 àф'́धєוs . . . ג̇ $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ om. MA.
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Lots of Fortune are familiar, through need; and when the places of the horoscopes are familiar, through pleasure or pain.

One must observe, of the places in aspect, their elevations ${ }^{1}$ and how the planets regard them. To the nativity in which an elevation of the configuration occurs, whether it is the same sign as the succedant place or the one closest to it. ${ }^{2}$ must be assigned the greater authority and dircetion over friendship or enmity; and to those nativities in which the regard of the planets is more favourable ${ }^{2}$ for benevolenee and power. we must allot the greater benefit from the friendship and the greater success in the enmity.

In the occasional acquaintances and oppositions that arise from time to time between individuals, we must pay attention tu the movements of the planets in each of the nativities, that is, at what times the prorgations of the planets of one nativity reach the
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 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s$ є́ $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v ~ \tau \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \pi \iota \phi \epsilon \rho o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$


 $\mu a ́ \chi a s ~ к а i ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota ß o v \lambda \grave{\alpha} s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ к а т a ̀ ~ \pi \rho о а i ́ \rho \in \sigma \iota \nu . ~ K \rho o ́ v o s ~$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi \pi \nu \nu, \tau a \chi \grave{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau о \iota \psi v \chi o v o ́ a s \cdot K \rho o ́ v o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каi

 є́таєрías $\delta \iota{ }^{\prime}$ à $\xi \iota \omega \mu а т \iota к \omega ิ \nu ~ ク ̈ ~ о і к о \nu о \mu \iota к \omega ิ \nu \cdot ~ Z \epsilon \grave{v s ~} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$







 $\ddot{\eta}$ фар $\mu$ а́к $\omega \nu$ àфор $\mu a ́ s . ~ ' A \phi \rho о \delta i ́ t \eta ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon ~ к а i ~ ' E \rho \mu \eta ̂ s ~}$
${ }^{1}$ тoîs тóтous . . . ảotép $\rho \nu \mathrm{VDE}$ ( тòv pro $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{VD}$ ); roîs

${ }^{2}$ каі (post $\left.\mu є р к а і\right) ~ M A E P r o c . C a m ., ~ o m . ~ V D, ~ a i ~ P L . ~ . ~$
 Proc.
${ }^{4}$ avatáaєıs VDProc., - $\eta$ s $\mathrm{P},-\epsilon \omega s$ cett. Cam.




## TETRABIBLOS IV. 7

places of the other. ${ }^{1}$ For partial friendships and enmities take place in these times, prevailing at the shortest up to the completion of the prorogation, and at the longest until some other of the approaching planets reaches the place. Now if Saturn and Jupiter approach each other's places they produce friendships through introductions, agriculture, or inheritance; Saturn and Mars make intentional quarrels and schemings; Saturn and Venus, associations through kinsfolk, which, however, quickly cool; Saturn and Mercury make marriage and partnerships for the sake of giving and receiving, trade, or the mysteries. Jupiter and Mars cause associations through dignities or the management of property; Jupiter and Venus friendships through women, religious rites, oracles, or the like; Jupiter and Mercury associations for learned discussion, based upon philosophic inclination. Mars and Venus cause associations through love, adultery, or illegitimate relations, but they are unsure and flourish only briefly; Mars and Mercury produce enmities, noisy disputes, and lawsuits which arise through business or poisonings. Venus and
${ }^{1}$ The method of prorogation explained at length in iii. 10 is usod, with a point of departure in one nativity and point of arrival in tho othor.
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$\sigma v \mu \beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ тàs $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta \nu \tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha}$ ク̈ $\mu о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \ddot{\eta}$ бv́бта⿱⺌兀 $\alpha \pi o ̀ ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \nu ~ \eta ̈ ~ \theta \eta \lambda v к \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$.









 како̀v i̊ьттоті́as．









${ }^{1} \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o u s ~ к a i ~ l i b r i, ~ o m . ~ C a m . ~ . ~$
 кєขтрш日ध́vits，Proc．
${ }^{3}$ тòv toıov̂tov tótov Cam．
〔 $\omega$ oiov ME．то̂́ $\zeta \omega \delta i o v ~ C a m . ~$



## TETRABIBLOS IV. 7

Mercury give associations based upon some art or domain of the Muses, or an introduction by letter or through women.

Now then we must determine the degree of the intensity or relaxation of acquaintances and oppositions from the relation between the places which they assume and the four principal and most authoritative places, ${ }^{1}$ for if they are upon the angles or the Lots of Fortune or the houses of the luminaries, their portent is the more conspicuous, but if they are removed from them, they are insignificant. Whether the association will be more injurious or more beneficial to the associates is to be determined from the character for good or bad of the planets which regard the places named.

The special topic or account of slaves ${ }^{2}$ and the sympathy or antipathy of their masters to them is elucidated from the house of the Evil Daemon ${ }^{3}$ and from the natural suitability of the planets which regard this place both in the nativity itself and in their ingresses and oppositions to it, particularly when the lords of the sign are either in harmonious aspect to the principal places of the nativity, or the opposite. ${ }^{4}$
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## < $\bar{\eta}$.$\rangle Пєрi \xi \in \nu \imath \tau \epsilon i a s$

 $\tau \hat{\jmath} \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon ́ v \tau \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s, \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \circ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$













 коді́бтоvs, ${ }^{4} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ бvүкратьк $\bar{s}$ є' $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa є ́ \psi \epsilon \omega s ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ кат’ є่ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \tau о \imath ̂ s$
${ }^{1} \ddot{\eta}$ VD, $\epsilon \ddot{\eta}$ PL, om. cett. Cam.
${ }^{2}$ Post av̀тòs add. $\ddot{\eta}$ MAECam., каi PL.
${ }^{3} \notin \kappa \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta$ VPLADEProc., $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta$ MCam.

he says, whether the planets that are actually in the twelfth house, or are in aspect to it, or in opposition to it, are of the same natural temperament; but in the following clause Bouché-Leclercq confesses himself not to be sure of the meaning of av̀ $\theta \in \nu \tau \iota \kappa o i s, ~ r e n d e r e d ~ p r a e c i p u i s ~ b y ~ C a r d a n u s, ~$ 422

## TETRABIBLOS IV. 8

## 8. Of Foreign Travel.

The topic of foreign travel ${ }^{1}$ receives treatment by observing the position of the luminaries to the angles, both of them, but particularly the moon. For when the moon is setting or declining from the angles, ${ }^{2}$ she portends journeys abroad or changes of place. Mars too sometimes has a similar force, either when he is setting or when he himself also has declined from mid-heaven, when he is in opposition or quartile to the luminaries. If the Lot of Fortune also falls among the signs that cause travel, the subjects spend their whole lives abroad and will have all their personal relations and business there. If beneficent planets regard the aforesaid places or succeed them, their activities abroad will be honourable and profitable and their return quick and unimpeded; but if the maleficent planets regard them, their journeys will be laborious, injurious, and dangerous, and the return difficult, although in every case the mixture of influences is taken into consideration, determined
locis dominii et potestatis eorum by Junctinus, and cum dominatore nativitatis by Melanchthon. It may be noted
 anonymous commentator gives no help.
${ }^{1}$ The insecurity and uncertainty of travel in ancient times made it a much more serious undertaking than nowadays, and consequently the astrologers devoted much attention to it.
${ }^{2}$ I.e. when she is in the Oceident (seventh house) or the so-called áток入íaта (third, sixth, ninth, and twelfth houses). These and the zodiacal signs that fall upon them are the "signs that cause travel." The moon is the greatest traveller among the celestial objects. Cf. Bouché-Leelereq, p. 455.
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av̉тоîs тóтcıs $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ є̇v $\tau о i ̂ s$ $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \delta \iota \omega \rho \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$.

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ v a \tau o \lambda \alpha ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \beta i ́ a \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta ~ \tau \omega \nu \nu ~ о і к \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$

 $\alpha^{\prime} \rho \kappa \tau о v{ }^{1}$ каì $\delta v \sigma \mu \alpha ́ s .{ }^{2}$ кӓ้ $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu \mu о \nu о є \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \tau u ́ \chi \eta \tau \dot{\alpha}$




 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \xi \epsilon \nu \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \nu$ тo九ov́v $\tau \omega \nu$ тóт $\omega \nu$ каi $\phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov

 $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a \iota s ~ \eta ̈ ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \phi i ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ a ̀ \phi o \rho \mu a ̀ s ~{ }^{4} \pi \alpha \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi o \nu \tau \alpha l$,








 $\delta v \sigma \pi \lambda о \iota \omega \nu$ каi vavaүícv $\eta_{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \delta v \sigma о \delta \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ каi

```
\({ }^{1} \tau \alpha\) à \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \rho \kappa \tau о u s ~ V A D E, ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi . ~ a ̈ . ~ c e t t . ~ C a m . ~\)
\({ }^{2} \delta v \sigma \mu a ́ s ~ V P L A D, ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \delta v o \mu a i ̂ s ~ M E C a m . ~\)
º́doıторías VMADEProc., à \(\pi o \delta \eta \mu i a s ~ P L C a m . ~\)
```

by the dominance of the planets that bear an aspect to these same places, as we explained at first. ${ }^{1}$

In general, it happens that, if the luminaries fall in the lower parts of the eastern quadrants, the travel is to the eastern and southern parts of the world, but if in the western quadrants or in the occident itself, to the north and the west; and if the zodiacal signs which caused the travel chance to be those of a single figure, either themselves or the planets that rule them, the journeys will be made at long intervals and upon occasion ; but if they are bicorporeal signs, or of double form, they will travel continuously and for a very long time. If Jupiter and Venus are the rulers of the places which govern travel, and of the luminaries, they make the journeys not only safe but also pleasant ; for the subjects will be sent on their way either by the chief men of the country or by the resources of their friends, and favourable conditions of weather and abundance of supplies will also aid them. Often, too, if Mercury is added to these, profit, gain, gifts, and honour result from this good fortunc of which we have spoken. If Saturn and Mars control the luminaries, however, and particularly if they are in opposition to each other, they will make the results useless and will involve the subject in great dangers, through unfortunate voyages and shipwreck if they are in watery signs, or

$$
{ }^{1} C f . \text { iii. } 4 \text { ad } f i n
$$
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 ioßó̀ $\omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha$ 's, $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \eta \rho о v \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$ є" $\tau \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ '́к $\tau \hat{\rho} \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega$ -



## 

Kaтa入єıтонє́vךs $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi i \quad \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\pi o \iota o ̀ v$ $198 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta a \nu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad$ '̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \psi \epsilon \omega s, \pi \rho o \delta \iota a \lambda \eta \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ $\delta i \grave{\alpha}$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ä $\phi \in \sigma \iota \nu$ àктivos $\dot{\eta}$ à $\nu \alpha i ́ \rho \in \sigma \iota s$

 Cam.
${ }^{2} \dot{\omega} \phi \bar{\epsilon} \lambda_{\iota} \mu$ оs MECam.
${ }^{3}$ тоขтย́бти( $\nu$ ) VPLD, éк MAECam.
${ }^{4}$ Post aítıov add. ëgraı VPLD.
${ }^{5}$ кирías VPLD, кир(є)ía MECam., каi кขрєià A.
again through hard going and desert places; and if they are in solid signs, through falling from heights and assaults of winds; in the solstitial and equinoctial signs, through lack of provisions and unhealthy conditions; in the signs of human form, through piracy, plots, and robberies; in the terrestrial signs, through the attacks of beasts, or earthquakes, and if Mercury is present at the same time, through the weather, dangerous accusations, and, furthermore, through the bites of reptiles and other poisonous creatures. The peculiar quality of the events, whether they be beneficial or harmful-that is, the differentiation in the cause-is observed from the government of the places significant of action, property, body, or dignity, according to our original disposition of them, ${ }^{1}$ and the occasions which will to the greatest degree bring about these portended events are judged from the time of the ingresses ${ }^{2}$ of the five planets. Such be our general account of the matter.

## 9. Of the Quality of Death.

Since after all the others the inquiry eoncerning the (fuality of death remains, we shall first determine, through the means furnished by the disenssion of the length of life, whether the destruction will be accomplished by the projection of a ray or by the descent
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi o \iota к i ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$ $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ à $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ а兀่т $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ảvaı $\rho \in \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ то́т $\omega \nu$ iठьот $\rho о \pi i a s ~ \zeta \varphi \delta \iota-$ $\alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} s \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ кат⿳亠 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\prime} \omega \nu$ фv́б亢v．

 $\phi \theta_{i} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каi $\rho \in \nu \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \eta \dot{\xi} \epsilon \omega \nu^{3}$ каi $\dot{\rho} \ell \gamma о \pi \nu \rho \in ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\sigma \pi \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ каi $\dot{v} \delta \rho \omega \pi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi
 $\kappa а \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \alpha \sigma \mu \grave{o} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \psi v \chi \rho \circ \hat{v} \sigma v \nu^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ．ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$



 $\sigma v v a ́ \pi \tau o v \sigma \nu{ }^{5}{ }^{5}$ ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тồ＂$A \rho \epsilon \omega S$ à $\pi \grave{o} \pi v \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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of the significator to the oceident. ${ }^{1}$ For if the destruction should come about through the projection of rays and occourse, it is fitting to observe the place of the occourse in order to determine the quality of the death, but if it occurs by the descent of the significator to the occident, we must observe the occident itself. For of whatever quality are the planets that are upon the aforesaid places. or, if they are not upon them, the first planets to approach them, such we must understand that the deaths will be, while at the same time the planets in aspect by their natures contribute to the complexity of the events, as do also the peculiar characters of the aforesaid destructive places themselves, both through the signs of the zodiac and through the nature of the terms. ${ }^{2}$

Now then, if Saturn holds the lordship of death, he brings about the end through long illness, phthisis, rheumatism, colliquations, chills and fever, and splenic, dropsical, enteric, or hysteric conditions, and such as arise through excesses of cold. Jupiter causes death through strangulation, pneumonia, apoplexy, spasms, headaches, and cardiac affections, and such conditions as are accompanied by irregularity or foulness of breath. Mars kills by means of fevers, continued or intermittent at intervals of one and a half days, sudden strokes, nephritic con-
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 $\epsilon ’ \rho v \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi o’ $\lambda \epsilon \in \theta \rho \omega \nu$ каi óба $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \nu о \sigma \eta-$

 ото $\mu \alpha \chi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі $\dot{\eta} \pi а \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\delta v \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a-$
 бvрíү $\omega \nu$ каі $\lambda \epsilon \iota \chi \eta ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каі фар $\mu а ́ к \omega \nu ~ \delta о ́ \sigma є \omega s ~ к а і ~$ ó $\sigma \alpha$ тov̂ ن́ $\gamma \rho \circ \hat{v} \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau o s ~ \ddot{\eta} \phi \theta a \rho \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o s ~ a ं \pi о \tau \epsilon-$
 каі є'кота́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каі $\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi о \lambda \iota \omega \bar{\nu}{ }^{2}$ каі $\pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\mu \alpha ́ т \omega \nu$ каĭ óта тоv̂ $\xi \eta \rho о \hat{v} \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \alpha ́ \sigma a \nu \tau о s ~ \ddot{\eta} \phi \theta \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ v \tau о s$ бvข'отатаı.
'I $\delta$ íoıs $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oûv $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ Oaváтoıs oi кат̀̀ тòv $\epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \zeta \eta े \nu, ~ o ̈ \tau \alpha \nu ~ o i ~$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ кvрíav тov $\theta a \nu a ́ \tau o v ~ \lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ i \delta i ́ a s ~$
 v́тò $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ к а \theta v \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к а к \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$

 $\sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ oi какотоьоi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ávaı $\epsilon є \iota \kappa \omega \bar{\nu} \tau о ́ \pi \omega \nu$ グтоь
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ditions and those that involve the spitting of blood, hæmorrhages, miscarriages, childbirth, erysipelas, and pestilences, and such diseases as induce death by fever and immoderate heat. Venus causes death by stomachic, hepatic, and intestinal conditions, and furthermore through cancers, fistulas, lichens, taking poisons, and such misfortunes as come about frons excess or deficiency of moisture. Mercury portends death by madness, distraction, melancholy, the falling sickness, epilepsy, diseases accompanied by coughing and raising, and all such ailments as arise from the excess or deficiency of dryness.

Thus, then, those who depart from life in the way described die natural deaths, ${ }^{1}$ whenever the lords of death happen to be in their own or in kindred natural characters, ${ }^{2}$ and if no planet that is able to do injury and to make the end more remarkable overcomes them. They die, however, by violent and conspicuous means whenever both the evil planets dominate the destructive places, either in conjunction, or in quartile, or in opposition, or also if one of the two, or both, seize upon ${ }^{3}$ the sun, or the moon, or both the luminaries. The affiction of the death in this case arises from their junction, its magnitude
${ }^{1}$ Literally, "by their own deaths," contrasted with violent ( (iatot) deaths caused by some external ageney. The anonymous commentator thus explains.
${ }^{2}$ When they aro in the houses of members of their own sect, say's the Anonymous.
${ }^{3}$ Apparently the word is used in tho sense of "affliction" (see iii. 9, p. 267).
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 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ то̀̀s какотоьоข̀s $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota є \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu{ }^{1}$ $\zeta \omega \delta i \omega \nu$.


 vals $\ddot{\eta}$ oт $\rho \alpha \gamma \gamma a \lambda \iota a i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi o \lambda \lambda u \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s \cdot ~ o ́ \mu o i ́ \omega s ~ \delta e ̀ ~$


 aủтós, є̀v סף


 тov̀s $\epsilon ้ v$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma \phi a i \rho a ~ o ̋ \phi \epsilon t s ~ \dddot{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma a i ̂ a ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$








 P (-єХш́шт-) L.
 ката́лqұи Cam.
${ }^{3} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a t s \mathrm{APL}$ ( $\left.\bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a t s\right)$ Proc., $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ c e t t . ~ C a m . ~$

${ }^{5} \ddot{\eta}$ т $\tau \tau \rho a \pi o ́ \delta o \iota s ~ V P r o c ., ~ т \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi o ́ \delta o ı s ~ P L, ~ o m . ~ c e t t . ~ C a m . ~$
${ }^{6}$ indie VMD, of. Proc. ; -os PLAECam.
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from the testimony of the luminaries. and its quality, again, from the way in which the other planets regard them, and from the signs in which the evil planets are found.

For if Saturn is in quartile to the sun from a sign of the opposite sect, or is in opposition, in the solid signs he causes death by trampling in a mob, or by the noose, or by indurations, and similarly if he is setting and the moon is approaching him ; in the signs that have the form of animals, he causes death by wild beasts, and if Jupiter, who is himself afllicted, bears witness to him, death in public places, or on days of celebration, in fighting with the beasts; but in the ascendant, in opposition ${ }^{1}$ to either of the luminaries, death in prison. If he is in aspect to Mercury, and particularly in the neighbourhood of the serpents ${ }^{2}$ in the sphere, or in the terrestrial signs, he makes men die from the bites of poisonons creatures, and if Venus is present with them, by poisoning and by feminine plots; but in Virgo and Pisces, or the watery signs, if the moon is in aspect, by drowning and suffocation in water; in the neighbourhood of Argo, as the victims of shipwreck; in the tropical or four-footed signs, when [Saturn] is with the sun or is in opposition to him, or if he is
${ }^{1}$ The Anonymous, p. 165, Wolf, explains the raro word ávөшробкол ${ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$ thus. Melanchthon, however, took it in the opposite sense, " in the occident and opposing tho luminaries."
${ }^{2}$ The Anonymons satys that ho means the constellations, such as Draco and Hydra, of sorpent-like form.
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 $\mu a \tau \iota \sigma \hat{\eta}$, íтò $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\eta} \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu$ そ̈ какочрү $\bar{\nu} \nu$




 тô̂ $\mu \epsilon \sigma o v p a \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau o s ~ \ddot{\eta}$ àv $\iota \iota \mu \epsilon \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu a \tau o s, \sigma \tau a v-$


 $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha ́ \pi о \sigma \iota \nu$, à $\pi \grave{o} \sigma v \mu \pi \tau \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каі $\sigma v \nu \theta \rho \alpha v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каi



${ }^{2}$ фovéas VP ( $\phi \omega \nu-$ ) LMDEProc., фоvєvonévous ACam.
${ }^{3}$ aùroîs VDProc., - $\eta$ s PL, -òv MAECam.
${ }^{4}$ тoúzoıs VPLADProc., aùzê MECam.
${ }^{8}$ Tav́pu VPLDProc., Kєvтav́pщ MAECam.

 MECam.
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with Mars instead of the sun, by being caught in the collapse of a house; and if they are in mid-heaven, above or below the earth, by a fall from a height.

If Mars is quartile or in opposition to the sun or the moon, from a sign of the other sect, in the signs of human form, he causes the subjects to be slaughtered in civil factions or by the enemy, or to commit suicide, and to die because of women or as murderers of women, whenever Venus testifies to them; ${ }^{1}$ and if Mercury also is in aspect to these, he causes death at the hands of pirates, robbers,or criminals; in the mutilated and imperfect signs, ${ }^{2}$ or in the Gorgon of Perseus, death by decapitation or mutilation; in Scorpio and Taurus, death through cautery, cutting, ${ }^{3}$ or amputation by physicians, or death in convulsions; at mid-heaven or the opposite point, by being set up on stakes, ${ }^{4}$ and particularly in Cepheus and Andromeda; at the occident or in opposition to the horoscope, by being burned alive; in the quadrupedal signs, death by the collapse of houses, by breaking, or by crushing; if Jupiter also bears witness to him and is afflicted at the same time, again the subjects perish
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 $\ddot{\eta}$ oi$\omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, ö $\tau \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ó $\mu о \epsilon i \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \zeta \omega \delta i \omega \nu \nu$ oi какотоьоі $\tau \cup ́ \chi \omega \sigma \iota, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu$ òs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\gamma \alpha \theta_{0} \pi о \iota \omega \nu^{3} \tau \hat{\varphi}$




 тú $\chi \eta$ тoùs єị $\eta \mu$ '́vovs $\tau$ ómovs.

## 




[^359]conspicuously by condemnation and through the anger of generals or kings.

If the maleficent planets are together and in this state are in opposition in some one of the aforesaid significant positions, they work together all the more for the affliction of the death. In this case the signification of the quality of the death lies with the one that chances to occupy the destructive place, or else the fatal occurrences are multiplied, or doubled, either in quality or in quantity, whenever both have some relation to the destructive places. Persons with such genitures are even left without burial, and are consumed by wild beasts or birds, whenever the maleficent planets chance to be in signs of such form, ${ }^{1}$ if none of the beneficent $p$ planets is witnessing to the lower mid-heaven or to the destructive places. Deaths occur in foreign lands if the planets that occupy the destructive places fall in the declining places, ${ }^{2}$ and particularly whenever the moon happens to be in, or quartile to, or in opposition to, the aforesaid regions.

## 10. Of the Division of Times.

As we have treated systematically under its several heads the outline of each kind of inquiry only so
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 $\pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon i v a \iota ~ к а \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ o ̈ \sigma \alpha ~ к а i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s$


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda \iota \alpha \lambda о \gamma \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ то́т $\pi \nu \pi \rho о v \phi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon ́$ $\tau \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇ $\pi i \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \epsilon i \mu a \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu, \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\dot{\omega} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \mu о \rho \phi \dot{\alpha} s$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$


 $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, ö $\pi \omega s \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \prime \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ \mu о \iota \nu$
 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ v, \lambda \epsilon v \kappa o ́ \chi \rho о v \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu o ̀ v ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \tau \rho i ́ \chi a s ~ \epsilon i \pi \omega ́ \nu$, тòv $\delta є$ є $\Gamma \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \ddot{\eta}$ тòv $\Gamma a \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \rho о a^{3}$ каі
 фı $\lambda o \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \dddot{\eta} \phi \iota \lambda o \theta \epsilon \epsilon ́ \rho o v s, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} ~ ‘ E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta \iota$





 $\pi є \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s, ~ \epsilon i \tau \alpha a$ тàs катà $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu a ̂ d \lambda o \nu ~$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \lambda \alpha ́ \theta \eta \text { VPLADE, } \lambda \alpha ́ \theta o \iota ~ M, \pi a ́ \theta \eta \text { Cam. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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far as to explain the general doctrine, which was our original intention, it would remain to add in the same manner any observations that should be made about the division of times, in such manner as to agree with nature and to be consistent with the specific doctrines which have already been set forth. So then, as, among all genethlialogical incuiries whatsoever, a more general destiny takes precedence of all particular considerations, namely, that of country of birth, to which the major details of a geniture are naturally subordinate, such as the topics of the form of the body, the character of the soul and the variations of manners and customs, it is also necessary that he who makes his inquiry naturally should always hold first to the primary and more authoritative cause, lest, misled by the similarity of genitures, he should unwittingly call, let us say, the Ethiopian white or straight-haired, and the German or Gaul blackskinned and woolly-haired, or the latter gentle in character, fond of discussion, or fond of contemplation, and the Grecks savage of soul and untutored of mind; or, again, on the subject of marriage, lest he mistake the appropriate customs and manners by assigning, for example, marriage with a sister to one who is Italian by race, instead of to the Egyptian as he should, and a marriage with his mother to this latter, though it suits the Persian. Thus in general it is needful first to apprehend universal conditions of destiny, and then to attach to them the particular conditions which relate to
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 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ảvaүкаі̂о $\pi \rho о \ddot{̈} \pi о \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \kappa \alpha i$

 $\lambda \alpha ́ \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ av́тoús $\pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon ̀ v \quad \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} \iota \nu$ $\ddot{\eta}$








 $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a s ~ к а і ~ \tau \eta \rho s ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta s ~ a ̀ \phi ’ ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ офаípas, тоv-
 $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu \sigma \phi \alpha \iota \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$
 $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{\omega} s \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau \eta$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \omega \nu \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}$


${ }^{3} \epsilon \dot{\phi} \alpha \rho \mu o ́ \zeta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ACam., - $\epsilon \iota$ VP ( $-\mu \omega \zeta$-) LD, $-о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ME.

[^362]degree. In the same fashion likewise, dealing with the division of time, one must take as a basis in eaeh single prediction the differences and special proprieties of the temporal ages, and see to it that we do not, in the ordinary, simple treatment of matters incident to the inquiry, earelessly assign to a babe action or marriage, or anything that belongs to adults, or to an extremely old man the begetting of children or anything else that fits younger men; but once and for all let us harmonize those details which are contemplated in temporal terms with that which is suitable and possible for persons in the various age-classes. For in the matter of the agedivisions of mankind ${ }^{1}$ in general there is one and the same approach, which for likeness and comparison depends upon the order of the seven planets; it begins with the first age of man and with the first sphere from us, that is, the moon's, and ends with the last of the ages and the outermost of the planetary spheres, which is called that of Saturn. And in truth the aceidental qualities of each of the ages are those which are naturally proper to the planet compared with it, and these it will be needful to observe, in order that by this means we may investigate the
there are seven ages instead of four, as in i. 10, and " soven planets," though elsowhere the two liminaries are kept distinct from the five planets. We may, however, agroo with his conclusion that the style of the chaptor is unquestionably Ptolemaie nud that it is more probably an addition by the author than an interpolation by another hand. Nevertheless, Pbolemy has probably borrowed much of this material from others, and tho differences in his sources will account for apparont discrepancios. The ages of man, most familiar to us through As You Like It, II. vii, are found in many ancient writors.
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$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho о \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu \sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} S$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$
 бконє́v$\nu \nu \nu$ í $\iota \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$.

Мє́ $\chi \rho \iota \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ́ v \pi o v \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$





 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тò $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ v$ аùт $\bar{s} \sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa о ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ оікєєíшs.




 दे $\nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \in \nu a \iota ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \mu a \tau \alpha ́ \tau \iota \nu \alpha$ каi $\sigma \tau о \iota \chi \epsilon i a, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma i o t s{ }^{7} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu \tau \alpha{ }^{7} \psi v \chi a ́ s$
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general questions of the temporal divisions, while we determine particular differences from the special qualities which are discovered in the nativities.

For up to about the fourth year, following the number which belongs to the quadrennium, ${ }^{1}$ the moon takes over the age of infancy and produces the suppleness and lack of fixity in its body, its quick growth and the moist nature, as a rule, of its food, the changeability of its condition, and the imperfection and inarticulate state of its soul, suitably to her own active qualities.

In the following period of ten years, Mercury, to whom falls the second place and the second age, that of childhood, for the period which is half of the space of twenty years, ${ }^{2}$ begins to articulate and fashion the intelligent and logical part of the soul, to implant certain seeds and rudiments of learning, and to bring to light individual peculiarities of character and faculties, awaking the soul at this stage by instruction, tutelage, and the first gymnastic exercises.

Venus, taking in charge the third age, that of youth, for the next eight years, corresponding in number to her own period, begins, as is natural, to

[^364][^365]PTOLEMY
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi{ }^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu \bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu{ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}^{1} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$

 $\psi v \chi a i s^{2}$ каi áк $\rho а \sigma i a$ каi т $\quad o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{a} \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau а ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$ à $\rho \rho \delta \iota \sigma i \not \omega \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \rho \omega$ к каi $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu о \nu \eta$ каі à $\pi a ́ \tau \eta$ каi то仑 $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \tau о \hat{s}{ }^{3}$ à $\beta \lambda \epsilon \psi i ́ a$.

Tìv $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \nu$ каi $\tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta \nu ~ \tilde{\eta} \lambda \iota к i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ $\nu \epsilon a \nu \iota \kappa \eta ̀ \nu \lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$ ó $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta s$ бфаípas ки́рıоs ${ }^{4}$ ó

 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi о є \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}, \beta i o v \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \delta^{\prime} \xi \eta s$ каі катабта́бєшs 206 є่ $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu i ́ a \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \iota \nu$ à $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \iota \nu \nu \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i$ $\alpha, \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu{ }^{6}$ á $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\pi i$ тò $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu$




 $\sigma \kappa v \lambda \mu \circ v{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \not \epsilon \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \iota, \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$


${ }^{1}$ кат $\alpha$ VPLAD, каi MECam.

${ }^{3} \pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \tau \circ \hat{s}$ VP ( $\pi \rho \omega \omega$-) LAD ; cf. тò $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in S$ Proc.; $\pi \rho \epsilon \in-$ morios ME ; $\beta \lambda \epsilon$ ́́тогтоs Cam.

 бгที่т $\omega \nu$ каi $\pi \lambda a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Cam.
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inspire, at their maturity, an activity of the seminal passages and to implant an impulse toward the embrace of love. At this time particularly a kind of frenzy enters the soul, incontinence, desire for any chance sexual gratification, burning passion, guile, and the blindness of the impetuous lover.

The lord of the middle sphere, the sun, takes over the fourth age, which is the middle one in order, young manhood, for the period of nineteen years, wherein he implants in the soul at length the mastery and direction of its actions, desire for substance, glory, and position, and a change from playful, ingenuous error to seriousness, decorum, and ambition.

After the sun, Mars, fifth in order, assumes command of manhood for the space of fifteen years, equal to his own period. ${ }^{1}$ He introduces severity and misery into life, and implants cares and trombles in the soul and in the body, giving it, as it were. some sense and notion of passing its prime and urging it, before it approaches its end, by labour to accomplish
${ }^{1}$ As Bouché-Leelereq (p. 409) remarks, why fifteon years should be given as the "poriod " of Mars is a mystery. The synodic period of this planet is 780 days and its sidereal period 687 days. In the next paragraph twelve years, stated to be tho period of Jupiter, is not far from the actual sidereal period of this planet ( 11.86 years) and is the measurement ordinarily given by ancient astronomers. For this astrological, not astronomical, statement about Mars of. P. Mich. 149, col. v, $18 \mathrm{ff} .$, which speaks of the "period of Mars, who roturns to his original position in
 aтaatv é $\chi$ єt). In the Michigan astrological treatise, however, tho kength of the period of Mars is associated with the age of boys at puberty rather than with the length of a division of the lifo of man, as in the Tetrabiblos.

## PTOLEMY

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \in \iota \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.


 $\chi \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon s$ каi $\pi а \rho а к \epsilon \kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ à $\pi о-$ $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, тò $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \cup ้ \sigma \chi \eta \mu о \nu$ каi $\pi \rho о \nu о \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \nu о \nu \theta \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ к а і ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \nu \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{̀} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota, \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} S$

 $\pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} a s .{ }^{1}$


 $207 \delta \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\nu} \nu$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi v \chi \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$



 $\rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \omega^{4} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тò oíкєîov $\tau \hat{\eta} S \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \iota \nu \eta \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \nu \omega \chi \epsilon-$ $\lambda \epsilon i ́ a s$.
 $\phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \rho о$ и́ $\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota \quad \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu$ i $\delta \iota о \tau \rho о \pi i a \iota$
 $\delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ к а \tau \grave{a}$ тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ íSiov
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something among its undertakings that is worthy of note.

Sixth, Jupiter, taking as his lot the elderly age, again for the space of his own period, twelve years, brings about the renunciation of manual labour, toil, turmoil, and dangerous activity, and in their place brings decorum, foresight, retirement, together with all-embracing deliberation, admonition, and consolation; now especially he brings men to set store by honour, praise, and independence, accompanied by modesty and dignity.

Finally to Saturn falls as his lot old age, the latest period, which lasts for the rest of life. Now the movements both of body and of soul are cooled and impeded in their impulses, enjoyments, desires, and speed; for the natural decline supervenes upon life, which has become worn down with age, dispirited, weak, easily offended, and hard to please in all situations, in keeping with the sluggishness of his movements.

The foregoing, then, may be taken as a preliminary description of the characteristics of the ages of life, viewed generally and in accordance with the ordinary course of nature. But as for particulars, ${ }^{1}$ which are
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 $\kappa v \rho \iota \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ á $\phi \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \pi о \imath \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha, \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\tau \eta \dot{p} \delta_{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ тov кגク́pov $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\tau u ́ \chi \eta S ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S$


 то仑 $\mu \in \sigma o v \rho a \nu \eta ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \lambda о \iota \pi \grave{\alpha} s ~ к а i ̀ ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ ßiov $\delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma a ́ s$, oîov $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ ，фı入ías，
















$\left.{ }^{1} \pi \rho o{ }^{2}-\dot{a}\right]$ in his verbis desinit $V$.
${ }^{2}$ ầv post $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ add. MADECam., om. PLProc.
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to be discovered from the peculiarities of the nativities, some of them again we shall base upon the general considerations already set forth, that is, upon the prorogations of greatest authority, all of them, however, and not one, as in the casc of the space of life. We shall apply the prorogation from the horoscope to events relating to the body and to journeys abroad; that from the Lot of Fortune to matters of property; that from the moon to affections of the soul and to marriage; that from the sun to dignities and glory; that from the mid-heaven to the other details of the conduct of life, such as actions, friendships, and the begetting of children. For thus it will come about that one beneficent or maleficent star will not be the ruler of all of them on the same occasion, for usually many contradictory events take place at the same time. One may, for example, lose a relative and receive an inheritance, or at once be prostrated by illness and gain some dignity and promotion, or in the midst of misfortune become the father of children, or have other experiences of this sort which are apt to occur. For it is not usual that alike in goodness or badness of body, soul, property, dignity, and companions, one must by very necessity be cither fortunate or, again, unfortunate in all these particulars. This, to be sure, might perhaps happen upon occasions that are completely blessed or completely unhappy, when the occourses of all the bencficent planets, or of all the maleficent planets, converge upon all or the majority of the prorogations. Rarely would this take place, however, because
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 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ิ \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ a \nu$. $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv ảфєтıкоѝs

 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \grave{c} \nu \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha s, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} S$





Kai $\pi \rho \hat{\omega ิ \tau o v ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \delta о \tau \epsilon ́ o \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v s ~ к а \theta ' ~}$


 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́ $\xi \hat{\eta} s$ єis $\tau \grave{a}$ є́ $\pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \mu \circ \hat{\imath} \rho a \nu$ є̀ $\pi \iota-$




 тоîs то仑 oiкєiov к入íцатоs хрóvoıs à $\nu$ афорıкоі̂s,


 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \nu^{3} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \nless о \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\eta} \kappa а \tau а \phi о \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma v \mu-$
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human nature is imperfectly adapted to either one of the extremes, but is inclined toward the balance of good and evil arising from their alternation. We shall, then, make distinctions among the prorogatory places in the manner described, and as for the stars whose occourses take place in the prorogations, we must take into account not only the destructive ones, as in the case of the length of life, but absolutely all of them, and similarly not those alone that meet the prorogation only bodily, or by opposition, or in quartile, ${ }^{1}$ but also those that are in the trine and sextile aspects.

In the first place, we must give the rulership of the times in each prorogation to the star that is actually upon the prorogatory degree or in aspect to it, or, if this condition does not exist, to the one that most nearly precedes, until we come to another which is in aspect with the next following degree in the order of the signs; then to this as far as the next following, and so on; and the planets which govern the terms are to be given a part of the rulership. And again we must assign years to the degrees of the intervals: in the prorogation from the horoscope a number equal to the times of ascension in the latitude concerned; in the prorogation from midheaven, as many as the times of the culminations; and in the prorogations from all the others, in proportion to or in accordance with the nearness of the
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 $\chi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu \delta \iota \omega \rho \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．

Tov̀s $\mu$ ѐv oûv ка日о入ıкоѝs хроvокра́тораs $\lambda \eta \psi o ́-$ $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ тòv єí $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu$ ，тoùs $\delta^{\prime}$ є́vıavalaíous


 $\zeta \varphi \delta i ́ o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ о і ́ к о \delta є \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \pi а \rho а \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ v o \nu \tau \epsilon s . ~$

 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda \iota a \kappa о \hat{v} \mu \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{\text {оs }}^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ кvрía $\nu$





 $\kappa \alpha i$ aủ $\alpha i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha \iota s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к \alpha \iota \rho \omega ̂ \nu$
 dovtes PMADECam．
${ }^{2} \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu$ post $\zeta \dot{\psi} \delta \iota \iota \nu$ add．MADECam．，om．PLProc．
入ovtes cett．Cam．
 MADECam．；om．PL．
 $\beta^{\prime}{ }_{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ Cam．
 MADCam．
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risings, or settings, or culminations, to the angles, as we explained in the discussion of the length of life.

We shall discover the general chronocrators, ${ }^{1}$ then, in the manuer described, and the annual chronocrators by setting out from each of the prorogatory places, in the order of the signs, the number of years from birth, one year to each sign, ${ }^{2}$ and taking the ruler of the last sign. We shall do the same thing for the months, setting out, again, the number of months from the month of birth, starting from the places that govern the year, twenty-eight days to a sign; and similarly for the days, we shall set out the number of the days from the day of birth, starting with the places which govern the months, two and a third days to a sign. ${ }^{3}$

We must also pay attention to the ingresses ${ }^{4}$ which are made to the places of the times, for they play no small part in the prediction of the times of
 meet" the prorogator), and óptoкрátwp (" master of the term" ${ }^{\prime}$ ).
${ }^{2}$ This is evidently the meaning of the text and it is so taken by the Anonymous, Proclus' Paraphrase, Gogava, and Cardanus, yet Bouchó-Leclereq (p. 504) and Melanchthon's translation would count one year to each degree.
${ }^{3}$ There is dispute over the readings in this passago ; the toxt reports what is best attested by tho MSS. BouchóLeclereq (p. 505, 11. I) would assign 30 days to a sign in laying out the number of months (so too Cardanus, but without any manuscript support), and $2 \frac{1}{2}$ days to a sign in the calculation of days (this reading is found in two MSS.). If " 28 days " is correct, it ropresents roughly the length of the lamar month.
${ }^{4} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \mu \beta a o s, "$ ingress," is the timo taken by a planet to pass through one sign of the zodiac ; $c f$. Bouché-Leclereq, p. 506 ; Cardamas, p. 364.
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ к а Ө о \lambda \iota к о u ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu ~ \tau o ́ \pi о и s, ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тô̂ $\Delta$ lòs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̀ v t a v a ı a i ́ \omega \nu, ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \tau о \hat{v}$

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о ⿱ 亠 乂 寸 s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma i \omega \nu \nu$ ，каi $\dot{\omega}$ л $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ к а \theta о-~$ $\lambda \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ хрогократо́р $\omega \nu$ кирьштє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тồ à $\pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega \sigma \iota \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi i \quad \mu \epsilon ́ p o u s$

 є́ $\pi \iota \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ к а i ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ a ̀ \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

 ó $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ à $\phi \in \sigma \in \omega s$ то́тоs каi ó $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каӨодıк $\hat{\nu} \nu$




 $\sigma u ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$ катадацßа́vєта८ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \chi \rho о \nu о-~$



 $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha \nu \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \quad \tau \grave{o}$ à $\pi о \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu a \quad \delta \epsilon i ́ \kappa \nu v \tau \alpha \iota$ ठıà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\tau \iota \kappa о \dot{s}$ тóтоиs $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \kappa а \tau \grave{a} \tau \grave{\alpha} S$
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events; particularly to the ingresses of Saturn to the general places of the times, and to those of Jupiter to the places of the years; to those of the sun, Mars, Venus, and Mercury to those of the months, and to the transits of the moon to those of the days. The reason for this is that the general chronocrators have greater authority to realize the prediction, while the partial chronocrators assist or deter, in accordance with the familiarity or unfamiliarity of their natures, and the ingresses influence the degree of increase or diminution in the event. For in general the special quality and the length of time are signified by the prorogatory place and the lord of the general times together with the lord of the terms, because each one of the planets at the very time of the nativity is made familiar with the places which they happened at first to govern.

Whether the event will be good or bad is discovered from the natural and composite properties of the chronocrators, whether they are beneficent or maleficent, and from their original familiarity with or antipathy to the places which they possess. At what time the predicted event will be evidenced is shown by the aspects of the annual and monthly signs to the places which furnish the causes, and by the aspects of the signs into which the planets are making ingress and in which the phases of the sun and moon occur to the ammal and monthly signs. For those whose relation to the affected places under

[^371]
## PTOLEMY

$\mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ~ \tau o ́ \pi o v s ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ к а \tau а \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s ~ \kappa \alpha i$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \mu \beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega s$ av̉тoîs $\sigma v \sigma \chi \eta$ -
 $\epsilon i ́ \delta o s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma а \sigma \tau \iota \kappa о i ́, ~ к а \theta a ́ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \epsilon ’ a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \omega \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$


 $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ$ v̀s ov่кє́ть.
$K a ̈ \nu \quad \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ oi av̉тoi каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$





 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оiкоסєототíà aủzô $\tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \eta \kappa \in ́ v a \iota$. катà тávта
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consideration is harmonious from the beginning made in the nativity, and which in their ingresses are in favourable aspect to them. exert a good effect upon the species of the matter concerned, even as they cause evil if they oppose. And those which are inharmoniously related and of opposite sect cause evil if they are in opposition or in quartile to the transits, but not in the other aspects.

And if the same planets are lords of both the times and the ingresses, the nature of the predicted event is made excessive and unalloyed, whether it incline to the good or to the bad; all the more so if they govern the species of the cause ${ }^{1}$ not only because they are chronocrators, but also because they ruled it originally in the nativity. The subjects are unfortunate or fortunate in all respects at once, whenever either all or most of the prorogations are found in one and the same place, or if these are different, whenever all or most of the occourses occurring at the same times are similarly fortunate or unfortunate. The character of the investigation of the times, then, is of this fashion,"
1.e. determine the quality (good or bad) of the causation.
${ }^{2}$ The original ending of the treatise is in doubt: see the Introduction, pp. xviii-xx
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Conclusion according to Parisimus 2425 :







 каi тоîs є́тi $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ o ́ \mu о i ́ \omega s ~ к а \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ́ o ́ \lambda о v \theta о \nu ~$


 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \alpha \iota \iota \tau \epsilon \in \lambda o s$.

Conclusion according to MADProc.Cam. :





 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \in \dot{v} \sigma \tau o ́ \chi \omega s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ тє aiтias тô̂ $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o \hat{v}$

${ }^{1}$ фиаккаіs] д̀vaıкаis PL.

 каі $\sigma \chi \in \delta \dot{o} v$ ätєє $\rho \circ \nu \mathrm{p} .107,5 \mathrm{Cam} .^{2}$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{a} \tau \grave{\eta} v \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \xi \circ \delta o v$.

${ }^{6}$ є่̇aroxiar PL
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Conclusion according to Parisinus 2425: by the style which agrees with the natural procedures. ${ }^{1}$ At this point, however, the method of attacking, in particular cases, the problem of the quality of temporal predictions, with a complete account of the results, which is a complicated matter difficult of explanation, must, in accordance with our original programme, be left to the astrologer's good judgement of the matter of temperaments, for thereby he is able correctly to accommodate to specific instances the effective force of the stars' gencral nature. Now since the topic of nativities has been summarily reviewed, it would be well to bring this procedure also to a fitting close.

## Conclusion according to MADProc.Cam.:

We shall, however, omit adding at this point ${ }^{2}$ a detailed account of the kinds of predicted events that happen at the times, on account of the plan which I stated at the outset, namely that the effective power which the plancts exercise in general situations can be made to apply similarly and consistently in particular cascs also, if the cause furnished by the astrologer and the cause arising from the mixture are combined with due skill.
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Action, prediction of the quality of, 381 ff.
Adonis, 147.
Affliction, 267 n., 2S5, 431 n .
Ages of man, 61, 223, 437 ff .
Allatins, Leo, xv.
Almagest, vi ff., 3, 60 n., $95 \mathrm{n} .$, 171 n., 292 n., 295 n., 297 n., 299 n .
Amazons, 149.
Ammon, 153.
Anaerefic, see Destructive.
Andromeda, 57, 435.
Angles, 61, 121 ; of a nativity, 165, 191 n., 207, 235.
Auonymous Commentator on the Tetrubiblos, xiv, $105 \mathrm{n} ., 107 \mathrm{n}$, 113 n., 114 n., l25 n., 170 n., 178 n., 190 п., 245 n., 249 n., 286 n., 333 n., 395 n., 396 n., 103 n., 111 n., 427 n., 433 n., $437 \mathrm{n} ., 443 \mathrm{n} ., 453 \mathrm{n}$.
Antares, 51.
Apeliotes, 63.
Ablutic, see Prorogation.
Apoclimata, 423 n., 437.
Apparition, see Appearanca
A piearanee, 209, 211, 313, 381.
Application, 113, 169, 209.
Approach, 9.
Aquirius, effect of, 53, 175, 205, 325 ; solid, 67; house of Saturn, 81 ; N.E. triangle, 87.
Aquila, 57, 173.
Aris, 59.
Arcturus, 5 s.
Arco, 57, 175, 433.
Arics, 47, 201, 315, 325, 391 ; starting point of zodiac, 61; ('quinoctial, 67 ; mascullne, 69 ; dinrmal, b9; honge of Mar*, si ; N.W. triangly, 83; exaltation of sum and depression of saturn. 89.

Aristotle, vii, 4 n., 5 n., 34 n., 161 n., 347 n .
Ascensions, 75, 95, 233; use of, in prorogation, explained, 286 n .
Aslimand, J. M., xiii, xv, 377 n .
Aspects, $9,72 \mathrm{f} . ;$ of the fixed stars, 171.
Asses, 49, 217.
Astrolabe, 229.
Astrology distinguished irom astronomy, 3 f.; possibility of, 5 ff. ; false practitioners of. 13; difficulty of, 15 ; value of, 21 ff ; universal vs. particular, 25 11., 117 f., 221.
Attendance, 241, 377 n .
Aurlga, 55.
" Beams," 193.
" leholding " signs, 77.
Bicorporeal slgns, $67,175, \quad 253$, $257,335,394$ 11., 409.
Bodily conjunction, 114n.
Bodily form, predietion of, 307 lf.
Body, parts of, governed by planets, 319.
Boll, Franz, xii.
Boötes, 55.
Boreas, 63.
Brothers and sisters, predictions about, 251 ff.

Camerarius, Joarhim, xi et pussim.
Cancer, 49, 173, 203, 315, 3233, 32:5, 3:99, 365, 391, 109; solstifial, 67 ; house of moon, 79 ; S.W. trlangle, 87; exaltation of Jupiter and depression of Mars, 91.

Canis, 57.
1:apricorn, 53, 17:3, 205, :111, 3:3, :305, 329, :3!1] ; sulitial, 67; loonse of Situm, sI; s.b. trlangle, 85 ; exaltation of
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Mars and depression of Jupiter, 91.

Cardanus, llirronymus, xiii, $x v$, 13 n., 40 n., 41 n., 129 n., 133 n., 178 п., 206 11., 209 п., 259 и., $261 \mathrm{n} ., 266 \mathrm{n}, 422$ n., 453 n.
Cassiopeia, 55.
Castor and Pollux, 49.
Centaurus, 57.
Cepheus, 55, 435.
Cetus, 57.
Chaldaean system of terms, 91, 99 ; oliservations, 14 n .
(harints, 111.
Chitlren, predictions about, 409 ff .
Children that are not reared, genitures of, 265 ff .
Chronocrators or rulers oi times, $451 \mathrm{ff} ., 452 \mathrm{n}$.
(ities, nativities of, 161.
Clepsydra, 231.
Climes, 231 n .
Coma liereniees, 55, 321.
Comets, 193, 217.
Commanding and obeying signs, 75.

Conception rs. hirth as the starting. point of life, 223 ft .
Conjunctions, 207.
Corona Anstralis, 59.
Corona septentrionalis, 55.
Corvis, 57, 437 n.
cosmic position vs. position ln a mativity, 239, 253.
Comntries, characteristies of the inhabitatuts of, 133 ff .
Crater, 57.
Culmination, 239 ; see Mid-heaven.
Cygnus, 55.

Day and night, 287 n.
1asy prediction of weather for, 211.
Weath, predictions of quality of. $4 \div 7 \mathrm{ff}$.
1)elphimus, 57, 173.

Wemeter and korê, geniture of, $\therefore 59$.
bemons, alliction by, 365 f .
Demophilas, xiv.
Depressions and exaltations, 89.
Destructive places and bodies, 271, 283 tf.
Dignitics, prediction of, 377 ff .
Dioscuri, geniture of the, 259 .

Diseases of the hody, predietion of, : 317 ff .; of the soll, prediction of, 363 ff .
Disjunct signs, $77,275,317$.
Division of times, see Ages of man. Domination, 233, 238, 339 n .
Draco, 55, 433 n.
Eclipses in predictions about countries and cities, 161 ff ; colours of, 191.
Ecliptic, 47 n. ; obliquity of, 209.
Effluence or emanation, 7, 275.
Egyptians, 197 ; combination of astrology and medicine by, 31 ff .; terms according to, 91 ff .
Elevation, 417 ; see Overcoming.
Epicycles, 115 n .
Equal power, signs of, 77.
Equinoctial signs, 67, 175, 427; times or periods, 287 n ., 289 n .
Equinoxes, 197 ; as beginnings of signs, 109.
Epilepsy, 365 f.
Eridanus, 57.
Ethiopians, 123.
Ethnography, astrological, 121 ff .
Exaltations and depressions, 89.
Exchange, 396 u., 413, 41511.
Exhatations, 37, 275.
Exposing of children, 264 n .
" Face" (or " proper face "), 111.
Familiarity, 65 n.
Fate, 23 f .
Fathers, predictions about, 241 ff .
Feminine, see Maseutine.
"Following" and " preceding," 10.5 n., 112 n., 201 n.

Fortune, Lot oi, 243, 255, 373 ff., 411, 413, 417, 421, 423, 449; how determined, $275 \mathrm{f}$. ; as prorogator, 275 ff .
Fortune, material, predictions about, 373 ff .
Friends and enemies, predictions about, 413 ff .

Gemini, 49, 203, 317, 329 ; bicorporeal, 67 ; house of Mercury, 83 ; N.E. triangle, 87.
Genethlialogy, 119, 221; subdivisions of, 235 f .
Geniculator, 55.
Geniture, see Nativity, Horoscope.
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Ginomon, 231.
Gogava, Antonius, xiii, 453 n .
Good Daeinon, honse of, in predictions about children, 409. Graces, geniture of the, $\mathbf{2 5 9}$.

Halos, 193, 215, 217.
Harpocratiacs, 263.
Ifeliacal rising, see Rising.
Hemispheres, summer and winter, 77.

Hephaestion, $193 \mathrm{n} ., 217 \mathrm{n} ., 305 \mathrm{n}$.
Hermaphrodites, 263.
Horary magnitude or period, 287 n., 292 n.
Horoscope, 69, 163, 190 n., 253 ; determination of, 229 ff ; in prediction of sex, 255; in prediction of twins, 257 ; in genitures of monsters, 261 ff .; defined, 273; as prorogator, $275 \mathrm{ff} ., 449$.
Hourly prediction of weather, 211.
Hours, ordinary or civil, 77, 165, 287 n., 293 n. ; equinoctial, 167.
Houses or the planets, 79; of a nativity, $190 \mathrm{n} ., 272 \mathrm{n}$.
Hyarles, 47, 203, 403.
Hydra, 57, 433 n.
Iatromathematica, 31 ff .
Inclination, 9 n .
Increasing and diminishing motion of plancts, 115 n., 239.
Ingress, 427, 453 n .
Initiatives, 447 n .
Injuries of the body, prediction of, 317 ff .
Insanity, 365 f .
Isis, 139.
Isosceles contiguration, 267, 269.
" Jars," 19ts.
Junctinus, Fr , xil, 423 n.
Jupiter, 37, 183, 203, 309, 331, $373,375,3 \times 1,383$ It., 395, 397; benchernt, 39 ; masculine, 41 ; diurnal, 43 ; houses of, 81 ; governs N.W. trlangle, 83, parts of bouly, 319 , clilerly age, 447 ; exaltation of, 89; as ruler of soul, :347 tf. ; in predictions about childeren, 40, tf., iriemblify, 419, travel, 125, seath, :20.

Kings, geniture of the, 259
Latitude, 207.
" beading," see Following.
Leo, 49, 203, 315, 325, 391, 409 ; solid, 67; house of sum, 79 ; N.W. triangle, 83.

Lepus, 57.
Libra (or "Claws "), 51, 205, 317, 391 ; equinoctial, 67; musculine, 69 ; diurnal, 69 ; house of Venus, 81 ; N.E. triangle, 87 ; exaltation of saturn and depression oí sun, 89 .
Life, years of, assimued by planets, 93 ; length of, predictions about, 271 ff .
Lucian (pseudo-), 12 n .
Luminaries and planets, table of, xxy ; in predictions of sex, 255 ; in prediction of twins, 2.5: in genitures of monsters, 261 ff .
Laminaries in predictions of dignities, 377 ff . ; in predictions about travel, 423 ff .
Lupus, 59.
Lyta, 55.
Magnets, 27.
Dates and females, predietions about the birth of, 255 If.
Manger (Praesepe), 49, 203, 217.
Marriage, predictions ahout, $3: 3 \mathrm{ff}$.
Mars, $37,183,311,321 \mathrm{tf}, 375,3 \times 1$, $39.5,397,399$; milletieront, 39 : masculine, 41; nocturnal, 4:3; houses of, 81 ; governs s.W. triangle, 87 , parts of body, 319 , manhood, 45; exaltation of, !11; as ruler of sonl, $35 \% \mathrm{th}$; in predictions about sexmal passion and perversion, 309 tt . artion, 883,385 if., low : 1 , 14 mathage, to5 tf., children, 409 tr., iriculshif, 419, travel, +2.) death, +27, +3.5.
Masculine and feminine phancts, 41, 176 н., 369 n. ; signs, 69.
Matutine stars, 40 n. ; appearathers, : 11 .
Mdanchthon, I'hilip, xi, xili, 4シ3 11 .
Mr.lothevi:, 319, 329 n.
Mtrinty, 39, 187, 263, 311, 329, :373, :375, 3×1, 395, 397, 299 ; common, 39, 41, 43 ; honses of,
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83 ; governs N.E. riangle, si patts of hody, : $: 21$. childhood, 44.3. exaltation of, 41; in prorogation, 2ol: in predictions about qualities of mind, 333 if. discases of the soul, 363 ff., action, $3>3$ nf., children, 409 1i., iric:dship, 419, travel, 125. death, 431; as ruler of the sonl, 3591.
Nictcors, 217 i .
Dichiran astrological papyrus. 111 11., 319 п., 393 n., 445 n.
Mid-lieaven, 165, 449; in predictions about action, 237, about children, 409
Mitliras, 139.
Mixture, spe Temperament.
Monsters, birth of, 261 ff .
Bonth, prediction of weather for, $\because 09$.
Jloon, 7 H., 35, 71, 361 ; ieminine, 41 ; nocturnial, 43: effect of phases or, 45 ; house of, 79 ; governs s.E. triangle, s5, s.W. triangle, 87, parts of body, 321, ininnsy, 443: exaltation of, 89; new and fill, $\because 07,231:$ in predictions athout weather, 215 , motlers, 241, 247 If., bloodbrethren, 251 tf., bodily iorm, 307,313 , quality of soul, 333 If., discases of soul, 363 ff., sexual passion aud perversion, 369 ff ., action, 391 , marriage, 393 ff ., children, 409 ti., iriendship, 413 fi., travel, $4 \geq 3$ If; as proromator, 275 ff . ; nodes and bendings of, 325 .
Mother of the Gods, 147 .
Mothers, predictions about, 247 ff .
Multiple birtls. 259.

Nativity (geniture, horoscope), 190 n.
Nechepso and Petosiris, 91 n ., 227 u., 270 n .
New moon of the year, 195.
Nicomachus of Gerasa, 33 n . $73 \mathrm{n} ., 83 \mathrm{n}$.
Nile, 175, 197.
Non-signifying places. 267 n .
Nothe, 63.

Olnying, 75.
Occident, in predictions of injury or discasc, 317 if.
Occidental, delined, 241.
Occourse, 447 n.
Occultations, 9.
Ophiuchus, 55.
Opposition, 73, 245, 283; disharmonious, 75.
Orient in predictions of bodily form, 307 , oi injuries and dis. ease, 317 ff.
Oriental and occidental detined, 241.

Orion, 57.
Overcoming, 189 n., 245 n., 253 , 339 n., 416 n. ; see Elevation.

Paranatellonta, 159 n.
Parents, predictions about, 241 ff .
Parhetiac clouds, 215.
Pegasus, 57.
P'erseus, 55.435.
l'etosiris, see Nechepso.
P'isces, $53,175,205,315,329,265$, 391, 409; bicorporeal, 67; house of Jupiter, 81 ; S.W. triangle, 87 ; exaltation of Venus and depression oi Mercury, 91.
Piscis Australis, 53 n., 57.
l'itcher (constellation), 403.
" Places" (in theory of terms), 109.
Planets, table oi, xxv; effects of, 7 i.; names of, 35 n ; order of, 37 n. ; bencficent and maleficent, 39 ; masculine and feminine, 41 , 176 n . ; ctfect of aspects of, to sun, 45 ; luouses of, 79 ; triangles governed by, 67, 83 ff .; exaltations and depressions oí, 89 ; strength and weakness of, 239 ; maleficent, in genitures of monsters, 261 tf ., of chitdren that are not reared, 265 th., in prorogition, 2s1 If., in predictions of injury and disease, 317 if., in prodictions of death, 437 ; benclicent. in genitures of exposed childreu, 269, in prorogation, 281 if.; parts of hody governed by, 319 i .: see also Stations.
Pleialles, 47, 201, 321.
Porphyry, Introduction to the Tctrubiblos, xiv, $377 \mathrm{n} ., 416 \mathrm{n}$.

Posidonius, vii, 121 n.
Praesepe. see Manger.
" Preccding," or "leading"; see "Following."
Precessions, 335.
Proclus, P'araphrase of the Tetrabiblos ascribed to, xiv i., $\overline{3} \mathrm{n}$. 30 n., 61 n., 98 n., 193 n., 235 n., 251 n., $3: 25$ n., 355 n., $39: 3$ n., $406 \mathrm{n} ., 408 \mathrm{n} ., 417 \mathrm{n} . .423 \mathrm{n} .$, 453 n., 459 n.
Procyon, 57.
Prorogation, 269, 271 ff., 449 ; two methods of, 279 ff. ; ex. a mples oi, 295 If.
I'tolemy, Clatudius, life of, vef.: works of, vii i.: literary style of, xxii.

Quadrants (oi ecliptic), 71, 313.
Quarters of the world, $1: 29$ ff.; of the year or zodiac, 207.
Quartile, 73 ; disharmouious, 75, $245,283,417$ n., 451 .

Rays, projection oi, 114 n., $11 \overline{5}$, $269,281,427 \mathrm{ff}$. of the sun, under the, $\because 85,393 \mathrm{n}$.
Regulus, 49 .
Rejoicing of plancts, 113.
Release, 2561 m .
Return of heavenly bodies to their original positions (длоката́$\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma(\varsigma), 1 . \overline{1 .}$
Right aud left, 378 n .
Rising and setting, 45, 169.
Rods, 193.
Sagittia, 55.
Sagittarilts, $51,173,205,315 \mathrm{f}$., $329,365,391$; bicorporeal, 67; house of Jujiter, ol: N.W. triamgle, 83.
Saturn, 35. 179, 300, 321 if., 375 , 351,353 tf., 393, 395, 397, 399; maldefent, 39: maseuline, 41 ; diurnal, 43 ; houses of, $\times 1$; goveras N.E. trianala, -7, part. of body, 319, oll :12. 117 ; exaltation of, x9; in prealictions abunt fithere, 2ll if. chiblren, 403 11., iricth小hij, 11s, travel, 425, dealh, 4es, 133 ; as rul.r of soul, 339 If.

Scorpio, 51, 205, 317, 325, 391, 409 ; solid, 67 ; house of Mars, \&1; S.W. triangle, 87 ; depresion of moon, 89.
Scythians, 123 .
Seasons, 59, 199.
Sects, 43 n.
Separation, 113, 103.
Serpents produced from snowstorms, 181.
Sex, see Males and females; Masculine and feminine planets.
Sextile, 73, 2s3; harmonious, 75.
Sexual passion and perversion, predictions about, 369 ff.
Simiticator, 42911.
Signs, table of, xxv; classification of, by shapes, ete., 71, 171 ff .; sympathetic to cities, 161 ; human and animal, 261 ff., 389. 427, 433; terrestrial and aquatic, $391,427,433$; simple and multiform, $395^{\circ}$; fecund and sterile, 409 ff ; watery, 4:5, 433; nutilated, 435 ; see also " Beholding"; Bicorporeal; Commanding; Disjunct ; Equinoctial; Equinoxes; Masculine; Obeying; Soldd; Solstice: : Solstitial.
Sirius, 57, 197, 437 n.
slaves, predictions about, 421 ff .
solid signs, 67, 175, 335, 425 .
solstices, 197; as veginnings of signs, 109.
Solstitial simn $, 67,175,335,427$.
Soul, quality of, predictions about, 333 if.; diseases of, predictions about, 363 ff .
Spica, 51.
Stars, tixed, elfects oi, 7 f .
Stations of planets, $45,163,169,313$.
Su4, 7 If., 35, :313, 361; common, 39 , こ. 66 n . ; diurnal, 43 ; house of, 79 g governs N.W. triancle, s3, parts of body, 319 , yomme manhood, 445 ; exaltation of, sy; ill predictions abont westher, 213. tathers, 237, 241 [f., sexhat [rasion, 369 ff , action, 3 al If. marriage, 395 tf ., iriemdship, 413 lf.: as prorozator, 27.5 If., 449.
Syrna, wdresact in Tetrabiblos, ix, 3 .
sy/ygy, 231 n., $261,279$.
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Taurus, 47, 201, 315 f., 325, 391 ; solid, 67 ; house of Venus, 81 ; S.E. triangle, 85; exaltation of moon, 89.
Temperament, $64 \mathrm{n} ., 223$.
Terms, $91 \mathrm{ff} ., 499$; according to the ligyptians, 91 ff.; according to the Chaldaeans, 99 ; according to Ptolemy, 103 ff .
Testimony, 395 n .
Tetrabiblos of Clandius Ptolemy, name of, viii f.; genuineness of, ix f.; text editions of, xif.; translations of, xii tf.; commentaries on, xiv f.; manuscripts of, $x v$ ff.; ending of, xix i.
Thrones, 111.
Torch, 47.
Transits, 121.
Travel, predictions about, 423 ff .
Triangles or triplicities, 83 ff ; familiarity of, with countries, 129 tf.
Triangulum, 57.
Trine, 73, 283; harmonious, 75. 417 n.
Triplets, birth of, 259.
"'Frumpets," 193.
Twins, predictions about the birth of, 257 ff .

Ursa Major, 55, 123.
Ursa Minor, 55, 123.

Venus, $37,185,263,311,331,375$, $381,395,397,399$; bencflicent, 39 ; ieminine, 41 ; nocturnal, 43 ; houses of, 81 ; governs S.E. triangle, 85, S.W. triangle, 87, parts of body, $319 \mathrm{f} .$, youth, 443 ; exaltation of, 91 ; in predictions about mothers, 241, 247 ff ., blood-brethren, 251 ff ., sexual passion and perversion, 369 ff., action, 383, 385 ff., marriage, 401, 407, children, 409 ff ., iriendship, 419, travel, 425, death, 431 ; as ruler of soul, 357 f .
Vespertine stars, 40 n .; appear. ances, 211.
Vindemiator, 51.
Virgo, 49, 173, 203, 315 f., 323, 365, 391, 409 ; bicorporeal, 67; house of Mercury, 83; S.E. triangle, 85 ; exaltation of Mercury and depression of Venus, 91.

Weather, 201 ff .
Whalley, John, vi n., xlli, 261 n.
Winds, 63, 199, 209, 219.
Witnessing, 261.
Zephyrus, 63.
Zodiac, 47 n. ; table of signs of, xxv; starting-point of, 59, 109 n., 195 ; quarters of, 207.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ F. Bilabel (in P. Baden 4. 1924, No. 59 : seo also Die Kleine Historiker, Fragm. 11) published a papyrus of the fifth century after Christ containing a list of Persian kings with the years of their reigns (see further Fr. 70, note 1), and holds it to be, not part of the original Epitome, but a version made from it before the time of Africanus. It certainly proves that Egyptians were interested in Greek versions of the Kings' Lists, and much more so, presumably, in the unabridged Manetho. See Fr. 2 for Panodorus and Amianus, who were monks in Egypt about the date of this papyrus. Cf. also P. Hibeli, i. 27, the Calendar of Sais, translated into Greek in the reign of Ptolemy Soter, i.e. early in the lifetime of Manetho.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Greek word vouós means a division of Egypt. callert in Ancient Egyptian sp.t,—a district corresponding roughly to a county in England. Pliny (Hist. Nat. 5, 9) refers to nomes as praefecturac oppidorum.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ The earliest date for Serapis is given by Macrobius, Sat. i. 20, 16, a questioning of Serapis by Nicocreon of Cyprus, c. $311-310$ в.c. For Dittenberger, O.G.I.S. 16 (an inscription from Halicarnassus on the founding of a temple to Serapis-Isis under (the satrap) l'tolemy Sôter), the date is uncertain, probably c. $308-306$ b.c. Already in Menander's drana, 'EyXєpioiov (before 291 b.c. when Menander died), Serapis is a "holy god" (I'. Oxy'. XV'. 1803).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a later miscellaneous work, the Kєazoi, see P. Oxy. iii. 412 (between A.d. 225 and 265) ; and Jules Africain, Fragments des Cestes, ed. J.-R. Vieillefond, Paris, 1932.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ A further study of the transmission of Manetho in Josephus is made by A. Momigliano, " Intorno al Contro Apione," in Rivista di F'ilologit, 59 (1931), pp. 485-503.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Armenian MS. Ci (Codex Hierosolymitanns) printed by Aucher (1818) is dated by him between A.B.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The popular tales introduced kings as their heroes, without regard to chronological order: see G. Maspero, Bibliothèque Egyptologique, vol. vii. (1898), pp. 419 ff.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. H. R. Hall, Cambridge Ancient History, i. p. 260: "So far as we are able to check Manctho from the contemporary monuments, his division into dynasties is entirely justified. His authorities evidently were good. But unhappily his work has come down to us only in copios of copies; and, although the framework of the dynasties remains, most of his royal names, originally Graecized, have been so mutilated by non-Egyptian scribes, who did not understand their form, as often to be unrecog. nizablo, and the regnal years given by him have been so corrupted as to be of little value umless confirmed by the Turin Papyrus or the monuments."

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. H. R. Hall, Ancient History of the Near East ${ }^{8}$, p. 14: "In fact, Manetho did what he could: where the native annals were good and complete, his abstract is good: where they were broken and incomplete, his record is incomplete also and confused. . . ."
    xxvi

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ For portraits of some kings, see Petrie, The Making of Egypt, 1939, passim.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ The name Bydis (or Bites) seems to be the Egyptian bity " king" (from bit " bee"), the title of the kings of Lower Egypt : see the Palermo Stone, and cf. Herodotus, iv. 155, " the Libyans call their king "Battos'" (P. E. Newberry). Bitys appears in late times as a translator or interpreter of Hermetical writings: see Iamblich. De Mysteriis, viii. 5 ( $=$ Scott, Hermetica, iv. p. 34) where the prophet Bitys is said to have translated [for King Ammôn] a book (The Way to Higher Things, i.e. a treatise on the theurgic or supernatural means of attaining to union with the Demiurgus) which he found inscribed in hieroglyphs in a shrine at Saïs in Egypt. Cf. the pseudoManetho, App. I.
    ${ }^{2}$ Therg is no evidence that the Egyptian year was ever equal to a month : there were short years (each of 360 days) and long years (see Fr. 49).
    ${ }^{3}$ See Excerpta Latina Barbari (Fr. 4) for the beginning of this dynasty : "First, Anubis . . .".

[^10]:    ${ }^{4}$ Corroborated by the Turin Papyrus, Col. ii.: " of Memphis '".

    5 "Demigods" should be in apposition to "Spirits of the Dead " (vє́кvєs $\left.\dot{\eta} \mu \mu^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \circ \iota\right)$, as in Excerpta Latina Barbari (Fr. 4) and Africanus (Fr. 6. 1). These are perhaps the Shemsu Hor, the Followers or Worshippers of Horus, of the Turin Papyrus: see H. R. Hall, Cambridge Ancient History, i. p. 265. Before King Mênês (Fr. 6), the king of Upper Egypt who imposed his sway upon the fertile Delta and founded the First Dynasty,-the Shemsu Hor, the men of the Falcon Clan whose original home was in the West Delta, had formed an earlier united kingdom by conquering Upper Egypt: sce V. Gordon Childe, New Light on the Most Ancient East, 1934, p. 8, based upon Breasted, Bull. Instit. Franc. Arch. Or. xxx. (Cairo, 1930), pp. 710 ff., and Schäfer's criticism, Orient. Literaturz. 1932, p. 704.
    ${ }^{6}$ The exact total of the items given is 11,025 years. So also 24,900 infra is a round number for $24,92 \overline{5}$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aucher's version runs : duae myriades quatuor millia et DCCCC.
    ${ }^{2}$ haud : conj. approved by Karst.
    ${ }^{2}$ Petermann's version of the first sentence of this section runs as follows: Itaque placet (licet) Egiptiis, priscis (primis) temporibus quae praecesserunt diluvium, se iactare ob antiquitatem. Deos quosdam fuisse dicunt suos, semideosque et manes. In menses redactis annis apud Hebraeos enarratis, lunarium annorum myriades duas et amplius etiam computant (computarunt), ita ut tot fere menses fiant, quot anni apud Hebraeos comprehenduntur; scilicet (id est) a protoplasto homine usque ad Mezrajim tempora nostra computando ("And so, for the early times which preceded the Flood, the Egyptians may well boast of their antiquity. They say that certain Gods were theirs, as well as Demigods and Spirits of the Dead. Having reduced to

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the contemporaneous existence of a number of petty kingdoms in Egypt, see the Piankhi stele, Breasted, Ancient Records, iv. $\S \S 830,878$, and the passage from Artapanus, Concerning the Jews, quoted on p. 73 n. 3. T. Nicklin (in his Studies in Egyptian Chronology, 1928.29,

[^13]:     roùs secl. Scaliger.
    ${ }^{2}$ MSS. тov̀s $\psi^{\prime} \tau \rho \iota \mu \eta \nu$ raious : $\psi^{\prime}$ delet m.
    ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} \omega(\omega)$ add. m.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Intro. p. xxx.

[^15]:    ${ }^{3}$ Apiôn the grammarian, born in Upper Egypt, lived at Rome in the time of Tiberius, Gaius, and Claudius: Tiberius called him by the nickname of "cymbalum mundi". As leader of the anti-Jewish movement, Apion was later attacked by Josephus in his Contra Apionem.

    The quotation from Apiôn appears to derive in part from the History of Ptolemy of Mendes: see Tatian, Or. adversus Graecos, § 38, in Migne, Patrologia Graeca, vi. $880-882$, and in Müller, F.H.G. iv. p. 485 (quoted in F.H.G. ii. p. 533). (Ptolemy of Mendes dated the Exodus to the reign of Amôsis, who was contemporary with Inachus. Apiôn in the fourth volume of his Aegyptiaca (in five volumes) stated that Auaris was destroyed by Amôsis.) Much matter must have been common to the works of Ptolemy of Mendés and Apiôn: cf. Africanus in Eusebius, Praepar. Evang. x. 10, "Apiôn says that in the time of Inachus Moses led out the Jews'. Cf. Fr. 52,$1 ; 53,9$.

    - The founder of the First Dynasty of kings of Argos, Inachus is said to have died twenty generations before the Fall of Troy, i.e. circa 1850 b.c. Aegyptus and Danaus were fifth in descent from Inachus : cf. Fr. 50, § 102.
    ${ }^{5}$ This appears to be the length of the reign of Amosis, not of Inachus. Cf. Fr. 52, 1, where Africanus as recorded by Syncellus omits the number of years.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1} \delta v v a \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \omega ิ \nu$ Bunsen : $\epsilon \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ MSS. ${ }^{2} \tau \iota \varsigma$ add. m.

[^17]:    ${ }^{4}$ For the later study of anatomy (including, perhaps, the practice of vivisection) by kings of Ptolemaic Egypt, sce G. Lumbroso, Glossario, s.v. 'Avaтоднки.
    ${ }^{5}$ Kôchômê has bcen identified with Sakkâra, and excavations carried out there in the Archaic Cemetery from 1935 by W. B. Emery (assisted by Zaki Saad) have gone far to confirm Manetho. Several tombs which date from the First Dynasty were discovered at Sakkâra in 1937 and 1938. One of these, the tomb of Nebetka under the 5th king of Dynasty I., was found to contain in its interior a stepped-pyramid construction of brickwork: during the building the form of the tomb was altered to a palacefaçade mastaba.
    ${ }^{6}$ These forms are really the genitives of the names Usaphails and Miebis.
    ${ }^{7}$ The actual total of the items given is 263 years.

[^18]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Müller : MSS. $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ôv каi $\delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о$ S Xêos.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ No queens' names are recorded in the Royal Lists of Abydos and Karnak. Herodotus (ii. 100) records one queen: Diod. Sie. i. 44 (from Hecataeus) reekons the number of Egyptian queens as five.
    ${ }^{2}$ The stature of each king is said to be noted in the records mentioned by Diodorus Siculus. i. 44, 4. Cf. infra, Fr. 35, No. 3, App. II. No. 6 (1, :216).

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Müller: MS. idemque.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ For this absurd perversion of the Greek words, see p. $36 \mathrm{n} .1: \pi \lambda a ́ \tau o s ~ w a s ~ a d d e d, ~ p e r h a p s ~ a s ~ a ~ c o r r u p t i o n ~$ of $\pi a \lambda a t \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} r$, and replaced $\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os in the Greek version of Eusebius.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Old Kingdom, Dynasties III.-V.: c. 2780-c. 2420 в.c.
    Dynasty III., c. 2780-c. 2720 в.c. For identifications with monumental and other evidence, see Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. p. 174: he identifies (2) Tosorthos (Zoser I.-" the Holy ''), and holds that (1) Necherôphês is one name of Kha'sekhemui, (6) Tosertasis may be Zoser II. Atoti, and (9) Kerpherês may be Neferkerê ${ }^{i}$ II.
    ${ }^{3}$ Zoser was not the first builder with hewn stone: his predecessor, Kha'sekhemui, used squared blocks of limestone for building purposes; see Petrie, Royal Tombs, ii. p. 13. Granite blocks had already formed the floor of the tomb of Udymu (Dynasty I.).

    Two tombs of Zoser are known : (1) a mastaba at Bêt Khallaff near This (Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, p. 231), see J. Garstang, Mahiasna and Bêt Khallaf; and (2) the famous Step 40

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dynasty VII. -a mere interremumm, or per od of confusion until one king gained supreme power.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Middle Kingdom, Dynasties XI.-XIII.: c. $2100-$ c. 1700 в.с.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ A : $\sum_{\text {égoarpıs } B . ~}^{\text {B }}$
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ : ôs MSS.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~m}$.

[^25]:    ${ }^{4}$ This variant spelling with $-t$ - for $-t$ appears to be a mere scribal error due to confusion with words beginning à $\rho \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Armenian has a word here for "sufferings" or "torments" (Margoliouth): Karst expresses the general meaning as-" he engraved their oppression through (or, by means of)
    ${ }^{2}$ Karst translates this word by " das höhlenwendelgangförmige ".
    ${ }^{3}$ Dynasty XIII., $1790-c .1700$ b.c. In the Turin Papyrus there is a corresponding group of sixty kings : see the list in Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. pp. 308 f., one of them 72

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ This number of years, much too high for the length of the Hyksos sway in Egypt, may perhaps refer to the whole period of their rule in Palestine and Syria: see A. Jirku, in Journ. of the Palestine Orient. Soc. xii., 1932, p. 51 n. 4.
    ${ }^{2}$ Misphragmuthôsis, ie. Menkheperrê' (Tuthmôsis III.) and his son Thummôsis, ie. Tuthmôsis IV., are here said to have driven out the Hyksos. In Fr. 50, § 94, Tethmôsis is named as the conqueror. In point of historical fact the 86

[^28]:    ${ }^{1} 240,000$-the number of the garrison mentioned in $\S 78$, where they aro described as "hoplites".
    ${ }^{2}$ On the origin of " Jeru-šalem," see A. Jirku in Zeitschr. d. Deutsch. Morgenl. Gesellschaft, 90 (1936), pp. * 10 * f.: the first part, Jeru-, is non-Semitic (ef. O.T. Ezek. xvi. 2, 45: 2 Sam. xxiv. 16, and the names Jeru-ba'al, Jeru-'el ;

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Barbarus gives 318 years (p. 23, XV.) : Meyer conjectures that the true number is 418 (Aey. Chron. p. 99). Contrast Fr. 42, §84 (511 years).

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ See H. E. Winlock, "Tombs of the Seventeenth Dynasty at Thebes," in J. Eg. Arch. x. pp. 217 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ Barbarus gives 221 years (p. 23, XVI.). Aecording to Manetho the total length of the foreign usurpation probably was 929 years ( 260 in Josephus $+518+151$ ). Joscphus (Fr. 42, § 84) gives 511 years. These statements, even if based on actual traditions, have no weight as compared with the certain data of the Monuments. The almost complete lack of buildings of the Hyksôs time and the close connexion of the Thebans of Dynasty XVII.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Howard Carter (Tutankhamen, iii. p. 3) points out that monuments of Amenôphis III. are dated to his 37th year, perhaps even to his 40th year; and he explains that Manetho has given the length of his reign as sole ruler. More commonly, the high figures assigned to the reigns of kings may be explained by the assumption that overlapping co-regencies have been included.
    ${ }_{2}$ Mianûn = Mey-amûn, " beloved of Amûn ". 102

[^32]:    ${ }^{3}$ The margin of the Florentine MS. has a note here: "The following reading was found in another copy: ' After him Sothôsis and Ramessês, two brothers. The former, with a strong fleet, blockaded his murderous (?) adversaries by sea. Not long after, he slow Ramessês and appointed another of his brothers, Harmaiss, as viceroy of Egypt.'" This is intended as a correction of the text of Josephus, but it contains the error of the Florentine MS.
     Sesostris of Herodotus, ii. 102, where his naval expedition in the " IRed Sea" is described.

    Meyer, Aeg. Chron. p. 91, considers the words "also called Ramesses " an addition to Manetho. See § 245.
    W. Struve (see p. 148 n. l) would here emend Sethôs into Sesôs, which was a name of Ramesês II.: according to the monuments he reigned for 67 years (cf. Fr. 55, 2), and his triumphant Asiatie campaigns were told by Hecataeus of Abdera (Osymandyas in Diodorus Siculus, i. 47 ff.$)$.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1} a^{\prime}$ i.e. ̇̇va, in error for èvvéa, Josephus, Fr. $50, \S 95$ (Müller).
    ${ }^{2}$ For $\kappa \epsilon$ ', as in Josephus, Fr. 50, § 95.
    ${ }^{3} \triangle a \mu \epsilon v o ́ \phi i s$ Otto.
    
    
    

[^34]:    ${ }^{1} \theta^{\prime}$ Müller.
    
    

[^35]:    ${ }^{1} C f$. " the botch (or boil) of Egypt " (perhaps elephan. tiasis), Deuteronomy xxviii. 27.

[^36]:    ${ }^{2}$ This number seems to be obtained by adding $393+$ $59+66$ : in that case the reign of Sethosis is counted twice, (1) as 60, (2) as 59 years ( $c f$. Frr. 50 , § 103).
    ${ }^{3}$ Ôr, or Hôrus, is the ninth ling in Manetho's list of Dynasty XVIII. (Frs. 51, 52), in reality Amenôphis III. Reinach points out that Herodotus (ii. 42) tells the same story of the Egyptian Heracles, and conjectures that there is perhaps confusion with the god Hôrus.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to Meyer (Aeg. Chron. p. 77), this section with its identification of Osarsêph and Moses is due to an 130

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Niese : à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o u s L$ (alterna gratia Lat.).
    ${ }^{2}$ Conj. Niese: $\tau \in \mathrm{L}$.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ The passage $\S \S 260-266$ repeats unnecessarily the substance of $\$ \$ 237 \cdot 250$ : possibly these are extracts from two treatises utilizing the same material.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cobet: каӨарєิิбаı I.
    ${ }^{3}$ Niese: $\sigma u v \omega \mu \sigma \sigma \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ L.

[^41]:    ${ }^{2}$ Conj. Niese: $\epsilon \pi$ ' $L$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Bekker: intéas L, Lat.

[^42]:    
    
    ${ }^{7}$ Niese: Mavé日 $\omega \boldsymbol{L}$ L.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ In § 245 we are told that Amenophis himself led his host in this useless march, and that his son was only 5 years old. Only here is Pêlusium mentioned as the destination of the march.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hudson ：єíra Niese：deinde Lat．：$\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \iota \tau i ́ a \mathrm{~L}$ ．

[^45]:    ${ }^{2}$ Reinach : aưroîs L.
    ${ }^{3}$ Conj. Thackeray : кai L.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}+\kappa$ кai Lat., Reinach.
    ${ }^{3} E d . p r$ : o ó $\mu$ oíovs L, Lat.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ The same etymology (with the necessary addition that vंô̂s means " saved ") recurs in Josephus, Antiq. ii. 228: cf. Philo, De Vita Moysis, i. 4, § 17. There is a word in Ancient Egyptian, $m w$, meaning "water," but the connexion with the name Moses is hypothetical. Similar forms appear as personal names in Pharaonic times, egg.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XX. c. 1200-1090 в.c.
    Setnakht: Ramessês III. c. 1200-1168: Ramessês IV.XI. c. 1168-1090. Manetho's 12 kings probably included 152

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XXIV., c. $720-c .715$ b.c. Before Bocchoris, his father Tefnachte of Sais (Tnephachthus in Diodorus Siculus, i. 45, 2) became the most poweriul among the chiefs of the Delta (c. 730-720 b.c.).

    For King Bocchoris see Alexandre Moret, De Bocchori Rege, 1903. Cf. Diodorus Siculus, i. 65, 79, 1 (law of contract: Bocchoris legislated for commerce), and 94, 5. See Breasted, Anc. Rec. iv. § 884 : the only extant monuments of King Bocchoris are a few Serapeum stelae and a wall inscription, which record the burial of an Apis in the sixth year of his reign.
    ${ }^{2}$ See especially the demotic story ( 8 b.c.) of the prophetic lamb, quoted by Krall in Festgaben für Büdinger, pp. 3-11 (Innsbruck, 1898): the lamb prophesied the conquest and enslavement of Egypt by Assyria, and the removal of her gods to Nineveh. Cf. Aelian, De Nat. Anim. xii. 3, and Manetho, Fr. 54, §§ 232 ff. A reference to Manetho's description of the oracular lamb is preserved in Pseudo-Plutarch, De proverbiis Alexandrinorum (Crusius,
    
    
     164

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dynasty XXV. (Ethiopian), c. 715-663 B.c.: the three kings are Shabaka, Shabataka, and Taharka.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Herodotus, ii. 137 (Sabacôs).
    Shabaka had a great reputation for mildness and kind rule: Petrie (Religious Life, 1924, pp. 193 f.) explains that 166

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Uaphris or Apries，in Egyptian Wahibpré＇，the Hophra of the O．T．Capture of Jerusalem by Nebuchadnezzar， king of Babylon， 587 b．c．See Peet，op．cit．pp． 185 ff ．

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ If 44 years are assigned to (5) Psammêtichus, the actual total is 167, as in the Armenian Version.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ Third Book (Aucher, Karst) : Second Book (Müller). The Armenian words for "second" and " third" have similar forms; hence the corruption (Margoliouth).

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Manetho's interpretation is from imn, "hidden, secret" : see Sethe, Abhandl. Berl. Akad., 1929, p. 78, § 153. Herodotus, ii. 42, 3, tells a story which is probably related to this ineaning of Amûn.

[^55]:    ${ }^{2}$ Smy is not a name of Typhôn, but may mean " confederate " in Egyptian (from $5 m$ ), to unite). In religious texts the phrase Sêth and his $s m$ 'yt, i.e. "Sêth and his confederates," often occurs. See Kees on Sêth in Pauly. Wissowa-Kroll, R.-E. ii. A. 2 (1923), cols. 1896 ff .
    ${ }^{3}$ Interesting confirmation of the correctness of Plutarch and Manetho is given by G. A. Wainwright in his article "Iron in Egypt" (J. Eg. Arch. xviii. 1932, p. 14). He compares Pyramid Texts, § 14, "the bi' which came forth out of Setesh," and refers to Petrie's discovery at Jâw (an important centre of Sêth worship) of great quantities of gigantic bones, collected in piles: they were chiefly of hippopotami, -mineralized, heavy, black bones, of motallic lustre and appearance. It is clear that they were considered sacred to Sêth, as they were wrapped in linen and were found here and there in tombs at Kâw.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ The story of the transport of the colossus of Serapis to Alexandria is told with variants by Tacitus, Hist. iv. 83, 84, Clement of Alexandria, Protrep. iv. p. 37, Stahlin, and Cyrillus in Jul. p. 13, Spanh.: cf. also Platarch, De sollert. anim. 36, Eustathius on Dionys. Perieg. 254 (Müller, Geogr. gr. min. ii. p. 262). Both Tacitus and Platarch agree in assigning the introduction of the statue to P'tolemy I.: Clement and Cyril attribute it to Ptolemy II. See Parthey, Uber Is. und Osir. pp. 213 ff. Tacitus gives (from Lysimachus) the more circumstantial account, adding the name of the King of Pontus, Scydrothemis; but P'lutarch mentions other names (e.g. Manetho) which Tacitus omits. The new cult of Serapis was intended to unite the Greek ruling class and their Egyptian subjects. (See Intro. p. xiv.) Georg Lippold (Festschrift Paul Arndt, 1925, p. 126) holds the sculptor of the statue to be the famous Bryaxis of Athens, $c .350$ b.c. ; and thus the image was worshipped at Sinôpe for about 70 years before it was taken to Alexandria. The most trustworthy copy of the statue is that in the Museum at Alexandria: see Athen. Mitt. xxxi. (1906), Plates VI, VII (A. W. Lawrence in 192

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Timotheus (of Eleusis), the Eumolpid, is believed to have introduced the Eleusinian Mysteries into Eleusis, the suburb of Alexandria.

[^58]:    ${ }^{2}$ Manetho's connexion with the Serapis cult is vouched for by a bust in the Serapeum at Carthage, Corpus Inscr. Lat. viii. 1007 : see Intro. p. xv.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Herodotus, ii. 47, and see Newberry in J. Eg. Arch. xiv. p. 213.

[^59]:    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ The Ancient Egyptian name $H a^{\prime} p i$ is applied both to the River Nile and to the god of the Nile. Cf. Diod. Sic. i. 12. 6 (the same phrase, with $\pi \rho o{ }_{s} \hat{\dot{\omega}}$ for $\hat{\psi}$, and $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi$ al for
     196

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ If the reference is not to a separate treatise, but to a passage in the Sacred Book, translate: " in his account of festivals '".
    ${ }^{2}$ On human sacrifice in Egypt, sce Meyer, Geschichte ${ }^{5}$, I. ii. pp. 98 f. Herodotus, ii. 45, denies that men were sacrificed in Egypt in his time; but Seleucus, under 198

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ El Kab on the right bank of the Nile, 53 miles $S$. of Luxor (Baedeker ${ }^{8}$, p. 365 ff.), the seat of Nekhebyt, the goddess of childbirth, and in prehistoric times the capital of the southern kingdom.
    ${ }^{2}$ Kyphi (Anc. Egyptian $k^{\prime} p t$, from $k^{\prime} p$, to burn) is mentioned in the Ebers Papyrus (Wreszinski, 98, 12 f.), where ten ingredients (without honey and wine) are given, 202

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sêriadic land, i.e. Egypt, cf. Josephus, Ant. i. 71. In an inscription the home of Isis is $\Sigma \epsilon \iota \rho a s \gamma \hat{\eta}$, and Isis herself is Nєidêtıs or $\Sigma \epsilon \iota \rho i a s, ~ t h e ~ N i l e ~ i s ~ \Sigma \epsilon i p ı o s: ~ s e e ~ R e i t z e n s t e i n, ~$ Poimandres, p. 183.
    2 , For the god Thôth inscribing records, see p. xiv n. 1 . 208

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ Augustus, a title of the Roman emperor, was not used in Ptolemaic times.
    ${ }^{2}$ For a curious juxtaposition of Manetho and Hermes Trismegistus, see Wellmann in Hermes, xxxv. p. 367.

[^64]:     codd.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mє ${ }^{2} \chi \epsilon \bar{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ conj. Bunsen.
    ${ }^{3} M \epsilon \gamma \chi \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} s \beta^{\prime}$ conj. Bunsen.
    ${ }^{4}$ Conj. Gutschmid : $\alpha \rho \chi o r \delta{ }^{\prime} \eta$ s codd.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ Possibly, according to this explanation, Ra- (or Rha-) is the Egyptian hry, " master," and the rest of the name *wôse(r), "powerful" (B.G.).

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apappûs is the Phiôps of Fr. 20. 4, with a curious misunderstanding of his reign of 94 years.
    ${ }^{2}$ See p. 54 n. 2, and Wainwright, Sky-Religion, pp. 41, 45.
    ${ }^{3}$ This interpretation is based upon the eommon Egyptian name Amenerdais, "Amûn has given him".
    "The Egyptian Wose-mi-Rê means "Mighty like the Sun ": Uôsimarês may however be intended for the first half of tho praenomen of Ramessês II., Wese-mê-Rê, but this means " liô is mighty in justice " (B.G.).

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ The first syllable of the name Chuther may represent the Egyptian kor, "bull".
    ${ }^{2}$ In Egyptian, " loving the eye" is mai-iri.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ Besides Marês and derived forms (Marrês, Aelian, De Nat. Anim. vi. 7 ; Marros and Mendês, Diod. Sic. i. 61,1 ; Imandès, Strabo, 17. 1. 37, 42), there are two types of variants on tho name of Amenemhêt III.-(1) Lamarês (Fr. 34), Lamaris (Fr. 35), Labarês, Labaris ; and (2) Pramarrês, Premanrês ( $\mathrm{Pr}-=$ Pharaoh) : cf. Poremanrês, P. Mich. Zen. 84, lines 18, 21, Porramanrês in A. Vogliano, Madinet Madi, Primo Rapporto (1936), Hymn IV., line 34 , where the first two syllables must be eliminated if

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ This total comes, not from the Book of Sothis which gives 395 for the first 15, but from Eratosthenes (App. II.). A smaller total than Manetho's 33.57 yoars was desired in order to shorten the duration of tho historical age of Egypt .

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ Scaliger : codd. $\mu \epsilon \tau a ̀$ тàs $\kappa \zeta^{\prime} \delta v v a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a s$, omit. $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$. 230

[^71]:    ${ }^{2}$ 1. $\epsilon \rho \mu \alpha^{\prime}$.
    ${ }^{3}$, $\epsilon \rho \mu \alpha^{\prime}$, marginal note in Coar.

[^72]:    ${ }^{1} \sigma \nu \theta^{\prime}$ corr. Müller.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aǐúntiov codd. : Aï $\quad$ untov Scaliger : кai add. Müller.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ Polyaenus of Athens (? of Sardis or of Macedonia), a writer of history, lived in the time of Gaius (Caligula).

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Catalogus Codicum Astrologicorum Graecorum (heroafter cited as $C C A G$ ), viii. 2, p. 81, 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ The sources are collected and discussed by F. Boll, "Studien über Claudius Ptolemäus," Juhrb. f. Cl. Ph., Supplementbd. xxi. 1894, pp. 53-66 'hereafter cited as Boll, Studien).
    ${ }^{3}$ Theodoro of Melite is the authority ; Boll, op. cit., pp. 54-55. An eleventh-century work of Abulwafa (ibid., 1י. 58-62) gave riso to the belief that he was bom at lelusimm, so that, e.g., he is called Mindovoutús in the title of the first edition of the 'Tetrabiblos.
    ${ }^{4}$ This comes from Abulwafa.

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ Preserved by Olympiodorus (fourth century), In Plat. Pheed., p. 47, 16 (Finckh).
    ${ }^{2}$ Boll, Stution, p. 66. Heiberg gives the text in his edition of the Opera astronomica minora of Ptolemy (Leipzig, 1907), pp. 149 ff.
    ${ }^{3}$ This is Boll's conclusion (op. cit., p, 64), accepted by Christ, Gricchische Littcraturgeschichte, 6 th ed., 1924, ii. 2, p. 896. Boll, ibid., pp. 63, 65, cites the passages of the Almagest which refer to the dated observations. He points out that a very slight change in the text of Almagest, x. 1, would make the date of the latest observation 141 instead of 151, but though this would. perhaps, agree better with some of the traditions, there is no real reason for altering the figure.
    ${ }^{4}$ F.g. in the preface of the Latin version of the Almagest published at Vonice in 1515; and the preface of the translation of the Tetrabiblos by Whalley (see below, p. xiii).

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ E.g. N (see below). Tєт $a^{\beta} \beta \not \beta$ ios alone is used by P and E.
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[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ See, for example, Chapters II-III of Boll-Bezold, Sternglaube und Sterndeutung (ed. 3, revised by W. Gundel). Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1926. F. Cumont, Astrology and Religion among the Greeks and Romans. New York: Putnam, 1912.
    ${ }^{2}$ Studien, pp. 111-181.

[^79]:    
     Claudii I'tolemaei I'elusiensis libri quatuor, compositi S'yro fratri. Eiusdom l'ructus librorum suorum, sive Centum dicta, ad eundem Syrum. Inmumeris quibus huchs.sque scatebant memis, purgati. Basiloae, per loamem Oporinum. This is the title page of tho Greek text. 'The portion containing the translations has a separate title page.

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ I am toll that the work was completed in this year. It has been announced as Vol. HI, Fasc. 1, of Ptolemaci opera omnia in the well-hnown Bibliotheca Classica. published by B. G. Teubner, Leipzig. The year of publication is unknown to the writer as this is written.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the early Latin versions see Thorndike, History of Magic and Experimental Science (New York, 1923), 1, p. 110. Mss. of the Arabic version exist at the Eseurial and in the Laurentian Library at Florence.
    ${ }^{2}$ Printed by Joannes Petreius, Nürnberg, 1535, with Camerarius' notes.
    ${ }^{3}$ E.g. a rudely printed duodecimo from the press of the heirs of Petrus Thomasius, Perusia, 1646, is in the writer's own library.
    ${ }^{4}$ The Quadripartite; or, Four Books Conccrning the In. fluences of the Stars . . . by Clautius Ptolemy. . . . By John Whalley, Professor of l'hysic and Astrology, and Others. The second Edition, Revised. Corrected, and Improved. London : I'rinted for the Editors, and sold by M. Sibley . . . and E. Sibley . . . 1786.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ German translations akso exist ; e.g. by J. W. Pfaff in his Astrologisches Taschenluch, 1822-23 (mentioned by C'hrist, (ir. Litteraturgeschichte), and one by M. E. Winkel, Linseverlag, 1923, which is based on the Latin of Melanchthon (v. W. Gundel in Jahresb. u. die Fortschritte d. Kl. Alt. 241, 1934, p. 74).
    ${ }^{2}$ Bull, Studien, p. 127.
    ${ }^{3}$ E.g. ap.CC'AG, viii. 2, p. 67, 18 ff.; cf. Kroll, Philologus, lvii (1897), p. 123.

[^83]:    
    
     puraphrasis in quatuor l'tolemati libros de Sideratn effectionibus. Cum praefatione Philippi Melanthonis. Basileae, apud Joannen Oporinum [155.4].
    ${ }^{2}$ J. M. Ashmand, I'tolemy's Tetrubiblos or Quadripartite, etc. London: Davis and Dickson, 182e. James Wilson, The Tetrabiblos or Quadripartite of P'olemy, ete. London: II. Hughes 1808. Charpuhor, Les Discourses, efc., 1:\%. n. 2, citos a l'tolomy's Telrabiblos, by J. M. Ashmand, London, 1917.
    ${ }^{3}$ Editions were published at Basel in 155t and 157!, at Levden in 1555 , and in the fifth volume of Cardanus" works (Leyden: Hugnetan and Revaud, 1663).

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ The purchase of this collection was mado possible by the Faculty Research Fund of the University of Michigan. It was accompanied by an anonymous description of the MSS. of the Tetrabiblos, to which I am indebted for information about many MSS. which I could not personally inspect.
    ${ }^{2}$ Of F and H only a fow sample pages have been available. xvi

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ It eehoes many words and thoughts found in p. 106, 25-108, 10 Cam. ${ }^{2}$, which need not be separately enunerated; not, however, in a manner which would indicate that it is a forgery based on the passage, for Ptolemy elsewhere repeats phrases in much the same way, especially when he wishes to point out that he is carrying out a predetermined scheme. Note, however, in addition, that á $\rho \mu o ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \nu$ and $\epsilon \phi \alpha \rho \mu \dot{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \nu$ are favourite words of Ptolemy, and $c f$., for example, pp. 17. 1-2, 117.6, 120. 9 Cam. ${ }^{2}$ and
    
     18; 106. 26; 202. 16 Cam. $^{2}$; and Boll, op. cit., p. 179 ; and
     $\pi \rho о є \theta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \theta a$. In fact practically every word of the passage except the doubtful $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \epsilon i a u s$ is to be paralleled in the T'etrabiblos, usually many times; to arrange them in so exact an approximation to Ptolemy's usual style would demand a forger of superhuman ingenuity.

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ Astronomy proper.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Almayest.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ptolemy is contrasting, after the manner of Aristotle, the unchangeability of the heavenly bodies and their regular motions, which can be known and predicted by astronomy, with the constant and unpredictable changes of material objects in the sublunary region.
    ${ }^{2}$ On the arguments against astrology, see BouchóLeclercq, pp. 570 ff . The Academic school, led by 4

[^88]:    'éєрує́gтaтoи MAECam. ${ }^{2} \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ om. NCam.
     ${ }^{\text {i }}$ каі $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu а \tau \omega ́ \delta \epsilon เ s ~ o m . ~ N C a m . ~$

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ Boll, Studien, 1p. I33 ff., enumerates parallels to this passage concerning the sun and the moon in Cicero, Philo Judaeus, Cleomodes, and Manilius, and ascribes their likeness to the influence of losidonius.
    ${ }^{2}$ This worl, amópota, has another meaning, "separation," as a technical ternin of astrology ; seo c. 24 bolow and ny
    

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ Positions relative to one another in the heavens. For the names of the aspects recognized by Ptolemy cf. the note on i. 13 (pp. 72-73).

[^91]:    

    * avykpíaews l'C'un.

[^92]:    ${ }^{2}$ Cardanus (p. 104) gives a number of examples, among them the geomantici, those who mako elaborate predictions from the mere fact that a man was born on a certain day of the week, of the moon, or of the month, those who prediet by reckoning the numerical equivalents of the letters in a man's name (arithmologists), and so on. Cf. also Plato's remarks about unworthy pretenders to philosophy, Republic. 49.5 C ff .

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ On rascals in philosophy cf. Plato, Republic 487D, and the discussion which follows.
    ${ }^{2}$ By various ancient authors it was claimed that the Chaldaean observations extended over periods of from 470,000 to $\mathbf{7 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ years: Boll-Bezold-Gundel, pp. $\mathbf{2}_{5} 99$.

[^94]:    3 " The Stoies say that the planets, returning to the same point of longitude and latitude which each ocmupied when first the universe arose, at fixed periods of time bring about a conflagration and destruction of things, and that the universe again reverts anew to the same condition, and that as the stars again move in the same way everything that took place in the former period is exactly reproduced. Socrates, they say, and Plato will again exist, ath every single man, with the same friends and countrymen; tho same things will happen to them, they will ment with the same fortune, and deal with thr samo things," ete. (Nemesins, De matura hominis, is, p. 30!, Mathani).

[^95]:    
    

[^96]:    
    ${ }^{2} \pi$ ár $\left.^{2} \tau a\right] \mu \grave{\eta} \pi a^{\prime} \tau a$ VPD．
    

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ кєфадаious libri, - $\omega$ Cam.
     Cam. : post $\pi \rho \circ \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ MAE.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that in this sentence Ptolemy refers to several of the subjects of chapters in Books iii and iv.
    ${ }^{2}$ Accorling to Cicero, De divinatione, i1. 105, Dicaoarchus wrote a book to prove that divination was useless; Plutarch took the other side, in an essay of which only fragments are preserved.

[^99]:     $\phi v \sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ isías Cam. ${ }^{2}$
    ${ }^{2}$ ô post aíroov add. Cam., om. libri.
    ${ }^{3} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi a \theta \eta \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ VADCam., - $\alpha \dot{́} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ PME.
    ${ }^{4} \epsilon \dot{\pi} \pi о \rho \eta \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \frac{1}{\tau}$ VADCam., - $\sigma \frac{\nu}{\tau} \alpha$ PME.

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ A current belief; cf. Thorndike, History of Magic and Experimental Scienre, I, p. 213, for an instance of its occurrence in Plutarch.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hesiod's Works and Days, 383 ff. (ed. Flach), well illustrates how such stars and constellations as the Pleiades, 28

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ptolemy's language is highly condensed and obscure; the translation gives the probable meaning. Proclus' Paraphrave, pp. 31-32, thus renders the passage: "But the reason for such an assumption is the difficulty of prognostication in particular cases, the accurate and truth30

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ גóyov VMADE, $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$ PL, om. Cam.
    ${ }^{2}$ Post $\sigma v v \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ add. $\mu \epsilon \in \theta o \delta o v$ Cam. ; in libris deest.
    
    ${ }^{4} \delta_{\iota} a \tau \epsilon \lambda o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ Cam.

[^104]:    ' Perhaps "affections," the more general sense of the word $\pi$ á $\theta$ os.

    2 "Introductions" ( ioaywүai), or systematic elementary treatises, are a common literary form in antiquity.
     is a good example. The " art " ( $\tau \in \neq \eta$ ) was a similar form of treatise, and might deal with any art or science.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this chapter and elsewhere Ptolemy makes use of the four Aristotelian principles, hot, cold, wet, dry (e.g. De generations et corruptione, ii. 2, 3). Cf. Boll-BezoldGundel, p. 50.
    ${ }^{2}$ It was a doctrine as old as Thales that the moisture arising from the earth nourished the heavenly bodies; of. 34

[^106]:    ${ }^{8}$ тоıךтıкós . . . évaltiov V1PMADE (каi катà ME); cf.
     (om. $\tau \hat{\varphi} Z \hat{Z} \eta \nu i$ ed. pr.).

[^107]:    I ảvaiautıkós PL.
     тєра Proc. Cam.
    ${ }^{3}$ Titulum capitis om. Cam., habent VPLMADE.

[^108]:    ${ }^{4} \phi \theta i v \epsilon \iota$ VMADE, $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \tau a l$ LCam., $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \eta \mathrm{P}, \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \tau a l$ Proc.
    ${ }^{6}$ Post "Apєws add. какотоtoús, is MAEC'am., on. V PLD.
     Cam.; add. 山́s $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma o u s$ Cann. ${ }^{2}, \mu$ ќoous Cum. ${ }^{1}$

[^109]:     то̂́ "A $A \in \omega s$ AFC'am., om. VPLMDE.
    ${ }^{2} \mu \epsilon i ́ c o u v$ post $\delta$ xото́ $\mu o v$ add. Cam. ${ }^{2}$
     auvódnu AFH et Cam. ${ }^{2}$ in marg.
    

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ Saturn, Jupiter, and Mars; a gloss to this effect has been incorporated into the text of certain MSS. and of Camerarius' editions (seo the eritical note).
    ${ }^{2}$ I.e. new moon.
    ${ }^{3}$ By "rising" heliacal rising is meant. The stations are the points in the motion of tho planets at which they appear to stand still before beginning retrograde movement. I'tolemy explained these irregularities of movement by the theory of epicycles. Cf. Bouché-Leclercq, pp. 111-I23.

[^111]:    'These are Castor (" in alvance") and Pollux.
    ${ }^{2}$ Praesepe ; more popularly, Beehive.
    ${ }^{3}$ Asinus Borealis and Asinus Anstralis.
    ${ }^{4} \beta$ Leonis.
    ${ }^{5}$ Virgo was represonted as a winged woman bearing in ber left hand a stem of wheat, the head of which was marked by the bright star spica.

[^112]:    1"Of the garment"" is added in the Nuremberg MS., by Proclus, and in the printed editions; see the eritical note.
    ${ }^{2}$ "Claws of the Scorpion" was the earlier name of Libra (Zoyós) ; the latter came into general use in the first century before Christ. P'tolemy uses hoth names.
    ${ }^{3}$ Represented us a centaur preparing to shoot an arrow ; a mantle flies above and behind his shoulders

[^113]:     $\pi \tau \epsilon ́ \rho v \xi \iota$ LNCam. ${ }^{1}$
    

[^114]:    1 Represented as a monster with a goat's head and fore feet and a fish's tail.
    ${ }^{2}$ The southern Fish (not to be confused with the extrazodiacal constellation Piscis Anstralis, mentioned later) is toward Aquarius; the two fishes are represented as being joined by a cord from tail to tail.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. Hercules.
    ${ }^{2}$ The bright star Voga is in Lyra.
    ${ }^{3}$ Capella is the brightest in this constellation.

[^116]:     тề $\Delta \in ́ \lambda \tau a ~ N C a m . ~$

    $$
    { }^{2} \tau \hat{y} \tau \in \tau о \hat{v} \text { hpóvov каi om. Cam. }
    $$

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ Altair is in this group.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pegasus.
    ${ }^{3}$ The bright star is Fomalhaut.

    * Rigel and Betelgeuse are the brightest stars here.
    "The " last bright star " in Ericlanus is Aehernar.
    ${ }^{6}$ Sirius, which is in Canis.
    ${ }^{7}$ The brightest star is Alphard.
    ${ }^{8}$ These are Canopus and Var.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'E $\rho \mu$ ô̂ VPLMADEFHProc., Kpórou NCam.
    ${ }^{2}$ Titulum capitis post 'E $\rho \mu$ ov̂ posuerunt PLMNEFH.

[^119]:    
    

    + тótrov oln. NCain.

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ кадой $\sigma \boldsymbol{\nu}$ NCam．
    ${ }^{2}$ Post $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ add．toû $\dot{\eta} \lambda i o u$ NCam．，om．alii．

[^121]:     shows more clearly its derivation from $\eta \lambda^{\prime} o s$, "the wind that blows from the sun."

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. троткко́v, "having to do with turning (тролף)."" Astronomers to-day usually call them "solstitial" "11stead of "tropical," since " tropic" gonerally refers to the terrestrial circles, the Tropic of Cancer and] the Tropic of Capricorn.

[^123]:    1 áкодои́才 $\omega$ s VMDEProc., áкóخov才a PLNACam.
    ${ }^{2}$ каі $\theta \eta \lambda и к \bar{\omega}, ~ o m . ~ N C a m . ~$
    ${ }^{3}$ той ăppevos om. NCam.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ そwíou VPLADE, ки́кдоv MNCam.
     Cam.
    ${ }^{3}{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\gamma}$ оớ $\mu \in v o 兀$ VMADE, om. PLNCam.
     PLNCam.
    ${ }^{5}$ ás VDME, om. PL, ès NACam.; калє́бavrєs post тoıaû̃a inser. PLMNCam., om. VDAE.
    ${ }^{6} \tau$ ó $\tau \in$ aítıov om. Cam. ${ }^{2}$.
    ${ }^{7} \delta v \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s$ VD, $\delta v \nu a \mu \eta s$ P, $\delta v v^{\nu}$ ациs LMNAECam. $\pi \rho о є \kappa-$ $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VMDEAProc., $\pi \rho \omega \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \theta \eta{ }^{\tau} \mathrm{P}, \pi \rho о \epsilon \kappa \tau i \theta \eta s \mathrm{~L}, \pi \rho о \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon-$ $\theta$ cíans NCam.

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ For this type of classification, cf. Bouché-Leclercq, Pp. 149-15\%. Vettius Valens, pp. 5 fí. (Kroll), attachosmany epithets to the signs; of. also Antiochas, $\quad$ p . C' $C A(r$, viii. 112; Rhetorius, ap. C' ${ }^{\prime} A G, i .164 \mathrm{ff}$. Some of them figure in ii. 7, below.

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. the note on oiкcíwas (i. 11). oiкєьovadat is the corresponding verb.
    ${ }^{2}$ The aspects are geometrical relationships between the heavenly bodies. Ptolemy recognizes here only fouropposition, trine, quartile, and sextile-as having significance, and does not class "conjunction" as an aspect, although it is treated as such throughout the Tetrabiblos. 72

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, $\frac{1}{2}$ of $180^{\circ}=90^{\circ}$ (quartile) and $\frac{1}{3}$ of $180^{\circ}=60^{\circ}$ (sextile). All the MSS. and Proclus add here " and trine," which perhaps we should, with Camerarius (ed. 2), discard. The trine, however, could be regarded as $\frac{1}{3}$ of $360^{\circ}$ or as twice the sextile.
    ${ }^{2}$ That is, the sesquialter $=\frac{3}{2}=\frac{90^{\circ}}{60^{\circ}}$ and the sesquitertian $=\frac{4}{3}=\frac{120^{\circ}}{40^{\circ}}$.

[^128]:    ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon$ om. PLNCam.

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ ópta, termini, literally " boundaries"; sre c. 20. The triangles or triplicitios are treatod in c. 18 and the exaltations in c. 19.

[^130]:     notans).

[^131]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, they are in the order of their distance from the centre of the universe, the earth.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. c. 4.
    ${ }^{3}$ Capricorn opposes Cancer and Aquarius Leo.
    "Sagittarius is triangular to Leo, the sun's house, and Pisces to Cancer. C'f. c. 13 on the "harmonious" nature of the trine and sextile, in contrast with quartile and opposition.
    ${ }^{5}$ Aries is quartile to the moon's house, Cancer, and Seorpio to the sum's house, Leo. 'They are, however, also triangular to these houses, Aries to Loo and Scorpio to Cancer.

[^132]:    ' ${ }_{\omega} \nu$ post $\delta$ 'add NCam.
    ${ }^{2} \%$ VAD ; om. cett. Cam.
    

[^133]:    ${ }^{1}$ avi'íттатац] үíveтая VDProc.
    ${ }^{2}$ aữoû PLMA, aù $\omega \hat{\nu} v$ VDNECam.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. c. 4.
    ${ }^{2}$ Afrieus, Lips.
    ${ }^{3}$ In e. I0 the west is charareterizal as moist, which is regramed as a femainine quality (f. e. 6).
    +I.e. soutli-east.

[^135]:    

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ These have nothing to do with aphelion or perihelion ; the planets are exalted or depressed in power in these positions: Boll-Bezold-Gundel, p. 59 ; Bouché-Leclerca 1p. 192-199.
    ${ }^{2}$ C'f. c. 17; the houses of Saturn are the signs in opposition to the houses of the sun and moon.

[^137]:     alii Cam.

[^138]:    
    

[^139]:    ${ }^{3} \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \mu a i v \epsilon \tau a \iota$ NCain.; $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \mu a i v \epsilon \iota ~ V L M A D E ; ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ P.

    - Sic VADEProc. ; M. т $\omega \nu$ ópíw NCam. ; M. ópíw PLM.

[^140]:    
    
    ${ }^{2} \epsilon i ̈ \gamma \epsilon$ ME, єïтє VD, єïтє $\gamma$ 人á A , ö $\tau \epsilon$ PLNCam.
    
    ${ }^{4} \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{\text { VMADE, }}$-ov PLNCam.
    
    ${ }^{6}$ ávaдoyiav libri, àvaкодov日iav Cam.

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ Libra is the solar house of Vemus; Saturn's honses are Capricorn and Aquarius. Similarly Mars is at home in Aries, Jupiter's houses being Pisces and Sagittarius.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. c. 18 ; Venus and the moon govern the seemad triangle. ${ }^{3}$ Cf. e. 19 ; Mars' exaltation is in Capricorn.
    ${ }^{4}$ For the doctrine that the sum of the terms of each planet determines the life-time of those born under its influence, cf. Bouché-Leclercq, p. 408.

[^142]:    4 aủrois V.HDE, aủvท̂s APL. aủzó N゙('am.
    

[^143]:    ${ }^{2}$ Tabulas codicis Vat．gr．I45：3（Procli Paraphrasin continentis）secutus sum，cum illis quae ab Camerario im－ pressae sunt congruentes solis lineis 26 et 23 （sub Aiүóкєpw） exceptisubi Cam．\＆ケ＇et $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$ offert．Tabulae in PLMN゙AD inventae sunt ；om．VE．

[^144]:    ${ }^{1}$ This method, as Bouché-Lerlerci remarks (p. 210), is less "optimistic" than the Egyptian or the Ptolemaic method, because it assigned to the maleficent planets a larger number of terms and more first places in the various signs.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Paraphrase of Proclus, by connecting the ©̈atє 98

[^145]:     Cam.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. the order of the planeis is always tlun same, but the leader (or pair of leaders, in the case of Siaturn and Mereury) in one triangle is shifted to the last position when one conness to the next triangle. Hence, since the number of zemens in each sign are also always 8, 7, 6, 5, t, thm (haddacan system makes the assigmment of terms exactly the same in the corresponding signs oỉ each triangle.
    ${ }^{2}$ I.e. in a diurnal nativity.

[^147]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ptoleny's ancient manuscript, therefore, if it really existed, was probably in the form of a roll, for there the last pages would be protected. The first and last pages of a codex would be liable to danage, since they would be outermost.

[^148]:     hévov NCam.

    - оікобєатот( $\epsilon$ ías VMADEP'roc.; on. alii.

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ Post $\lambda^{\prime}$ add. glossa in marg. codicis N et Cam. ${ }^{2} \epsilon \ddot{\iota} \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta}$ éxovaí tues dúo dóyous; om. libri omnes et Proclus.

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. in the order Aries, Taurus, Gemini, ote., which the Greeks called "the orter of the following signs" and regarded as proceerling to the left.
    ${ }^{2}$ According to the anollymous commentator (p. 42, ed. Wolf), this is because Mars belongs to the nocturnal sect and Saturn to the diurnal, the leaders of which are, respectively, the moon and the sun.
    ${ }^{3}$ In Ptolemy's anciont manuscript ; so says the anonyinous commentator (p. 44, ed. Wolf).

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ Post tabulas add. VMDProc. haee aut similia: yiverat
    
     habent VPLMADEProc.; om. NCam.
    ${ }^{2} \tau$ à $\tau \mu \eta{ }^{2} \mu а \tau а$ PLNCam.
     NCam.; om. VPLMDEProc.; ápxó $\mu \epsilon \frac{1}{\text { ot àtò toû |||| каi }}$
    
    ${ }^{5}$ є́ка́бте VPLMADE, oov NCam.

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ After the tables and before this chapter-heading some of the MS'S. have: "There result from the addition of 108

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ Just as, with the precession of the equinoxes, the fictive sign Aries is now almost entirely in Pisces.
    ${ }^{2}$ The scholiast on Ptolemy says that, in addition to the conditions laid down by Ptolemy, a planet, to be in proper face, must also be in its own honse and must be in the necessary aspect with both the luminaries (not with one of them, as l'tolemy says).

[^154]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vettius Valens uses this word several times in a broader sense than that of this definition．
    ${ }^{2}$ I．e．are more occidental．
    ${ }^{3}$ ovvántetv，applicare（noun ovraфウ́，applicatio）is used of planets which are on or are closely approaching the same meridian．кód $\lambda \eta \sigma$ s is a similar term．＂Separation，＂ 112

[^155]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, the space of $30^{\circ}$ (" place," or "house ") immediately following, or rising next after, the horoscopic sign (cf. iii. 10, p. 273). This place is culled the emaruфopa of the horoscope.
    ${ }^{2}$ That is, if they are disjunct (cf. c. 16).

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. i. 3.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Or}$, as the variant reading has it, "to concern both countries and cities." sice the cr. $n$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Literally, " variations of more and less."

[^157]:    
     Cam. ${ }^{1}$

[^158]:    
    ${ }^{2} \kappa \lambda \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ V PLMADProc., $̈ \theta \nu \eta$ NCam.; tit. om. E.

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ Latitudes, or general regions determined by latitude.
    ${ }^{2}$ Such as houses (i.17) or terms (i. 20-21).
    ${ }^{3} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho o \delta o t$; the passage of a heavenly body through the zodiac.
    ${ }^{4}$ In the astrological ethnography which follows Ptolemy probably depends upon the Stoic Posidonius. Boll, Studien, Pp. 181.238, enumerates many details in which, for this reason, Ptolemy here diverges from views expressed in the Geography.
    s "Parallels" relate to latitude, i.e. position north or south; "angles" to position east or wost.

[^160]:    
    
    ${ }^{4} \delta a \psi \iota \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ p a s$ VMDE, - $\rho \omega s$ LNACam., $\delta a \psi \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma t a \iota \rho o s$

[^161]:     ${ }^{2}$ є $่ \in \pi ィ$ Boúdous VPLD．
    ${ }^{3}$ taîs $\psi v x a i ̂ s ~ P L N C ' a m . ~$
    ${ }^{4}$ §ià тoûto post útodáßor adul．NACam．

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ The anonymous commentator (p. 56, ed. Wolf) says that he means the Egyptians and the Chaldacans, and is referring to the fact that they discovered astrology.
    ${ }^{2}$ This phrase ( $\pi \dot{v} v \tau a$ éкфaivovтєs) is contrasted with $\tau \dot{\alpha}$
     says that some understood it to refer to the freedom of speech of the eastern group; others, to their gift of felicitous expression.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Cf}$. i. 6; not only the sun, but also the oriontal quadrant, is maseuline.

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. variations from the normal or general characteristies of the whole region.

[^164]:    
    ${ }^{2} \beta$ о $\rho$ àv каi 入íßa NMECam．
    ${ }^{3}$ 日écu VMADE，фúau PNCam．，om．L．
    
    

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Pontus Euxinus, or Black Sea. The Lake Maeot is is the Sea of Azov.
    ${ }^{2}$ As opposed to Galatia in Asia Minor.
    ${ }^{3}$ The designation of India as "Eastern Ethopia" is at variance with Ptolemy's Geography, and a mark of the influence of Posidonius (Boll, Studirn, pp. 211-21:2). The distinction of two Ethiopias rests on the well-known Homeric passage, Odyssey, i. 22.24.

[^166]:    ${ }^{1}$ Tuscany．

[^167]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hellas is northern Greece and Achaia the Peloponnesus.

[^168]:    4 éкєiras post $\chi$ úpas add. MNAECam.
    ${ }^{5} \phi \iota \lambda o ́ p o v a o t$. . $\phi \lambda \lambda о \mu a \theta \epsilon i s ~ p o s t ~ " A \rho \epsilon \omega s ~ m i s . ~ N C a m . ~$
    
    

[^169]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kúmpov V PLDProc. ; Kv́mpor al. Cam.
    
     138

[^170]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gedrosia is modern Baluchistan, and Ariana lay north of it, between Parthia and the Indus.
    ${ }^{2}$ For this region it would have been more accurate to identify Venus with Astarte or Istar. It was, of course, the original home of the worship of Mithras.

[^171]:    ${ }^{3}$ Mitpav $\eta^{\circ} \lambda \iota o v$ VPLMDE, Mi $\theta_{\rho a v}{ }^{2} \lambda t o v ~ P r o c ., ~ o m . ~ \eta ̈ \lambda t o v ~ A, ~$
    

[^172]:    
    
    

[^173]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here again see the citations collected by BouchéLeclereq, 1. 341, n. 2, of the charges of sexual immorality and incest made in antifuity against these peoples.

[^174]:    
    
    
    

[^175]:    ${ }^{1}$ Idumaea is the region around the south end of the Dead Sea; Coelê Syria, north of Palostine and between Lebanon and Anti-Libanus; Judaea, between the Dead Sea and the

[^176]:    ${ }^{1}$ Astrology indeed began in the ancient Babylonian and Assyrian kingdoms.

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are all parts of Asia Minor.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ptoleny identifies Vonus and Mars, who are coupled in Greek mythology, with the female and male divinities of this region worshipped under various names as the Mother of the Gods, Magna Mater, ete., and Attis, Adonis, etc.

[^178]:    ${ }^{2}$ Avкía VDl'roc., on. alii C'am.

[^179]:     l'roc.
    ${ }^{4} \chi \rho \epsilon \iota \hat{\nu} v$ V1 ( $\chi \rho \eta-$ ) L.MAEDror.. $\chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ NDCam.
    ${ }^{5}$ ката̀ VMADE, סıà l'LNCim.
     тарата́ $є а и ~ P r o c . ~ . ~$
    
    

[^180]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here used of the continent in general; Africa is the Roman province.

[^181]:     $\tau \hat{\omega}$ оа́ $\mu \omega v a \mathrm{~L}$.

[^182]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon$ libri Proc., $\mu \epsilon ̇ \nu$ Cam.
     $\theta \epsilon о \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \pi о \lambda о \iota$ MNAECam.

[^183]:    ${ }^{3} \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda o i$ VMADEProc. ; $\delta \epsilon \iota v o i$ LNCam., $\delta \eta v o i$ P.
    ${ }^{4}$ रoví $\omega$ VDMAEN (mg., $\gamma \in \nu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota$ ) Cam. ${ }^{1}$; $\gamma \omega \nu_{\eta}^{\mu^{\prime}} \mathrm{P}$,
    
    
    

[^184]:    ${ }^{1}$ дауєштєкоí VPLMADEProc., $\mu$ ауєкоí NCam.
    ${ }^{2}$ Kpóvov VPLNDProc.Cam. ${ }^{1}$, 4 ıós AECam. ${ }^{2}$

[^185]:     PLNCam. ${ }^{1}$; VMADEProc. res in columnis disponunt signorum nominibus in capite additis, verbis etiam $\pi \in \rho i$ тó $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v$ (quae om. Cam. ${ }^{2}$ ) in propriis locis insertis.

[^186]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ бт $\quad$ рís $\omega a \iota$ VADProc., -ovial L, -ovтєs PNMECam.
     tàs $\kappa \tau \lambda$. alii libri Cam.
     NCam.
    ${ }^{5}{ }_{\tau} \rho \iota \gamma \omega{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ VPLMDE, $-\omega$ A, - $\alpha$ NCam.
    ${ }^{6}$ ovvo九кєєounévas VADĖ, - $\omega \nu$ MNCam., - $\epsilon \iota \omega \mu$ évas $P$, $-\epsilon t \omega \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{v} \omega \nu \mathrm{~L}$.

[^187]:    ${ }^{1}$ Johannes Laurentius Lydus (De ostentis, 9) doals with a system of prediction whereby eclipses of tho sun refor to Asia and those of the moon to Europe. Ptolemy makes no such sweeping distinetion.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ptolemy takes no account of erlipses not visiblo at the place concerned.
    ${ }^{3}$ That is, the sign in the ascendant, or horoscopre position, at that time.

[^188]:    
     om．VMADE．
    
    ${ }^{4} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \circ v \mu \epsilon \in \mathfrak{\prime} \cdot \omega \nu$ VMDE，$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \circ \hat{u} \mu \epsilon v(\theta \epsilon \circ \rho-)$ PLNACam．
    ${ }^{5} \tau$ às ödas ódoaұє $\overline{\text { ois }}$ PLNAClam．；ödas om．VMDEProc．
    
    ${ }^{7} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad$ тара́та⿱㇒日勺 VPLMADE，$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ тарата́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega_{s}$ NCam．
    ${ }^{8} \pi \rho o$ ós libri et Cam．${ }^{1}$ ，èv Cam．${ }^{2}$

[^189]:    

[^190]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Too near the sun to be visible ; combustus; cf. BouchéLechercq, p. lll, n. 3. "Advaneing" is the same as "adding to its motion"; cf. above, 1 . II5, n. 4.

[^191]:    
    

[^192]:    
    ${ }^{2} \chi$ ро́v $\omega$ VDProc., то́т $\omega$ alii Cam.
    ${ }^{3} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \alpha \mu \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ VMADEProc., $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ v$ PL, $\tau \hat{\omega} \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \hat{\varphi}$ NCam. ${ }^{4}$ кย́vт $\rho \omega$ VMADEProc., - a PLNCam.

[^193]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. i. 12 for classifications of the signs. Rhetorius, ap. CCAG, i. 164 fi ., names as signs of human form Gemini, Virgo, Libra, Aquarius, and (in part) Sagittarius. 172

[^194]:    ${ }^{1}$ ஸ்oaútws. oi $\delta(\dot{\epsilon})$ MNCam.

[^195]:    ${ }^{2} \tau$ т̀ є̆ар каі $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ PLNCam. Proc., om. VMADE ; каи (post éap) om. PLN.
     $\epsilon^{\iota} \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ L.

[^196]:    ${ }^{1}$ Planets become fominized by the occidental position (cf. i. 6) and hence opposo the sun ; in oriental position

[^197]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to the anonymous commentator (p. 7l, ed. Wolf), the reason why the luminaries exert such power is that they are the ones which submit to eclipse and thereby determine the places of eclipses and the rulers of these places.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cardanus, p. 201 : ". . . when he says, for example, 178

[^198]:    ${ }^{1}$ фó $\beta$ ous VMAD ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ (mg.) Proc. Cam. ${ }^{2}$ (asterisco notatum); dóvous NCam. ${ }^{1}$ (asterisco notatum), фผv' P, фóvoc L.
    ${ }^{2} \lambda \iota \mu$ v̂ VMDEProc., доц $\kappa$ ô PLNACam.
    180

[^199]:    ${ }^{1}$ éraıpeías Cam. ${ }^{2}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Post єiрŋиıкàs add. каi єи̇єт $\quad$ pías Cam. ${ }^{2}$, om. libri Proc.

[^200]:     $\ddot{\sigma}_{\chi} \lambda \omega \nu$ om. VMADE.
     ¿ $\xi \epsilon i a s ~ \beta ı o \theta a v a \sigma i a s ~ M A E, ~ o ́ \xi є i a s ~ к а i ~ \beta ı o \theta a v a o i a s ~ P ~(~ \beta ı \omega-) ~ L, ~$ ¿そ̧ias vógous каi Bıotavarias NCunn.

[^201]:    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ потіншн VDGProc. ; тотані $\omega \nu$ alii Cam.

[^202]:     PLINAECam.
    
    's èmaфpodıoias rodd. Cam. ${ }^{1}$, єن̇voias Cam. ${ }^{2}$
    ${ }^{6} \pi \nu \in$ n $^{\prime} \mu a \tau a \mathrm{VAD}$, - $\omega \nu$ alii Cam.
    ${ }^{7}$ єن́крабіая VNADEG, єن́кра́тшь PLNCam.

[^203]:    
    ${ }^{2} \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ VMADEG, ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ PLNCam.

[^204]:     らب́oss кт入. (dat.) PLNCam.
    ${ }^{4}$ каi $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ VMADE ; каi om. GPLCam.; $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta_{\epsilon}^{\tau} \mathrm{N}$,
    
    ${ }^{\text {¿ }}$ duandoias VMADEGProc.. $\delta v a \pi v(o)$ ías PLNCam.
     Cam. ${ }^{2}$

[^205]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ à $\left.\pi \epsilon i ́ \rho o v\right]$ ḋ $\pi$ ópou NCam.
    $\left.{ }^{3} \delta \epsilon \hat{i}\right]$ ס́́o NCam.

[^206]:    ${ }^{1}$ A geniture (horoscope, nativity) of any individual or event has as its point of departure the horoscope in the proper sense, ie. the degree of the ecliptic which is rising 190

[^207]:    1 "Luminous sheaves," according to Bouché-Leclercq, p. 355. The expression must refer to rays of light. 192

[^208]:    ${ }^{1}$ каi VPLDG ; om. alii Cam.
    ${ }^{2}$ Titulum post $\pi \rho o \delta \iota a \lambda a \beta \in i v$ inser. GMProc.

[^209]:    ${ }^{1}$ The now moon closest to the first of the year, as explained later.

[^210]:    ${ }^{1}$ BouchéLeclereq, p. 129, with n. 1, points out that the Egyptian year began with the rising of Sirius, which is close to Cancer; that Cancer was the horoscope in the so-called Egyptian " theme of the world" (the horoscope of the universe, in which the planets, ctc., were in the positions which they occupied at the very beginning) ; but that after Posidonius Aries was definitely recognized as the starting-point of the zodiac. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{i} .10$.

[^211]:    
    
    ${ }^{2} \hat{\eta} \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ ．VMDE，$\hat{\eta} \nu \delta \mu \eta \nu$ ．G，$\hat{\eta} \nu \delta \iota \mu \eta \nu \epsilon ́ a \nu$ PL，$\hat{\eta} \nu$
    
    
    

[^212]:    ${ }^{1}$ Post $\check{\epsilon} \kappa \pi и \rho \alpha$ add. каì $\phi \theta$ артєк⿺̀ $\operatorname{PLNCam.;~om.~VMADEG~}$ Proc.
     аїข$\gamma \mu$ G.
    202

[^213]:     MNGCam.

[^214]:    ${ }^{1}$ The signs are taken as marking the months, and the new or full moons first occurring while the sun is in the several signs (hence following the entrance of the sun into 208

[^215]:    ${ }^{1}$ éка́⿱㇒́สтๆ้ VMADG Proc., -ov P, -ov NLECam.
     au่ $\frac{\omega}{\omega} \nu \mathrm{Cam}{ }^{2}$
    

[^216]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, in the predicted event. I'tolemy also uses the expression " tho more or less " ( $\tau \dot{o} \mu \hat{\mu} \lambda l o \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \tau \tau 0 \nu$ ) to refer to intensification and relaxation.
    ${ }^{2}$ I.e. conjunction.
    ${ }^{3}$ Heliacal risings and settings may be meant; but see also the list of configurations given in the note on ii. 7 , p. 171.

[^217]:    
    

[^218]:     кадои́цєvov $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ : клшиє́vas NCam.
    
    
    
    ${ }^{6}$ хд $\left.\omega \rho \dot{a}\right]$ cf. Pror. ; $\chi \lambda \frac{\rho \dot{\alpha}}{}$ VD ; $\omega \chi \rho \dot{\alpha}$ PLNACam.; $\omega \chi \rho \dot{\alpha} \eta$ $\chi \lambda \omega \rho \dot{\alpha}$ 11, $\tilde{\eta} \chi \lambda \omega \rho \dot{\alpha} \tilde{\eta} \omega \chi \rho \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{E}$.
    
    
    "touoúte V.」Dl', -ous M, -ob I'LNCam. Proc.

[^219]:    ${ }^{1}$ This sentence is perhaps an addition to the text, since it does not occur in all the MSS. nor in Proclus; it is to be found, however, in Hephaestion, p. 100, 31-33 (ed. Engelbrecht). Hepharstion's compilation dates, according to Engelbrecht, from the year 381 .

[^220]:    
    

[^221]:    ${ }^{1}$ The purpose of this clumsy sentence seems to be merely to refer the reader to the account already given in ii. 9 .

[^222]:     NCam.
    ${ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ŇCam., $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ PL.
    ${ }^{3} \mu \hat{\varepsilon} v$ om. I'LNCam.

[^223]:    
    

[^224]:    1"Temperament" here is used in its astrological senso, of tho mingling of physical and other traits which mako up the individual. Cf. the similar use of коâo兀s in i. 11, p. 64.
    ${ }^{2}$ The "divisions of the successive times," i.e. the ages of man, are discussed in iv. 10.

[^225]:     $\gamma \in \nu$ є́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ alii Proc.Cam.
    

[^226]:    ${ }^{1}$ тоьо́тทros VMADEProc. ; iठıóт $\eta$ тоs $\mathrm{P}(i \delta \iota \omega-)$ LNCam.
    ${ }^{2} \tau a v ́ \tau \eta$ VAD, $\epsilon i s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ P N C a m ., ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ L, ~ \epsilon i ' s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{~}$ $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \mathrm{M}$, єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \mathrm{E}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ aủ $\mathfrak{y}$ VPLMDE, тav́ty NACam.

[^227]:    ${ }^{1}$ є́кт $\kappa о \pi \grave{\eta} \nu$ VADEProc., $\tau \rho о \pi \eta \dot{\nu}$ PLMNCam.
    

[^228]:    1 An assumption which l'tolemy does not think it necessary to demonstrate. The statement that the sign in which the moon was found at the conception would be in the ascendant at the nativity is attributed to "Nerhepso and Petosiris "; Boll-Bezold-Gundel, p. 154; cf. BouchéLeelercq, pu. 376, 379

[^229]:    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ रoóro libri ；－$\omega \nu$ Cam．

[^230]:    ${ }^{1}$ An instrument consisting of a graduated circle with a movable arm by which angles above tho horizon could bo taken.

[^231]:    ${ }^{1} \tau \dot{o} \lambda_{\epsilon \pi \tau \grave{\partial} \nu}$ VMADE, $\tau \dot{\omega} \lambda_{\epsilon \pi \tau \grave{\partial} \nu} \mathrm{P}, \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda_{\epsilon \pi \tau} \hat{\omega}$ NLCam.
    ${ }^{2}$ тодлах $\hat{\eta}$ libri, тодлахо仑̂ Proc., тодда́кє Cam.
     фúocis Cam.
    

[^232]:    ${ }^{1}$ The text adopted is that of the two most important MSS. and is supported by the anomymous commentator. Bouché-Leclercq (p. 388, n. 1) would discard the words катà tòv रpovòv $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ є́ктро $\quad \hat{\eta} s$, but he had made no examination of the MSS. and presumably did not know that the best of them support ката́ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$., the reading mentioned by the commontator. To observe the position of tho luminary above the earth at the time of conjunction, rather than that of the one that is above the earth at the time of the nativity, seems much simpler and more natural.
    ${ }^{2}$ On Ptolemy's rule for determining the ascendant degree, of. Bouché-Leclerce, pp. 387.388.

[^233]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ordinarily the horoscope, or aseendant, would be the point of reference by which the other centres (mid-heaven, occident, inferior mid-heaven) of the nativity would be 234

[^234]:    ${ }^{1}$ каi фìtor VPLD, каi om. MNAECam.
    ${ }^{2} \pi \rho \tilde{\tau} \eta{ }^{2}$ VPMADE, om. NL (in lacuna) Cam.
    ${ }^{3}$ oкотєiv om. MNCam. ${ }^{4}$ émáv om. NCam.

[^235]:    ${ }^{1}$ tútros VI＇LD tótos MNAECam．

[^236]:    ' סopuфopía, " attendance," and סopuфópos, "spoar-boarer," "attendant," outside of astrology rofer to the hired military guards of princes and tyrants.

[^237]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. in the preceding chapter.
    ${ }^{2}$ C'f. i. 23. $\quad{ }^{3}$ See iii. 12.

[^238]:    ${ }^{1}$ є่̀̀ $\nu$ VPLDProc．，öта⿱ MNAECam．
    ${ }^{2}$ нórous MNACan．
    ${ }^{3}$ €̇тıaveis ．．．̇̇tavadopaîs libri Proc．；om．Cam．
    ${ }^{\text { }}$ tov̀s $\pi$ atépas MNACam．

[^239]:    ${ }^{1}$ The amonymous commentator on l'tolemy says that "stars are said to overcome (ка日vieptepeiv) when they are of a smaller number of degreas," i.e. of the zodiac. The right takes precedent over the left, as a general rule. ('f. Bouché-Leclerct, p. 250, n. 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ In quartile or opposition.

[^240]:    

[^241]:     $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ аиүкри́uєws Proc.
    
    ${ }^{\text {в } о и \mu ~} \beta \in \beta$ дко́та NCum.

[^242]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the reading of all the MSS. and Proclus. Camerarius, inserting a каí before той $\mu \eta$ трєкой тótov, would make it "the culminating sign and tho place of the mother." While the best-attested reading has been left in the present text, the passage is extremely difficult to understand, whichever reading is preferred.

[^243]:    1 "Horoscope" is used here in its more original sense of the point rising above the horizon at the time the observation is made.
    ${ }^{2}$ See the note on iii. 3, [. 239.
    ${ }^{3}$ I.e. in the quadrant from the orient to mid-hoaven of that from the occident to lower mid-heavon ; cf. i. 6 .

[^244]:    ${ }^{4} \dot{v} \pi^{\prime} \mathrm{VP}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{DE}, \epsilon \in \epsilon^{\prime} \mathrm{MNLACam}$.
    

[^245]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, from the planet that governs the dominant place.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the preceding chapter.

[^246]:    ${ }^{3}$ Bouché-Leclercq, p. 398, n. 3, after remarking upon the various interpretations given this passage, says: "The title 'Aváкторєs ("Avaктєs, "Avaкєs) having been borne by the Dioscuri, the Cabiri, and tho Curetes, I do not know to which group ho alludes, and possibly he did not know very well himself." Cardanus remarks that Polemy regards three children as the largost mumber that can be horn at one birth and survive.
    "MS. N and Canerarins add here "and Jionysus," but the other MS's. agree in omitting the oxpression.

[^247]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cardanus and Whalley say the ascendant and tho midheaven are meant.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. i. 12. 'Tho only human signs are Virgo, Gemini, Sagittarius, and Aquarius.

[^248]:    
     VDLCam.

[^249]:    ${ }^{1}$ The later Mss's. here add " or apes."
    ${ }^{2}$ Deaf inutes.

[^250]:     MNAECam.
    
    ${ }^{7}$ §ıà VPLAD, a $\pi \grave{o}$ MNECam.

    * hóvos V PLMNADProc., -ov ECam.
    - ¿́ $\tau o \hat{u}$ 'Eppoû VADProc. ; om. PLMNECain.

[^251]:    ' кат' ioooкєлєiav, literally, " by equality of leg." The anonymous commentator does not explain this expression. Cardanus (pp. 264-265) understands it to mean that the two are exactly in opposition not only in longitude (" in degrees "), but also in latitude (as when the moon is in $10^{\circ}$ of Aries, $3^{\circ}$ north latitude, and Saturn or Mars in 10 of Libra, $3^{\circ}$ south latitude).
    ${ }^{2}$ The planet which governs the sign in which the luminaries are found.

[^252]:    ${ }^{3}$ Aftliction, which in general is damage done by a maleficent planet to a benefiecnt one, is defined by the astrologer Antiochus ( $C C^{\prime} A G$, viii. 3, p. $106,34-38$ ) as existing "when (sc. a beneficent planet) is smitten by the rays of maleficent planets, or is surrounded, or is in application with one of them, or in glutimutio ( $\kappa$ ód $\lambda \eta \sigma$ s.s), or is governed by one of them, when the maleficent planet is in the inactive (non-signifying, áxpךцátıotor) places. These are tho sixth, third, second, eighth, and twolith from the horoscope." Ptolemy says little about the "places" (less correctly "houses") of a genitur ; thoy are twelfth parts of the zodiacal circlo marked off from the horoscope, each with some special significance; cf. Boll-Bozold-Gundel, 1P. 62-63.

[^253]:    ${ }^{1} \ddot{\eta}$ VLDProc.; каi MNAECam.
    ${ }^{2}$ оікобєопотŋ́баขтєя VLDProc., - $\omega \nu$ MNAECam.
    ${ }^{3} \tau \tau \gamma \chi \alpha ́ v \eta$ libri, -o Cam. ${ }^{4}$ є́avт ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$ VLD.
    ${ }^{5} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ é $\gamma \gamma v \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ VLDProc.: тồ é $\gamma \gamma v \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ MNACam.; $\tau \omega ̈ \nu$ ধं $\gamma \gamma \nu \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ E.
    ${ }^{6}$ Post $\tau i s$ add. $\ddot{\eta} \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ MNECam. Proc.
    

[^254]:    I A luminary, planet, or portion of the zodine which dondmanes the length of life or the duration of some event. The prorosatore are dismosed in the noxt ehapter.

    2 seo on iii. \& above (p. 245, 11. 1). ${ }^{3}$ Soe i. 2t.

[^255]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps a reference to Petosiris．The passage is in－ cluded by E．Riess among the fragments of Nechepso and Petosiris，Philologus，Supplementband 6，p． 358.
    ${ }^{2}$ Aphetic is also used．Hyleg is the Arabic term． 270

[^256]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sr. degrees.
    ${ }^{2}$ Though he pays little attention to the system of "places" or " honses " so much used by the astrologers in 272

[^257]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this type of prorogation the diurnal movement of the heavens is carrying the planets tuward the prorogative $28 \pm$

[^258]:    ${ }^{1}$ As the anonymous commentator says（p．120，ed．Wolf）， the sun is of a＂middle temperature＂（ $\kappa \rho \hat{a} \sigma \iota \varsigma)$ ，and takes the character，good or bad，of the planet associated with it； $c f$ ．i． 5 above．
    ${ }^{2}$ Some of the MSS．have $\beta$ oŋ $\theta$ ov́ $\mu \epsilon v$ os каi（or $\ddot{\eta}$ ）${ }^{2} v a \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$, ＂assisted or released＂；probably an explanatory gloss which worked its way into the text．The anonymous commentator explains the word to mean that a beneficent， planet does not pormit the sun to retain the＂affliction＂ attached by the evil planet，but＂releases＂it．
    ${ }^{3}$ The following general description is intended to apply to Ptolemy＇s lengthy account of this method．In each prorogation，two points on the ecliptic are concerned，the prorogator or precedent and the subsequent or anaeretic place，which we may call P and S respectively．S may or may not be occupied by a planet，but in this type of pro－ rogation it always follows $P$ ，that is，lies east of it and comes to the horizon later．$P$ ，as a point on the ecliptic，may （a）lie at the intersection of the ecliptic and the equator or 286

[^259]:    ${ }^{1}$ This obscure sentence is thus explained by the anonnous commentator: "If you imagine a star moving either from the horoscope ( $s c$. to mid-heaven), or from mid-heaven to the horoscope, you will discover the temporal periods of the distance; in the same way also when they are not upon the degrees of the angles."
     magnitude," is used further on, when Ptolemy gives examples. In the Almagest, ii. 8, there is a table which gives the time, in degrees and minutes of the equator (ie. equinoctial times), in which each are of $10^{\circ}$ of the ecliptic rises above the horizon in each of eleven latitudes beginning with the equator (right sphere) ; the table also gives the cumulative sums of these ascensions for each arc from the beginning of Aries. In the following chapter Ptolemy tells how the horary magnitude may be determined 292

[^260]:    ${ }^{1}$ каi VPLD, om. MNAECam.
    ${ }^{2} \chi$ póvous PLAProc., om. VMNDECam.
    ${ }^{3} \tau_{\dot{o}}^{\prime}$ (post $\left.\dot{\omega} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\right)$. . . $\tau \dot{o}$ (post $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \bar{v}$ ) VPLD, om. MNAECam.
    ${ }^{4}$ Post $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$ ins. cap. ' $Y \pi o ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$ NCam., om. libri alii.
    ${ }^{5} \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ VDProc., $\delta \tau \bar{\eta} S \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \bar{\eta} s$ alii Cam.

[^261]:    ${ }^{1}$ For it will then have "come to the same place" that the precedent originally occupied.
    ${ }^{2}$ Or, horary magnitude.
    ${ }^{3}$ This is the latitude of lower legypt ; cf. Almagest, ii. 6, p. 108, 15 ff . (Heinerg), and the table in ii. 8, pp. F34-]41.

[^262]:    ${ }^{1}$ The method deseribed in Almagest, ii. 9, eited above, applied to data from the table in Almagest, ii. S, gives 17 times 6 min. 30 see.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is reckoned on the right sphere. The data from the table in the Almagest will give 147 times 44 min.
    ${ }^{3}$ Likewise 6 equinoetial hours, since it is munequinocial point. ${ }^{4}$ I.e. 148 minus 90.

[^263]:     MNAC'um. " $\nu \zeta^{\prime} \mu \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{A}$.

[^264]:    ${ }^{1}$ The table of the Almagest gives 45 times 5 min . for the combined ascensions of these two signs in the latitude of lower Egypt.
    ${ }^{2}$ I.e. beyond the meridian and toward Aries.
    ${ }^{3}$ The table of the Almagest gives 70 times 23 min .

[^265]:    ' ікатє́ $\rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$ VMADE, - $\omega$ I'LNCam.
    ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \chi \frac{\dot{o}}{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ VP $(-\epsilon \chi \omega ́ v \tau \omega \nu) \mathrm{LD}$, -одє́v $\omega \nu$ NMAECam.
    ${ }^{3}$ Post $x \rho o ́ v o u s ~ a d d . ~ \xi \delta^{\prime} \quad$ є̌ $\gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a \quad$ NACam.; om. VPLMDEProc.
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Pont óror add. $\delta$ ' Cam.; om. libri.
     кєєт $\rho \omega$ PMN:AECam.
     302

[^266]:    ${ }^{8} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ post $\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon$ add. MNCam.

    * $\xi a^{\prime}$ NMCam. ${ }^{10}$ tрítov $\mu \dot{f} \rho \circ s$ om. M('ım

[^267]:     v̇лє́кєито MNAECam.
    ${ }^{2}$ дıaбтá $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ PLA, - $\epsilon \omega$ VMNDECam.
    ${ }^{3} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{om}$. ECam. $\quad{ }^{4} \dot{v} \pi a v \tau \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ MNECam.

[^268]:    ${ }^{1}$ The prorogations, which are determined by the approach of the anacretic place to that of the prorogator, or the setting of the prorogator.

[^269]:    
    ${ }^{2} \mu \epsilon \sigma o \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu o v s$ VPLDProc., $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha$, toф $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu o v s$ MNAECam. ${ }^{3}$ тò om. MNECam.
    ${ }^{4} \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \phi \theta a ́ \lambda \mu o u s$ VP ( $-\mu a s$ ) LDE Proc., $\mu \in \lambda a \nu o \phi \theta a ́ \lambda \mu o v s$ MNACam.

[^270]:    ${ }^{5} \delta$ è post ópoíws add. MNEC'am.
     VI), d’raфavtolıaкoùs P , ávaфavtaheaious L , ảvaфalárious MNAECam. ${ }^{1}$.

[^271]:    ${ }^{1}$ ó $\mu \mathrm{o} \dot{\omega} \omega s$ VPLD, om. MNAECam.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ каi $\theta_{\eta} \lambda \nu \mu о р \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu($ (ог - $\phi \omega \tau$-) VPLDProc., каi є $\sigma \chi \eta$ $\mu о \nu$ ย́бтєроь MNAECam.
    

[^272]:    ${ }^{5} \mu \epsilon \lambda a v o \chi \lambda \omega ́ \rho o u s$ I'LProc., $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \gamma \chi \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho o u s$ VD, $\mu \in \lambda i ́ \chi \rho o a s$ MNAECam.
    ${ }^{6}$ atwoùs PLEProre, $\sigma \pi t p o u ̀ s ~ V D, ~ a \pi a v o u ̀ s ~ M N A C a m . ~$
    
     MAECam. ${ }^{2}$
    
    

[^273]:    ' $\mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о \phi \theta$ ád $\mu$ ovs VDProc., $\mu \epsilon \lambda a v o \phi \theta a ́ \lambda \mu o u s$ MNAECam., vंoфӨäג $\mu$ ovs $\mathrm{P}, \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu o v s \mathrm{~L}$.
     NACam., onaveivoùs ME.

[^274]:     Proc., om. Cam.
    
     $\mu \dot{́} \epsilon \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$ PL; cf. Proc.; каі ápp. om. VMNADCam.
    ${ }^{6} \epsilon \in \pi{ }^{\prime}$ post $\dot{\text { ws }}$ add. MNALCam.

[^275]:     $\nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \stackrel{\text { MNECam. Proc. }}{ }$
    º ovvє $\pi \iota \kappa$ ipvavtas VD ; cf. Proc. ; avvє $\pi \iota$ крivovtas PLMNAE Cam.
    316

[^276]:     NCam.
    
     igoúperov NACam.

[^277]:    ${ }^{1}$ noเovิสเข VPLADProc., om. MNECam.

[^278]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. in either the first or seventh house (orient or oceident), and not at either of the other two angles.
    ${ }^{2}$ See on iii. 9 (p. 267).
    ${ }^{3}$ A planetary melothesia (distribution of parts of the body to the planets) follows. On such of. Boll-BozoldGundel, 1. 13x, and P. Mich. 149, col. ii., 31 ff. (University of Michigan Studies, Humanistic Series, vol. xl.).

[^279]:    ² є́тє́pou VPLD, є́катє́ $\rho о и$ M.N.LECam.; sequitur in MN゙ACam. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, PL $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, VD $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \grave{\eta}, \mathrm{E} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
     NAC'am.
    
    
     L, om. (dan.
    ${ }^{6}$ qoû Taúpou VALIProc., om. I'LANEC'am.

[^280]:    
    
     $\dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ v ~ \pi \eta \rho \circ \hat{\imath} \dot{\eta}$ б $\sigma \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ VNADCams. (in mg. *notatum et haec redundent in hoc loco Cam. ${ }^{2}$ ); om. I'LMEProc.

[^281]:    
     $\mu \eta \delta$ è $\delta \iota \epsilon$ '́godov Proc.
    
     $\phi \in \rho о \mu$ évas $\mu$ oípas MNECam.

[^282]:     $\delta t e ́ \xi o \delta o v$.
    "The points at which the moon's path intersects the ecliptic. The "bendings" aro the points quartile to the nodes ( $c f$. the anonymous commentator, p. I39, ed. Wolf).

[^283]:    ${ }^{3}$ каі Ає́оутє . . . Аіүо́кєрц VPLDProc., om. MNAECam.
    
     $\phi e ́ \rho \omega n t a r$ Cam. ${ }^{2}$

[^284]:     каи́бєшs $\bar{\eta}$ тоцйs єis криттойs то́тоия кт入.
     add. $\hat{\eta}$ каі $\pi и р \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ MNACam.

[^285]:    ${ }^{1}$ е́ $\mu \beta$ риотокі́aиs NCam.
    ${ }^{2} \pi a \rho a ̀$ VPLD, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ MNAECam.
    ${ }^{3} \pi \tau \iota \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ ego ; $\pi \tau \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \mathrm{PL}, \pi \iota \lambda \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \mathrm{VD}, \psi \iota \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \nu$ MNAECam.

[^286]:     om. Cam.

[^287]:    ${ }^{1}$ ăкра $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta ~ V P L D, ~ a ̆ \gamma \rho \iota a ~ к а і ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau а ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta ~$
    
     є̇ॄa

[^288]:     Proc. : $\dot{\eta} \theta_{\iota} \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu$ MNECam.
    ${ }^{2} \tau \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \omega \mu a \tau o \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \omega \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{~A}$; similia habent VPLD; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu a \tau o \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu \phi \omega \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ MNCam., $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi$. E.
    ${ }^{3} \psi v \chi \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~V} P(-\chi \eta-) \mathrm{LDE}, \psi \psi_{\chi} \omega \nu \mathrm{MNACam}$.

[^289]:    ${ }^{1} \theta \epsilon о \pi \rho о \sigma \pi$ ólous NCam.
    
    
     єккıабтькйs NCam. ${ }^{2}$

[^290]:    - toutéatı om. Cam. ${ }^{2}$ suyXávwal om. Cam. ${ }^{3}$

[^291]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the expression "overcome," see above, on iii. 4, p. 245. Planets would "dominate" the governors of the soul (Mercury and the moon) by exercising rulership (oiкобєатотia) over the portion of the zodiac occupied by the governors; this could be done in any of the five ways specified by Ptolemy in iii. 2 (p. 233).

[^292]:     - ö̉cus om. Cam.

[^293]:    ${ }^{1}$ фıגapxaious VPLDProc., -apxiovs E, -á $\rho \chi o v s$ MACam. ${ }^{1}$, -ávopous Cam. ${ }^{2}$
     Proc.

[^294]:    I At this point some of the MSS. and Camerarius add "steadfast".

[^295]:    ${ }^{3}$ oa0poús V1’DProc., ка日poús L_, $\theta \rho a \sigma \in i s ~ M A E C a m . ~$
    

[^296]:     MAECam.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ vvктєрє́ $\mu$ ßous VPLD, vvктьррє́ $\mu \beta$ ovs A, vvктєрьрє́ $\mu$ ßovs MNE Cam., vукто及ious Proc.
    ${ }^{4} \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa o \cup ́ s$ VPLDProc., єv́pєтєкov́s MNAECam.
    ${ }^{5} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi о \iota \epsilon і$ î̃al MNDCam.

[^297]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ptolemy probably has in mind Aristotle's famous doctrine that virtue is a mean (Ethica Nicomachea, 2, p. 1106b, 27) and the examples cited by Aristotle, but Ptolemy's instances are only similar to, not identical with, Aristotle's. Aristotle, for example, makes é $\lambda \epsilon u \theta \epsilon \rho$ ór $\eta s$, "liberality," the virtue of which dowtia, " prodigality ", is an excess; contrasts $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \psi v x i a, ~ " m a g n a n i m i t y, " ~$ with $\chi$ avvót $\overline{\text { s , " vanity," and } \mu \text { ккроłfuxia, " meanness of }}$
     катám, $\eta \xi$, "shy," and with the deficiency avaioxuvtos, "shameless."

[^298]:    ${ }^{1}$ атратךүькои́s] $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa о u ́ s$ NCam.
     NCam.
     MNADECam.
    ${ }^{4}$ фiגorpóqous libri Cam. ${ }^{1}$, -т $\quad$ úфous Cam. ${ }^{2}$

[^299]:    ${ }^{1} \epsilon \dot{u} \eta \theta \epsilon \iota a$ and the corresponding adjoctive, $\epsilon \dot{u} \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta$, have two distinet senses, the original, etymoloyical one, "good character," and a derived meaning, "simplicity " or "gnileleseness," which may amount to nothing more than downright folly. Plato, in Republic, 400 DE , uses є́vígeta in the first sense, sperifically saying that he does not mean the other kind of evigөcia. In the present passage, the context clearly shows that the first sense is intended; but in the very next paragraph єúñض $\eta$ occurs in its second meaning.

[^300]:     MNAECam．
     філо⿱єікоиs MNACam．
    ${ }^{3}$ סamavךpoús PLProc．，$\delta a \pi a ́ v o u s ~ c e t t . ~ C a m . ~$
    ${ }^{4}$ какоүиvaious Proc．，катаүиvaious VD，катà үvvaíw PL，каi ruvaious MNAECam．
    ${ }^{5}$ גo七סópous $\mu$ o七xoús Proc．，om．גoıסópous PLMNECam．，om． moczoús VAD．

[^301]:    
    
     pous A, om. cett. Proc.
    ${ }^{8}$ Post $\epsilon$ únpoaírous add. mıotoús MNCam., om. cett. Proc.
     MNAECam.
    ${ }^{10}$ тодчура $\mu$ а́тоия VADProc., фıлоүра $\mu$ áтous MNECam., подитраүни́тous фıдотрáктоиs PL.
    ${ }^{11} \gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho a s$ VPLDProc., фıдоүє $\omega \mu$ є́траs MNAECam

[^302]:    ${ }^{5} \phi \iota \lambda o ́ \pi \lambda o u s$ VPLMADE, $\phi_{\iota} \lambda o \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v s ~ P r o c ., ~ \phi ı \lambda o \pi \lambda o u ́ r o u s ~$ NCam.
    
     крaurálous MNEC'am.

[^303]:     фpolq́rous om. PL.
    ${ }^{7}$ єи̇рєтєкои́s] єúєктєкои́s NCam.

[^304]:    
    ${ }^{7}$ како弓ทํovs MNEProc．Cam．，ка入о弓グдous VPLAD．
    ${ }^{8}$ ф $\lambda$ оо $\theta \epsilon \omega \tau$ átous libri C＇am．${ }^{1},-\theta \epsilon \omega \dot{\rho}$ роиs Cam．${ }^{2}$
    －aıкхoús om．MNCam．${ }^{10}$ є́moveiठlatous om．Cam．

[^305]:    ${ }^{5} \mu \mu \eta \tau$ às VPLADE Proc., $\zeta \eta \lambda \omega \tau$ às MNCam.

    - óp $\theta$ oús VPLADProc. ; om. MNECam.
    
    ${ }^{8}$ таитотра́乡ous VPLMD, -тра́ктоus A, -пра́ктаs NECam., пávta є̇т兀хєєpoûvtas Proc.

[^306]:     тıкои́s NCam. ${ }^{2}$
     PLMNECam.
    ${ }^{3} \mu \epsilon \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota(\nu) \mathrm{P}$ ( $\mu \circ \iota-$ ) LMAEProc., $\beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ VD, оікєє $\omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \sigma \iota$ NCam.

[^307]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the note on iii. 12 (p. 325) concerning the bendings and notles of the moon's orbit.
    ${ }^{2}$ Here, as in the case of bodily form and temperament (iii. 11; cf. espeeially p. 313), the actual rulers are the five planets, and it is the rôle of the luminarics to assist, adding their influences to those of the former.

[^308]:    
     $\eta^{\eta} \neq \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \mathrm{Cam} .{ }^{2}$

[^309]:    
    
    $\left.{ }^{3} \dot{o} \pi \epsilon \rho i\right] \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i$ C'amn.
     Cam. ${ }^{2}$; cf. סıá ${ }^{2}$ voas Proc.

[^310]:    ${ }^{1}$ Epilepsy and insanity wero also mentioned anong the bodily diseases (c. 12 above, pp. 329, 331).
    ${ }^{2}$ Overcoming, surrounding, or opposing ; see above.
    ${ }^{3}$ On this superstition in Roman Eigypt, of. Cumont, L'Ebypte des astrologues, 167-170. F'tolemy apparently identifies seizure by demons with "water on the brain."

[^311]:    ${ }^{1} \pi a ́ \theta \eta$ каi $\tau a ̀$ post $\pi \rho о к є i \mu \epsilon \nu a$ add. MNAECam, om. VPLD.
     NCam. ${ }^{1}$, ávatodeкois Cam. ${ }^{2}$

[^312]:    ${ }^{1}$ l'ost tooov́zors add. ধ̇ati(v) PLMNEC'am., orn. VADProc.
    
    

[^313]:    ${ }^{1}$ tàs om. MNAECam.
    ${ }^{2} \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ l i b r i ; ~ \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ C a m . ~$

[^314]:    ${ }^{3}$ a a $\theta \rho o i$ VPLDProc. ; $\theta a \rho \sigma \epsilon i ́ s ~ N C a m ., ~ \theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon i ́ s ~ M A E . ~$
    
    ${ }^{\delta} \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ MNACain.; $\delta \eta \mu \iota \sigma i \omega s$ é $\omega s$ VD ( $\delta \iota \iota-$ ) $\mathbb{E}$, $\delta \eta \mu$ ooíws ís PL.

[^315]:    ${ }^{1}$ rov́tov VD , tô̂ tô̂ P , zô̂ L , toùs tov̂ MNAECam.
     Sè ovara0ধ́vtos Proc.
    ${ }^{3}$ rov̂ om. MNAECam.
    ${ }^{4}$ aùroîs VADProc., - $\hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{S}$ PL, -oùs MNECam.

[^316]:    
    
    ${ }^{7}$ тии̃то libri I'roc., Can. ${ }^{1}$; aútós C'am. ${ }^{2}$

[^317]:    ${ }^{1}$ aúrois] єं ${ }^{\prime}$ ' av̇rois MNCam.
    ${ }^{2} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ aữ $\hat{\eta} s$ ásias NCam., $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ тoıaúr $\eta s$ ảkias (corr. in $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ aủททิs a.) M.
    ${ }^{3}$ Post à $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ add. $\sigma v \nu o \rho \hat{\omega v} \tau a$ тàs MNECam.
    4 oíctáúcts MNECam.
    ${ }^{5}$ aủ $\bar{\omega} \nu$ MNECam.
    
    ${ }^{7}$ útò om. MNECam.
     MNFCam.

[^318]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dexter, or on the right, is in the direction of the diurnal movement of the heavens.
    ${ }^{2}$ Certainly officers of very high rank in the imperial service are meant. Cumont, op. cit., pp. 39-40, shows that $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ (Lat. dux) was commonly so understood in Egypt, and sometimes it is equivalent to iudex, " judge" (pp. 4546).

[^319]:    ${ }^{3}$ In aspect.
    ${ }^{4}$ Connected with priestly dignities ; cf. Cumont, op. cit., p. 117.
    ${ }^{5}$ Probably referring to prominent positions at court or in the civil service.
    ${ }^{6}$ The word $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon \delta \alpha ́ \rho \chi \eta s$ primarily means "commander of a camp," as, in Latin, pracfcctus castrorum, but came to be used generally to mean "commander of troops" ; cf. Cumont, op. cit., pp. 40-41.

[^320]:    ${ }^{1}$ In iii. 2 (p. 233).
    ${ }^{2}$ Certain MSS. say " a morning appearance."

[^321]:     є́ $\mu \pi \delta \dot{\rho} \rho o u s$ MNECam.
    ${ }^{2}$ paфéas om. NECam.
    ${ }^{3} \mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda \lambda \epsilon v \tau a ́ s ~ o m . ~ C a m . ~$
     V, $\lambda e \theta$. $\lambda o \xi$ oús D, $\lambda a o \xi o ́ o u s ~ M N E C a m ., ~ o m . ~ A . ~$
     LAE ; aт $\rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau a s ~ M N C a m . ~$
     MNAECam.

[^322]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Egyptian kings and Roman emperors kept exotic animals and had servants to look after them ; cf. Cumont, op. cit., pp. 63-64.
    ${ }^{2}$ More accurately, those who opened the corpses for the purpose of embalining them ; cf. Cumont, op. cit., pp. 138 ff .

[^323]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probably the public fiscal offices are meant: Cumont, p. 47, n. 1 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Cumont, p. 71, n. 3, who remarks on the vagueness of astrological references to minor civil offices.

[^324]:    
     фidonóvous $\dot{\eta}$ íáatas (om. фidopovouáxous) N('am.

[^325]:    
     Cam.; om. Proc.
    ${ }^{3} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ VADProc., $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ cett. Cam.
     cett. Cam.

[^326]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps, "matrimonial agents"; cf. Cumont, p. 177, n. 3 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Gemini, Virgo, Sagittarius (partly), Libra. This and the following notes depend upon Hephaestion's characterisations.
    ${ }^{3}$ Leo, Sagittarins.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cancer, Capricorn, Aries, Libra.

[^327]:    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ и́тотактıка́s Proc.; і́тотрактıка́s VPLD, -каí A; ímò тàs трактька́s MNECam.

[^328]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aries, Taurus, Scorpio, Sagittarius.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pisces; Cancer and Capricorn are amphibious.
    ${ }^{3}$ Preserved fish were an important article of commerce in Egypt; Cumont, p. 112. тapıұєvrグs (cf. tapıxєias in the text) means also ono who embalms corpses; Cumont, p. 139.

    4 Divination by the inspection of liquids in vessels.

[^329]:    ${ }^{1}$ à $\epsilon i$ VPLAD, om, MNECam.
    ${ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. . . $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ VADE, $\tau \eta \bar{s}$. . . $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ PLProc.,
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ aút $\omega \nu$ VD, aù ${ }^{2} \nu$ MNAECam., om. PL.

[^330]:    ${ }^{1}$ The anonymous commentator (p. 152, ed. Wolf)
     Proelus paraphrases, "Satur" brings opposition in cold and in the mixtures of colours."
    ${ }^{2} C f$. the directions for computation of the time involved which were given at the end of iv. 2 (p. 377).
    ${ }^{3}$ The text has, literally, "their moon," but this, of course, mians the moon as found in the genitures of the subjects. C'f. P. Mich. 149, vi. 31-32, тoút $\omega \nu$ 'Aфpoठєít
     be fommi, 'etc.
    ${ }^{4}$ Within $15^{\circ}$ of the sun; cf. BouchéLeclerec, p. 309.

[^331]:    ${ }^{1}$ The anonymous commentator (p. 154, ed. Wolf) says, on this passage: "And if (sc. the aspects) are harmonious, 390

[^332]:    ${ }^{3} \mu \dot{\mu} \nu$ oưv VPLD, $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ MN゙AECam.
    
    ${ }^{6}$ émi V'PLDEProc., om, MNACam.
    
    ${ }^{8}$ оікєішу V P (оікі-) MADE, оікєіон L. ом. NCam.
    
    

[^333]:     ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{1}$ NCam.

[^334]:    ${ }^{1}$ More properly, their exaltations are in trine with their houses; for the exaltation of Mars (Capricorn) is in trine 400

[^335]:     - $\theta$ ย́vта M.

[^336]:    ${ }^{1}$ aủ̀ıкoùs VMADECam. ${ }^{1}$, aủ入íqкovs PL, єủvoúxous Cam. ${ }^{2}$
    ${ }^{2}$ atєípous Cam. ${ }^{2}$
     סameis L, om. Cam. ${ }^{2}$
    ${ }^{4} \delta ı \dot{1} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ libri, $\pi о \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ Cam. ${ }^{2}$, om. Cam. ${ }^{1}$

[^337]:    ${ }^{\delta} \dot{\cup} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \varsigma \mathrm{VD}, \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \pi \alpha \mathrm{PL}, \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{MECam} .{ }^{1}, \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$.
     ${ }^{6}$ нóva VAD, ov cett. Cima.

[^338]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reading of the better MSS. and Procius is restored here. Camerarius (see the cr. n.) read "Jupiter" with 406

[^339]:    ${ }^{1}$ The eleventh place, or house.
    ${ }^{2}$ Some of the MSS. at this point read "places," some "signs," and some (with Proclus) " places or signs"; see 408

[^340]:     libri reliqui et Proclus.
    
    ${ }^{3} \delta v v a \tau \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s$ ə̈ MAE.
    ${ }^{4}$ övtєs VPLDProc.; є̈бovтal MAECam.
    ${ }^{5} \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \grave{~ M A C a m ., ~} \epsilon i \mathrm{E}, \ddot{\eta}$ VPLD.
    

[^341]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Anonymous (p. 159, Wolf) says that Ptolemy here does not mean the ordinary sects, diurnal and nocturnal, but the donative and destructive planets.

[^342]:    ${ }^{1} \mu \eta$ V PLADECam. ${ }^{1}$, cf. Proc.; om. MCam. ${ }^{2}$
     би́цфผva MECam., оікєíws Proc., oi om. MAECam.
    
    ${ }^{4} \tau \iota \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa$ оi libri Proc., $\mu \iota \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa о i$ Cam.
    ${ }^{5} \epsilon \phi^{\prime}$ libri Proc., à $\phi$ ' Cam.

[^343]:    ${ }^{1}$ avvaatpia is an uncommon word. The anonymous commentator says that Ptolomy uses it of tho "second and moderate" type of friendship.

[^344]:    ${ }^{6}$ є́ка́бтои VPADEProc., - $\boldsymbol{\jmath}$ cott. Cam.
    ${ }^{7}$ avvaatpias libri Proc. C'am. ${ }^{1}$, *qvaфєías Cam. ${ }^{2}$ * $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ áda libri, тà $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ áda Cum.

[^345]:    ${ }^{1}$ Soo Bouché-Loclorcq, p. 241, n. 1.

[^346]:    ${ }^{1}$ A star to the right is elevated above, or " overcomes," a star to the left, that is, one which follows it in the diurnal motion. Cf. Porphyry, Introd., pp. 188-I89, Wolf.

[^347]:    ${ }^{2}$ Rather obscure, but apparently he means whether the precoling and the surceerling places, which might be, e.g. the horoscopes of the two genitures, are in the same sign or in succrssive ones. Tho latter is possible, for in unbroken friendships, as he sald above, the hornscopes shoukt be within 17 of each other, and henee could be in successive signs. Proclus paraphrases thus: "For that place will have tho greater authority over the friendship or the embity to which the elevation or tho succedant place is near, ether in the same sign or closest by'" (éreivos
    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ As, for "xample, trime is generally more favourable than ruartile.

[^348]:    ${ }^{6}$ ө $\eta \lambda \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ VP $(\theta v \lambda \eta-)$ LDEProc., ка $\theta_{0} \lambda \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ MACam.
    ${ }^{7}{ }_{\eta}$ (post $\left.\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu\right)$ om. Cam.
    ${ }^{8}$ фı dóoóo $^{2}$ VPLIDProc.Cam. ${ }^{1}$, - $\omega \nu$ MAECam. ${ }^{2}$ - vootias A ECam.
    ${ }^{10} \pi \rho а \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ VADProc., $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a ́ т \omega \nu$ PLMECam.

[^349]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. those named at the beginning of the chapter: horoscope, Lot of Fortune, sun, and moon.
    ${ }^{2}$ Camerarius and one or two of the MSS. here insert the heading of a new chapter, Пєpi סoúdcu ("Of Slaves"). The prominence given to the subject reflects the importance of slavery in ancient society.
    ${ }^{3}$ The twelfth house, immediately preceding the horoscope.
    ${ }^{4}$ This passage has diffieulties, as Bouché-Leelercq points out (p. 454, esp. 11.4). Apparently wo are to observe, as [For continuation of footnote see pages 422 and 423.

[^350]:    ${ }^{4} \dot{\alpha} \phi о \rho \mu \dot{s}$ V1'LI) (ivepүєías supra ser. A), є́є MECnin.
    

[^351]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Cf}$. iv. 4, iv. 2, iii. 11, and iv. 3 respectively.
    ${ }^{2}$ Presumably into the " sigus that causo travel."

[^352]:    ${ }^{6} \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \lambda a v \omega \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega v$ VIPProc., om. cott. Cum. ${ }^{7} \tau \dot{\eta},{ }^{\prime} \pi \pi i$ VPAD, omn. cett. Cam.

[^353]:    ${ }^{1}$ є́тькратйтороs P ，є́тькра́тұроs VLAD，кратйтороs MECam． ${ }^{2}$ катафора́v VPADE，$\delta \iota{ }^{2}$ àфора́v L，катафора́ MCam． ${ }^{3}$ каı $\sigma v \nu \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ om．MCam．

[^354]:    ${ }^{1}$ C'f. iii. 10, especially p. 279. The "significator," as the anonymous commentator points out, is the prorogator (ảфє́т $\overline{\text { ¢ }}$ ).
    ${ }^{2}$ For the "terms," of. i. 21-22.

[^355]:     AC'an.
    

[^356]:     роїкєَ MECam.
    ${ }^{2} \mu \epsilon \lambda a \gamma \chi о \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} v$ ACam.; каи (post hoc verbum) om. AECam.

[^357]:     PL, какшө̂̃a، MECam.

[^358]:    ${ }^{1}$ I.e. Mars and the luminaries.
    ${ }^{2}$ Such as Taurus, the blind Cancer, Scorpio, Sagittarius; cf. Bouché-Leclereq, p. 15 I.
    ${ }^{3}$ кavoıs and $\tau \circ \mu \eta$ twero the two prineipal methods of ancient surgery, were often applied tozether, and so are frequently mentioned together, as in Plato, Rep. 406D (seo Adam ad lor.).
    ${ }^{4}$ I.c. crucifixion.

[^359]:    ${ }^{1} \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v o v \tau a$ post $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ iòv add. MECam.
    ${ }^{2}$ Post тóтои add. тvхóvта VPLMADE, *aitias Cam.
    
    
    
     MACam.

[^360]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, constollations that have the form of wild boasts or birds. The anonymous commentator cites as an instance "if the dog star (кv́шע) or Corvus (кópa $\xi$ ) were rising at tho same time," i.e. were mapavatédlovta (cf. Bouché-Lecloreq, p. 125, 11. 1).
    ${ }^{2}$ The "plares" (twelfths of the zodiac) may bo classified as кévipa, the angles; '̇דavaфopai, succedants, the signs
     dechining places, which follow the succedants.

[^361]:    ${ }^{3} \mu \epsilon \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \rho o u$ VD, $\mu \epsilon \lambda a v o ́ \chi \rho o u v$ 1'roc., $\mu \epsilon \lambda i ́ x \rho o u v ~ M A E C a m ., ~$ om. PL.

[^362]:    ${ }^{1}$ Boll, Stulien, p. 123, points out that this chapter, with its account of the seven ages of man, does not properly belong to the plan adopted for the Tetrabiblos and is in certain details at variance with what has preceded; e.g. 440

[^363]:    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ áarìp libri, om. Cam. ; post hoc verbum add. tov̂ VD, тò AE, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ PLMCam.
    ${ }^{4} \mu$ '́pous VPLD, $\mu$ f́pos cett. Cam.
     $\epsilon$ є $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ MECam.
     442

[^364]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Anonymous says that four years is assigned to the moon beeauso after a period of that length its phases again occur in tho samo degrees.
    ${ }^{2}$ Only half tho period is assigned to Mercury because of the latter's double nature, according to the Anonymous.

[^365]:    ${ }^{7}$ тоі̂s $\pi \rho \omega ́ т о \iota s ~ \gamma u \mu v a \sigma i ́ o \iota s ~ P P r o c ., ~ \tau . ~ \pi . ~ \gamma є \nu є \sigma i ́ o \iota s ~ L, ~ т \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ т \eta s ~$
    

    в о́ктаєтíar VPADLProc., о́ктшєтíav MCam., о́ктаєтдаías L .

[^366]:    ${ }^{1}$ бє $\mu \nu 0 \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon$ ias VPADE, $-\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon i a s \mathrm{~L},-\tau \rho \circ \pi i a s$ MCam.
    
    ${ }^{3}$ тávта VD, ä $\pi a v \tau a \mathrm{PL}$, $\pi$ ávtas MAECam.; om. Proc.
    ${ }^{4}$ каi post $\delta v \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varphi$ add. MECam.

[^367]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bouché-Leclercq, pp. 502 ff., discusses the following sections of this chapter, which present Ptolemy's treatment of the subject of катархai," "initiatives "-the prediction of the success or fallure of individual enterprisesinsofar as he recognizes the themo. The general mothod is the same as that of iii. 10 , but five places are taken simultaneously as prorogatives, and the planets that influence by their occourse (únávtخats), which may be either borlily or by asject, need not be merely the destructive ones, as in the prorogation discussed in iii. 10, but also the beneficent stars.

[^368]:     MCam. ${ }^{2}$, áкрота́т $\omega \nu$ Cam. ${ }^{1}$
    
     $\sigma v v \epsilon \gamma \dot{s} \mathrm{~L}$, $\sigma v v \epsilon \gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega}$ MADECam.

[^369]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, not only in the harinful aspects but also in the favourable ones.

[^370]:    ${ }^{2}$ Literally，＂masters of the times．＂The Anonymous （p．173，Wolf）says that there are three＂general chrono－ crators＂（i．e．in each of the five general prorogations），the 452

[^371]:    ${ }^{1}$ ä̉ $\nu$ post $\dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{add}$. MADECum. ; om. PLProc.

[^372]:    ${ }^{1}$ єioı PLAD, cis tò MECam.
    ${ }^{2} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \pi!\eta$ MEACam. ${ }^{1},-\epsilon \iota$ D, om. PLCam. ${ }^{2}$
     $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda \epsilon ́ o v}$ ECam.
     456

[^373]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ тútos lifrı, totos Cain.
    ${ }^{8}$ toloûtos PL , togoûtos cett. Cam.

[^374]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paralleds to many of the words and expressions used in the conclusion which appears in PL can be found in iii. l; sce the Introduction, p. xx, n. 1 .
    ${ }^{2}$ This concluding paragraph, found in MAD among the Mss. studied, seems to have been borrowed bodily from the Paraphrase of Prochus. Camerarins used it in both his editions.

[^375]:     ipsissima hace verba ap. Vat. gr 145:3 (Procli Paraphrasin contintintem) leguntur. oัть] ӧтє Cam.

